







# HISTORICAL VIEW

OF

THE STATE

OF THE

# PROTESTANT DISSENTERS

IN ENGLAND,

And of the Progress of Free Enquiry and Religious Liberty,

FROM THE REVOLUTION TO THE ACCESSION OF QUEEN ANNE.

BY JOSHUA TOULMIN, D. D.

PRINTED BY

RICHARD CRUTTWELL, ST. JAMES'S-STREET, BATH;

LONGMAN, HURST, REES, ORME, AND BROWNE, PATER-NOSTER-ROW, LONDON.

1814.

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2007 with funding from Microsoft Corporation

### LIST OF SUBSCRIBERS.

BEL Rev. David, Bardon Aldersey Mr. Chester Aldersey Mr. William, Chester Aldersey Mr. Robert, Chester Aldridge Geo. efq; Christchurch Alexander Dr. Leicester Allard Rev. William, Bury Allcock Mr. John, Gatley, near Stockport Amphlet Mr. William, East Haddon, Northamptonshire Anftis Rev. Matthew, Bridport Ashton Mr. Joseph, Stockport Ashton Mr. Samuel, Stockport Ashton Mr. Thomas, Hyde, near Stockport Ashworth Mr. C. Bolton Aftley Rev. Richard, Halifox Awbrey Rev. R. Swansea Awbrey Mr. Richard, Swanfea. 2 copies

Bache Miss, Birmingham
Badland Mr. Jas. Kidderminster
Badley Mr. J. surgeon, Dudley
Bagnall Rev. J. Carmarthen

Baker Mr. John, Ilminster Baker Mr. George, Northampton Bankart Mr. T. jun. Leicester Barber Stephen, efg; Cornhill, London Baron Mr. Peter, Cockey-Moor, 2 copies Barr Mr. Birmingham, 2 copies Barrow Mr. Stockport Barton Mrs. Stoke-Newington Bassford Mr. S. Bilston Batten, Mr. James, Plymouth-Dock Batten Mr. Edmund, Yeovil Bealey Mr. Rich. Cockey-Moor Beafley Rev. T. E. Uxbridge Beavon Mr. Thomas, Boston Beck Peter, efq; Shrewshury Bedford Mr. Lincoln Belsham, Rev. T. London, 2 copies Bentley John, efq; Stockport Berry Rev. Charles, Leicester Birt Rev. Ifaiah, Birmingham Blake Rev. W. Crewkerne Blake Malachi, M. D. Taunton

Blake Mrs. Taunton Blake Mr. D. Holway Blakeway Mr. W. Birmingham Blount Mr. Birmingham Blyth Mr. Robert, Birmingham Blyth Mr. G. Birmingham Blyth Mr. Henry, Birmingham Blyth Mr. R. B. Edinburgh Blyth Mr. Edinburgh Bolton Mr. Thomas, Liverpool Bonington Mr. Nottingham Book Society, Northampton Bowring Mr. Benjamin, Exeter Boult Mr. William, Exeter Bradshaw Mr. Wolverhampton Branfby Rev. J. H. Dudley, 3 copies.

Brent Samuel, efq; Blackheath
Brent John, efq; Greenland Dock
Brent Mr. Portsea
Bretland, Rev. Joseph, Exeter.
Brewin Mrs. Leicester
Briggs Rawdon, efq; Halifax
Bristowe Rev. J. Bull, Hinckley
Bristowe Mr. Poole
Broadhurft Rev. T. Bath, 2
copies

Brook Rev. Mr. Tutbury
Brook Mr. Lincoln
Brooks Rev. James, Hyde, near
Stockport

Broom Mr. H. Kidderminster
Brown William, efq; Collumpton
Brown Miss, Collumpton
Brown Mr. William, jun. Collumpton

Brown Timothy, efq; London Brownbill Mr. Nathaniel, Chorley Browne Rev. Theop. Congleton Browne Mr. R. Bridgwater Browne Mr. Henry, Lewes Buncombe John, efq; Taunton Burkitt Mr. Miles, Southwark Bury Jeremiah, efq; Stockport Butcher Rev. Edmund, Sidmouth Buxton Miss, Nottingham Buxton Mr. John, Northampton

Calamy Edmund, efq; Topfham
Capon John, efq; Taunton
Cappe Mrs. York
Carpenter Rev. B. Oldfwinford
Carpenter Rev. Lant, LL. D.
Exeter, for himfelf and friends,
10 copies.

Carpenter M. Ph. Birmingham
Carpenter Mr. W. Honiton
Carter Mr. S. Coventry
Carter Mr. W. Portsmouth
Carter Mr. Edward, Portsmouth
Case Rev. G. Shrewsbury
Chatfield John, esq; Brighton
Clark Mr. Thos. Birmingham
Clark Mr. W. Coventry
Clark Mr. John, Goventry
Clark J. W. esq; near Plymouth
Clarke T. T. esq; Swakeley-bouse,
Uxbridge

Clarkfon Rd. efq; Fairwater
Coates Mr. M. Gainfborough
Cogan Rev. Eli, Walthamftow
Coldham Geo. efq; Nottingham
Cole Mr. Leicefter
Cole Mr. Thomas, Bridgwater
Colfox Thomas, efq; Bridport
Colfox Mr. W. Bridport

Collins Mr. John, jun. Horton Collins A. efq; Birmingham Colmore Miss S. Birmingham Coltman John, esq; Leicester Coltman Mr. John, Leicester Coltman Mr. Leicester Cooke William, efq; Newport The of Wight Cooke Mr. Thomas, ditto Cookworthy Dr. Plymouth Coombs Mr. W. Plymouth-Dock Cordy Mr. John, Worlingworth Cornish Rev. Joseph, Colyton Corrie Rev. John, Handsworth Corrie Mr. Josiah, Birmingham Cotton Bayes, efq; Kenilworth Cotton Mr. Edward, Kenilworth Cotton Mr. Thos. Kenilworth Cotton Mr. W. H. Bafinghall-Areet, London Cotton Mr. Samuel, ditto Coulston T. efg; Plymouth-Dock Tard Cox Mr. J. P. Plymouth Cox Mr. S. M. Attorney-at-law, Exeter Cox Mr. Ifaac, Honiton Crawford Mr. W. Devonshire-Square, London Crawford Mrs. J. Bath Cree Mr. Robt. Plymouth-Dock Crompton Dr. Eaton House, near Liverpool Crompton Mr. J. Birmingham Crompton Abraham, efg; Chorley, 5 copies Crompton Mr. B. Bury Crock Mr. John, Rochdale

Cropper Mr. Chefter
Crofby Mr. Portfea
Cudlipp Mr. R. C. Taviftock
Cunliffe Mr. James, Bolton
Curtis Mr. W. bookfeller,
Plymouth

Daniell John, esq; Yeovil Daniell Mr. Peter, Teovil Daniels Mr. Samuel, Sheffield Darbishire Mr. J. Manchester Darbishire Mr. Robt. Bolton Davies Rev. Henry, Taunton Davies David, efg; Trawfmawr, Carmarthenshire Davis Rev. John, Collumpton Davis Rev. D. Parade, Neath, 3 copies Davis Rev. Timothy, Coventry Davy Mr. Ifaac, Fordton Daw Mr. Ambrose, Christchurch Deacon Rev. J. Leicester Difney John, D. D. The Hyde, 6 copies Difney Mifs, The Hyde Diffenters' Book-Club, Bury S Edmund's Downe Mr. Nathaniel, furgeon, Bridport Drummond Rev. T. Derby Duckworth G. efg; Manchester Dunning Richard, efq; Plymouth Dunsford Mr. S. Birmingham

Eaton Mr. Shrewfbury
Edmonds J. B. eig; SouthPetherton
Edwards Rev. A. Dorchefter

Edwards Mr. John, Dorchester Elliott John, efq; Sopley, near Christchurch Elfon Mr. Carboneer, Newfoundland Ely Rev. Charles, Bury West-England Mr. Stephen, Lambrook Estlin Rev. Dr. Bristol, & copies Evans Rev. W. Tavistock, 2 copies Evans Rev. J. A. M. Islington Evans Rev. John, Ilminster Evans Rev. John, Bristol Evans Mr. J. bookfeller, Car-

marthen Fawcett Rev. Sam. Yeovil Fellowes John, efq; Nottingham Fenner Rev. J. L. Taunton Field Rev. W. Warwick Fisher Mr. John, Dorchester Fisher Mr. Thomas, Dorchester, 2 copies Fisher Mr. Thos. jun. Dorchester Florance Jas. efq; Chichefter Follet Rev. John, Topsbam Follet Mr. A. Sidmouth Foot Mrs. Briftol Forster Mr. Bromley Fox George, efq; near Falmouth Fox Rev. W. J. Chichefter, 2 copies Francis Mr. Thos. Birmingham Francis Mr. Swallow-ffreet, London Freeman S. efq; Coventry Freme J. R. efq; Liverpool

Fry Rev. R. Kidderminster
Fullagar John, esq; Newport,
Isle of Wight

Garfitt William, efq; Boston, 3 copies Garfitt Mr. Gainsborough Gaskell Benjamin, efq; Thorne's House Gaskell Daniel, esq; Luport-Hall Geary Rev. John, jun. Beaconsfield Gibbs Mr. Silv. Plymouth-Dock Giffard Rev. Chas. Ilminster Godwin Mr. bookfeller, Bath Gollop G. T. efq: Yeovil Goode Mr. Leicester Goore Wm. efq; Liverpool Gouger Mr. John, Sherborne Gouger Mr. Ironmonger-lane, London Gough Mr. John, jun. Kidderminster Greenhows Mr. J. Walthamftown Griffiths Mr. W. merchant, Carmarthen Grove Mr. Edw. Kidderminster Grundy Rev. J. Manchester Grundy Joshua, esq; Oaks Grundy John, jun. efq; Bury Grundy Edmund, efq; Bury Grundy Mr. Edm. Hollies, Bury Grundy Mr. J. Moorfide, Bury Gundry Mr. Joseph, Bridport Gundry Mr. Samuel, Bridport

Gurney John, esq; Serjeant's-

Gunn Rev. Dan. Bishop's-Hull

Inn. London

Guy Rev. Joseph, Birmingham

Hallet John, elg; Mister ton, near Crewkerne

Halliday Mifs, Yard, near Taunton

Halliday Miss Eliza, ditto

Halliday Miss Prudence, ditto

Hancock Mr. Nottingham

Harper Mr. Jof. Birmingham

Harries Mrs. Arfcot

Harrison Rev. J. Kendal

Harrop Mrs. Mary, Shrew/bury

Hart R. C. efq; Shrew/bury

Hafelden Mr. J. Bolton

Haffal Rev. William, Rochdale, 2 copies

Haftings Mr. Wm. Rochdale Hawes Mr. Thos. Old Barge-

House, London

Hawes Mr. Benjamin. OldBarge-Houfe, London

Hawker Mifs, Taunton

Hawker Mr. Shrew/bury

Hawkes Rev. Wm. Manchester

Hawker Mr. T. L. Birmingham

Hawker Rev. James, Lincoln, 2 copies

Hawksley Mr. Thos. Sheffield

Hayward Mr. G. Poole

Heinekin Rev. T. N. Gainfborough

Herbert Mr. Abel, Coventry

Herbert Mr. Lewis, Neath

Hesketh Mr. Edw. Birmingham Heywood Mrs. Gainsborough

Heywood Mr. R. Bolton

Heywood Benj.esq; Stanley-Hall

Heywood J. P. efq; Wakefield Higginson Rev. E. Derby

Highmore Anthony, efq; King-Street, Cheapside, London

Higman Mr. Plymouth-Dock

Hinckley Henry, efg; Guildford-

street, London

Hodgson Mr. Gainsborough

Holden J. efq; Brighton

Holden Rev. L. Tenterden Holden Jos. jun. efq; Tenterden

Holland Rev. J. Bolton

Holt Rev. J. Cirencester

Holt Mr. Thomas, Liverpool

Hone Mr. Joseph, Dublin

Hopkins Mr. W. jun. Kidderminster

Hornblower Mr. Thomas, Birming bam

Hornbrook Mr. S. Taviflock, 2 copies

Horsey Rev. J. Northampton

Hort Rev. W. J. Bristol Horton Mr. John, Kidderminster

Houghton Rev. P. Liverpool

Hounfell Mr. Wm. Bridport

Hounfell Mr. Thomas, Bridgert Hounfell Mifs. East-Street,

Bridport

Howe Rev. Thomas, Bridport Howell Mrs. Catherine, Swanfea Howse H. E. esq; Lyncombe,

near Bath

Hughes Mrs. Hanwood, 2 copies Hughes Mrs. Mary, Hanwood, 2 copies

Hughes Mr. M. B. Dudley

Hughes Rev. James, Honiton

Hughes Mr. Shrewsbury Hughes Mr. Chas. Shrewfbury Hughes Mr. Job Orton, Bury West-Humphry Mr. John, Lambrook Hunt Mr. Hen. West-Bromwich Hunter Rev. Joseph, Bath Hurst Miss, Leicester Huthwaite Mrs. C. Nottingham Hutton Rev. Joseph, Dublin Hutton Rev. J. jun. Nottingham Hutton Mr. Paradise-street, Birmingham Hyde Mrs. Bath, 2 copies Hyde Mr. Gainsborough Hyne Mr. Plymouth

Isaac Rev. J. Moretonhampstead

Jackson Mr. bookseller, Plymouth Jackson Mr. Wraxhall Jacob Mr. Taunton, 2 copies Jardine Mr. James, Bury Jarman J. E. efq; Charter-boufe-Square, London Tefferies Mr. Benjamin, Dorchester, 4 copies Jefferys Mr. John, Kidderminster Jeffries Edward, efg; Taunton Jenkins Rev. Herbert, Leicester Jenkins Mr. John, Middle-bank, Swansea Jenkins Mr. John, furgeon, Carmarthen Jervis Rev. T. Leeds

Jervis Rev. John, Lympstone Jevons Mr. Wm. Liverpool

Johnston Ebenezer, esq; Lewes

Johns Rev. W. Manchester, 2 copies Johnston Rev W. Lewes Johnstone Mr. Bath

Johnstone Rev. Thos. Wakefield Jones S. efq; Greenhill, near Manchester

Jones Mr. E. Wolverhampton Jones Thomas, efg: Shrewfury Joyce Rev. J. Highgate, 2 copies

Keech Mr. James, Lynn Keer Samuel, efq; Cretingham Kell Rev. Robert, Birmingham Kenrick Rev. John, A. M. Tork Kenrick Rev. Geo. Chesterfield, 2 copies

Kenrick Mr. A. Weft-Bromwich Kenrick Mr. S. West-Bromwich Kentish Rev. J. Birmingham, 3 copies

Kershaw Wm. esq; Warley bouse, near Halifax

Kettle John, efq; Birmingham Kings Mrs. Cirencester

Kingsford Sampson, esq: Canterbury, 6 copies

Kingsford M. efg: Canterbury Kirkpatrick R. G. efq; Newport, Isle of Wight

Lamb Mr. Christopher, Boston Lakin Mr. Leicester Lamport Mr. Plymouth Lamport Mr. Poole Lang Mr. S. Tavistock Lawrence Miss, Gateacre Lea Mr. W. Birmingham

Lee Thos. efq; Birmingham Lempriere Mr. J. Portsmouth Lewin Rev. Robt. Liverpool Lewin Robt. M. D. Liverpool Lewin Mr. Wm. Liverpool Lewin Mr. Edmund, Liverpool Library, York College ----, Morley-street Chapel, Manchester -, Diffenting Chapel, Lincoln ---, Tenterden \_\_\_\_, Ditchling ----, Silver-street Chapel, Bury ----, Veftry, North-Gate-End Chapel, Halifax ----, Veftry, Diffenting Chapel, Chesterfield ----, Phoenix, Old Meeting, Birmingham ----, Gravel-pit, Hackney Lightbody John, efq; Liverpool Lindfay James, D.D. Old Ford, near London Linthorne Capt. R. N. Poole Lifter Mr. Hackney Littlewood Rev. Thos. Rochdale Livefey Mr. Thos. Charley Lloyd Rev. Rees, King fwood Lomas Mr. James, Cockey Moor Lye Mr. Geo. West Lambrook

Macdonald Dr. Taunton
Mace Mr. John, sen. Tenterden
Major Mr. Poole
Major Mr. Robert, Poole
Mann Mr. Wm. Rochdale
Mansell Mrs. Birmingham
Mansell Mrs. Sarah, Birmingham

Manning Rev. James, Exeter, for himfelf and friends, 10 copies Mansell Mrs. Jud. Birmingham Marder Mr. Poole Marihall Rev. Jas. Waterford Mather Thos. efg; Lea Hall, near Macclesfield Mather Mils, Greenhill, near Manchester Matthews Mr. W. Bath Maullin Mr. Joseph, Coseler Maurice Mr. W. Dudley May Vaughan, M D. Plymouth Meade Mr. Taunton Messenger Mr. T. Birmingham Michell Mits, Taunton Milligan Mr. Hinckley Milner Lieut. Rowland, R. N. Carmarthen Milns Mr. Alexander, Rochdale Montgomery Mr. Brentford Moon Rev. W. Deptford Moore Mr. John, Birmingham Moorhouse John, esq; Sheffield Morgan Mils, Sidmouth Morgan M. Sam. Jones, Neath Morris Rev. W. East-Lambrook Morris Mr. Cockey Moor Moulson Mr. John, Chester Moulson Mr. Richard, Chester Mozley Mr. Gainsborough Mun Mr. Wolverhampton Murch Rev. W. Frome

Nash Wm. esq; Royston, Herts Naish Mr. Poole Naish Mr. Romsey Nanson Mr. Edward, Sheffield New Mr. A. Evefbam New Mr. John, Evefham Newbold Mr. W. Sheffield Nicklin Mr. Rich. Tipton Nicholetts John, efq; South-Petherton Nichols Mr. A. Stonehouse Noble Mrs. J. Taunton, 2 copies Norman J. efq; Plymouth-Dock Norrish Mr. George, Moreton-

Northey Mr. C. Tavistock Nott Mr. George, Carmarthen Nutt Mr. J. Leicester

bambstead

Oldham Mr. S. Coventry Offer Mr. Thos. Bridgewater Ofler Mr. T. jun. Birmingham Ofler Mr. John, West Lambrook

Paget T. efq; Leicester, 2 copies Paget T. efg; furgeon, Leicester Paget Mr. J. Loughborough Paget Mr. John, Loughborough Palmer Rev. Samuel, Hackney Pargeter Mr. Thos. Cradley Pargeter Mr. Thos. Foxcoat Pargeter Mr. Jos. Careless Green Parker Rev. Samuel, Stockport Parry Mr. William, Llethrach, Carmarthenshire

Parry Mr. Thos. Carmarthen Parsons Thomas, esq: Bath Parsons Daniel, esq; Dudley Parfons John, efq; Bridgwater, 2 copies

Partridge Mifs, Stonehoufe Pafs Mr. Robert, Bofton

Peacock Dr. Gainsborough Peach Mr. Sam. Northampton Peirs Mr. C. attorney-at-law, Plymouth

Penn Miss, Birmingham Peter Rev. David, Carmarthen Peyton Mr. Birmingham

Philips Mr. William, merchant, Carmarthen

Philips Mr. Rich. Carmarthen Phillips Messrs. Manchester, 2 copies

Phillips -, efg: Manchester Phipfon Mr. Wm. Birmingham Phipson Mr. John, Bimingham Pilkington Mr. Henry, Derby Pinkerton Rev. John, Limerick Pickburne Rev. J. Hackney Piper Rev. H. H. Norton Pittard Mr. R. East Lambrook Platts Rev. J. Boston, 3 copies Porter Mr. B. C. Sherborne Potter Arthur, efg: Cockey Moor Price Mr. Portsmouth Price Mr. W. Gloucester Priestley Joseph, esq; Tividale, near Dudley Priestnall Mr. Jas. Chorley Prime Miss Elizabeth, Whitton,

Middlefex

Prime Miss Sufannah, Whilton Procter Rev. W. T. Wilmflow Pyke Jas. efq; Bridgwater Pyke T. jun. efq; Bridgwater

Ralph Mrs. Halifax Ray Mr. John, Brook shaw, Bury Read Mr. Thomas, Birmingham

Read Mr. Sam. Gofpel Oak
Read T. efq; Poole
Rees Abraham, D. D. London
Rees Rev. T. London, 2 copies
Rees Mr. Evan, merchant, Carmarthen

Reid Matthew, esq; Leicester
Reid Mr. Samuel, Liverpool
Relph Mrs. Walthampstow
Relph Mr. Cuthbert
Reynell Mr. Henry, Bristol
Rhodes Mr. Ebenezer, Shesseld
Rhodes John, esq; Halisan
Richardson Rev. W. Lynn
Richards Rev. D. South-Petherton
Richards Mr. T. Birmingham
Richards Mr. W. Birmingham
Ridout Mr. Jer. Birmingham
Robberts Rev. J. G. Manchester
Roberts Rev. Griff. Warminster
Roberts Mr. Lincoln

Robinson Thos. esq; Manchester Robinson Mr. Thos. jun. Manchester

Robinfon Mr. T. Bury-St.-Edmund's

Rogers Mr. Sam. Birmingbam Rogers Mrs. Mary, Birmingbam Rotheram Mrs. Kendal

Rotheram Mr. folicitor, Threadneedle-street, London Ronald Mr. Hugh, jun. Brentford

Rowe Rev. John, Briftol
Rowe Laurence, efq; Brentford
Rowland Mr. John, bank, Neath
Rowland Mr. John, Bofton

Rutt J. T. efq; Bromley

Ryland Mr. Sam. Birmingham

Ryland Mr. Thos. Bimingham Ryland Mr. Jn. jun. Birmingham Ryland Mr. Jas. Kentish-Town

Sallows Mr. Robert, Plymouth
Salter Mr. R. Bolton
Sanders Mr. Mark, Birmingham
Sanderson Miss, Chorley
Saunders Mr. attorney at law,
Plymouth

Saxtou Mr. Leicester

Saxton Mr. Thomas, Lea Wood, Derbyshire

Scargill Rev. W. P. Bury-St.-Edmund's

Scott Rev. James, Cradley, 2 copies

Scott John, esq; Stourbridge Scott William, esq; Stourbridge Scott Rev. Russell, Portsmouth 2 copies

Seaward Rev. R. Poole
Sharp Thomas, efq; Romfey
Sherwell Mifs, Topfham
Shore Samuel, efq; Meerfbrook
Shore Samuel, efq; Norton
Shore Sidney, efq; Norton
Shore William, efq; Tapton
Shore William, jun. efq; Tapton
Shore John, efq; Sheffield
Shute Stephen, efq; Collumpton
Silver Mr. T. T. Woodbridge
Simpfon Rev. John, Bath
Simpfon J. W. efq; Rearfby,
2 copies
Simpfon Mr. John, Birmingham

Skey George, esq; Highgate

Skirrow Mifs, Southwark

Slatter Mr. Ilminster Slatter Mr. Thomas, Ilminster Slatter Mr. William, Ilminster Sleat R. W. efq; Christchurch Small Rev. J. Cofeley Small Mr. Thos. Birmingham Small Mr. Thomas, Boston Smith Thomas, elq; Easton-Grey Smith Mrs. Easton-Grey smith Mrs. Martha, Coventry Smith Mr. Edward, Birmingham Smith Mr. Timothy, Birmingham Smith Mr. Samuel, Birmingham Smith Mr. Robert, Glasgow Smith Mrs. T. C. Nottingham Smith W. efq; M. P. Westminster Smith Mr. Quorn Smith Mrs. W. Hawkes, Birmingham Smith Mr. G. Hinckley Society, New Reading, Hackney Solomon Abraham, M. D. Birmingham Sparkes Samuel, efq; Grewkerne Sparkes Ifaac, efg; Crewkerne Spicer John, efq; Christchurch Spurr Mr. Peter, Sheffield Staniforth Mr. W. Sheffield Stanley Mr. John, Stockport Steward Rev. J. Wolverhampton Stewart Mr. W. Birmingham Stokes Mr. Wolverbampton Stone Mr. Harry, Taunton Stone Mr Nottingham Stone S. efq; Knighton Stone J. P. elq; Quorn Stone T. efq; Barrow Stone Mr. Joseph, Bridport

Stout Mis, Plymouth
Strong Mr. S. S. Poole
Strutt Mr. William, Derby
Strutt Mr. Joseph, Derby
Stuckey Vincent, esq; Langport
Sudbury Mr. Gainsborough
Surridge Mr. Rich. Nor thampton
Sweet Mr. J. L. Glasgow
Symonds Mrs. Taunton

Talbot Mr. G. Kidderminster

Talbot Mr. Henry, Kidderminster Tankersley Mr. Gainsborough Tayler Rev. James, Nottingham Tayler Mr. Wager, Nottingham Tayleur Charles, efg: Liverpool Taylor Rev. Philip, Dublin Taylor Mr. John Lyon, Rochdale Temple R. G. efg: Roehampton Thomas Mr. Thos. Plymouth-Dock Thomas Mr. folicitor, Carmarthen, 2 copies Thompson Mr. Cross-lane, St. Mary hill, London Thompson Mr. P. Boston Thompson Wm. efq; Sheffield Thomson John, M. D. Halifax Thornley Mr. Liverpool Tingcombe John, efq; Ply mouth Tinckler Mr., Boston Titford Mr. W. Hoxton Todhunter Mr. J. Walthamstow Todhunter Mr. Walthamstow Toller Rich. efq; South-Petherton Tomkin Mr. Henry, Plymouth Toms Rev. S. S. Framlingham Tonken Mr. H. Plymouth-Dock

Toogood Rev. Chas. Broadway Toogood Wm. efq; Sherborne Toogood Mr. Jas. Sherborne Toogood Rev. John, Kinton-Magna, Dorset Touchet Mr. Manchester, 2 copies Touchet Mr. John, Manchester Touchet Mr. Jas. Manchester Toulmin Mr. J. B. Birmingham Toulmin Mrs. J. B. Birmingham Travers A. efq; Shirley Common near Southampton Travers Mr. Benj. near Southampton Treacher John, esq, Bruton Grescent Treffry Roger, esq; Lostwithiel Treffry Mr. Jos. Plymouth Treleaven Rev. B. Dorchester Treleaven Mr. John, Plymouth Turner Rev. W. Newcastle-on Tyne Turner Rev. W. jun. M. A. York Turner Rev. Henry, Bradford

Unitarian Tract Society, Falmouth
Upham Mr. bookfeller, Bath, 3
copies
Venning Mis, Nottingham
Venning Mrs. W. Nottingham

Wainwright Rev. Thos. Whit-

ton, Middlesex, 2 copies
Wainwright Robert, esq; Gray's
Inn, 6 copies
Waldron Jas. esq; Wiveliscombe
Waldron John, esq; Poole
Walker Mr. Wm. Rochdale

Walker Mr. Rich. Bridge-hall Bury Wallace Mr. Robt. York College Walker Dr. Portsmouth Waller Mr. Gosport Wallington Mr. Ilminster Wallington Mr. Tim. Ilminster Walfey Mrs. W. Warminster, 2 copies Wanfey Henry, jun. efq; Warminster Wanfey Mr. Wm. Clapham Ward T. A. efg; Sheffield Warren John, esq; Taunton Warren Peter, esq; Warminster Warren Rev. Mr. Wymondley Academy Washbourn Mrs. Glocester Washbourn Mrs. Eliza, Glocester Washbourn Mr. J. Glocester Waterhouse Mr. T. Birmingham Watfon Mr. Jacob, Bridgwater Watson Mr. Rich. Kidderminster Wearne Mr. Roger, St. Ives Wellbeloved Rev. C. York Welsford P. efq; Plymouth Westlake Mr. John, Moretonhampstead Weston Mr. Jas. Sherborne Whetstone Mr. Leicester Whetstone Mr. Jas. Dudley Whitchurch Mr. Bath White James, efg; Exeter White Mr. Basford, near Nottingham White Henry, efq; Port smouth White Mr. Edw. Moretonhamp-

Stead

Whitfield Mr. Sam. Birmingham Whitfield Mr. Edw. Birmingham Whitty Mr. Sherborne Wickstead John, efg; Shrewsbury, 3 copies Wilmot Mr. Birmingham Williams -, efq; Plymouth Williams Mr. Taliesin, Neath Wills Mr. Robt. Poole Wills Mr. James, Birmingham Willfon Mr. Nath. Northampton Witton P. H. efq; Birmingham, 2 copies Wood G. W. efg; Manchester Wood Mr. Ottiwell, Liverpool Wood Mr. John, Liverpool Wood G. efg: Manchester Wood Mr. Thos. Jackson, Bury Wood Mr. Ab. jun. Bury Woodcock Mr. J. furgeon, Bury Woolley Mrs. Nottingham

Worthington Mr. T. Leicester Worthington Mr. W. Stockport Wright Rev. R. Wisheach Wright Mr. F. B. Liverpool Wright Mr. Dan. Birmingham Wright Mr. Chas. Boston Wright Rev. Peter, Rochdale Wright Mr. Thos. Derby Wyne Mr. Plymouth

Yates Rev. John, Liverpool
Yates Rev. Jas. M. A. Glargow
Yates Mr. John Ashton, Liverpool
Yates Mr. Rich. V. Liverpool
Yates Mr. Pemberton H. Liverpool
Yates Mr. Rob. Ardwick Green,
Manchester
Youle Mr. Gainsborough

Young Mr. Spence, Poale

## PREFACE.

THEN the Author of this work published his edition of Neal's " History of the Puritans," he expressed his intention to prepare a sequel to it, exhibiting a continuation of the history of Protestant Diffenters from the Revolution to our own day, in fuch detached parts as would correspond to the periods, into which, in his judgment, it would naturally divide itself. Not only was the design which he announced approved of, but earnest wishes for its execution were expressed, as well by esteemed and partial friends, as by a general fentiment on its importance and utility, and of his qualifications for it. These testimonies of public as well as private opinion in its favour were, undoubtedly, a stimulus and encouragement to the profecution of his purpose, and justified an expectation that long ere now he would have endeavoured to fulfil wishes so honourable to himself and to his design with gladness and alacrity.

It gives the author concern to reflect that eighteen years have elapsed without affording a proof, by

the execution of any part of his intended work, that he was fensible either of the deference which he owed to public expectation, and to the defires of warm friends, or of the obligation brought on him by his own engagement. Some, who took a great interest in his design, are, in the mean time, removed from this world, which daily furnishes us with so many instances of disappointed hopes, and of purposes broken off by death. His heart feels the heaving figh at the recollection of the remonstrances on his delay of two much-respected friends in particular: one, the Rev. Josiah Thompson, of Clapham, who again and again testified a solicitude for the appearance of this history before his days were numbered; the other, the late worthy and active friend to the cause of religious liberty, the Rev. Samuel Palmer, to whose pen and labours in various ways dissenters owe permanent obligations. But vain are the fighs of affection and friendship over the grave of lost friends: vain are our regrets that we have failed to answer the wishes of the esteemed and the loved, now no more!

The author confoles himself with believing that they who know him will candidly acquit him of any disrespect to the deceased, or of desultory idleness. His attention, he may be permitted to plead, has been for years diverted from this work by a succession of occurrences and engagements which, unforeseen

when it was announced, have either accompanied or followed the anguish of mourning, or the depressions of deep forrow on the death of children who had just reached the promising years of maturity; especially of a daughter, whose removal was so circumstanced as to create, by a lasting mournful sense of it, a long interval of inaptitude for any continued mental This afflicting event was succeeded, at different distances, not only by other similar trials, but by avocations that arose from the confidence and trust reposed in him by several deceased friends; by derangement of studies produced by removals from one dwelling to another; and above all by the reading and application to prepare an extensive Course of Lectures for young persons, in which his present pastoral connection engaged him more than ten years ago.

But an apology may be becoming him for this detail of the obstructions that have impeded the accomplishment and progress of his present work. Let that apology be the author's reasonable and humble wish to stand justified in the opinion of his friends, and to exculpate himself from imputations that may be discreditable to him.

The first part of his "History" at length offers itself to the perusal of those who may feel an interest in its design; and submits itself to their candour with timidity and diffidence; in union, however, with the hope which past experience of indulgence and approbation inspires. Whatever impersections or

faults may be discovered in it, he trusts that it will not be open to censure on the ground of misrepresentation, or the illiberality of a party spirit, or of airs of infallibility.

The usefulness of such a work, as it collects into one narrative the documents of past events ere they are lost, and recalls the transactions of past times before the remembrance of them is quite obliterated, encourages the author to hope, will give his history an interest with many readers in general; and its particular reference he would promise himself will excite the attention of Dissenters from our national establishment, of every sect.

His modest expectations are justified by the confideration that the Revolution under William III. introduced a new order of things in the ecclefiastical state of this country, and a new æra in the history of the differences from our establishment. The affairs of this large body of protestants have fince that time worn a new aspect; new questions in theology have been brought into discussion: new sects have fprung up: and under the different succeeding reigns new attempts have been made to extend the bleffing of religious liberty, and establish it on a firmer basis. These events are not only such as differers must feel a concern in, but they are connected with the history of the human mind, of the fluctuations of opinions, and of the progress of religious truth and of national felicity.

The author has endeavoured to execute his defign on a comprehensive plan; which includes the History of the Diffenters, first, as blended with the political occurrences of the times and the measures of government; then as united with the subjects above-mentioned, and as connected with the origin of institutions for the diffusion of religious knowledge and of academical foundations, with a review of theological literature, and with biographical sketches.

At the advanced years to which the indulgent Providence of God hath lengthened out the life of the author, it becomes him to form purposes for future time with serious and moderate apprehensions on the continuance of life and vigour. But yet he may be allowed, with a resignation to the disposals of unerring Divine Wisdom, to signify his intentions, if this volume meet with the approbation of the candid and judicious, to proceed with all convenient speed in the execution of his plan; and to extend the next volume to the accession of his present Majesty.

In connection with the publication of such a work a wish may properly be expressed, that readers in general would take into consideration the utility and importance of ecclesiastical history, particularly that of their own country and sect, to the rising generation; whose minds are open to permanent impressions from the historic form of instruction, as it meets curiosity, displays the progress of rational enquiry,

and the triumphs of truth over ignorance and superstition, enlarges the views of men and things, informs the understanding, and affects the heart.

It would invite youth to read, if, besides the larger works which stand on the shelves of a library, there were always lying on the table in the parlour some such historical treatises, as the Abridgement of Burnet's History of the Resormation in England, and of Brandt's in the Low Countries; Chandler's History of Persecution; and a smaller piece than either of these works, entitled "A Brief and Imiter partial History of the Puritans," 12mo. printed for Longman and Co. by the author's old and highly esteemed friend, the Rev. Joseph Cornish; mentioned in the advertisement to the last volume of his edition of Neal, but which has not, it is understood, met with the attention and sale its merit and nature promised.

The youth of the present day grow up at a great distance of time from the transactions and events, under the immediate and lively impressions of which their ancestors were formed to support, with enlightened minds and consistent firmness, the character of Protestant Dissenters and Advocates of Religious Liberty. Those events seldom, in these times, become the topics even of domestic and paternal conversation. It is therefore of great importance, that books should offer to them the entertainment and information of the historic page.

To this explanation of the nature, plan, and utility of his work, the author has little to add, but his warm and very grateful acknowledgments for the strong marks of personal respect, and of confidence in his execution of it, which the lift of subscribers, numerous beyond his most funguine expectations, affords him. He felt a reluctance to the mode of publication, which, at the importunity of some particular friends, he adopted. He is gratified with the event, which has fanctioned their opinion of the countenance with which his propofals would be favoured; and with the testimonies of cordial esteem and regard which he could not prefume to flatter himself he should receive. He feels the friendship and honour shewn to him; and hopes that his expressions of respect and gratitude will be fully accredited. He is particularly fensible of the ardour and activity with which some particular friends have circulated his prospectus: among instances of this it becomes him to notice the concern for its reception felt by his respectable colleague, and the peculiar interest taken in the circulation of it, and the affiltance afforded to him, by his much-esteemed friend, the Rev. James Hews Bransby, of Dudley.

Birmingham, Bath-row, 3d June, 1814.



## THE CONTENTS.

### INTRODUCTION.

GENERAL REFLECTIONS on the ACT of TOLE-RATION, the causes from which it originated, and its operation. The state of the Dissenters, and their different Denominations. P. 1—4.

## CHAPTER I.

## THE GENERAL HISTORY.

WILLIAM's (Prince of Orange) accession to the throne. The promising aspect of the times. Rise of new animosities. Conduct of the dissenters. Bill of comprehension brought into parliament; the case of the dissenters stated by Mr. Howe; had the sanction of the king from the throne. The sate of an attempt in the House of Lords to relieve the dissenters from the obligation to receive the sacrament at church. A modification of the test-laws proposed; but rejected. A bill for uniting his Majesty's subjects brought into the House, and lost. The protest of the lords. The sate of the bill in the house of Commons. A party formed against the government, ini-

mical to the bill. A conversation on the state of times in the presence of Sir John Reresby. Act of Toleration passed: its good effects and its defects: the fatisfaction and diffatisfaction it created. A scheme of union to be laid before the Convocation proposed. A commission to ten bishops and twenty divines to prepare it, iffued out by the king. A paper of concessions drawn up by Dr. Tillotson. The commission opened on the 10th October 1689; some of the commissioners decline acting. The proceedings of the other commissioners commenced under happy auspices, and purfued with temper and diligence: the points brought under review, and the alterations to be adopted: a family prayer-book proposed to be composed and authorifed. Observations on their proceedings. Publications in favour of them by Dr. Prideaux and Bishop Burnet. The attention excited by this ecclefiaftical commission. The arguments in its favour urged by advocates for it. The replies to them. The concessions made by some: the strong objections urged by others against it: and attempted to be obviated. The unvielding opposition of many to it. A tract entitled, "Vox Cleri," expressive of their sentiments. A cry raised by the adherents to the abdicated king. Great canvaffing, particularly for the prolocutor of the lower house in the election of members of the Convocation. Meeting of the Convocation on the 21st of November 1680. The fermon preached by Dr. Beveridge. The prolocutor, Dr. fane, presented for approbation, and addresses the affembly in a pointed Latin speech. The second meeting of the Convocation: a defect in the royal commission difcovered: and the meeting prorogued. Both houses of Convocation convened, on the 4th of December, in Henry VIIth's chapel: a meffage from the king: an address from the bishops to his Majesty: disapproved and rejected by the lower house of Convocation: a conference on behalf of each house, held by Bishop Burnet and the prolocutor: an

address in the issue agreed to by the upper house of Convocation only. The Convocation adjourned, and then diffolved with the Parliament, Bishop Burnet's remarks. The evil confequences of the disagreement between the two houses of Convocation. The collision of parties. The termination of this and fix prior attempts to reform the church of England. The confequences of the miscarriage of the royal commission. Several books presented by the prolocutor, previously to the diffolution of the Convocation, as publications of dangerous consequences, viz. "Notes upon the " Creed of Athanasius," and Dr. Prideaux's " Letter to a " friend relating to the present Convocation."-The fituation of the bishops and clergy, who had refused to take the oaths to the new government. The history of that business: and biographical sketches of the bishops implicated in it; particularly of Archbishop Sancrost's Remarks. Their sees, after a year, filled up. Bishop Burnet's reflection. The case of the inferior clergy who refused the oaths. A bill requiring the oaths to be taken by a fixed day. The operation of it, when passed into an act, especially on those who continued to refuse them; as Mr. Henry Dodwell, Dr. George Hicks, and Dr. William Sherlock. The difference of principle among those who took the oaths: the subsequent conduct of those who were diffatisfied with them; the contest, to which this state of parties gave birth; and the inversion of opinion and argument on the power of the magistrate, and on the principle of passive obedience, which arose from it. The diffenting ministers called on to claim the protection of the Act of Toleration by subscription to the doctrinal articles of the church of England: Mr. Baxter's flatement of his ideas on the meaning of fome of them. The differers direct their attention to their own internal flate. The leading fects amongst them: presbyterians and independents. A coalition of these two parties proposed. The heads of agreement flated and reviewed: the pre-

liminaries fettled: the coalition commenced: a defect in the plan. Contentions in the church. Conduct of Dr. George Hicks. The indulgence shewn to the deprived clergy. Tracts, entitled, "A vindication of the deprived "Bishops:" and "Solomon and Abia har; or the case of "the deprived Bishops." An attempt to raise a schism in the church. The death of Archbishop Tillotson. Dr. Tennison nominated to the fee of Canterbury, and first act after his advancement. The death of Queen Mary. Publications on this event. A conspiracy to affassinate the king: the execution of the conspirators. The dissenters exposed to new hardships. Proceedings commenced against Dr. Oldfield, and Mr. Frankland, for keeping academical seminaries. The conduct of Sir Humphrey Edwin, in carrying the regalia of his office to a meeting-house, and the offence taken at it. The statute against blasphemy and profaneness. Its origin. provisions, prohibitions, and penalties. Reflections on it. Proceedings against the papists, and a bill of great severity brought into the house. The spirit and policy from which it proceeded. The recent repeal of it. The death of King William. Page 1 to 133.

## CHAPTER II.

Controversies agitated in the period between the REVOLUTION and the death of King WILLIAM.

### SECTION I.

The Controversy respecting the Rights, Powers, and Privileges of Convocations.

The Convocation, its nature and origin. Discontents on the suspension of its meetings. A Convocation called on February 10th, 1700. Dr. Hooper chosen prolocutor. Archbishop Tennison's attempt to prorogue it: resisted by

the lower house: the proceedings to which this refisfance gave rife. The lower house censure, as erroneous, Toland's "Christianity not Mysterious," and Bishop Burnet's "Ex-"c position of the Articles." The bishops demur on these measures, particularly with respect to Bishop Burnet's work: their final decision. The altercations between the two houses continued. The Convocation prorogued. A new Convocation fummoned. An address to the king. New disputes arise, particularly on the right of adjournment. The fession prorogued to the 14th of February, and then to the 5th of March. A complaint lodged against Bishop Burnet by the prolocutor, Dr. Woodward. The fession closed with a conciliatory speech by the archbishop. The effect of it. The Convocation dissolved by the king's death. A new question started, and a new clamour excited. The notice attracted by the disputes of the two houses of Convocation. The field of controverfy which they opened. The writers who distinguished themselves on this occasion, and the publications that appeared. Bishop Warburton's remarks on this controversy. P. 134-172.

## SECTION II.

## The Trinitarian Controversy.

The publications of Dr. Wallis, Mr. Biddle, Dr. Sherlock, and Dr. Cudworth, on the doctrine of the Trinity. The vote of the House of Commons on a tract entitled "A clear but "brief Consutation of the Doctrine of the Trinity," in 1693. Dr. South's animadversions on Dr. Sherlock's treatise. Mr. Howe's sentiments concerning the Trinity; and his tract. Dr. Sherlock's and Dr. South's sentiments respectively espoused by some and impugned by others. Mr. Bingham's sermon in savour of the former. The decree of the university of Oxford. The king's injunctions on the

occasion: a remark on it. Proceedings against Mr. John Smith for a tract entitled "The designed end to the Socinian "Controversy." Dr. Bury excommunicated. Dr. Sherlock and Dr. Sauth ridiculed in a popular ballad. P. 172—187.

#### SECTION III.

## The Controversy about Justification.

Divisions and diffentions amongst the diffenters. The cause from which they arose. Mr. Davis, of Rothwell, broaches opinions, and introduces practices, that incurred censure. A declaration published against them: the effect of it. The controversy on the doctrine of justification agitated in the time of the civil wars. The attention of Mr. afterwards Bishop Bull drawn to it. His Harmonia Apostolica, This work prohibited by Dr. Morley, bishop of Winchester; animadverted on by Dr. Barlow, Dr. Tully, and by Mr. Truman, Mr. Tombes, Mr. Gataker, Mr. Du Moulin. The controverly terminated in the established church; taken up by the differers. Mr. Baxter's "Aphorisms of Justification of and the Covenant:" answered by different writers. Dr. Crisp's scheme. The controversy about justification revived by the republication of his works in 1600: the flame spreads through feveral counties: and the ferment rifes high. Dr. Williams solicited to consute Dr. Criss's principles: his "Gospel Truths" stated and vindicated. Dr. Chauncy ani-Mr. Mather's fermon on justification, madverted on it. A rupture between the lecturers at Pinners' Hall. An appeal on this controverfy made to Dr. Stilling fleet, bishop of Worcester, and to Dr. Jonathan Edwards. Dr. Williams excluded from the lecture at Pinners' Hall: a new lecture fet up at Salters' Hall. Conciliating proposals inefficient. Dr. Williams's character traduced, but cleared up. The two denominations of presbyterians and independents become distinct communities. P. 187-214.

### CHAPTER III.

Internal History of the Protestant Dissenters.

#### SECTION I.

## Of their Academies.

The origin of them. The jealoufy they created. Haraffing processes commenced against them. The oath on
taking a degree in the universities, the ground of these processes. The occasion of that oath. The Stamford seminary. The different constructions of the academic oath.
The principles on which the ejected ministers considered
themselves as not included in the obligation of the oath.
P. 215—225.

## Particular Seminaries.

No. 1. Mr. Woodhouse's academy. His plan of instruction, and course of lectures. No. 2. Mr. Warren's method of reading lectures. No. 3. Mr. Chas. Morton's general method, his Eutaxia, or lectures on the English constitution; and his emigration to America. No. 4. Mr. Richard Frankland's talents and dispositions as a tutor, the frequent removals of his seminary, and the numbers educated in it. No. 5. Mr. Doolittle's academy. No. 6. Mr. John Shuttlewood's reputation as a tutor: inscription on his tombstone, No. 7. Mr. Sam. Cradock's lectures and liberal conduct to his pupils. P. 225—241.

Slight sketches of the history of other divines who affisted the studies of young men, viz. Mr. Edward Veal, London; Mr. Philip Henry, Shropshire; Dr. Theophilus Gale, at Newington Green; Dr. Henry Langley, near Abingdon; Mr. John Maiden, near Whitchurch, Shropshire; Mr. Obadiah Grew, Coventry; Mr. Thomas Shewell, in that city; Dr. Joshua Oidfield, Coventry and London; Mr. Henry New-

come, Manchester; Mr. James Coningham, in the same town; Mr. Ralph Button, Brentsord; Mr. Ivim. IVichens, Newington Green; Mr. Benj. Robinson, Hungersord; Mr. Henry Hickman, near Stourbridge, Worcestershire; an account of Mr. John Ball, of Honiton, Devon, one of his pupils: history of Mr. Thos. Cotton, another pupil of Mr. Hickman; his travels and character. General resection. P. 241-261.

### SECTION II.

The different Sects of Diffenters at the Revolution.

The name Puritans. The denominations of Presbyterians. Independents, Baptists, and Quakers. P. 261—263.

- §, 1. Of the Presbyterians. Their sentiments on church government: the first presbytery: the spread of their principles, and the establishment of this sect under the authority of Parliament: presbytery abolished after the Restoration: the intolerance of the presbyterian hierarchy while it existed, and the powers exercised by it: the manner of ordination as practised by it: the requisition to take the solemn league and covenant: the tenor of the testimonials given at ordinations: the rise of a new class of ministers in this period: and the controversy on the validity of presbyterian ordination, to which it gave rise. P. 263—279.
- §. 2. Of the Independents. The fundamental principle of this feet: their churches denominated congregational, in distinction from parochial: the origin of this feet, and first church: their increase during the protectorate of Cromwell: a fynod holden by them: the constitution of their churches friendly to the exercise of private judgment. P. 279—286.
  - §. 3. Of the Baptists. Their first formation into a separate society: a general assembly holden by them in 1689: a fund raised for the support of a regular minister: the questions debated at this assembly: the caution of their address to

James II. on his " Declaration of Liberty of Conscience:" a fummary of their faith and practice agreed on: the manner in which the business of the assembly was conducted. Another general affembly holden in 1691. A short confesfion of faith published: a review of some of its articles. A third general affembly convened at London: the transactions of it: a controverfy concerning vocal music in public worship: the determination of the assembly on it: an address to King William on the discovery of the affaffination plot: a charge of denving both the divinity and humanity of Christ brought against Mr. Matthew Caffin: Mr. Caffin's defence of himself, and the determination of the assembly: the affair refumed and fettled at a fubsequent assembly in the county of Northampton. Public disputations holden at different times and at different places, particularly one at Portfmouth under his Majesty's licence, between the baptists and pædobaptists. Mr. Pilkington's history and renunciation of popery: the address of the baptists on the death of James II. Different denominations of baptists, viz. particular and general: a peculiar office, that of messengers, among them. P. 286-328.

Biographical memoirs of eminent characters amongst the baptists. Mr. Wm. Kiffin. Mr. Thomas Patient. Mr. John Bunyan, his education, conversion, poverty, preaching, sufferings, and imprisonment; his enlargement, his labours, death, character, and works, particularly the Pilgrim's Progress. Mr. Isaac Lamb. Mr. Thomas Grantham. Mr. James Marham. Mr. Edward Morecock. Mr. John Miller. Mr. George Hammon, and others. P. 328—369.

§. 4. Of the Quakers. Instances in which the Act of Toleration was modelled to meet the scruples of this party of dissenters, and to afford them relief. An instance which marked a rigorous spirit towards them. Their sufferings. An Act of Parliament to admit their solemn affirmation instead of an oath passed. A clause relative to the exactment

of tithes introduced. A bill brought into the House of Lords to ensorce the better payment of small tithes and other church dues, opposed and laid aside. The address of the Society of Friends after the peace of Ryswick in 1697. The spirit of persecution breaks out, and a petition against them prepared to be presented to the House of Commons, but discountenanced. An address from the Society of Friends on the death of James II. P. 369—388.

Memoirs of some distinguished characters who appeared in this community: namely, Mr. Alexander Parker, Mr. Robert Lodge, Mr. Thomas Salthouse, Mr. Chas. Marshall, Mr. John Crook, Mr. Robert Barclay, and Mr. George Fox.

P. 388-414.

### CHAPTER IV.

## New Charitable Institutions.

Affociations for instruction and devotion. The origin of the focieties for the reformation of manners: their progress and effects: their distribution of books. The murder of Mr. Cooper and Mr. Dent. The rife of the "Society for promoting Christian Knowledge," and of "Charity Schools." The dispersion of bibles, commonprayer books, and tracts. Voltaire's method of enlightening the world, and Count Struensee's remark upon it. The history of the first charity school opened by protestant disfenters. The abuse made of the charity schools in the established churrh, from disaffection to the government: the offence taken at it. The Society for promoting Christian Knowledge extend their views to other countries, and enlarge their plan by the distribution of books in foreign languages. and by the establishment of schools and missions. Origin of the "Society for the propagation of the Gospel in New "England and the parts adjacent in America," in 1646; and the new establishments given to it by Charles II. and

King William. The objects of it. Mr. Boyle's lecture. A general view of the fermons preached at it, and published under the patronage of the trustees of the institution. An account of the Rev. Dr. Thomas Bray, and his benevolent exertions. P. 415—447.

#### CHAPTER V.

A Concife Review of Theological Publications.

A Spirit of Enquiry, its operation. Tracts published on occasion of the Revolution. Locke's "First Letter on To-" leration;" the various editions of it, its principle and effects. "A Letter to a Member of Parliament on the " liberty of the Press," and other Tracts on the same subject, by Dr. Tyndal and Mr. Charles Blount. Milton's " Areopagitica." Clifford's "Treatife on Human Reason." "An Enquiry into the Constitution, Discipline, Unity, " and Worship of the Primitive Church, within the first "three centuries." 'Mr. Clarkson's Treatises. Dr. Thomas Burnet's " Archæologiæ Philosophicæ; i.e. An Enquiry "into the Doctrine of the antient Philosophers concerning "the Original of the World," reviewed. Sir Norton Knatchbull's " Annotations upon some difficult Texts in all the books of the New Testament." Mr. Tong's " Vindication of Nonconfoamity." An Anonymous Publication of a candid and liberal Churchman, entitled "A " Plea for Abatement in matters of Conformity to feveral "Injunctions and Orders of the Church of England." " By Irenais Junior a conforming Member of the Church "of England," 4to. Writers against Revelation; Lord Herbert, of Cherbury; Mr. Thomas Hobbes; Mr. Charles Blount; "The Oracles of Reason;" the Answers to it.

Dr. William King's "Discourse concerning the Inventions of men in the Worship of God." Mr. Boyse's Mr. Remarks on it." Mr. James Owen's Plea for Scripture 16 Ordination." Mr. Lucke's "Reasonableness of Christmanity, as delivered in the Scriptures;" a Review of it; the alarm it created; Answers to it; the Author's Vindication of it. Mr. Bold's defence of it. Bishop Burnet's "Exposition of the Thirty-Nine Articles of the Church of England. The History of it; attacked by Dr. Binches and Dr. Edwards. P. 448—504.

# CHAPTER VI.

Biographical Sketches of Eminent Characters and Writers.

Dr. Obadiah Grew. Dr. John Collins. Mr. John Faldo. Mr. John Flavel. Mr. Richard Baxter. Mr. Thomas Resewell; the Profecution of him; Judge Jefferies's behaviour on the trial; the issue of it. The sentiments of the Right Hon. Charles James Fox. Mr. Rosewell's subsequent history, and death. The liberal conduct of two Clergymen. Mr. Richard Steel. Mr. Thomas Gilbert. Mr. Robert Billio. Mr. Richard Mayo. Mr. Henry Newcome. Dr. Samuel Annessy. Mr. Philip Henry. Mr. Nathaniel Vincent. Mr. Thomas Gole. Mr. Matthew Barker. Dr. William Bates. Mr. Matthew Mead. P. 505-529.

# 31-33. Dr. Alibe XIDANAPPA firsten of the big-graphy of them, E 35, the original copy of the neview

Number HI. 4 Heads of Agreement affented to by "the United Ministers in and about London, formerly " called Presbyterian and Congregational; not as a measure of for any national Constitution, but for the Preservation of "Order in our Congregations, that can not come up to the "common rule by law established. P. 549-556. Number IV. Diffenters Address on the Death of

"Queen Mary." anish spanish with the season of the

Number V. " Lifts of Students educated in the different "Seminaries, of which an account has been given," from p. 225 to p. 261.

Mr. Woodhouse's Pupils, - p. 559-567. I.

II. Mr. Warren's, 1 - - p. 567-570.

III. Mr. Charles Moreton's, p. 573-574.

IV. Mr. Frankland's - P. 575.

V. Mr. Doolittle's

miv.

## The lenti-CONTENTS OF THE NOTES. Role ell' luttleque a niliner and death. The libera?

# is of two Largerness. his Michael Steel, Trans (o'ber Mr. Richard Mayo.

The Fore, Second Dr. Ramed Anally, Mr. Phillip AGE 23 Inflance of a Profecution for non-attending diving worthip: the case of Mr. Bunger. P. 27, the name of the Commissioners for a revisal of the liturgy, the forms of divine worship and the book of cannons. P. 32-33. Dr. Aldrich and Dr. Fane, sketches of the biography of them. P. 35, the original copy of the review of the liturgy lodged with Dr. Tehnison. Pagy, Family Prayer, the cause of its disase, and the remedy provided for this decay of devotion Reso, " Vox Cleri," and the answers to it. P. 56, Bishop Warburton's remark on the answer of the English barons, "Nolumus leges Angliæ

"mutari." P. 61, Archbishop Blackburne's remark on the lower house of Convocation, and their notion of religion. P. 63, Dr. Furneaux's censure of the Convocation. P. 73, Dr. Kenn, anecdotes of. P. 75, William Penn's character vindicated from a misrepresentation of it by Bishop Burnet. P. 191, Mr. Locke's definition of a church.

#### CHAPTER II.

Section 1. The Act of fubmission in the 25th of Henry VIII. p. 161. Dr. Binckes's death.—Section 2, p. 179, Dr. Watts's devotional declamation. P. 185, Ballad, called "The Battle Royal."—Section 3. p. 196, Dr. Moulin's candour and retractation. P. 204, Mr. Orton's recommendation of Dr. Williams's "Gospel Truth stated and vindicated."

#### CHAPTER III.

Section 1. p. 219, the Oxford and Cambridge oaths. P. 230, Certificate of Mr. William Woodhouse's ordination. P. 237, Mr. Doolittle's meeting, the first built after the fire of London. P. 258, affecting instances of the dissolution of the churches of the Protestants in France.—Section 2. p. 333, the origin of Diffenters in the town of Bedford. P. 353, Mr. Grantham Killingworth's character.

#### CHAPTER IV.

Page 440, Dr. Bentley's great concern on account of his fermons at Mr. Boyle's lecture.

#### CHAPTER V.

Page 463, Mr. Clifford's "Treatise of Human Reason," commended. P. 471, Liberality of the present times. P. 484, Isaac Le Peyrere's Treatises, imprisonment, and recantation. P. 487, the Biographiæ Britannicæ, and Goadby's British Biography, corrected.

#### CHAPTER VI.

Page 514. The Hon. Mr. Charles James Fox's letter.

## A HISTORY

OF THE

# PROTESTANT DISSENTERS,

&c. &c.

From the REVOLUTION to the Death of King WILLIAM in 1702.

### INTRODUCTION.

THE ACT OF TOLERATION, with which the reign of King William and Queen Mary began, formed a new epoch in the Ecclefialtical History of Great-Britain. While we look back on the ages of superstition and spiritual tyranny, which preceded the Reformation; and survey, in later times, the contests occasioned by the new religious establishment which had its origin during the reign of Henry VIII. but was not brought to a permanent state till that of his daughter Queen Elizabeth; painful scenes of oppression on one side, and of sufferings on the other, pass before us. The successive reigns of the Stuarts had exhibited a series of penal statutes in religion and of severities, the object of which was to silence, if not to exterminate, those who protested

against the defects of the Reformation from Popery; called in question any of the doctrines retained in the Protestant Creed; or refused a compliance with the forms and ceremonial fettled by Acts of Parliament. The Diffenters from the Church of England, as it was modelled in the reign of Queen Elizabeth, who at their first origin obtained the name of PURITANS, enjoyed a short breathing from the severe assaults of persecution, during the existence of the Presbyterian form of Church Government, in the time of the Republic. They then bore the ecclefiaftical keys; but it was not a period of religious freedom and toleration. Authority in religion was usurped and exercifed in their provincial affemblies; and the commonwealth paffed an ordinance for punishing blasphemies and heresies, than which no decree of any Council, no bull of any Pope, could be more dogmatical or authoritative; few, if any, have been more fanguinary. The livings of the episcopal clergy were fequestered, and a fevere ordinance was enacted against those who had been thus deprived. During the periods preceding the accession of the Prince of Orange to the throne of England, in which one perfecuting measure had followed another; tracts had been written, both by the Independents and the Quakers, (fects newly rifen up,) in the cause of religious liberty, and in defence of the rights of conscience; but the arguments in these publications, coming from the pens of the oppressed, not of those in power, neither produced a conviction, nor difseminated just ideas on the subject, so widely as to

have any general effect. The alarm and danger which the Church of England felt during the reign of King James II., arifing in a great measure from his precipitate and violent attempts to introduce Popery, contributed much to prepare the way for the Act of Toleration; by disposing the Members and the Clergy of the Establishment to make a common cause with the Diffenters, as against a common enemy. "The Prince of Orange, in his own opinion, always 66 thought, that conscience was God's province; and that it ought not to be imposed on: and his experience in Holland made him look on tolera-"tion as one of the wifest measures of govern-" ment." The necessities of the times demanded it: as a bond of union, as a termination of calamitous scenes, as a security to the new civil establishment, it became necessary. It reflected a glory on the æra to which it gave a date; and in the following hiftory it will appear, that the most favourable consequences, an enlargement of toleration by new Acts of Legislature, freedom of enquiry, and the progress of knowledge, followed from it. After many years of religious contention, it was a general act of pacification. It put an end to the restraints, fines, imprisonments, and cruelties, which had haraffed the conscientious. Though it was partial and limited, and left much to be done, yet it was a most valuable advantage gained to the interests of truth; and it gave to a numerous body of citizens a weight in the

<sup>\*</sup> Burnet's "History of his own Times," vol. iv. p. 16. 12mo. Edinburgh.

political scale, which, though it was their birth-right, and highly beneficial to the community, the illiberality and injustice of former times and former reigns had denied them. Notwithstanding the oppressions fuffered during those periods, the Dissenters had increafed in numbers; and now confilted of feveral parties, who had not the fame views on all points of religious enquiry and practice. They ranked under the different denominations of Independents. Presbyterians, Baptists, and Quakers. With respect to the state, indeed, they generally formed but one body: each of these denominations, nevertheless, claims a particular and specific notice, and has its own history; they all participate in the national events and measures. The spirit of enquiry, to which the Act of Toleration gave existence, embraced various fubjects that had not before fallen under investigation; and diffused its influence through the members of the Establishment itself. The human mind began vigorously to exert its native powers, and to range with delight through the wide field of religious knowledge. The facts which the following pages will detail, will illustrate and confirm this general flarement.

#### CHAPTER I.

#### THE GENERAL HISTORY.

'HE accession of William Prince of Orange to the English throne diffused joy through these nations, and all the neighbouring states and kingdoms. It relieved the fears of those who were ready to despair, as to the existence of the Protestant Religion and the liberties of Europe: and great hope were entertained that the religious diffentions was which Great-Britain had been for many years tracted, would subside into harmony and scann The Prince, in his declaration, published at the line. of his expedition into England, promife and the efforts to promote a good agreement language and Church of England and all Protestar A. Hallow and to protect and fecure all those who we all peaceably under the government, from every persecution upon the account of their religio. Diffenters, in their addresses to the throne,\* expressed an ardent hope that their Majesties would, by their wisdom and authority, establish a firm union among their Protestant subjects in matters of religion; and compose the differences between them, by making the rule of Christianity the rule of conformity. Though the government was invested in two royal personages, the Queen's dispositions and principles gave no reason to apprehend divided counsels. Previously to the Revolution, the bishops and clergy, with great unanimity, had acknowledged the necessity of widening the ecclesiastical foundation, and of forming a closer correspondence with the foreign Protestants. The behaviour of the Protestant Diffenters at that critical juncture, it was confessed, was so wise and generous, that it justly entitled them to the friendship of the Church; which, unless it were " resolved to set all the world against it," as Bishop Burnet expresses himself, could not decline all the returns of eafe and favour which were in its power + It also augured well, that the Act of Toleration, exempting Diffenters from all penalties for not going to church, and for holding separate meetings, on condition of taking out warrants for the houses in which they affembled, and including an obligation on the justices to grant such warrants, passed easily. It was proposed by some, that this

<sup>\*</sup> See my edition of Neal's "History of the Puritans," b. v. p. 78, 79, 80.

<sup>†</sup> Dr. Calamy's Abridgement of Baxter's Life, vol. ii. p. 427

Act should be in force only for a limited time, as a temporary indulgence, that it might be an inducement with the Dissenters to demean themselves in a manner which would merit the renewal of it, when the stipulated term of years should expire; but this amendment was rejected, from observing the general disposition in favour of the Act, and from an apprehension that no future time might prove so favourable to its being enacted. The party of Christians called Socinians were excepted from the benefit of this Act: but it afforded protection to the Quakers; and indulged them with a permission to give security for their allegiance to government by a solemn declaration, in lieu of the oaths required from other dissenters.

In these respects the aspect of the times was promising; but darkness and clouds, portending suture storms, soon gathered. New animosities arose; different sensations, both as to political and ecclesiastical matters, agitated the public mind. Some, since King James, to whom they had sworn allegiance, was still alive, scrupled taking the oaths to the new government. While many, from a wise and liberal design of strengthening the foundations of the new state of things, proposed alterations and amendments in the constitution, worship, and discipline of the Church: others strenuously opposed any change. These controversies were managed with great warmth and eagerness. The Dissenters, hoping that they should, in the iffue, be no losers by such debates,

flood by, making their remarks. They were much encouraged in this hope, by observing, that in the recent disputes with the Papists, the Divines of the Established Church had been obliged to appeal to more correct and sound principles of Protestantism than they had before entertained; and had been led into more liberal views and more consistent sentiments.

When the fuccession to the throne, which James Hd's abdication had left vacant, was determined and fettled, the spirit of animosity soon broke out again; and subsequent proceedings cut off all their hopes of a more perfect union with the Diffenters than was effected by the Act of Toleration. In 1689, Bills for a comprehension and an indulgence were brought into Parliament; both were canvassed; and many and warm debates took place in the Houses of Lords and Commons. Some, of confined and illiberal views, forgot their former declarations and promifes, and argued for keeping the Diffenters under a bond: others expressed more generous dispositions, and were advocates for measures which would meet their scruples and conciliate their minds. But Burnet fays, "that those who moved for the 66 Bill of Comprehension, and afterwards brought it "into the House, acted a very disingenuous part; "for while they studied to recommend themselves 66 by this shew of moderation, they urged their "friends to oppose it; and those who gave it their " cordial support, were represented as enemies to "the Church, and as actuated by a fecret intention to subvert it."

At this juncture, Mr. Howe, who had been ejected by the Act of Uniformity, from the rectory of Great Torrington in Devonshire, published a sheet of paper, in which he fairly and candidly stated the case of the Dissenters, and with great ability and judgment pleaded their rights. tract proceeded on this undoubted principle, that the Diffenters were under one common obligation, with the rest of mankind, by the universal law of nature. to worship God in public affemblies. His reasoning is then directed and applied to existing facts: namely, that things were annexed to the public worship of reformed Christianity in the Church of England, which, it was acknowledged, were neither necessary in themselves, nor parts of Christianity. As the Diffenters judged them to be in some part finful, they could not with a good conscience conform to the public worship which the law enjoined; and yet the law strictly forbad their affembling to worship God otherwise. This was as if the Legislature should fay, " If you will not consent with us in our supperadded rites and modes against your confciences, you shall not worship GoD; or if you "will not accept our additions to the Christian " religion, you shall not be Christians;" and manifestly tended to reduce a great part of a Christian nation to Paganism. On these premises, as the

<sup>\*</sup> Burnet's "History of his own Times," vol. iv. p. 15. Edinbedit. in 12mo.

Diffenters had been accustomed for many years, unless when restrained by violence, to hold distinct affemblies, and to worship God in a way which their consciences approved; Mr. Howe enquires, whether they are to be blamed for this conduct? Whether laws enjoining additions to our religion, acknowledged by all not to be effential and necessary, and deemed by many finful, and thus becoming exclusive terms of communion, ought to have been paffed? Whether fuch laws should be continued? especially when they were enacted by a Parliament, which, there was much reason to believe, suffered itself to be dealt with to enslave the nation, in several respects; and which, to his honour, the noble Earl of Danby procured to be diffolved, as the first step towards the deliverance of the nation? And whether it were reasonable to exclude all that conform not in every thing to the Church of England, from any part or share of the civil power? The author discussed these questions with much pertinence of illustration, and force of argument. Amongst other observations, he says, "It ought to be con-" fidered that Christianity, wherein it superadds to " the law of nature, is all matter of revelation; and " it is well known, that even among Pagans, in fettling " rites and institutes of religion, revelation was pre-" tended at least; upon an implied principle, that in " fuch matters human power could not oblige the " people's confciences. This appears by the conduct " of Numa, who affected to derive his pacific code of " religious laws from the nymph Egeria. And the

" priests, to whom the regulation of such matters was left, were believed to be inspired."

This fmall tract has been twice delivered to the public by Dr. Calamy; but as it cannot fail to gratify, we shall insert it in the Appendix.

The fentiments which Mr. Howe advanced and defended from the press, had the fanction of the King from the throne. In one of his speeches to the two Houses, March 18, 1689, he expressed his hope, "that they would leave room for the admission of all Protestants who were willing and able to ferve "him." This he recommended as "a measure, which would more closely unite them together, and " ftrengthen them against the common adversary." Pursuant hereto, when the Act for abrogating the oaths of allegiance and fupremacy, and appointing other oaths, was read a second time in the House of Lords, a clause was proposed, to take away the necessity of receiving the Sacrament at Church to make a man capable of holding any office. This clause was afterwards reported to the House, but it was rejected by a great majority. The Lords Delamere, Stamford, North, Grey, Chesterfield, Wharton, Lovelace, and Vaughan, entered their protest against the decision of the House; a protest grounded on these principles: "That an hearty union " amongst Protestants is a greater security to the " Church and State, than any test that could be in-" vented: that this obligation to receive the Sacrament,

No. I. See also Calamy's Abridgement of Baxter's Life, vol. ii. p. 429-439; and Life of Mr. Howe, p. 146-262.

"is a test on Protestants rather than on Papists: that
follong as it is continued, there could not be that
hearty and thorough union among Protestants
which has always been wished, and is at this time
indispensably necessary; and lastly, that a greater
caution ought not to be required from such as
were admitted into offices, than from the Members
of the two Houses of Parliament, who were not
bliged to receive the Sacrament to enable them
to sit in either House."

This clause being lost; another modification of the Test Laws was proposed, by a clause of proviso, that any man should be sufficiently qualified for any office, employment, or place of trust, who, within a year before or after his admission or entrance thereinto, did receive the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper, either according to the usage of the Church of England, or in any other Protestant congregation, and could produce a certificate under the hands of the Minister and two other credible persons, members of such a Protestant congregation. was a recommendation of this proviso, that while it consulted the scruples of the moderate diffenter, it was an effectual barrier against the conscientious Papist's intrusion into office; and left the mode and circumstances of receiving the Sacrament, as an instance of obedience to the divine institution, and a deliberate act of pure devotion, to the judgment and consciences of individuals. But the mild

<sup>\*</sup> A complete Collection of Protests, p. 62, 63.—Birch's Life of Tillotson, p. 170, 171,

counsels of wisdom and equity are not heard amidst the agitations of party. On the question being put, this clause was likewise rejected by a great majority. Six Lords distinguished themselves by entering their diffent: namely, Oxford, Lovelace, Wharton, Mordaunt, Montague, and Paget. Their protest was placed on these grounds: "That the rejection " of the clause gave a great part of the Protestant " freemen reason to complain of hard usage; and "it deprived the king and kingdom, for a mere 66 fcruple of conscience, which implicated no cause of " fuspicion or disaffection to the government, of the " fervices of divers fit and able men: That when the "King had, as the common and indulgent father of "his people, expressed an earnest defire of liberty " for tender consciences to his Protestant subjects. "and divers bishops had professed the same and "owned its reasonableness, there was reason to " apprehend it would, by confining fecular employ-" ments to ecclefiaftical conformity, raise suspicions " in men's minds of fomething different from the cafe of religion and the public, or a defign to heal the " national breaches: That, to fet a mark of humi-" liation and distinction on any fort of men, who had " not rendered themselves justly suspected to the "government, ought always to be avoided by the " makers of just and equitable laws; but would " have a particular ill effect on the reformed interest "at home and abroad, at a juncture that stood in " need of the united hands and hearts of all Pro-" testants: That it turned the edge of a law, intended

against Papists, upon Protestants and the friends " of government; and made taking the Sacrament " the means of weakening the interest of Protestants, " by casting off a part of them: That as the mysteries " of religion and divine worship are of divine " original, and of a nature wholly distinct from the " fecular affairs of public fociety, they cannot be "applied to those ends; and, by the law of the "Gospel, and on the principles of prudence, offence "ought not to be given to tender consciences, within or without the Church, by mixing facred " mysteries with secular interests; and, that to punish "any one without a crime is inconfistent with the " law of God, with common equity, and the right " of every free-born subject. If not to take the "Sacrament according to the usage of the Church of England be a crime, every one ought to be " punished for it; this no one affirms: If it be no " crime, those who are capable and judged fit for " employment by the King, ought not to be punished "with a law of exclusion, for not doing that which "it is no crime to forbear: And that taking the Sa-" crament in any Protestant congregation would be " as effectual a test to discover and keep out Papists." \*\*

These Bills, which had a conciliatory tendency, having failed; the object which had been proposed by them was brought forward under another form, in a Bill "for uniting their Majesties' Protestant "subjects." In the Committee this Bill underwent several amendments. Bishop Burnet moved a

<sup>\*</sup> Collection of Protests, p. 64, 65.

provifo, that the subscription it requires to the Articles should only be, instead of affent and consent, to fubmit, with a promise of conformity. There was also a proviso for dispensing with kneeling at the Sacrament, and being baptized with the fign of the Cross, to such as, after conference upon those heads, should solemnly protest they were not fatisfied as to the lawfulness of them. Another proviso was offered, that in imitation of the Acts passed in the reigns of Henry VIII. and Edward VI., a number of persons, both of the clergy and laity, should be empowered to prepare fuch a reformation of things, relating to the Church, as might be offered to the King and Parliament, in order to heal the existing divisions, and to correct the errors and defects of the constitution. The first proviso was, obviously, little calculated to yield fatisfaction to a fcrupulous mind; for integrity would revolt at a promife of conforming to points, to which the judgment could not conscientionsly and sincerely give its affent. And the bishop incurred, by moving it, the severe cenfure of the clergy; as he did also, by the zealous support which he gave to the clause for dispensing with the posture of kneeling at the Sacrament. The fecond proviso occasioned a warm debate; for the chief exception of the Diffenters being directed against the posture, it was thought that to give up this, was to open a way for them to come into employments: yet it was carried in the House of Lords. The third proviso, that some laymen should be included in the commission, was pressed with great

earnestness by many of the temporal lords. Bishop Burnet was against it for fear of offending the clergy; under an apprehension, that they would look upon it as taking the matter out of their hands, when he thought they were disposed to come into the design with zeal and unanimity. But he was soon afterwards convinced that he had taken a wrong measure, and that the method proposed by the temporal lords was the only one that was likely to prove effectual. Upon the question being put, the votes, with the proxies, were equal; and so it was, according to the ancient rule in like cases," "femper præsumiter pro negante," the decision was considered as a negative.

Leave was given to any lords to enter diffents: accordingly, the Marquis of Winchester, Lords Mordaunt and Lovelace, protested, on the following reasons: "That the act being designed for the peace " of the state, exclusively to put the clergy into the " commission, as if they alone were friends to it, laid " an humiliation on the laity, as less able or less con-" cerned to provide for it: That there could be no "reason why commissioners for altering things of a " civil constitution only, which derived their establish-" ment from the King, Lords, and Commons, should confift of men of one order only; but on the "fupposition, that human reason was to be quitted in "this affair, and the inspiration of spiritual men alone "to be depended upon: That though, upon Romish " principles, the clergy alone may have a right to " meddle in matters of religion; yet this did not hold

" where the Church was acknowledged to confift of 66 laity as well as clergy; fo those matters of religion "which fall under human determination, being proec perly the business of the Church, belong to both, as neither clergy or laity can make any alteration in "divine institutions: That to plead, that the mixing of laymen with ecclefiaftics would frustrate the defign "of the commission, by the rise of differences and 66 delays, was vain and out of doors; unless on the " supposition that the clergy and laity have distinct "interests; a reason, if good, why one or other should " quit the house, for fear of obstructing the business " of it: That the fatisfaction of Diffenters being in-"tended by the commission, it was convenient, more " effectually to find expedients for that end, to mix in "it laymen of different ranks and of different opinions 66 too, than confine it only to clergymen, who are " observed to have generally much the same way of " reasoning and thinking: That the most ready way to facilitate the passing of any alterations into a law, was to join in the commission lay lords and com-" mons, who might be able to fatisfy both houses of "the reasons upon which they were made; and " thereby remove all fears and jealousies which evil "men might raiseagainst the clergy, of endeavouring, " without grounds, to keep up a distinct interest from "that of the laity, whom they fo carefully exclude " from joining with them in confultations of common concernment, as to debar them from any part in the "deliberation, who have the greatest in the determi-" nation: That fuch a restricted commission was open of to this great objection, that it might be made use of to evade repeated promifes and the general expectation of a compliance with tender con-"fciences; when providing for it was taken out of the ordinary course of Parliament, and put 66 into the hands of those alone who were latest in " admitting any need of it. and who might be "thought, fince they were looked upon by fome as "parties, to be the more unfit to be the fole com-"pofers of differences: And lastly, that it carried with it a dangerous supposition, as if the laity were not 46 a part of the Church, nor had any power to meddle "in matters of religion; a supposition directly " opposite to the constitution both of church and " ftate; a supposition, which would make all alterations utterly impossible, fince what is established " by law cannot be taken away or changed but " by confent of laymen in Parliament, the clergy themselves having no authority to meddle in this " very cafe, in which the laity are excluded by this ovote, but what they derive from lay hands.

To these reasons the Earl of Stamford added, "That as well on other grounds he dissented on this "reason; because it was contrary to the three "statutes made in the reign of Henry VIII. and one in Edward VI. which empowered thirty-two commissioners to alter the canon and ecclesiastical law, &c. whereof sixteen to be of the laity, and sixteen of the clergy."

This protest of the Lords has, with great propriety, been characterized by a very sensible writer, as " an

" admirable protest." But, asufual, the matter was decided more by the prejudices of party, than by the principles of reason and equity; and the protest of the lords had no other effect, than to express their own liberal and just fentiments, and to convey down to posterity a vindication of their own conduct. The bill was fent down to the House of Commons, and it was allowed to lie on the table. But instead of proceeding in it, they refolved on an address to the King, requesting him to summon a convocation of the clergy to attend, according to custom, on the fession of Parliament. The Lords joined in this address, which was presented to his Majesty on the 20th of April. He returned no answer till the next day, when he declared that the Church of England should always be his peculiar care. He expressed his hope, that the ease designed for Disfenters, which the address professed it was their intention to take into confideration, would very much contribute to the establishment of the Church; he earnestly recommended it to them to remove the occasions of differences and animolities; and promised, as soon as it would be convenient, to summon a convocation.

The party that was now beginning to be formed against the government, says Bishop Burner, pretended great zeal for the Church, and declared their apprehensions that it was in danger. These men, as they were unfriendly and reluctant even to the toleration, so they were much offended

<sup>\*</sup> Belfham's Hiftory of William III. vol. i. p. 128.

with the Bill of Union, as containing matters relative to the Church, on which the representative body of the clergy had not even been convened. Even they who affected to be most favourable to the Diffenters did not give their support to the bill. Their maxim was, that it was necessary to keep up a strong faction in church and state. Any meafures which would render fo great a body as the Presbyterians easy, and conciliate their minds to the Church, were not, in their opinion, confiftent The toleration, they conwith this maxim. ceived, would be best maintained, in proportion as the numbers who needed it, and were interested in the preservation of it, were great. On these principles the Bill of Union, being at once zealously opposed, and feebly supported, proved abortive."\*

The temper and fituation of the nation, while this affair was agitated, appears from a conversation which took place in the presence of Sir John Reresby, governor of York, and afterwards a non-juror. A few days after their Majesties' coronation, Dr. Burnet, bishop of Salisbury, complained to the Marquis of Halisax, lord privy seal, of the slow proceedings of the Commons, saying the Dutch would clap up a peace with France, if they did not mend their

<sup>\*</sup> Burnet's History of his own Times, vol. iv. p. 15, 16. It would interrupt the narrative by a long digression, were the reasons urged in favour of the Bill of Union, and against it, to be drawn out into a full statement; but it may give the reader satisfaction to see how the matter was argued, and affist him in judging of the principles on which the Bill was lost, if we lay before him, rom the state papers, an abstract of those reasons. See Appendix II.

pace. He observed, that the Church of England was in the tault, and expressed himself as if he thought they meant a kindness to King James by their method of procedure. The Lord Privy Seal agreed with him in his fentiments; and added, the Church people hated the Dutch, and would rather turn Papists than receive the Presbyterians among them: but that, on the other hand, these were to the full as rank and inveterate as those, and would marr all their business by their inadvertence with regard to their Bill of Comprehension, and their illtiming of other bills; in short, that they would difgust those from whom they looked for indulgence. Both his lordship and the bishop expressed their anger at the address which the Commons presented to the King on the day before, the 19th of April, reminding him of his promifes to the Church of England, defiring him to support and defend it, and moving for a convocation. This, the bishop faid, would be the utter ruin of the comprehension scheme.\*

In this state of parties, and under this agitation of the public mind, it will appear an important point gained, that in May the Toleration Act, which we have already mentioned, passed with little opposition. When we reslect on the inefficiency of the more enlarged views and the liberal wishes expressed by the King; on the unsuccessful issue of other conciliating measures, which were proposed; and on the implacable hatred to the non-conformists shewn at that time by the clergy, who discovered a disposition to

<sup>\*</sup> Sir John Reresby's Memoirs, p. 343, 344.

renew old feverities; it may feem a matter of furprize that this Act was carried; an Act which gave
a legal fanction to the religious affemblies of Protestant Diffenters, and put them under protection.
"Wise and good men," says Bishop Burnet, "did
"very much applaud the quieting the nation by the
toleration. It seemed to be suitable, both to the
spirit of the Christian religion, and to the interest
of the nation. It was thought very unreasonable,
that while we were complaining of the cruelty of
the Church of Rome, we should fall into such
practices among ourselves; chiefly, while we were
engaging in a war, in the progress of which we
should need the united strength of the whole
nation."\*

This Act was a great acquisition. In language of high authority, that of Lord Manssield, "It rendered that which was illegal before, now legal; the differting way of worship is permitted and allowed by that Act; it is not only exempted from punishment, but rendered innocent and lawstrong ful; it is established; it is put under the protection, and is not merely at the connivance, of the law."
From that time the human mind began to feel its powers, and to expand itself with freedom and vigour. Here was laid the basis of that religious liberty, which has been the felicity and honour of succeeding times; and it opened a way for further improvements and suture enlargements in the spirit and exemptions of the Act itself. It is gratifying to

<sup>. \*</sup> Hiftory of his own Times, p. 16.

reflect that this Act has, we may hope not without effect, held up an instructive model to the rest of Europe; and the principles of it, that is, just and enlarged sentiments on the rights of conscience, have been diffusing themselves over the continent, and have been transplanted into America.

But after every encomium to which it has a just claim has been bestowed upon it, this first charter of religious freedom was confused and partial. It by no means repealed all the penal statutes on the subject of religion. It left the laws against the Papists in full force.\* It did not abrogate the statutes of Elizabeth and James I. that enact the inslicting of certain penalties on such as absent themselves from divine worship in the Established Church.† It still left herefy subject to cognizance in the ecclesiastical court; and a clergyman convicted of it to depriva-

<sup>\*</sup> For a furnmary of these laws, as they exist at present, see Blackstone's Commentaries, vol. iv. ch. 4. p. 55. Edition by Williams, 1791.

<sup>† &</sup>quot;About the year 1788, a poor man, a diffenter, in Cornwall, "was libelled in the Spiritual Court for not attending divine worship at his parish church on the Lord's-day. He had not taken the oaths required by the Act of Toleration, but it being a sufficient defence to take them at any time during the prosecution, he applied to the Magistrates of the county at their Quarter-Sessions, who illegally refused to administer them. The consequence was, that he was excommunicated. Upon a representation from the Committee of Diffenters in London, for guarding the civil rights of the Diffenters, the Chairman of the Sessions acknowledged the error of the Justices, and the man took the oaths at the ensuing Sessions; but it was then too late." High Church Politics, p. 69. I remember about 1762, Mr. Banger, a grocer in extensive business, and a respectable character, at Seaton, a village in the south of Devon, having a

tion, degradation, and excommunication; and a layman to the latter with all its train of feverities. Its operation and benefits are limited to Protestant Diffenters only; and did not embrace all of them, for Unitarian Christians are expressly excepted. As to those whom it does comprehend, its influence is confined. It has its exclusive clauses, not only requiring from all who would plead the benefits of it the oaths to government, but exacting of their teachers subscription to the thirty-nine articles, with an express exception, indeed, of those relating to the government and powers of the Church, and to infant baptism; but it did not superfede the Corporation and Test Acts; and, at this time, after repeated applications to Parliament renewed in different periods, the Diffenters still lie under the obloquy, still feel all the disabilities, attached to those Acts. The Toleration Act did not exonerate the Diffenters from the obligation imposed on them to contribute to the maintenance of the public religious establishment, though they do not attend on its ministrations. The Toleration Act did not give any fanction or permiffion to the folemnization of marriage in their own affemblies and by their own ministers. The Jews, indeed, have enjoyed that privilege, and the Quakers affumed it to themselves. There was great truth and

quarrel with the vicar, which led him to abfent himself from the worship of the parish church, was threatened by him with the execution of the laws above mentioned; and to screen himself from proceedings on them, he joined a neighbouring congregation of Differers at Colyton, of which I was the Minister; and where he had before frequently attended.

propriety in the manner in which Mr. Locke reprefented the nature of this Act, in his letter to Limborch; "You have, I doubt not, heard before this, "that toleration is at last established here by law. "Not indeed with that latitude with which you and "other Christians like you, free from ambition and "prejudice, and lovers of truth, might wish. But it is a great point to proceed so far. In these beginnings are laid, I hope, those foundations of liberty and peace, in which the Church of "Christ will be finally established."

The King felt great satisfaction in passing this bill. It coincided with the fixed principle of his mind,—that conscience was the province of God, subject to his jurisdiction alone; and not to be restrained by human impositions. The Differences were satisfied and thankful; but it was the subject of regret with many of the clergy: Dr. Robert South, his biographer owns, by no means liked it.\*

Though the Bill for a comprehension had failed, yet the Diffenters were encouraged in a hope that the great object would yet be obtained by other measures which were adopted to bring it about. The design was not relinquished by the King; but renewed and pursued under another form. Dr. Tillotson, while the matter was depending before the House, reminded the King that the Reformation, because it rested on Parliamentary authority, was a subject of jest with the Catholics. That no new occasion might be given to a charge of this nature, he

<sup>\*</sup> Calamy's Life of Mr. Howe, p. 163.

advised referring the matter to an ecclesiastical synod, as a mode of proceeding more agreeable to the clergy, and calculated to command the religious respect of the people. He judged it would be best, for the sake of expedition, and for bringing the affair to a point, that the King, as had formerly been the practice, by his letters patent should authorise a select number of learned divines to meet together, and consult on the most proper methods of healing the wounds of the Church; and renewing harmony between it and the Dissenters, on permanent grounds. The scheme of union prepared by them was to be laid before the Convocation; and if approved, it was to receive the sanction of Parliament.

The King acceded to this proposal; and on 13th of September, 1689, invested ten bishops and twenty divines with an authority to prepare matters to be laid before the Convocation, under the direction of the following commission: "Whereas the particular forms of divine worship, and the rites and " ceremonies appointed to be used therein, being "things in their own nature indifferent and alter-66 ble, and so acknowledged; it is but reasonable that upon weighty and important confiderations, according to the various exigencies of time and occasions, such changes and alterations should be 66 made therein, as to those that are in place and authority should from time to time seem either " necessary or expedient; And whereas the book of canons is fit to be reviewed, and made more 46 suitable to the state of the Church: And whereas

so there are defects and abuses in the ecclesia fical "courts and jurifdictions; and particularly there 66 is not sufficient provision made for the removing " of fcandalous ministers, and for the reforming of manners either in ministers or people: And " whereas it is most fit, that there should be a strict ee method prescribed for the examination of such 66 persons as desire to be admitted into holy orders, both as to their learning and manners: We 66 therefore, out of our pious and princely care " for the good order and edification and unity 66 of the Church of England, committed to our charge and care, and for the reconciling, as much es as is possible, of all differences among our good " fubjects, and to take away all occasions of like 66 for the future, have thought fit to authorize and "empower you, &c. and any nine of you, whereof

\* The ten Bishops, who were empowered to act under this commission, were Dr. Thomas Lamplugh, York; Dr. Henry Compton, London; Dr. William Lloyd, St. Asaph; Dr. Thomas Sprat, Rochester; Dr. Thomas Smith, Carlisle; Dr. Jonathan Trelawney, Exeter; Dr. Gilbert Burnet, Salisbury; Dr. Humphrey Humphreys, Bangor; Dr. Peter Mew, Winchester; Dr. Nicholas Stratford, Chester.

The twenty Divines.—Dr. Edward Stillingfleet, dean of St. Paul's, foon after bishop of Worcester; Dr. Simon Patrick, dean of Peterborough, and soon after bishop of Chichester; Dr. John Tillotson, dean of Canterbury, and soon after of St. Paul's; Dr. Richard Meggot, dean of Winchester; Dr. John Sharp, dean of Norwich; Dr. John Montagu, master of Trinity College, Cambridge; Dr. John Goodman, archdeacon of Middlesex; Dr. Wm. Beveridge, archdeacon of Colchester; Dr. John Batteley, archdeacon of Canterbury; Dr. Charles Alston, archdeacon of Essex; Dr. Richard Kidder, soon after made dean of Peterborough; Dr. Henry Aldrich, dean of Christ-Church, Oxford; Dr. Wm. Jane,

three to be bishops, to meet from time to time, " as often as shall be needful, and to prepare such alterations of the liturgy and canons, and fuch of proposals for the reformation of eccleliastical courts, and to consider such other matters, as in ec your judgment may most conduce to the ends " above-mentioned." Great care was taken in the choice of these commissioners, Bishop Burnet says, to name them fo impartially, that no exception could lie against any of them. Many of them were men who held high stations in the Church, and by their learning and virtues were distinguished ornaments of it; assome of them then filled episcopalsees, so others of them rose afterwards to the same eminence. A respectable number of them, it may be concluded from the spirit of their writings and their general characters, were not indifferent to the attainment of the pacific object of their commission. They had before them all the books and papers that had at any time been offered by the diffenters, exhibiting their demands; for, before the civil wars, the Puritans had stated their objections to the service of the established Church. The Nonconformists, after the Restoration, had represented their grounds of disapprobation. And they were furnished with the

regius professor of divinity in the University of Oxford; Dr. John Hall, Margaret professor of divinity in the same University; Dr. Joseph Beaumont, regius professor in the University of Cambridge; Dr. Thomas Tenison, archdeacon of London; Dr. John Scott, prebendary of St. Paul's; Dr. Edward Fowler, prebendary of Glocester; Dr. Robert Grove, prebendary of St. Paul's; and Dr. John Williams, prebendary of St. Paul's.

advices and propositions, which the most learned divines of the Church had at different times fuggested, and of which Dr. Stillingsleet had made a great collection. The commissioners only refumed and gave new force to a defign, which at the latter end of the preceding reign had been concerted by Dr. Sancroft, archbishop of Canterbury, and which he formed partly out of tenderness to the Diffenters, and partly that the Church might not be unprovided with fuitable and expedient plans of conduct at the Revolution, which his fagacity anticipated, as it had been at the Restoration. The commission under which they were to act, was not only a prudential but a necessary legal precaution; as the clergy would have subjected themselves to the penalties of a præmunire, by attempting to frame new canons, without the King's leave first obtained.\*

It had a favourable aspect on the design of the commission, that Dr. Tillotson, one of the commissioners, drew up a paper entitled "Concessions, which will probably be made by the Church of England for the union of Protestants;" which on September 13, 1689, he sent by Dr. Stillingsleet, another commissioner of great weight of character, to the Earl of Portland. The plan of accommodation proposed in it was to leave indifferent the ceremonies enjoined, or recommended in the liturgy or canons; to revise the liturgy carefully, leaving out the apocryphal lessons, correcting the translation of

<sup>\*</sup> Belsham's History of Great-Britain from the Revolution, vol. i. p. 130; and Burnet, vol. iv. p. 44.

the Pfalms where it was necessary, and making fuch alterations as would fupply defects, or remove every ground of exception: to substitute, in the room of all former declarations and subscriptions, one general declaration or promise, viz. to submit to the doctrine, discipline, and worship of the Church of England, as it thall be established by law, and to teach and practife accordingly, as the condition of being admitted to exercise the office of the sacred ministry in it. To frame, with a view to the more effectual reformation of manners in ministers and people, a new body of ecclefiastical canons; to take out of the hands of lay-officers, and to invest in the bishops, the power of excommunication, confining its exercise to great and important occasions; and to introduce an effectual regulation of the ecclefiastical courts: not to require, as a term of preferment in the Church of England, those who had been ordained in any foreign reformed Church, to be re-ordained: to limit, in future, the capacity of holding any ecclefiaftical benefice or preferment in the Church of England, to those who are ordained by bishops, with a provision that those who had been ordained by presbyters only should not be compelled to renounce their former ordination. And with another provision to meet the scruples of those who, when episcopal ordination might be had and was required by law, doubted of the validity of ordination merely by presbyters; the Doctor proposed, that it should be fufficient for fuch persons to receive ordination from a bishop in this or the like form, " if thou art not

"already ordained, I ordain thee, &c." As in case a doubt should arise as to any one's baptism, it is appointed by the liturgy, that he be baptized in this form, "It thou art not baptized, I baptize thee."\*

The ecclefiaftical commissioners opened their commission in the Jerusalem Chamber on the 10th of October 1689. Some who were named in it either did not appear: or foon deserted their brethren, among these were Dr. Mew, bishop of Winchester, and Dr. Spratt, bishop of Rochester, both of whom had, for some time, acted under the very illegal and tyrannical ecclesiastical commission set up by James II. in 1686; illegal, as contrary to the statute by which the high commission had been put down in 1641; and tyrannical, as it invested the commissioners with a power to proceed in all ecclefiaftical matters in a fummary and arbitrary way. These prelates, who had acted under a commission contrary to law. and had fanctioned a stretch of prerogative which was defigned to oppress even the clergy, on the present occasion, when the object was to unite difcordant parties and to establish Protestantism on the firm basis of union, felt peculiar scruples. These prelates, as a judicious historian fays with a keen but a too just severity of one of them, Dr. Sprat, " proved themselves to belong to that odious and " pharifaical fraternity, who strain at a gnat and " fwallow a camel." They pleaded, that by an A& of Parliament in the reign of King Henry VIII. the clergy were laid under an obligation not to enter

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Birch's Life of Archbishop Tillotson, p. 182-184.

into any debates about making alterations in Church affairs, without the King's special and immediate knowledge and direction first given concerning such alterations. It was replied, that of the three methods of doing this, either by an act of the King's own judgment, or by a private cabal, or by a commission to a certain number of ecclefiaftics to confult and prepare matters, that which was liable to the least exception was adopted. It was further urged, that the commissioners possessed no legislative power in this business, but were to report and submit their proceedings and refolutions to the Convocation: that they were not to be referred to the privycouncil, to avoid the suspicion and imputation of their being moulded by the judgment, and carried by the influence, of laymen. But fuch arguments had no weight with those who were averse from any alterations, and who thought too much had been' conceded by the Act of Toleration to Diffenters, whom they looked upon as a peevish and obstinate party, and who, they affected to think, would be made infolent by concessions. With the prelates whom we have already named, Dr. Aldrich and Dr. Tane also withdrew.\*

ALDRICH AND JANE.—These names, of eminence and weight in their day, call for some particular notice here. Dr. Henry Aldrich, born in Westminster in 1647, was a pupil of the samous Dr. Busby, and was elected student of Christ-Church, Oxford, 1662. In due time he entered into holy orders, and became a celebrated tutor. In 1681, he was installed canon of Christ-Church, and

<sup>\*</sup> See the new edition of Neal's Hiftory of the Puritans, b. v. p. 26, 27. Birch's Life of Tillotfon, p. 192.

The rest of the commissioners closely applied themselves, for several weeks, to the work assigned them. The points brought under review were well considered: their debates were conducted with calmness and freedom: the object pursued was the entire correction of every thing that appeared to

became its dean in 1689: He prefided over this College with great zeal for its interests, and being a single man, with a munificent patronage. That excellent piece of architecture called Peckwater Quadrangle was deligned by him. He annually published, as a newyear's gift to the students of his house, a piece of some ancient Greek author. He wrote a System of Logic, which passed through feveral editions; and with Bishop Sprat, revised Clarendon's "History " of the Rebellion." He had a great share in the Popish Controverfy, during the reign of King James II. Befides the above preferments, he held the rectory of Wem in Shropshire; and in 1702, was chosen Prolocutor of the Convocation. He died at Christ-church, on the 14th of December 1710, in the 63d or 64th year of his age, and was buried in the Cathedral, according to his own direction, without any memorial, on the fouth fide of Bishop Fell's grave. He is reprefented to have been an univerfal scholar, and to have had a fine tafte for architecture. His manners, we are told, were liberal, and his modesty and humility evident and acknowledged. The greatest part of his income was expended in works of hospitality and beneficence, especially in the encouragement of learning; and his government of the college reflected on him peculiar honour. Goadby's British Biography, b. viii. p. 42, note.

Dr. Wm. Jane was the fon of Joseph Jane, esq; of Liskeard, Cornwall, author of "Ikon Aklastos," or Image unbroken, in answer to Milton, and Member for the borough of Liskeard, in the Long Parliament, under Charles the First. He was a great sufferer for his adherence to the house of Stuart. His son Dr. William Jane was born at Liskeard about the year 1644. He was educated at Westminster school, from which he was elected a student of Christ-church, Oxford, in 1660. After he had entered into holy orders, he became lecturer of Carfax church, Oxford, chaplain to Dr. Compton bishop of London, and prebendary of St. Paul's. In 1674, he took his degree of bachelor of divinity. In 1678, he

furnish just ground of disunion: a sincere wish was entertained to obviate the difficulties felt by the Dissenters on the subject of conformity; and a hope was indulged, that if the prejudices of the present day could not be overcome, a way would be made, by removing the chief objections, for the more effectual and universal influence of conciliating measures on the next generation.

Under fuch auspicious circumstances commenced the proceedings of the commissioners. They began with reviewing the liturgy: and first they examined the calendar, in which, in the room of apocryphal lessons, they ordered certain chapters of canonical scriptures to be read, that were more for the edification of the people. The Athanasian creed being disliked by many persons, on account of the damnatory clauses, it was left to the minister's choice to use

was installed canon of Christ-church. In 1679, he proceeded doctor of divinity. In 1681, he was admitted regius professor of divinity. In 1685, he was installed dean of Glocester, and held with it the precentorship of the church of Exeter. He lived fome years after Queen Anne's accession to the throne, without obtaining any higher preferment, and died on the 6th of February 1706-7. He published some occasional Sermons, and a Treatise entitled, "The prefent Separation felf-condemned, and proved to " be Schifm," 1678, 4to. " Some Queries on the New Commission. " &c. in a Letter to a Friend," a fingle sheet, 1689, were ascribed to him. He was also confidered as having a chief hand in promoting and penning the judgment and decree of the University of Oxford against certain seditious books and damnable doctrines, passed in the Convocation there July 1683, presented to and approved by Charles II., but burnt by the hangman in pursuance to an order of the House of Lords in 1720. Birch's Life of Tillotion, p. 188-189; and British Biography, vol. ix. p. 32, note

or change it for the Apostles' creed. New collects were drawn up more agreeable to the epiftles and gospels, for the whole course of the year, and with a force and beauty of expression capable of affecting and raising the mind in the strongest manner. The first draft of them was composed by Dr. Patrick. who was esteemed to have a peculiar talent for devotional compositions: Dr. Burnet added to them yet greater force and spirit; Dr. Stillingsleet then examined every word in them with the most exact judgment: and Dr. Tillotfon gave them as it were their last polish, by the masterly touches of his natural and flowing eloquence. Dr. Kidder, who was well versed in the oriental languages, made a new version of the Psalms more conformable to the original. Dr. Tennison having collected throughout the liturgy the words and expressions to which exceptions had been made, proposed others in their room, which were more clear and plain, and lefs liable to objection. Other things were proposed, to be determined by the Convocation; particularly that the cross in baptism might be either used or omitted, at the discretion of the parents.\*

<sup>\*</sup> Calamy's Abridgement of Baxter's Life, vol. i. p. 45t. Birch's Life of Tillotson, p. 190, 191. The above abstract of the proceedings of the commissioners was communicated to Dr. Nicholls by Dr. John Williams, afterwards bishop of Chichester. The original copy of the alterations suggested by the commissioners upon their review of the liturgy was deposited with Dr. Tennison: he was always cautious of trusting them out of his own keeping; alleging that the publication of them, says Dr. Birch, would not satisfy either side; but rather surnish a handle for mutual reproaches.

The commissioners proceeded very unanimously in adopting numerous other alterations. Each article, as foon as they determined to introduce it into their plan, was figned by the Bishop of London. It was agreed, that the chaunting of divine service in cathedrals should be laid aside: that devout and proper plalms should, besides the reading of them in course as before, be selected for Sundays: that in the room of the leffons from the Apocrypha and those from the Old Testament, which were too natural, others should be appointed in a new calendar already fully fettled, in which are omitted all the legendary faints'-days, and others not directly referred to in the fervice book: that, not to fend the vulgar to fearch the canons, which few of them ever faw, a rubric be made fetting forth the usefulness, as a fit and decent ceremony, of the cross in baptism, though not effential to it; and allowing the priest to omit it, if any in conscience scruple it; permitting him also to administer the Lord's Supper to those who refuse kneeling, in their pews; and declaring the intention of the Lent fasts to consist only in extraordinary acts of devotion, not in distinction of meats; stating the meaning of Rogation Sundays and Ember Weeks, and enjoining the exercise of strict devotion on those ordained within the quatuor tempora: That the rubric which obliges

One fide would, he apprehended, upbraid their brethren for giving up too much: the other, on the ground that these concessions were too little, or were not passed into a law, would justify their monconformity.

the minister to read or hear common prayer publicly or privately every day, be changed into an exhortation to the people to frequent those prayers: That the absolution in morning and evening prayer may be read by a deacon, the word priest in the Rubric being changed into minister, and these words, and remission, as not very intelligible, be erased: that the Gloria Patri shall not be repeated at the end of every pfalm, but of all appointed for morning and evening prayer: That those words in the Te Deum, "thine honourable, true, and "only fon," as "honourable" is a civil term only, and never used in facris, be thus turned, "thine "only begotten fon: That the "Benedicite" be changed into the 128th pfalm, and other pfalms likewise be appointed for the "Benedictus" and " Nunc dimittis:" That the verficles after the Lord's Prayer, &c. to avoid the trouble and inconvenience of fo often varying the posture in the worship, shall be read kneeling; and a promisory answer of keeping God's law or the like, on the part of the people shall follow those words, "Give peace " in our time, O Lord," the old response being grounded on too strict an acceptation of the predeftinating doctrine: That all high titles or appellations of the King and Queen, fuch as "most illustrious, " religious, mighty," &c. be left out of the prayers, and only the word "fovereign" be retained for the King and Queen: That those words in the prayer for the King, "Grant that he may vanquish and overcome all his enemies," as of too large an

extent if he engage in an unjust war, shall be turned, "Prosper all his righteous undertakings against thy enemies," or after some such manner: that in the prayer for the clergy, those words, "who alone workest great marvels," as subject to be ill interpreted by perfons vainly disposed, shall be changed thus, "who alone art the author of "all good gifts;" and these words "the healthful " spirit of thy grace" shall be altered into this phrase, "the holy fpirit of thy grace;" "healthful" being an obfolete word: That the prayer which begins, "O Gop, whose nature and property," fhall be thrown out, as full of strange and impertinent expressions, and besides as not in the original, but foifted in fince by another hand: That the collects for the most part be changed for those which the Bishop of Chichester has prepared; being a revifal of the old ones, with enlargements to render them more fensible and affecting, and a retrenchment of needless expressions: That if any minister refuse to use the surplice, while the people desire it and the living will bear it, the bishop may substitute in his place one who will officiate in it; but that the whole matter be left to the difcretion of the

<sup>\*</sup> The Prayer, to which exception is made above, is left out of our prefent copies of the Book of Common Prayer. It flood thus in an edition of 1629, now before me:

<sup>&</sup>quot;O God, whose nature and property is ever to have mercy, "receive our humble petitions; and though we be tied and bound "with the chaine of our sinnes, yet let the pitifulnesse of thy great mercy loose us, for the honour of Jesus Christ's sake, our mediator and advocate. Amen."

bishop: That if any desire to have Godfathers and Godmothers omitted, and to prefent their children in their own names to baptism, it be granted: That, lest wholly rejecting the Athanasian Creed should by unreasonable persons be imputed to them as Socinianism, a rubric should be made, fetting or declaring the curses denounced therein not to be restrained to every particular article, but intended against those that deny the substance of the Christian religion in general: and lastly, That it be wholly left to the convocation to confider and determine whether the amendment of the translation of the reading Psalms, as they are called, made by the Bishop of St. Asaph and Dr. Kidder, or that in the Bible, shall be inserted in the Prayer Book.\* Some alterations were also made in the litany, the communion fervice, &c. and the canons.

Besides the above alterations in the forms of public devotion, which the commissioners agreed to lay before the convocation, it was also an object of their pious attention to provide a family book to be authorised by that assembly. It contained directions for family devotions, with several forms of prayer for morning and evening, suited to the different circumstances of the families for whose use they were composed.†

<sup>\*</sup> Calamy's Abridgment of the Life of Baxter, p. 452-455, vol. i. Birch's Life of Archbishop Tillotson, p. 192

<sup>\*</sup> The Life of Dr. Humphrey Prideaux, p. 61. "Family devotion had been kept up through all the nation, till the civil war broke out in 1641. It had been conducted by the help of

Such were the proceedings of the commissioners. Those who review them at this distance of time, and free from the party prejudices and designs under the influence of which the judgment of men was then formed, must regard them as founded in wisdom, moderation, and liberality of spirit. The alterations in the public services of the church which they proposed, were not only necessary to remove scruples and to invite the dissentients to conformity, but it was for the credit and honour of the church to discard

"precomposed forms. Afterwards the length of extempore prayers, " which the Puritans carried to an extravagant excess, and the difof gusting improprieties and cant introduced by many into them, brought family devotion itself into differente, especially in the " reign of Charles II.; for then it was an expression of aversion " from the sectaries, and of loyalty to the Court, to brand many things with the imputation of fanaticism, because a fanatical spirit " had unhappily been blended with them. Of this kind was " family prayer, which, on this ground, in compliance with the or prevailing vogue, was neglected by numbers. The Book of Com-"mon Prayer, which had been extravagantly run down, on the 66 change of times, was as extravagantly extolled by the High Church " party, as if no other prayers were proper to be used in families any "more than in churches." The confequence was, that as they were more particularly framed to be read only by men in orders; when, in the families of noblemen and high rank there was no chaplain, they fank into disuse. This was another cause, to which was owing the total neglect of family worship. It was to provide a remedy for this decay of devotion, that the commissioners prepared instructions and fervices for domestic religion. After the convocation was broken up. Dr. Prideaux and others, fensible of the great influence of the religion of families on the flate of piety in the world, were exceedingly earnest for the publication of this book. The Doctor very strongly urged this on Archbishop Tennison; but, as it should seem, an opportunity of obtaining the concurrence and fanction of a future convocation, without which the Archbishop was very reluctant to its publication, did not offer, the book never made its appearance, and was eventually loft. See p. 61-66.

from its fervices all improprieties, and whatever was inconfistent with good sense and taste. The meafures which the commissioners were authorised to adopt, derived a fanction from the revifal of the established forms that had taken place in 1661. They derived a recent fanction from the line of conduct which the late Archbishop of Canterbury, Sancroft, as we have intimated, had purfued. was one of the revisers in 1661. When afterwards he was advanced to the archiepiscopal see, conscious of the imperfections of our liturgy, he stood foremost in projecting a plan, by which that, together with the articles, &c. might be brought under a proper review and be fairly examined. "This ex-"cellent prelate," observes a late Noble writer,\* ee gave at least this early testimony, that he who had " borne in 1661 a part in framing them, had never "confidered these matters as finally concluded. "He went farther; for he made public his opinion "by circulating his directions thereupon to the "bishops and clergy of his province; his last act, I " believe, as Metropolitan." This was a weighty recommendation to the proceedings of the commiffioners who were employed in carrying into effect the plan which Sancroft had not the opportunity of bringing forward, but which he had shewn a strong disposition to patronise and assist.

Several publications, shewing the reasonableness and necessity of making proper alterations and obvia-

<sup>&</sup>quot;Hints submitted to the serious attention of the Clergy, &c." a tract, for which there is no doubt the public is indebted to the pen of his Grace the late Duke of Grafton. p. 24.

ting objections, came out about this time from the pens of learned and respectable characters in the church; especially from Dr. Prideaux dean of Norwich, who addressed the public on the subject in different tracts. In one entitled "A Letter to a "Member of Parliament," he observed, "that no "alteration was intended, but in things declared to be alterable by the church itself. And if things alterable be altered upon the grounds of prudence " and charity, and things defective be supplied, " and things abated be restored to their proper use, and things of a more ordinary composition re-" vised and improved; whilst the doctrine, governee ment, and worship of the church remain entire, "in all the substantial parts of them; we have all " reason to believe, that this will be so far from in-"juring the church, that on the contrary it shall "receive a great benefit by it."\*

Dr. Burnet, the bishop of Salisbury, delivered a discourse at St. Lawrence, Jewry, on November 16, from Acts vii. 26, with an evident view to the conciliatory measures which were then in contemplation. It was a seasonable, earnest, and impressive exhortation to peace and union. His lordship lamented the long contest which had existed about things confessed by all to be indifferent; and the alarm which had been taken at the smallest efforts made to reconcile the contending parties. The proposed alterations, he observed, were of themselves desirable; though not one diffenter should be gained by them;

As quoted in the "Free and Candid Disquisitions," p. 281, 282,

and they were fuch as tended to render all offices of the church both more unexceptionable and more edifying. He urged, that instead of indulging the pride of not yielding to one another in any thing. they should rather engage, with a holy emulation, to try who could concede most for the healing of those wounds that had been fo often opened and that began then to bleed afresh. Referring to the A& of Toleration, which had lately been passed, his discourse breathed these enlarged sentiments of liberality; "God be thanked for it," faid the bishop. "that there is an end to all perfecution in matters of conscience; and that the first and chief right of human nature, of following the dictates of " conscience in the service of God, is secured to all "men amongst us; and that we are freed, I hope, 66 for ever from all the remains of the worst part of "Popery that we had too long retained, I mean "the spirit of persecution. If this give uneasiness " to any, it shews that their eye is evil, because the ee eye of our legislators has been good towards those " who, though they may be mistaken in their " notions, yet have still the rights of men and of " Christians. But after all this, it is to be remem-" bered, that men may be still persecutors, though 66 they are not able to perfecute any longer, accord-66 ing to our Saviour's charging the guilt of intended 66 fins on those who never acted them: for as long " as we entertain hatred and malice in our hearts, " and wish that it were in our power to do others 66 hurt, fo long we become guilty before God, and

" fo do wrong to ourselves, though we are not in a condition to do them any; but if we do them " all the wrong we can, we shew what our tempers " are, and that we would do more if it were in our " power. If we love to keep up old differences or to create new ones; if we will continue to make 46 the terms of communion with us as strait as pos-" fibly we can, and shut out all persons, as much as in us lies, from joining labours with us, because 66 they do not in all things think as we do; if we will by turns employ all the interest we have in as any turn of government that is kind to us, to do wrong to others, either by loading them with " falle accusations, by aggravating some lesser matet ters, or by an undue profecution of real but re-" pented faults: all these are the several instances, "in which an injurious temper flews itself; and while such things are among us, we are under " the guilt that is charged on those Ifraelites in my ec text, who though they were brethren, yet did wrong one to another."\*

A measure, like that of this proposed comprehention, so suited to the state of parties, sanctioned by a royal proclamation, conducted by the most eminent ecclesiastics, powerfully recommended from the pulpit, and that would give a new aspect to the religious establishment, could not fail to excite much attention. An ecclesiastical commission, so different from that of the former reign, did accordingly draw the

<sup>\*</sup> As quoted by Calamy, abridgement of the life of Baxter, vol. i. p. 455-457.

thoughts of men to it, and became the subject of all their discourse. It was earnestly patronised by the approbation and good wishes of some. It was as warmly censured and opposed by others.

The advocates for it alleged that it was confonant to the laws of the land; that precedents, fince the reformation in the reigns of Edward VI. Elizabeth, James I. and Charles II. justified it: that instead of interfering with the province of the convocation, it was only preparatory to its proceedings: that proposals, not impositions, were the conclusions in which the deliberations of the commissioners terminated. The religious denomination of the commissioners, as churchmen; their rank as bishops, deans, and archdeacons; their claim to fit in the convocation; their reputation for abilities, probity, and worth; were pleaded. It was urged that the best church is not absolutely perfect in all circumstantial things, nor can ever be made fo here on earth: that churches, as well constituted at first as the age and case would bear, may, in process of time, admit of alterations and improvements: that the constitution, notwithstanding that of 1661, was still capable of another revifal: that if there was reason at that time for the alterations proposed, which were computed to be about fix hundred, there was equal if not greater reason for some additional improvements; and that if then they had offered to move much further, a stone would have been laid under their wheel by a fecret but powerful hand. On the principle of policy and felfish feelings, it was observed that the

conformists would derive pleasure and comfort from the strength and beauty which suitable alterations would add to the house, in which they were resolved to live and die; and that the dissenters, if they were not gained over by these concessions, would be left inexcusable. The desire of their Majesties, the concurrence of the Lords in the Bill of Union, and the expectation of the resormed churches looking for the adoption of measures friendly to peace and union, were considered as circumstances that rendered the present time a fit juncture for such a design.

To these pleas it was replied, to what purpose was it to begin, when it was not feen at what point to end? Was it not better to endure fome inconveniencies, from which no constitution is exempted, than to expose themselves to certain mischiefs? When, in 1661, fix hundred alterations had no competent effect, what could be now expected? The true conformifts, it was alleged, were very well fatisfied with their house, and contented to live and die in it: that if diffenters would take possession of that house, make breaches in it, deface its beauty, undermine its strength, and force them to leave it, they could not take it well: that the defires of their Majesties were best known by their living in the communion of the Established Church, and by their declarations to favour and protect it: that the fense of the lords must be judged of by the sequel: that the diffenters ought to be fatisfied with the toleration granted to them by statute, which the partizans of the church could not gain, for almost twenty years, in the civil wars: and that the reformed churches generally admired the English constitution. Many avowed it as their opinion, that no alterations ought at all to be made in things pertaining to religion, but when there was a great necessity: that there was no such necessity: that if there were, it was not then a seasonable time, when so many fathers of the church and eminent clergymen, on account of their suspension for not taking the oaths, were incapable of acting in the affair.

By some of moderate sentiments and temper it was readily granted, that frequent alterations would be dangerous to religion; but even they afferted the necessity of alterations in the present case. They afferted the indifpensable obligation of doing the utmost that could be done to remove the evils of a schism which had very long disturbed the Christian church in these lands; and which would most certainly lie at the door of those who did not use their best endeavours to remove them: that no way of attempting it was left, but by offering moderate and temperate terms to the diffentients, whom persuafions had not mollified, nor arguments convinced; and whom church cenfures and penal laws had only irritated. The remedy which now offered was to concede, as far as possible, with respect to those excepted passages in the liturgy, and those ceremonies in the worship, to which their diffenting brethren could not conform. They asked, with propriety and force and with a lenient spirit, "What are those 66 things about which we differ, that we must for

ee ever facrifice to them the peace both of church "and state, without abating the least tittle for so 66 great a good as that of the common union of " Christians among us? Is it not enough that for "the fake of trifles we have for these thirty years past "driven up our divisions and animosities against each " other to such a height, as that we had almost ct totally given up our church to popery, and our " government to tyranny? Certainly it is now time 66 to fit down and confider, whether those things, for "the fake of which we bring fo much mischief to " this poor distressed church and nation, are of so e great a value, that nothing must be abated of that " unreasonable rigour whereby we have hitherto " maintained them. Could but an union be once " effected amongst ourselves, we need not fear all " the power of France and Rome in the firmest union " against us."

While the measures proposed by the commissioners recommended themselves to the minds of many as "wise, equitable, and pacific," there existed, as we have noticed, in the opinion of others, who viewed them in a different light, strong objections against them, which the advocates for the measures endeavoured to obviate. The timid were alarmed at the idea of altering any thing in a well-constituted church. It was like drawing a beam out of a well-built house, which could not be done without endangering the fabric. They did not perceive that this apprehension, if men had yielded to it, would have effectually prevented the reformation from popery. The cautious

were deterred from beginning, because they could not foresee where the alterations would stop; though it was replied, that they would stop when any thing not fit to be done was proposed. On the same spirit, it was objected, they who want alterations will be still craving for more. It was answered, that to deny what it was just and fitting to grant, was to give them a great advantage, and to afford ground for complaint and remonstrance. An affected delicacy for the honour of the church pleaded that changing was reproachful: the reflection never occurred, that it was more reproachful obstinately to resist a change, for which good reasons might be alleged. a temper peculiarly illiberal and uncandid, it was urged by fome that the Diffenters were obstinate and perverse, and that there was no reason to endeavour to gratify them. They who reasoned thus, were reminded of the conduct of physicians who do not presently cast off a peevish patient, but study to fuit his palate and humour; which pattern of skill and gentleness it became them to imitate; and, as an objection to the proceedings was drawn from the absence of the suspended bishops and clergy, and the want of their aid and concurrence; it was answered, that the needful alterations might be made without them; and that their approbation, if they were true to the public interest, or their own promises, might be undoubtedly expected.

These reasonings and pleas, though they came from eminent persons of the church, did not in the least degree move those who had fixed their ne plus ultra, and were resolved not to advance a step, of their own good-will, beyond the line drawn by their forefathers. The Acts for Uniformity were represented as much more effectual securities for union, than any alterations could be which the church was able justly to fanction. If the Act of Uniformity has made one diffenter, toleration and alterations, it was said, have created hundreds.\*

Among the tracts published on this occasion, one, from its title "Vox Cleri,†" may be supposed to express both the spirit and sentiments of the clergy, who were inimical to any alterations. Of this production, the following passages will give the reader a specimen.

## · Calamy, vol. i. p. 458-460.

† The tract entitled "Vox Cleri" was imputed to Mr. Thomas Long, a prebendary of Exeter. It was opposed by "An answer 46 to Vox Cleri, &c. examining the reasons against any alterations and " abatements in order to a comprehension, and shewing the expe-"diency thereof;" faid to be written by Dr. Wm. Payne, 1690, 4to. "Vox Populi, or the Sense of the sober Laymen of the Church of " England, concerning the heads proposed in his Majesty's Com-" mission to the Convocation," 1690, 4to. " Vox Regis et Regni, or \* a Protest against Vox Cleri, and a persuasive (thereby occasioned) 46 to make fuch alterations as may give eafe to our diffenting breth-" ren," 1690, 4to. And " Two Letters and a vindication of them, 46 concerning alterations in the liturgy, by Mr. Basset," 1689, 4to. The "Answer to Vox Cleri" was replied to in a "just Censure of "it;" and Dr. Henry Maurice, chaplain to Archbishop Sancrost, published " Remarks from the country upon the two letters relating to the Convocation and alterations." The spirit and prejudices of Mr. Long, the supposed author of the "Vox Cleri," are expressed by his own pen in the tenor of his characteristical epitaph on Mr. Baxter, given from Dr. Grey in my edition of Neal's History of the Puritans, vol. v. p. 7, note.

<sup>\*</sup> Birch's Life of Tillotfon, p. 210.

"There is," this writer observes, "no necessity that we should expose ourselves to that reproach which is endeavoured to be fixed on some of us, of being ecclefiaftical tinkers, who undertaking to " mend one hole do usually make two or three. "We have, by standing our ground, put to flight one of formidable enemy; and is there a necessity that by 6 giving ground, we should bring ourselves under "the power of another? What though there be " fome few that are really but causelessly offended at our ceremonies, must we for their sake give offence " to the Church of God? What necessity is there ce that for the fake of a few ignorant or peevish or of unfatisfiable persons, that will not be pleased with " all that we can do, we should confirm them in their " obstinacy, by yielding and complying with their "humours? Is it necessary," the author proceeds, "that a parent should yield to a disobedient child 46 upon his own unreasonable terms? Is it necessary 46 that a church, in which all things necessary to " falvation may be freely enjoyed, should accuse herse felf of the want of Christian charity, and of impo-" fing fuch finful terms for admitting others into her communion, as were purposely designed to " keep them out, and afterwards voluntarily cast off 66 those things, and thereby confess themselves guilty of fo great uncharitableness? Is it necessary that " we should part with any thing to them, of whom we have reason to suspect that they will not leave " craving till they have all? When these things, and fuch as thefe, are proved to be necessary, then

66 shall we be ready to make alterations in our cere65 monies, and other circumstances: in the mean

et time we shall account ourselves happy in the num-

66 ber of those Englishmen, who know when they

The language and strain of these paragraphs attest the truth and correctness of the preceding statement. They are dictated in a high tone of authority. The writer, and they whom he represented, had forgotten the principles of the Reformation; they had forgotten the lessons of their Divine Master: "Call no man master, nor be ye called rabbi, for one is your master, even Christ, and all ye are Brethren. Ye know that the princes of the Gentiles exercise dominion over them; and they that are great exercise authority upon them: but it shall not be "50 among you."

While these debates were agitated, and matters were argued on both sides, the party in the interest of the abdicated king availed themselves of the occasion to instance the minds of men. They raised the cry, that the church was to be pulled down, and the presbytery to be set up; that the design was to divide and distract the church; and under the offer of such concessions, to betray and enseeble it, and to expose it to ridicule. The Universities took the alarm; and began to protest against the plan, and against all who promoted it, as persons whose intentions were to weaken the church. Severe reslections were also thrown out against the King for joining

<sup>\*</sup> As quoted by Calamy, p. 461.

an interest inimical to it. The "Church" was the Shibboleth of the day; the word given out by the Jacobite faction, under which they thought they might more safely shelter themselves. General expectation was directed forward to the meeting of the convocation in December, as to the period when these differences would be brought to an authoritative determination. In every quarter there were great canvassings in the election of members of the convocation, a thing not known in former times. It was soon very visible, that the temper of men was not cool and calm enough to encourage the profecution of a pacific and liberal design.\*

The zeal both of the friends to the object of the commission, and of those who opposed it, was directed to the appointment of the prolocutor of the lower house of convocation at the ensuing meeting. The former and the Court were folicitous to carry the election of Dr. Tillotfon, the dean of St. Paul's. His prudence and moderation would improve the influence that being in the chair would give him, to promote the ends for which the convocation was called. The other party fet up as his competitor Dr. Jane, dean of Glocester and regius protessor of divinity in Oxford; whom disappointment and ambition, as well as his principles, disposed to counteract whatever was to be submitted to the convocation. The general temper of the clergy was in favour of his election; and it was effectually fecured by the intrigues of two noblemen, the Earls of Clarendon

<sup>\*</sup> Burnet's Hiftory, vol. iv. p. 46, 47.

and Rochester, who, on their mothers' fide, were nephews to the Queen; and who, on this ground, had raifed their expectation of being appointed to fome of the higher employments under the new government. Disappointed in their aspiring views, resentment instigated them to use their efforts to embarrass the measures which they were not invited to conduct. Among other schemes, they set themselves to defeat the objects for which the clerical affembly had been convened; and with this defign they directed their thoughts to Dr. Jane, as a competitor with Dr. Tillotson. They went to Oxford to bring him over to their counsels, and found him very ready, from mortified ambition, to concur in all their proposals. The Dean of Glocester, with three other deputies, had waited on the Prince of Orange at Hungerford, on his march to London, to offer him the plate of the University of Oxford, which the Prince handsomely refused; and from a high opinion of the merit of his fervices, he asked a nomination to the see of Exeter, then vacant by the translation of Bishop Lamplugh to the archiepiscopal see of York; but his request was not granted, because the preferment he folicited had been previously promifed to Dr. Trelawney bishop of Bristol: at this he was so disgusted, that he became ever after a professed enemy to King William and his government.\* Dr. Tillotson had also from, a similar cause, an opponent in one of the commissioners,

<sup>\*</sup> Prideaux's Life, p. 54, 55, 56.

Dr. Compton bishop of London, who was jealous of the Dean of St. Paul's, under an apprehension that the see of Canterbury, of which he had once before, on the translation of Dr. Sancrost to it, been disappointed, was intended for Dr. Tillotson. This preferment he conceived to be due to himself, not only as a reward for his conduct, both before and during the revolution, but on the ground of his rank and samily.\* Thus private resentments and personal views were opposed to the liberal intentions of the government; and with an insidious and concealed influence these selsish feelings obstructed and even defeated measures of extensive and national importance.

The convocation met on the 21st of November 1689. The Bishop of London was chosen prolocutor of the upper house, and Dr. Jane of the lower. This election put an end to the hopes of those who wished for a comprehension. The sermon, in Latin, was preached by Dr. Beveridge, who observed that "to change old laws for new is always dangerous, unless such a necessity constrain as is otherwise insuperable:" he acknowledged, however, that "nothing was, anciently, more usual with all the churches of God, than, when times and necessity required it, to change the laws made by themselves, to abrogate old ones, and substitute others and perhaps different ones in their stead, This could not be unknown to any one who is

<sup>\*</sup> Birch's Life of Tillotson, p. 200.

"versed in ecclesiastical history."\* On the 25th of November the new prolocutor was presented to the Bishop of London, whose chaplain he had been, for his lordship's approbation. According to custom he addressed the assembly in a Latin speech. He extolled the excellence of the Church of England as established by law, above all other national establishments; intimated that it wanted no amendment; and, with an air of triumph, concluded in the language of the English Barons on a different occasion, "Nolumus leges Angliæ mutari;†" expressive of a determination not to consent to any change of the English laws. The bishop in his answer, delivered

## \* Candid Disquisitions, p. 282, note 18, 2d edit.

+ This famous answer, Bishop Warburton observes, has been quoted a thousand and a thousand times; and yet no body seems to have understood the policy and management from which it arose, and which it at first implied. It originated with a Bill brought into Parliament in the reign of Henry II. by the bishops, who, as partifans of the Pope, wished to subject England to the imperial and papal laws. The castles of the barons, under the Norman and Plantagenet races, were full of baftards; fo that the very name was honourable. The bishops to meet this state of things, and to draw the barons into their views, with an air of great courtefy and confideration for the fituation of their descendants, proposed it to Parliament to pass an Act, which should legalize children born before marriage. The barons penetrated into the defign of the measure, and answered with one voice, " Quod no-" lunt leges Angliæ mutari:" rejecting a proposition most agreeable to them, from fear of the confequences, the introduction of the imperial laws, whose very genius and essence was arbitrary, despotic power. Their answer shews it, "Nolumus leges Angliæ mutari;" they had nothing to object to the reform, but they were afraid for the constitution. Letters of a late eminent Prelate, p. 199, 8vo. edit. also in Latin, affected, though he had been a party in the intrigues to which Dr. Jane owed his election, a conciliatory tone. He exhorted the clergy to exercise and promote a temper of kindness and moderation in things not effential to salvation, and to open the door of salvation to a multitude of straying Christians. He told them, "that it must needs be their duty to shew the same indulgence and charity to different under King William, which fome of the bishops and clergy had promised to them in their addresses to King James." He closed his speech, with the words of Joseph to his brethren, "Ne tumultuamini in consiliis vestris," admonishing them to preserve unanimity and concord in their proceedings.

At the next meeting the bishop informed the convocation, that the royal commission, by which they were empowered to act, had been discovered to be defective by an eminent civilian to whom he had communicated it, because the great seal was not affixed to it: for which reason, he should prorogue them, till that royal attestation was procured. On the 4th of December, when both houses were convened in Henry VIIth's chapel, the commission dated the 30th of November, and having the great feal annexed to it, was delivered by the Earl of Nottingham, who had always fignalized himself by his active exertions on behalf of the church. It was accompanied with a meffage from the King, affuring the convocation of his invariable favour and protection: "That his Majesty had summoned it, not only " because it was usual upon holding a Parliament, "but out of a pious zeal to do every thing that es might tend to the establishment of the Church " of England, which was fo eminent a part of the reformed church, and best suited the con-" flitution of this government: that he doubted not "but that they would affift him in promoting its "welfare, fo that no prejudices with which fome might labour to possess them, should disappoint s his good intentions, or deprive the church of es any benefit from their consultations. His Majesty " expressed his expectations, that the things that fhould be proposed would be calmly and im-56 partially considered by them: and he affured them " that he would offer nothing to them, but what " should be for the honour, peace, and advantage, both of the Protestant religion in general, and of "the Church of England in particular." The Earl of Nottingham, after he had delivered the King's message, addressed the assembly in an eloquent speech, and recommended it to them to lay afide all partial prepossessions and animosities in their proceedings.

The bishops withdrew to the Jerusalem chamber, where they agreed upon an address to his Majesty, the form of which, with a copy of the royal message, they sent to the lower house of convocation, defiring their concurrence. The purport of the episcopal address was to thank his Majesty "for the grace and goodness expressed in his message, and for the zeal shewn in it for the Protestant religion in general, and the Church of England in parti-

" cular, and for the trust and confidence reposed in "the convocation by the commission. They looked " upon these marks of his Majesty's care and favour es as the continuance of the great deliverance which 46 ALMIGHTY GOD had wrought by his means, making him the bleffed instrument of their pre-" fervation from the cruelty of popish tyranny. As they had often thanked God for this, fo they could not forget that high obligation and duty which they owed to his Majesty. On the renewed " affurances of his protection and favour to the 66 Church, they begged leave to renew the affurance of their constant fidelity and obedience to his Ma-" jefty; and that in pursuance of the trust and con-" fidence he reposed in them, they would consider whatever might be offered to them with calmness " and impartiality."\*

The lower house of convocation, on receiving the draught of this address, would not consent to it: but first pleaded for the privilege of presenting a separate one, drawn up by themselves; and then waving that claim, proposed amendments in that submitted to them by the bishops; for they disapproved of the clause in which his Majesty's "zeal for the Protestant Religion in general, and the Church of England in particular," was acknowledged by the addresses with sentiments of applause and gratitude. The lower house construed this as importing some common union with soreign protestants; whereas, in their judgment, the address in

Bishop Compton's Life, p. 55.

confined to points that concerned the Church of England. On this principle, if they were not permitted to draw up a new form of their own, they were very zealous for an amendment of that clause.

With a view to adjust these differences, the Bishop of Salisbury, Dr. Burnet, from the upper house, and the prolocutor from the lower, were deputed to hold a conference. It was urged by the bishop, in defence of the clause to which objections were made, that as the religious establishment in this country was not distinguished from other protestant churches but by its hierarchy and revenues, the phrase "Church of England" was an equivocal expression; for if popery should again prevail, it would be called the Church of England still. The prolocutor replied, that the Church of England was distinguished by its doctrine, as it stands in the articles, liturgy, and homilies, as well as by its hierarchy: and that the term protestant churches, as the Socinians, Anabaptists, and Quakers, assumed that title, was still more equivocal. It was stated, that the reasons why the bishops insisted on the express mention of the protestant religion, were, 1. Its being in opposition to the errors and corruptions of the Church of Rome, the known denomination of the common doctrine of the western part of Christendom. 2. That to leave it out might have ill confequences, and be liable to strange constructions, among protestants as well as papists, both at home and abroad. 3. That it agreed with the general

reason offered by the clergy for their amendments, since this is expressly mentioned in the King's meffage; and in this the Church of England was so much concerned, that the bishops thought it ought to stand in the address.

The lower house, after debating these reasons, refused their consent to them; but agreed to thank his Majesty "for his pious zeal and care for the 66 honour, peace, advantage, and establishment of "the Church of England:" and then to add, " whereby we doubt not the interest of all the " Protestant Churches, which is dear to us, will under the influence of your Majesty's government "be the better fecured." The upper house defired them to give their reason for inserting "protestant "churches," instead of the "protestant religion." It was given in these words: "We, being the re-"presentatives of a formed established church, do " not think fit to mention the word religion any "farther than as it is the religion of some formed " established church." The bishops returned the amendment with this alteration: "We doubt " not the interest of the protestant religion, in (this " and) all other protestant churches which is " dear to us, will be better secured under your "Majesty's government and protection." lower house, jealous for the honour of the Church

<sup>\*</sup> Some formed established church. This language of the lower house "serves," as the Author of "the Confessional" remarks "to characterise the spirit and piety of these convocation men." He adds, "the word for religion in the Greek Testament is "Generica, which is no where appropriated to a formed established

of England, which it was conceived would fuffer a diminution, by joining it with foreign protestant churches, and not content to stand even upon a level with them, would have the words (this and) omitted.

An Address was agreed to by the upper house of convocation only, and presented to the King in the Banqueting-House at Whitehall, on Thursday the 12th of December, by the Bishop of London, six of his episcopal brethren, and several doctors of divinity. In this address, the thanks which had been recommended by the bishops, for his royal commission, and for the zeal that he had shewn on behalf of the protestant religion, were omitted. It expressed also a cool regard to the protestant churches, without any particular sentiment of tenderness to the dissenters. The King well understood the cause of these omissions. In his answer he said, that he took this address very kindly from the convocation;

<sup>&</sup>quot;church." Paul speaks of fests in the Jewish religion, Acts xxv. 5; some of which were just as much established, as the Presbyterians and Quakers are in England. James, ch. i. 27, defines pure and undefiled religion before God and the Father," in terms which shew, that such religion may be practised and conformed to, where there neither is nor ever was an established church. But this fort of religion, the pious convocation men did not think sit to mention. Their notion of religion, indeed, hath rather a pagan cast "religionem, eam, quæ in metu et corremonia Deorum sit, appellant," says Cicero. But another pagan seems to have had a more evangelical idea of religion. "Religiosus est non modo Deorum sanctitatem magni æstimans, sed etiam officiosus adversus homines." Festus, in verbo Religiosus.

<sup>&</sup>quot;The Confessional." Preface to the first edition, p. 38. Or complete edition of Archdeacon Blackburn's works, vol. v. p. 89.

"and that they might depend upon it, that he would
do all he had promifed, and all he could do for
the fervice of the Church of England; and he
wished them to consider this as a new affurance
that he would improve all occasions and opportunities for its service."

By this time the dispositions of the lower house were fully ascertained, and a great majority gave unequivocal evidence that they were against allowing any alterations or amendments in the liturgy, which was the next subject to be considered:\* And as the president and his brethren of the episcopal bench thought that it would answer no valuable purpose to communicate any proposals to them on that head, the convocation was adjourned from the 13th of December to the 24th of January, after it had sat ten days without advancing one step in the business for which its members were called together. It was at last dissolved with the Parliament.†

"There was at this time," fays Bishop Burnet, but a small number of bishops in the upper house of convocation, and they had not their metropositian with them, so they had not strength and authority to set things forward; therefore they advised the King to suffer the session to be dis-

<sup>&</sup>quot;In what a contemptible light," observes Dr. Furneaux, does that majority in convocation appear, who would not so much as hear what was prepared for their consideration, by fuch celembrated divines, the glory of the English church, acting under a royal commission." Letters to Blackstone, p. 83, note.

<sup>†</sup> Calamy, vol. i. p. 461-464; Birch's Life of Tillotfon, p. 202-207.

"continued: and feeing they were in no disposition to enter upon business, they were kept from doing mischief by prorogations for the course of ten years. This was in reality a favour to them. For ever since the year 1662 the convocation had indeed continued to sit, but to do no business: so that they were kept at no small charge in town, but only to meet and read a latin liturgy; and consequently, it was an ease to be freed from such an attendance for no purpose. But the ill reception the clergy gave the King's message raised a great clamour against them, since all the promises made in King James Ild's time were now so entirely forgotten."

By the difagreement between the two houses of convocation, on the business of the royal commission, was laid the foundation of the differences that afterwards rose to a great height, subsisted through many years, and broke out, on different occasions, to the injury of religion, while they brought on the clergy censure and contempt. In the instance before us, the pacific wishes of the king were thwarted, his liberal attempts proved abortive, an opportunity of conciliating, at least many of the differers, and of improving the worship and devotional services of the Church of England, was lost: and a design, of which Archbishop Wake, when he was bishop of Lincoln, declared in the House of Lords, he was "persuaded "it would have been for the interest and peace of

<sup>\*</sup> Burnet, vol. iv. p. 47-48.

"the Church and State, had it been accomplished,"\* has not to this day been refumed. The unfuccefsfulness of the proposals for a comprehension was ascribed, in part, to the Presbyterians. At the time when these conciliatory measures were depending, facred orders, agreeable to the Presbyterian form, were given to fifty young students: and Mr. Baxter was charged with reflecting on the Church of England, in a book which he then published. It should be confessed, that these instances of conduct, if they be fairly stated, were not seasonable and cautious; and might displease, if not exasperate, those who were unfriendly to the scheme of a comprehension. But the fact was, that a jealoufy and distrust, not to be conquered, had taken hold of the inferior clergy: and the adherents to James II. who wished and hoped that the proposed alterations would pass, that they might be furnished with objections against the new models of worship, and with grounds for making a schism in the Church, in the warmth and precipitancy of their tempers, raifed fuch a clamour against them, as prevented their being adopted.† It was thought dangerous even by the friends of the alterations to make any change, at this juncture, that might give pretence to those who did not own the existing government to declare themselves adherents to the old church as well as the old king.

<sup>\*</sup> Hints, p. 28. § Life of Bishop Compton, p. 57.

‡ Burnet, vol. iv. p. 48.

|| Calamy, vol. i. p. 464.

In a word, great was the collision of parties at that time, and delicate and intricate was the state of affairs both in church and state. "It appeared in " many visible instances," says Bishop Burnet," that our wounds were then too tender to be either " handled or healed; fo that it was thought fit to " let the matter fleep, and to give no new occasion "to heat and animofity. But at the same time to "keep the clergy still ready upon call, if there " should be any occasion for them during the fessions of Parliament; yet not to charge them with a " needless attendance, when the public occasions " put them under fo many taxes: it being also ob-" ferved, that in a hot time all unnecessary affemblies "are to be avoided; for if they have no bufiness "one way, they commonly make it another."\*

Thus terminated the seventh attempt to reform the Church of England, by consulting the scruples and objections of those who were distaissified with many things in its services. The first was the Hampton-Court conference, in the reign of James I. Bishop Usher's scheme for the reduction of episcopacy was a second measure of this kind, in the time of Charles I. After the restoration of Charles II, proposals for a comprehension were four times brought forward. This under William III. was the seventh. Ever since the affair has laid dormant. These designs have always proceeded on a principle not to be admitted by one who understands the rights of conscience and the nature of Christian liberty;

<sup>\*</sup> As quoted in Calamy, vol. i. p. 465.

this principle is the doctrine of imposition. these attempts been successful, they could have had a temporary effect only: for as the Scriptures are more critically and judiciously studied; as the minds of men by improvements in science and knowledge are expanded; as free enquiry investigates and difcovers existing corruptions in the profession of Christianity; and as human creeds and established forms of religion are examined by found reason, and brought to the facred standard of divine revelation; new grounds of diffatisfaction with the received forms and credenda have arisen; new reasons of diffent have presented themselves to a reslecting mind; and errors in faith and worship, which had lain concealed for ages, or had been under the fanction of time received and tenaciously retained as undisputed truths, have come to light. This has been the fact; and experience shews, that as the human mind exerts its powers in free, impartial enquiry, and becomes enlightened, it gains vigour and resolution to avow its convictions, and to act up to them. The alterations which would fatisfy the limited views of one age, do by no means come up to the more discerning penetration and the more enlarged ideas of a fubsequent period. When the matter is considered in this light, we have less reason to regret that these past attempts to conciliate and unite the different religious parties proved abortive. We may, however, with pleasure reflect that they were not wholly in vain. They awakened attention; they cast light on the questions discussed; they discovered characters;

they exercised candour; in some instances called forth a spirit of liberality; and united some worthy persons in mutual good opinion and in friendship, whom they failed to bring under the bonds of the same outward profession. The memorials of these attempts, be it added, constitute no unprofitable documents of instruction and admonition to future times. records of the proceedings, on these attempts, remain not only to furnish information concerning the state of opinions and parties in past ages, but to afford rules of conduct on future occasions, and to give a fanction to new measures of reforming and uniting the different religious parties, and of simplifying and purifying their creeds and rituals. This has in reality been the eventual effect of King William's commifsion, at the distance of nearly 100 years. The Episcopal Church of America, at a convention of its members from the states of New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, and South Carolina, holden at Philadelphia from Sept. 27th to Oct. 7th, 1785, in a revifal of the English liturgy, adopted the alterations of the Book of Common Prayer, which had been proposed by the commissioners under King William in 1689.\* Though the immediate purpose of the royal commission miscarried, the effort has not been lost.

As to England; "here," in the failure of the the commission, "hath Terminus," as the author of "the Confessional" expresses it, "fixed his pe"destal, and here hath he kept his station for two

<sup>\*</sup> Brewster's Secular Essays, p. 298.

"whole centuries. We are just where the Act of "Uniformity left us, and where, for aught that appears "in the temper of the times, the last trumpet will "find us." Previously to the proroguing of the convocation fome matters were brought forward, by which, besides the avowed object to be obtained, it was defigned to interest the public mind in other questions, and to divert the proceedings of the meeting from the point which they were summoned to consider. With this view, on the 11th of December the prolocutor attended the president and bishops, and in the name of the lower house, represented to their lordships, that "feveral books of very dangerous consequence to the Christian " religion and the Church of England had been re-"cently published;" and defired their lordships' advice, "in what way and how far, without incur-" ring the penalty of 25th of Henry VIII., the " convocation might proceed in preventing the pub-66 lication of the like scandalous books for the future; " and inflicting the centures of the church, according to the canons provided in that behalf, upon the " authors of them."

One of the productions against which this request was pointed, was a sheet of paper entitled "Notes "upon the Creed of Athanasius;" which had met with the candid reception and approbation of some learned men, both in London and other places. They had, it appears, excited great attention; and Dr.

<sup>§</sup> The Preface to the first edition, p, 31; or Blackburne's Works, vol v. p. 90,

Sherlock thought fit to oppose to them a large book, as "a Vindication of the Trinity and Incarnation." They fell also under the animadversion of two other writers, Mr Savage and Mr. Marlow. In the year 1690 they were republished in a quarto pamphlet, accompanied with an historical view of "The Acts" of Great Athanasius, and Observations on Dr. "Sherlock's Treatise."

Another tract, on which it was the defign of the lower house of convocation to fix a censure was, " a "Letter to a Friend relating to the present convoca-"tion at Westminster," by Dr. Prideaux. This was intended as an answer to several pamphlets from the pens of those who were hostile to any alterations. It met with fuch warm approbation, that an impreffion of feveral thousands was fold within a fortnight after its first publication. Though it appeared without a name, the author was discovered, and the rapid and extensive sale of it so exasperated the other party, that they were ready to make him the immediate object of their censures. But it was urged that they ought to begin with the pamphlets which were first published: so just and reasonable a proposal could not be rejected. This measure of the prolocutor, it was also alleged, was an interference with the business of the convocation; it made an appeal to the public on points which already were referred to the extraordinary commission, and was an attempt to prejudge a cause before it was authoritatively heard. To evadethe objections, the wholeaffair was dropped; and they permitted the adversary, as every advocate for alterations was conceived to be, to escape unpunished, rather than that their friends should be exposed to the same censure. The prolocutor, however, on the 13th of December, reported to the house, "that the president had declared his sense "of the ill consequences of those books which had been sent up to their lordships from the lower house; that, upon enquiry, he could not receive any satisfaction, how far the convocation might proceed in that affair; but that he would, as far as "lay in him, take further order about it." Here the matter concluded.

Another affair, besides the main object of the royal commission, pressed, at this time, with great weight on the minds of the clergy in the convocation; and ferved to divert the attention of men from the difcussion of questions concerning alterations in ecclefiastical matters. This was the situation of the bishops and clergy who were under a suspension for refusing to take the oaths to the new government. One of the members of the lower house, from a reserved kindness for them, in a warm and zealous speech proposed that " fomething might be done to qualify them to fit in convocation, fo that the convocation 66 might not incur any danger thereby." But this matter, after it was suggested, was felt to be of too delicate a nature to be purfued, and was therefore left to farther confideration.

<sup>\*</sup> Birch's Life of Tillotson, p. 207, 208. Prideaux's Life, p. 57, 58. A Collection of Unitarian Tracts, 4to. 169.

<sup>||</sup> Dr. Compton's Life, p. 56,

The first day of the preceding March had been appointed for taking the oaths of allegiance and fupremacy to King William. Eight bishops, and, encouraged by their example, many individuals of the inferior clergy, under the idea that it was repugnant to the allegiance which they had fworn to their late fovereign, refused to take the oaths, and facri ficed their benefices with a laudable integrity to scruples of conscience; for in consequence of their refusal they were suspended from the exercise of their facred functions, and from the enjoyment of their preferments. They were distinguished by the name of nonjurors. The bishops so denominated were Sancroft, of Canterbury; Turner, of Ely; Lake, of Chichester; Kenn, of Bath and Wells; White, of Peterborough; Lloyd, of Norwich; Thomas, of Worcester; and Frampton, of Glocester. The five first of these were of the number of the seven bishops fent to the Tower by King James, for refusing to promulgate the declaration of indulgence. "Thus " a fecond time, and within a very flort interval," observes a very respectable author, "facrificing, "though in an ignoble and unworthy cause, their " interest to their fincerity and integrity."\* The vacant fees of the recufant prelates were not filled up for more than a year. Thomas and Lake did not long furvive their fuspension. The latter, on being feized with a dangerous distemper, figned a folemn declaration, in which he avowed it to have been his determination not to take the oath, though

<sup>\*</sup> Belsham's History from the Revolution to the Hanoverian Succession, vol. i. p. 115.

the penalty had been loss of life; and professed his adherence to the doctrine of non-relifance and passive obedience, which he regarded as the diffinguishing characteristics of the Church of England. his death this paper was published, industriously circulated, and extolled by the party as an inspired oracle, pronounced by a martyr to religious truth and fincerity.\* Kenn, whose publications, the genuine effusions of his heart, had a very practical tendency. lived after his deprivation with Lord Weymouth at Longleat. At the death-bed of King Charles II. when he attended on him, Bishop Burnet reports, that "Kenn spoke with a great elevation of thought "and expression, like a man inspired." He had been in early life chaplain in the court of the Prince of Orange; and on his landing, declared heartily for him, and zealously urged others to join him. But at the debates in the convention he warmly adopted the notion of a Prince Regent. Though he refused the oaths himself, he persuaded the clergy to take them; and wrote a paper with that defign, which Dr. Whitby faw and read. He even went to London with a defign to take them; but after he arrived in town, was induced to change his mind, and ever after continued in a warm opposition to the government. + He died 19th March, 1710-11. 5 The

<sup>\*</sup> Granger's Biographical History of England, vol. iv. p. 291, 2.

‡ Burnet, vol. ii. p. 459.

<sup>†</sup> Rurnet, vol. iv. p. 9. Granger's Biographical History of England, vol. iv. p. 290.

<sup>§</sup> There are two anecdotes related concerning Dr. Kenn, which shew the purity of his mind, the decorum of character he had sup-

death of Dr. Thomas, on the 25th June 1689, aged 76, prevented his being deprived of his fee, though he had been suspended. Dr. Frampton, in the earlier part of his life chaplain to the factory at Aleppo. retired after his deprivation to the village of Standish. near Glocester; where he died on the 25th of May 1708, in the 86th year of his age. Dr. Lloyd, a scholar of St. John the Evangelist's college in Cambridge, resided for some years at Lisbon as chaplain to the factory; in which post he conducted himself with fingular prudence and integrity towards the papifts. The most honourable testimonials had recommended him, in 1675, to the see of Landaff; from which he was translated to Peterborough in 1680, and to Norwich in 1685. After he was deprived, he removed to London, and died in the vicinity of that city on the 1st of January 1709. He left his library, in which were printed books and manuscripts of great value, to the college in which he was educated. The diocese of Norwich, when he was deprived, lost a very able and worthy pastor, a man of great integrity and piety, who had just and comprehensive views of the duties of his function:

ported, and an independence of spirit not to be corrupted by the influence of rank and station. When he resided in the court of the Prince of Orange, he obliged one of his Highness's savourites to perform his contract, by marrying a young lady of the Princess's train whom he had seduced by that contract. When he was chaplain to Charles II. and the court was at Winchester, he peremptorily resused to admit the royal mistress, Nell Gwynn, into his apartments. The Stateholder, in the former case, was highly offended; but the King, so far from resenting his religious intrepidity, soon after made him a bishop. Granger, vol. iv. p. 290, note,

and whose mind was bent to fulfil them on all occasions to the benefit of his church, and the honour of Gop.\* Dr. White, after the loss of his bishopric. lived in retirement, and died on the 30th of May 1608. Dr. Turner, the intimate friend of the pious Bishop Kenn, had enjoyed the peculiar friendship and patronage of the late absconded king, when he was Duke of York, by whom he was preferred to the deanery of Windsor, which he afterwards held with the bishopric of Rochester; from which he was translated to the see of Ely, on the 23d of August 1684. After he was deprived, he engaged in a correspondence with the queen of the exiled king: at St. Germain's; and entered on measures with the Earl of Clarendon, Lord Preston, and his brother Mr. Graham, for restoring King James to the throne, whilst King William was abfent at a congress of princes at the Hague. § The defign was discovered:

## \* Dr. Prideaux's Life, p. 73.

6 Bishop Burnet adds the name of WILLIAM PENN, the famous Quaker, to those who were engaged in this design. But his late liberal and intelligent biographer has fully vindicated the character of this great man from the mifrepresentation; who, though his name was inferted in a proclamation, on fuspicion of having been concerned in the defign, made it appear to the King and Council in 1693, that he never was a party in this or any other attempt of this nature. The immediate effect of which was his acquittal from the charge brought against him. Valuable and fair an historian as in general is the bishop, in this instance his statement was inaccurate; and his mind was warped by prejudice conceived against Mr. Penn when they were both at the Hague. The error stands on record as a lesson of caution to future writers; but it is to be the more regretted, as subsequent historians have copied from Burnet, and omitted to mention Mr. Penn's acquittal. - See Clarkson's Life of Penn, vol. ii. p. 377.

fome of the party were apprehended and imprisoned. Dr. Turner absconded. He died at Thersield in Hertfordshire, on Nov. 2, 1700. A vindication of the conduct of himself, and of the deprived prelates, in rejecting the oaths, came, with other publications, from his pen.

The person to whom the prelates, who were fellow fufferers with him, looked up with great deference and respect, was Archbishop Sancrost. He attracted their regards by his unblemished morals and great learning; commanded their attention by his exalted rank; and encouraged their imitation by his own example of fortitude on this occasion, and by his refusing to read the declaration for liberty of conscience. In other instances he had shewn himself timid and irresolute, fluctuating between an attachment to the late king and allegiance to the new; not uniformly confistent in his support of the one, nor absolutely discarding the interests of the other. "Though he had joined the other peers and " privy counfellors in inviting the Prince of Orange to take the administration of the government upon him, he refused to pay his compliments of congra-"tulation at St. James's on his safe arrival. When the convention met, he came not to take his place se among them, resolving to act neither for nor against 45 the interests of King James; and though he him-" felf refused the oaths, he cautiously avoided taking any steps, by acting or speaking, to deter others

ll Granger, vol. iv. p. 289. Burnet, vol. iv. p. 100; and Godwin de Præsulibus, curâ Richardson, p. 277.

"from fuch compliance." After his deprivation he lived in retirement at Fressingfield, in the county of Suffolk, where he was born, and where he died on the 24th of November 1693, aged 76. He is faid in his retirement to have cultivated his garden with his own hand; enjoying, though with the facrifice of greatness and splendour, the peace of conscious rectitude. In leaving Lambeth, he first withdrew to a private house in town. Thomas earl of Aylesbury paid him a visit there: the prelate received him at the door of his apartment, which he himself opened. The Earl, struck with this circumstance of humiliation, and the total change of the scene in which he had frequently beheld him at his palace, burst into tears. As soon as he recovered the power of speech, he told him how deeply he was affected with what he faw; and of his inability to suppress his grief. "Oh, my good lord," replied the prelate, " rather rejoice with me, for now I live again."

The integrity of these prelates in resusing the oaths to the new government, under the idea that to take them would be a violation of their former oaths, how erroneous soever we may think their views to have been, reslects honour on their names. It was a generous and magnanimous sacrifice of rank, influence, and wealth, to conscientious scruples. The religious reverence due to an oath was strengthened, in their case, by a habit of attachment, from education and principle, to the royal family of the Stuarts, and by their tenacious adherence to the

<sup>\*</sup> Belsham, vol. i. p. 115. † Granger, vol. iv. p. 281.

doctrines of passive obedience and non-resistance. They felt also their obligations to the royal house, to which they owed their high preferments. Their fituation brought them into a peculiar difficulty with respect to the clergy who applied to them for institution. They could not grant it without tendering the oaths, which they themselves thought unlawful. Previously to the year 1660, the Chancellors of a diocese had been invested with a power, in the absence of the Bishop, to give institution: but by a general agreement, in that year, the bishops determined to except this power out of the patents which they gave to their chancellors. The obligation to fee that the clergy, before they gave them inflitution, took the oaths to government, rested, by this exception, folely with the bishops; who, if they did not admit the clerks presented to them, were liable to the actions of quare impedit. Under this perplexity, to avoid at once fuch actions and yet wave enacting the oaths, they granted new patents to their chancellors, impowering them to give inflitution. "So they invested laymen with authority to "admit clergymen to benefices, and to do that " which they thought unlawful, as was the fwearing " to an usurper against the lawful king. Thus it "appeared," fays Burnet, "how far the engagements of interest and parties can run men into contra-"dictions." In the survey of human actions and conduct, what frequent occasions have we to observe and lament the failure of firmness, and deviations

<sup>\*</sup> Burnet, vol. iv. p. 9, 10:

from confistency, in characters on the whole respectable for integrity and independence!

The deprived bishops were permitted to continue at their fees above a year; and no nomination was made of others to their ecclefiaftical posts. They lived during this space privately in their palaces, and performed no episcopal function, and took no part in the concerns of the church. Bishop Burnet, by the Queen's order, engaged Sir John Trevor and the Earl of Rochester, who had great influence with the prelates, to try whether they would renew their functions, in ordinations, institutions, and confirmations, and affift at public worship, as formerly, should an Act be obtained to excuse their taking the oaths. When an attempt was made to afcertain their fentiments on these points, they would give no other answer than that they would live quietly. By this reply it was understood that their intention was to keep themselves close, till the proper time should encourage them to act more openly. This was an indication, that however a conscientious scruple might at first have determined them to refuse the oaths, an attachment to the abdicated family had a firm hold on their minds, and that they cherished the hope of an opportunity to express again their allegiance to it. All thoughts of engaging them to resume their episcopal functions were on this laid aside: and it was determined to fill the fees which had been vacated by deprivation. The detection of the plot which we have already

Burnet, vol. iv. p. 103-

mentioned, and the Bishop of Ely's letters to St. Germains, afforded a fair occasion, and furnished a justification for executing this purpose.

It was judged a matter of the first importance that the fee of Canterbury should be well filled. The effects of the other nominations would depend upon this, and they would all take their complexion from it. This would explain the views of the new king; and the nation would learn from the nature of it. whether he would continue to purfue moderate and healing measures, or would fall in with the passions and humours of a high party, that feemed to court him as abjectly as they inwardly hated him. In this critical moment, the King and Queen, from a defervedly high opinion of the Dean of St. Paul's. grounded on an experience of his zeal for their interest, and of his mild and prudent counsels for two years, directed their thoughts to Dr. Tillotson, the intimate friend of Dr. Burnet, a man of large principles, free from superstition, and an enemy to violence and severities: a man whose zeal had been directed against atheism and popery; but had never expressed itself in sharpness and bitterness towards the Diffenters, with many of whom he had lived in good correspondence, and several of whom, by the foftness of persuasion and arguments only, he had been the means of bringing over to the Church. As this amiable divine had no ambition in his temper, and he forefaw to what a scene of trouble and flander this high station would expose him in the decline of life; especially as the successor to one

who was called a confessor, and was the object of public compassion; he resisted the wishes and importunities of his royal friends to accept the post, for above a year. At length, with great uneafiness to himself, he submitted to the King's command. The fee of York foon after falling vacant, Dr. Sharp, an excellent preacher, but who was not fleady as Tillotfon, nor had an equal knowledge of the world, was promoted to it. Dr. Patrick was advanced to the fee of Elv: Dr. More was made bishop of Norwich; Dr. Fowler was promoted to the fee of Gloucester; the see of Hereford was given to Dr. Ironside; that of Chichester was bestowed on Dr. Grove; and that of Bristol was assigned to Dr. Hall; and Dr. Hough, prefident of Magdalen College, had, the year before this, been placed in the epifcopal feat of Oxford. "So that in two years," observes Bishop Burnet, "the King had named fifteen " bishops; and they were generally looked on as "the most learned, the wisest, and best men that 66 were in the church. It was visible, that in all these nominations, and in filling the inferior digof nities that became void by their promotion, no " ambition nor court favour had appeared; men es were not scrambling for preferment, nor using arts or employing friends to fet them forward; on the contrary, men were fought for and brought out of their retirements, and most of them very " much against their own inclinations. They were "men both of moderate principles, and of calm "tempers. These promotions were such a disco" very of the King and Queen's defigns with relation

"to the church, that it ferved to much remove the

" jealousies that some other steps the King had made,

" were beginning to raise in the minds of the whigs,

"and very much foftened the ill-humour that was

" fpread among them. ""

Not only the bishops whom we have mentioned refused the oaths to the new government; but many amongst the inferior clergy, from the same attachment to King James, from similar ideas of the permanent obligation of the oaths by which they had pledged their allegiance to him, without allowing for that obligation being fet aside by the king's breach of his coronation engagements, and from the encouragement and stimulus afforded by the example of the prelates, likewise pursued the same line of conduct. A bill was brought into the House of Commons, by which all persons were required, under feveral forfeitures and penalties, to take the oaths by a fixed day. The clergy who should not comply with the requisition, were to fall under suspension for fix months; and at the end of that time, on perfilling in the refusal, they were to be deprived. An attempt was made in the House of Lords to modify this bill. Bishop Burnet took an active part in favour of the clergy. Its object was, that instead of the clause positively enacting that they should be obliged to take the oaths, the king might be empowered to tender them, and then the refusal should incur the penalties stated in the Act. It was thought that such

<sup>\*</sup> Burnet, vol. iv. p. 110, 111.

a power would be a restraint upon their conduct, and fecure their quiet and peaceable deportment; whereas deprivation, and even the apprehension of it, would create refentment, and irritate their minds, in a fit of desperation, to undermine the government. It was argued, that no oaths could bring them under more ferious engagements than did the acts of religious worship officially performed by them in the church, which were a folemn avowal of their allegiance to God in the face of the people; that if they should neglect those offices, or perform them in a manner different from what the law prescribed; they were amenable to the Act of Uniformity. Oaths, it was urged, when a government came to need strength from them, had proved an inefficient security; and the obligation of them had been evaded by nice distinctions and subtle interpretations. It was pleaded on the other hand, that the proposed expedient would put a hardship upon the king, a measure to be carefully avoided: That no man, especially in fo facred a concern as religion, who would not give the fecurity of an oath, expressed in such low and general terms, ought to be trusted by a government: That the distance of fix months allowed sufficient time to study the point; and they who could not in that space satisfy themselves on the lawfulness of acknowledging the government, were not fit to be continued in the highest posts in the church. It further was proposed to allow an exception of twelve clergymen, who should be subject to the law on refusing the oaths, at the requisition of the king. But

the only mitigation that could be obtained, was a power to the king to referve a third part of the profits of any twelve benefices which he should name, to the incumbents deprived under this Act.\* Thus the Bill passed.

By the operation of this Act, the clergy were thrown into two divisions; one, which included a great majority, took the oaths; the other, the minority, who refused them. Among the latter were Henry Dodwell, distinguished by his learning and great zeal to exalt the powers and dignity of the priesthood; and who, in consequence of his refusal, was deprived of the Camdenian professorship of history in the University of Oxford: Dr. George Hicks, eminent for his extensive erudition and knowledge in antiquities, who lost the deanery of Worcester, and the rectory of All-Church in that city: and Dr. William Sherlock, to whose name various controverfial and practical writings have given a celebrity; and whose refusal, as he afterwards submitted to the requisition of the Act, subjected him to a temporary fuspension only from his preferments, and the mastership of the Temple; afterwards, when King James fled from Ireland, on the principle that this step gave the new government a thorough settlement. he thought it lawful to take the oaths; and followed up the change of his opinion by a compliance with the Act that proposed them. This provoked the refentment and chagrin of the nonjurors, especially as his refusal had been long and pertinacious, and

<sup>\*</sup> Burnet, vol. iv. p. 12, 13.

he was feverely libelled for it. He published a vindication of his conduct, to ward off the force of their censures. This instance of retractation and political conformity was, on the other hand, "a great tri"umph to the Court; and he was immediately rewarded, for what one party stiled his happy conversion, and the other his faithless apostacy, by the acquisition of the rich deanery of St. Paul's."\*

Among the majority who took the oaths, there was a difference of principle. Some acted from a conviction of the lawfulness of the oaths; on a liberal regard to the constitution of the nation, and the important interests to be secured by allegiance to the new government, and on the confideration of King James having forfeited, by his unlawful meafures, the allegiance of his subjects. But the greatest part of those who complied with the requisition of the oaths, appeared, by the distinctions and refervations with which they did it, to have acted with doubtful minds, if not in the direct violation of the dictates of conscience. They reconciled their consciences to it, though they had been warm advocates for the doctrines of non-refistance and passive obedience, by declaring that they took the oaths in no other fense, than that of a peaceable submission to the powers that were. They pleaded, that the legislature, by dropping the word "rightful," in the form of the oath, allowed the distinction between a king de facto, and a king de jure; and they availed themselves of this disstinction. It was a maxim with them, that if prudence obliged them to conform to the letter of the oath, for

<sup>\*</sup> Belsham, vol. i. p. 213.

conscience required them to give it their own interpretation. "Nothing could be more infamous or of "worse tendency," observes a modern historian, "than this practice of equivocating in the most facred of all obligations. It introduced a general disregard of oaths, which has been the source of universal perjury and corruption." The ways, by which it is well known the force and obligation of oaths are evaded, should admonish legislators not without the utmost reason to enjoin them, and to enjoin them on the sewest occasions possible. Promisory oaths as toduty seem to be wholly unnecessary in all cases, where the violation of duty is an overt act, that is open to notice and amenable to law.

Among those persons who in the case before us were diffatisfied with the oaths and refused to take them, some continued to preach even after the time had elapsed that was fixed by the Act of Parliament for their compliance, and fuch individuals were legally filenced. By this conduct they followed the steps of the ejected ministers, who persevered in their official duties after Bartholomew day; and whom they had on this ground cenfured with feverity, and charged with great guilt. The generality of those who persevered in their refusal, at length quitted their preferments, refused to hold communication with fuch persons as had taken the oaths to the new government, and formed a new feparation from the establishment. A congregation formed on the principles of the nonjurors, which

<sup>\*</sup> Smollet, vol. i. p. 68.

held its religious meetings at the Coffee-House in Aldersgate-street, existed later than the year 1750. The name of their minister was Lindsay, a clergy-man episcopally ordained.

This state of parties gave birth to a new, and, in feveral views, a remarkable contest. The nonjuring clergy accused such as had yielded to the government with betraying their consciences for great preferments, and reproached them as "a " pack of jolly fwearers." Thefe, on the other hand, upbraided the nonjurors as fchifmatics, who had fallen into the same crime which they had condemned in others. It was pleaded by the nonjurors, that their fecession was not voluntary, but forced by penalties, which were to them, as ministers, conditions of communion; and by fanctions of fo fevere and fatal a nature, annexed to the requisition of the oaths, as to warrant a feparation: That a clergyman's authority, whether a bishop or a priest, was from GoD; of which he was bound, at any hazard, to take care, and to perform its duties, notwithstanding any civil act to prohibit and disable him, under a folemn apprehension of the account he has to give: and, That no parliamentary deprivation could fet aside the obligations of bishops, not deposed by ecclesiaftical censures, to superintend their churches, or the obligation of their churches to live in subjection to them. This plea they applied particularly to Archbishop Sancroft, though he never afferted his right after his deprivation, and might therefore be uftly confidered as furrendering it.

To these arguments it was replied: That the first plea was uniting with differents to complain of unjust and severe penalties: That on the ground of it there was always warrant for separatists and nonjurors: That if admitted, it applied to them as ministers only, and left them at liberty as laymen to join the communion of the church: That the condition of taking the oaths required of the ministers was nothing to the people, who could not unite with them without being guilty of a notorious fchism: and, That granting they were grieved by the fecular power, and deprived of their subsistence as well as emoluments by an Act of Parliament, they ought not to revenge the injury on the church. It was answered to the second plea, that though the civil magistrate could not give or take away the intrinsic power of the word and sacraments, conferred with the keys of ordination; yet he could bestow, or, if the case required it, take away again, in his own. dominions, the extrinsical power and licence of exercifing the ministerial office, received by ordination: and to fuch a lawful deprivation the clerk was bound to fubmit. The nonjurors were reminded, that the time had been, when this doctrine was held to be true against the diffenters, whom therefore they had regarded as schismatics; and that, on this principle, they themselves incurred the same charge. It was urged against the third plea, that it did not appear how the churches were obliged to follow their ecclesiastical guides into schism: and that if there were any weight in it, the clergy in the provinces and

diocefes in which the metropolitan or bishop took the oaths, were obliged to adhere to them, and to separate themselves from those who opposed their authority. "When," it was asked, "did Christians in ancient times ever results communion with a church on account of matters of state; or divide from others, because they, from whom they divided, thought it lawful and their duty to swear allegiance to the sovereign power?"

From the time of the restoration of King Charles IId, two favourite principles had been strenuously advanced by the prelatical party; namely, " the of power of the magistrate in ecclesiastical matters, and passive obedience without any limitations." Now a fingular inversion in opinion and arguing arose from the change of circumstances. These principles were opposed even by persons who had been strenuous advocates for them. They who deferted King James, and joined the standard of the Prince of Orange, violated the principle of unlimited paffive obedience: for which they were keenly upbraided by their brethren the nonjurors. They who fell under the displeasure of the government, no longer owned the power of the magistrate in ecclesiastical matters; but set up the claim of an inherent right in the church: and fome of them went fo far as the kirk of Scotland to borrow new principles. They who were on the other fide, did not fail to cast reslections on them for this conduct. The high party, to defend themselves from the charge of schism, adopted the pleas of the differences,

for whom they had formerly the least charity. The moderate and the adherents to King William found it a hard task to vindicate their change of notions and schemes of government against the ill natured and bitter infults of the other party. In this collision of sentiments among the members of the established church, the differenters kept their ground, and adhered to the principles on which they had all along acted. They were fincere and cordial in their attachment to the new government. They received and improved the day of liberty with gratitude. Though they could not obtain such alterations in the ceremonies, worship, and discipline of the church, as would pave the way to a coalition; yet they conducted themselves with more respect both to civil and ecclefiastical powers, than was manifested by those who had formerly valued themfelves on their submiffiveness. From this time, as the favourable moment was past without any thing having been effected, they had no expectation of being amused and deluded with new proposals of alterations and amendments; and they were fully convinced, that nothing but a peculiar providence or fome fignal event would fatisfy those of the necessity of a coalition, who had been averse from improving two fuch excellent opportunities to accomplish it. as the restoration of Charles II. and the recent Revolution \*

The time was now come for the Dissenting Ministers to place themselves under the full protection

<sup>•</sup> Calamy, vol. i. p. 465-469.

of the Act of Toleration, by a compliance with the condition it required. This was a subscription to the doctrinal articles of the Church of England. Mr. Baxter took the lead. Difficulties and doubts. as to the meaning of some of the articles, arose from the obscure and ambiguous phraseology in which they were conveyed. He drew up a statement of his ideas on these equivocal terms, for his own fatisfaction, and to answer the scruples of others. The last clause of the second article contained an expression in the Latin, which though left out in the English, occasioned him to demur about the sense. It stated that Christ died to be a facrifice for all, omnibus, the actual fins of men; this Mr. Baxter supposed meant not to include final impenitence, but all forts of fin that had been forfaken. Christ's descent into hell. in the third article, he explained of the state of separate fouls. He felt various objections against the declaration of the third article, that Christ, on his refurrection, "took again his body with flesh and " bones, and all things appertaining to the perfection of man's nature, and therewith ascended into "heaven." This affertion he understood as fignifying that Christ sitteth in heaven with the same body, glorified, rendered spiritual and incorruptible, which on earth had confifted of flesh and bones. In the strict interpretation, the words would be contradictory to I Cor. xv. 50, that "flesh and " blood cannot inherit the kingdom of GoD;" and give us a degrading idea of his body, as inferior to what his fincere disciples will possess, who are to

rife incorruptible and immortal. He expressed his confent to the fixth article, as containing all things necessary to falvation, if the ministry, facrament, and church communion came under this description; and if, under the title of canonical books, were included the Epistles of the Hebrews, the 2d of Peter, and the 2d and 3d of John, Jude, and the Revelation. He entered his caveat against the clause in the seventh article, that the civil precepts of the law given from God by Moses ought not to be received in any commonwealth, unless it referred only to the particular civil laws appropriate and peculiar to the Jewish commonwealth; and not to those moral laws, included in the Mosaic institutes, which are of universal obligation, and common to all christian nations. As to the eighth article on the three creeds, he guarded against being understood to admit two Gods by subscribing the clause in the Nicene creed, "Gob of God, very God of very "God;" and made an exception to the damnatory clause of the Athanasian creed. He explained the infection of nature remaining even in the regenerate. according to the ninth article, to be fo, not in predominant force or unpardoned, but in a mortified and fubdued degree. The language of the tenth article, that "we have no power to do good works," he foftened into an acknowledgement that "our of natural powers or faculties are not sufficient " without grace." That the eleventh article might rot be construed as giving any countenance to a difregard of righteoufness of life, he was diffuse in his exposition of it. He shewed a solicitude to be understood as expressing, by the twelfth article, that 66 good works do fpring out necessarily of a true " and lively faith," an hypothetical necessity, confiftent with freedom; and he expounded the latt clause, "that by them," i. e. good works, " a " lively faith may be as evidently expressed as a tree. "discerned by the fruit," to mean a truth of evidence, not an equal degree. His explanation of the thirteenth article, " of works before justification," feems to fet it aside, by afferting a common grace. preparatory to a special grace; and to contradict it by referring to the texts, which declare, that " to " him that hath by improvement shall be given, and " in every nation he that feareth God and work-"eth righteousness is accepted of him;" and by observing, that believing in the being of God, and that He is the rewarder of them that diligently feek him, is "better than nothing, than mere fin." He fupposed that the phrase "voluntary works" in the fourteenth article, on works of supererogation, was not defigned to stigmatife, as arrogant and impious, voluntary canons, impositions, oaths, and church offices. He gave it as his fense of the fixteenth article, " of fin after baptism," that it meant only the unpardoned fin against the Holy Ghost, and a total departure from common grace, and some degree of habit and act of some special grace; but that it did not determine the controverfy concerning a total and final falling away from fuch an unconfirmed grace as would otherwise fave. On the eighteenth article, 66 of obtaining eternal falvation only by the name " of Christ," he offered some liberal observations; namely, that God judgeth men by uo other law than that which they were under: that the Jewish peculiarity did not repeal the gracious law made to fallen mankind in Adam and Noah: that Gop had more people of old than the Jews and profelytes. On these principles, Mr. Baxter conceived that the article could not mean to denounce a curse on all who thought that the spirit and grace of Christ extended beyond the knowledge of his name, and who hoped that some who never heard it would be faved. If it were intended to apply to fuch, he boldly and ingenuously declared, he would not curfe them; adding, all were not accurfed that hoped well of Socrates, Antoninus, Severus, Cicero, Epictetus, Plutarch, and fuch characters. In his expofition of this article he appealed to the case of the Iews of old, as having more imperfect notions of the character of Christ than the Apostles before his refurrection; and to the erroneous fentiments of even the Apostles themselves before that event. who did not till afterwards believe in the death of Christ for our sins, in his rising again, in his ascension and intercession. "Though faith in these facts is se now effential to Christianity;" yet Mr. Baxter fubjoined, " if I durst curse all the world, who " now believe no more than the ancient Jews and 66 the Apostles then did; yet I durst not curse all " Christians that hope better of them." He gave a turn to the twenty-third article, " of ministering " in the congregation," with an evident defign to make it comprehensive of the holy orders of prefbyterian ministers. The article itself describes and judges those to be lawfully called to preach and administer the sacraments, "who are chosen and " called to this work by men who have public authority given them in the congregation, to call " and fend ministers into the Lord's vineyard:" Our expositor declared he understood public authority to mean "authority given by Christ in his Scripture " institution, and by those whom Christ authorises "under him." This was a latitude of interpretation beyond the intention of the compilers, who exclusively had in view the authority of bishops. Mr. Baxter was not disposed to subscribe, without explanation, to the twenty-fifth article, on "the fa-" craments," in which they are represented not as " badges and tokens only of the Christian profession," but as " certain fure witnesses and effectual figns " of grace and of GoD's good-will." The interpretation which he gave of this description of their nature and efficacy, he expressed thus,-that they fignify what God offered; invest the true believing receiver in the right of pardon, adoption, and falvation; and are morally operative. He did not pass over the twenty-fixth article, "on the unworthiness of ministers, which hinders not the " effect of facraments," without subjoining his tentiments on the subject: they were to this purpose; That though the ignorance and wickedness of the minister doth not make void the sacraments, yet the prayers, preaching, and example of able and godly men are usually more effectual; fince "GoD "heareth not finners," as the blind man argued, 66 but if any be a worshipper of Him and doth his " will, him He heareth;" and to the wicked God faith, "what hast thou to do to take my covenant "into thy mouth?" He observed also on this article, that to prefer a bad man before a better was fin: and that it was dangerous to encourage in daily fin those who, though destitute of the essential qualifications, usurped the facred office of bishops or pastors. He added this exposition, probably from an apprehension that the article did not sufficiently brand ministers of a wicked character, and left room for superstition to attach virtue and efficacy to the office itself, which, instead of supporting it with purity and dignity, they dishonoured by their vices.

Mr. Baxter concluded the representation of his sentiments on the preceding articles with this declaration: "The excepted articles," that is, the 34th, 35th, and 36th, and part of the 20th, "and those that need no exposition, I pass by. If I have hit on the true meaning, I subscribe my assent; and I thank God that this national church hath doctrine fo sound; and pity them that write, preach, or practise contrary to the articles which they subscribe, and accuse them that refuse subscribing them; and take them for sinners who take them

"not for their pastors, because that their wickedness ulleth not their facramental administrations."\*

Mr. Baxter, at the time of subscribing, produced this explication of those articles on which he and many of his brethren felt some difficulties; that he might testify his disapprobation of the plain literal sense; and that his views in subscribing might not be mistaken. Fourfcore differting ministers in London concurred with him in his objections and explications. So far their conduct was explicit, ingenuous, and candid. They acquitted themselves like sincere upright men, who were folicitous not to deceive, or to be misunderstood. But it may be asked, what end was answered by a subscription accompanied with fuch explanations? If the explanations expressed only, in other words, the genuine meaning of the articles, and the defign of the impofer, there was no occasion for them. If they conveyed a different fense; subscription, instead of preserving an uniformity of faith and profession, was a cover for a variety of opinions, and for a discordancy of sentiment; and it becomes difficult to exculpate the subscriber from the charge of equivocation and evalion. Mr. Baxter, indeed, and his brethren, may be confidered as honestly declaring their view of the articles on which they had scruples. But admitting that their own private opinions were agreeable to the genuine fense, in complying with the requisition of the Act of Toleration, they lost fight of the great protestant principles,-the fole authority of the Lord Jesus

<sup>\*</sup> Calamy's Abridgement, vol. i. p. 470-476.

Christ as lawgiver of the Christian church, and the perfection and sufficiency of the scriptures; and they bowed to an assumed authority, to claims not of right but of power, to impose explanatory articles of religion. They lost sight of the extent and applications of their own principles, as seceders from a protestant church. Their names, as patterns of consistent protestantism, of religious independence, and of comprehension of mind, cannot stand on equal ground with that of their venerable predecessor John Fox, the martyrologist, who, when summoned to subscribe by the ecclesiastical commissioners of Queen Elizabeth, declined it; and taking his Greek Testament out of his pocket, said, "To this I will subscribe."

When diffenters had by subscription secured the protection and advantages offered by the Act of Toleration, and were become united in harmony and friendship with government, they turned their thoughts to their own internal state. They were divided into various fects; but the two leading and most numerous fects were the Presbyterians and the Independents or congregationalifts. The former were at this time in the very zenith of their power. The latter, who first formed a distinct party in 1616, had during the civil wars acquired numbers and respectability, and vied, in point of pre-eminence, with the Episcopalians and Presbyterians. During the administration of Cromwell, their reputation and Vinfluence rose to a greater height. But after the restoration of Charles H. they had declined; but

they still subsisted as a distinct body of seceders from the establishment. A coalition of these two parties, on fome general principles, was justly deemed defirable, to put an end to uncharitable jealousies and cenforious reproaches, and in future to bury their differences in oblivion. Such an union would be honourable to themselves, and conducive to the prefervation of the protestant cause. The religious liberty granted and fecured by the Act of Toleration was favourable to it; and the minds of ministers and people in the metropolis and in other places were disposed to concur in it. The first step in this plan of a coalition was to assume a common demonstration, that of United Brethren. Heads of agreement were also adopted, and affented to by fourscore ministers in London; not with a view to any national constitution, but to preserve order in their own congregations, and to maintain harmony between the two parties. They confifted of nine articles, which were adopted to express a concurrence of fentiment, and to propose an union of conduct, on the several fubjects to which they related. These subjects were churches and church members, the ministry, censures, communion of churches, deacons and ruling elders, fynods, the demeanour to be observed towards the civil magistrate, confessions of faith, and the duty owing, and the deportment which should be observed, towards those who were of a different communion.\*

<sup>\*</sup> See Appendix No. III.; and Mosheim's Ecclesiastical History, vol. iv. p. 532, note (s); and Harmer' Remarks on the present State of the Congregational Churches in Norfolk and Suffolk, p. 18, 25, 39, 41, 43, 44, 47, 50.

Mr. Howe had a principal share in drawing up this paper. But besides him, on the part of the presbyterians, Mr. Hammond, Mr. afterwards Dr. Williams, Mr. Stretton, Dr. Annesley, and Mr. Mayo, took a lead in the affair; and on behalf of the congregationalists, Mr. Griffith, Mr. Mead, Mr. Chauncy, Mr. Lobb, Mr. James, and Mr. Mather, lent the aid of their judgment and their pens to this measure of mutual agreement. The enumeration of the topics on which these heads of agreement turned, shews that the affent related only to matters of church government and ecclefiastical discipline and order. By the eighth rule it was provided, that the union should not be dissolved by difference of opinion on doctrinal questions; for it was well known that fuch differences existed, particularly between Mr. Baxter and Mr. Cockayn, who were members of the union. This agreement, as particularly appears from the ninth article, indicated greater liberality of mind in the Presbyterians, than that denomination had expressed towards the Independents in the times preceding the death of Charles I.; when, they not only showed towards them an inimical spirit, but declared with vehemence against that liberty of conscience for which the Independents were, to their honour, strenuous advocates.\* The eighth article was undoubtedly meant to be conciliatory, and professedly sets up the scriptures on points of faith, as a perfect and only rule of faith and practice; but it inconfiftently combines with it

<sup>\*</sup> Neal's History of the Puritans, vol. iii. p. 440, last edition.

as auxiliaries, or as tests, the Articles of the Church of England, the Confession agreed on at the Savoy, and the Catechisms of the Assembly at Westminster; as if the scriptures were not sufficiently explicit and authoritative by themselves. The declaration honourable to the scriptures is weakened or rather done away by a subsequent clause.

The definition given of the Catholic visible Church, in the first article, as consisting of the whole multitude of visible believers and their infant seed, lies open to remark. It consists of contradictory ideas; the ideas of knowledge and conviction implied in the term believers, and the ideas of ignorance and compulsion necessarily connected with a state of infancy; and it was evidently pointed against the Baptists: And in the uncandid spirit of the times, when this seet, still in the commencement of its reputation and influence, was looked upon with prejudice and contempt, it appeared designed to exclude them from this plan of agreement.\*

In this scheme of union, the united brethren studied by mutual concessions to compromise the differences between them. The Independents, it is

<sup>&</sup>quot;" A Church," fays Mr. Locke, "I take to be a voluntary society of men, joining themselves together of their own accord, in order to the public worship of God in such a manner as they judge acceptable to Him and effectual to the falvation of their souls. If say, it is a free and voluntary society. No body is born a member of any church; otherwise the religion of parents would descend unto children, by the same right as their temporal estates, and every one would hold his faith by the same tenure he does his lands; than which nothing can be imagined more absurd." Locke's Letter on Toleration, reprinted in 1800, p. 20.

worthy of notice, dropt the word covenant, which they had been fond of using to express the terms of their fellowship. They borrowed the expression from some transactions in the Old Testament; and as they derived it from the scriptures, it was esteemed by them extremely venerable and even facred. Under the fecond article, they receded in fome degree from the language of the platform of order in 1658;\* where they made the previous election or preceding confent of the church as indispensable to the ordination of a minister, or the communication of office power to him; but here they infilt on it as only ordinarily requifite. It was from the principle of compromise, it may be concluded, that in the article on the ministry, the term teacher, as distinct from pastors and ruling elders, was erased: though the distinction had been admitted by the old congregationalists, as what was to continue to the end of the world. Both Presbyterians and Independents, by their affent to these declarations, departed from the principles of their first institutions; namely, that se each particular church hath a right to choose " their own officers; and being furnished with such " as are duly qualified and ordained according to the Gospel rule, hath authority from Christ for " exercifing government and enjoying all the ordi-" nances of worship within itself: That, in the ad-" ministration of church authority, it belongs to the " pastors and other elders of every particular church 66 (if fuch there be) to rule and govern; and to the

Last edition of Neal, vol. iv. p. 192.

" brotherhood to confent according to the rule of the "Gospel." It may be regarded as a virtual conces-" fion, that ordination is rather an act of devotion, than " an inveltiture with power, when the fixth fection " of the fecond article admits, on the removal of a " minister formerly ordained to a new station or pas-"toral charge, a like folemn recommending of him " and his labours to the grace and bleffing of GoD." It was a diffinguishing characteristic of the spirit of these heads of agreement, that the authoritative power, assumed before this by the forms and claims of the presbyterian government in particular, was relinquished. In the preface, the imposition of these terms of agreement on others was disclaimed; all pretence to coercive power was owned to be as unfuitable to their principles as to their circumstances; and excommunication was defined to be no more than "declaring fcandalous and irreclaimable mem-66 bers incapable of communion in things peculiar " to visible believers." The prerogatives exercised in former days in fynods and affemblies are brought down, by the fixth article, to "occasional meetings of ministers, and to a reverential regard to their "judgment;" and, by article the fourth, to "frequent meetings together, that by mutual advice, "fupport, encouragement, and brotherly interse course, they may strengthen the hearts and hands " of each other in the LORD." By the second section of that article it is conceded, that "none of 66 their particular churches shall be subordinate to \* Sections 6 and 7 of the first article,

" one another, all being endued with an equality of " power from Christ; and that none of the said par-"ticular churches, their officer or officers, shall ex-" ercife any power or have any fuperiority over ec any other church or their officers." These were great concessions on the side of the Presbyterians; men, who, during the time of the Commonwealth, had been in alliance with the state, and had exercifed in various provinces of the kingdom as well as in London, an ecclesiastical jurisdiction. Their situation was now changed; and it was necessary policy, if not true liberality of spirit, to lay aside claims, which they had no means of supporting by the emoluments or power of this world. This agreement feems to have been the first step towards the decline of the presbyterian discipline; till at length, though the name is retained by many focieties of diffenters, the distinguishing principles of presbyterianism are lost; and the independent mode of church government is virtually, if not avowedly, adopted by all. The coalition, the principles of which we have stated, after the preliminaries of it were settled, was commenced with a religious fervice at Stepney on the 6th of April 1691: at which, by the appointment of the united ministers, a fermon was preached by Mr. Matthew Mead; which was published under the quaint title, but fuitable to the occasion, and agreeable to the tafte of the age, of "Two sticks " made one," from Ezekiel xxxvii. 19.

The differers would have shewn wisdom, and probably have commanded greater respect, and had

greater weight with the nation, if besides this limited and partial agreement between the ministers in London and its vicinity, they had adopted a scheme of general correspondence throughout the kingdom, and had adhered to it with consistency and firmness. It would have laid a foundation for suture vigorous and united exertions in a common cause; and would have precluded those clamours, which an attempt to form such an union has since raised.

It was however to their honour, that though they did not unite on a broad plan, which should comprehend all the body and its different denominations through the kingdom; they generally acted in concert, and were unanimous in the support which they afforded to the new government. Whereas in the church, contentions still existed. The zealous adherents to the abdicated king were folicitous to reinstate him on his throne; and a defign was concerted to affaffinate the reigning king in Flanders.\* A controverfy in favour of the deprived bishops agitated the church; and various tracts were published. Among others who refused the oaths, and afterwards distinguished themselves by a bitter and determined adherence to the cause of the exiled king, was Dr. George Hicks, whom James had promoted to the deanery of Worcester n 1683; a man of extensive learning, and particularly skilled in antiquities and the old northern languages. His conduct in the affair was fingular.

Burnet, vol. iv. p. 138, &cc.

At first he was willing to swear allegiance to King William and Queen Mary, refolved to do it, and determined, in order to give notoriety and folemnity to his pledge of fidelity to the new government, to take the oaths publicly in London. Previously to his fetting out, a friend, forefeeing the danger of his being affaulted by his acquaintance in the city, and of his being thus diverted from his purpose, advised him to continue at Worcester. He refented the suspicion of his steadiness which such persuasions implied, and commenced his journey. His friend met him on the road, and renewed his cautions. To these he replied by declaring himself fully convinced that it was his duty to take the oaths. But when he reached town, he was led to entertain other fentiments on the subject; he said that he had been mistaken; and not only refused to take the oaths, but fet himself at the head of those who refused to take them. He fell under suspension, of course, in August 1689, and was deprived in February following: and as he lived twenty-five years after this, he employed much of his time in writing in defence of the principles of the nonjurors.\*

It was very natural that the deprivation of a number of the bishops and clergy should agitate the public mind. They who lost their preferments, lost their temper: though they were not hunted from place to place, or vexed with prosecutions and imprisonments; some of them indeed were doubly taxed, yet they lived unmolested; and some were

Life of Bishop Kennet, p. 47; note.

permitted to name their fuccessors into their benefices. who, it was believed, allowed to them the greater proportion of the emoluments. In the diocese of Salisbury, Mr. Martin, besides being continued in his living, received from Bishop Burnet, out of his own purfe, the income of his prebend: for though he refused the oaths, he did not join in the schism of the nonjurors. Mr. Spinks was permitted to serve the donative which he enjoyed, by a curate. Mr. Jones's living was collated to a fucceffor, whom he nominated. Dr. Beach kept his living two years after the fentence of the law pronounced him to be deprived of it; and though he was indicted and convicted for uttering feditious words, he was pardoned. This lenient treatment was purfued in other dioceses. But the diminution of income which these-clergy fultained, if not the entire loss of the emoluments of office, and the obloquy under which their names fell, in the opinion of the majority of the nation, were felt to be grievances. These grievances provoked remonstrances; and the fingular situation into which the clergy were thrown, produced questions concerning the indelible permanence of their facred office and of episcopal authority, which were agitated with warmth.

The spiritual rights of the bishops against a lay deprivation were afferted and defended in "a Vin-" dication of the deprived Bishops," a tract published at this time. There also came out a pamphlet entitled "Solomon and Abiathar: or the case of "the deprived bishops and clergy discussed, in a "dialogue between Eucheres, a conformist, and Dys-

"cheres, a recufant:" by Mr. Hill. The author's defign was to shew, that Abiathar voluntarily refigned the office of the priesthood, and was not removed from it by the authority of Solomon; that he had no original legal claim to it; and that of course on his withdrawing from the facred office, it reverted to Zadok, without any title from the king. The tenor of this argument, in direct repugnancy to the statement of the historian, was evidently defigned to prove, that the English monarch could not justify his deprivation of the bishops by the example of the Jewish king. The spirit of the writer and the spirit of the times appeared in the representation given by him of the state of things under King William, which he stigmatised as "worse than a " deluge of popery; and the whole revolution," he branded " as a great impiety." With fuch fentiments concerning the existing government, it is not furprifing that the jacobites were frequently forming plots against it; that disappointments in their designs enflamed their refentment; and that events, which had an unfavourable aspect on the glory of the king's arms and the fecurity of his crown, fuch as the victory of the French at Landen, and the ruin of the Smyrna fleet, gave birth to exultations and rejoicings. "It is amazing to think," fays a liberal writer, "to what a length the interests and passions " of some men will carry them." A schism was attempted to be raifed in the Church. The divines Dr. Tillotson and Dr. Sharpe, who had been elected to the fees of Canterbury and York, because

they were faithful to the government and zealous for it, because they were charitable to the dissenters and friends to the toleration, were represented as men who intended to undermine the church and to betray it. Some of the furious divines, who raised these clamours, were protected and preferred, from the hope that indulgence and favour would soften their tempers and correct their prejudices. They accepted their preferments as the rewards which they believed to be due to their merits; and employed the credit and authority which these preferments gave them, against the very prelates to whom they owed them.\*

Amidst the agitations of the times, two events took place which were of a very gloomy cast; which appeared very inauspicious to the general cause of liberty, and to the rights and hopes of the diffenters in particular. On the 22d of November, 1694, died Archbishop Tillotson, whose high station had been made very uneafy, and whose spirits had suffered a great depression, by the virulent opposition of his enemies and by the reproach of evil tongues, though neither could provoke or frighten him from his duty. His mind was enriched with learning, and his heart was formed by the genuine spirit of goodness; in his character rectitude of principle was united with benevolence of disposition and sweetness of temper. The generous and liberal fentiments towards the diffenters, which diftinguished him; and his advancement to that station of influence, dignity, and wealth, of which their favourite Sancroft had

<sup>\*</sup> Warner's Ecclesiastical History of England, vol. ii. p. 653.

been dispossessive exposed him to the displeasure of the high party, and drew on him their jealousy and resentment. The king and queen were much affected with his death; and with great reason, it awakened a general concern and deep forrow throughout the nation. The event can now be viewed not only as affording to the prelate a calm retreat from the malice of his enemies, but as being even favourable to the cause, which appeared in his removal to have suffained an irreparable injury; for his mild and pacific spirit, observes Dr. Calamy, rendered him not so well able, as his successor Dr. Tennison, to contend with some difficulties, which, had he lived longer, he would have been called to encounter.

Dr. John Hall, bishop of Bristol and master of Pembroke college, on account of his moderation and piety, was recommended by a numerous party to fill the vacant fee of Canterbury. Dr. Stillingfleet bishop of Worcester, who was eminently learned, was judged by many to be a man in all respects fit for the post, and his nomination to it was not only favoured by the inclinations, but supported by the earnest request, of the Queen: besides being obnoxious, however, to the envy and jealoufy of fome on account of eminent talents and erudition, besides his temper and notions being generally regarded as too high by the whigs, his tender frame and ill health disqualified him for the fatigues attached to that high station. The approbation of the ministry, the general concurrence of the clergy and people, and the esteem of their majesties, after all,

determined in favour of Dr. Tennison bishop of Lincoln; a man who had many friends and no enemy; who possessed firm health and an active temper; who had served the cure of St. Martin, in the worst times, with singular discretion and courage; and had restored a large neglected diocese to good order and discipline. He was nominated to the see of Canterbury on the 8th of December, and his consecration took place on January 16, 1695, in the church of St. Mary-le-Bow, London.

Within five weeks after the removal of Archbishop Tillotson, the Queen fell fick on the 22d of December 1694. Her illness foon appeared to be the small-pox, a disease which had been fatal to the royal family. It baffled the skill of the physicians and the power of medicine, and she died on the 28th of the fame month, in the thirty-third year of her age, and in the fixth of her reign. Her personal accomplishments and virtues would in any station have created deep and mournful regret, through the circle in which the could not but have been admired and loved. Her capacity for government, and the wisdom of her administration in the absence of the king, displayed her great talents, and brightened the aspect of public affairs, which in other respects were of a melancholy complexion. Her zeal for the public good, her concern for the honour and profperity of England, her attachment to the protestant religion, her regard to the rights of conscience, and her folicitude to promote union amongst her subjects, rendered her life peculiarly important, and

her death a just ground of deep and universal forrow. She died more generally lamented than any princefs in our history; and the national respect and grief were testified in an extraordinary manner. Houses of Parliament went in procession before the chariot in which her body was carried to the place of interment: and the Order of Council, besides requiring all persons to go into the deepest mourning, directed the nobility and gentry to furnish their fervants with liveries of black cloth, and to cover their coaches with it. The people of Scotland, to shew how much they felt on this occasion, fet apart a day of humiliation. The two Houses of Parliament gave the example of waiting on the king with most respectful and consolatory addresses. The clergy, the city of London, and other corporations and chief places of the kingdom, were not behind the legislative bodies in similar testimonials of their sympathy with the king, and of their deep fense of the queen's death. The diffenting ministers in London and its vicinity, who had peculiar reasons to express their participation of the general grief, approached the throne in a large body; and in a speech delivered by Dr. Bates, at their head, poured out their empaffioned effusions of admiration and praise of the high perfections that shone in the person and actions of the deceased royal personage, and their strong affurances of inviolable fidelity and constant attachment to the person and government of the surviving fovereign.\*

<sup>\*</sup> For the Address at length, see Appendix No. iv.

Amidst the general expressions of high regard for the virtues and excellencies displayed in the character of the Queen; and of universal forrow on her death, there were not wanting publications which betrayed different fentiments. The funeral fermon for her Majesty was, at the King's appointment, preached at Dr. Tennison. Some persons, from a prejudice against the new archbishop and from disaffection to the government, were severe in their animadversions on this discourse, and on others preached upon feveral folemn occasions, about this time, by other bishops and eminent ministers in the church. The nonjuring clergy were most forward in their censures; and in the exasperated state of their minds, they did not refrain from malevolent aspersions on the king, and his deceased royal confort. The cry of passive obedience was renewed: and the heaviest charges of producing famine at home and contempt abroad, of impoverishing and ruining the nation for ever, were brought against the Revolution. One pamphlet published in this strain was entitled "Remarks on fome late Sermons:" another appeared in the form of "A Letter to the 46 Author of a Sermon preached at the funeral of " her late Majesty Queen Mary." This tract came from the pen of a worthy character, whose works had in general an obvious tendency to promote practical religion, and whose sermons were regarded as the genuine effusions of his heart. The author was Dr. Kenn, who had been deprived of the fee of Bath and Wells; whose attachment to James II.

or whose scrupulosity about the permanent obligation of his former oaths, rendered him inimical to the new government, and excited in his mind ftrong preiudices against the deceased queen, as having, in his apprehensions, violated filial duty and affection, when the was prevailed on to afcend her father's throne, and was made the instrument of superceding him.\* The archbishop did not judge it proper or necessary to reply to this letter; which was meant to charge him with unfaithfulnels in not availing himself of the opportunities afforded him, by his attendance on the queen in her last illness, to awaken a fense of guilt, where the prelate, viewing the conduct in a different light from his episcopal brother, doubtless thought that the royal personage had acted a virtuous and patriotic part. The author of the letter could fcarcely entertain a hope that his remonstrance would have any other effect than that of exposing the conduct of the prelate to censure; and together with the "Remarks," affording a teftimony of the spirit and sentiments with which the Revolution and the character of the queen were regarded by the writers of those pamphlets and by the party, of which they might be confidered as the voice. It was to the honour of the archbishop, that though he was filent under the reproaches cast upon him, he was not inactive in the high station to which he was raised. One of his first acts after his advancement was to obtain from the king "injunctions to the

<sup>\*</sup> Memoirs of Dr. Tennison, p. 31-41; and Granger's Biographical History of England, vol. iv. p. 290.

" archbishops, to be communicated by them to the bishops and the rest of the clergy, for reforming the government of the church; enforcing a regard to the canons relative to ordination; suppressing abuses occasioned by pluralities, and providing for the regular and constant discharge of clerical duties; and for a vigilant superintendance of the lives and manners of the clergy."

The tenor of fuch publications as those which we have noticed, afforded strong indications of the attachment to the exiled king, and of diffaffection to the Revolution, prevailing in the kingdom; fufficient to give encouragement to the formation of schemes for the overthrow of the existing government. stratagem to effect this object was concerted in France. The object was to invade England, and to affaffinate the King in his coach, either as he should go out to hunt, or on his return. The leading conspirators were Sir Wm. Perkins, Captain Porter, La Rue, Robert Chaveroch, and others; at the head of whom was Sir George Barclay. The French army was marched to Dunkirk, to act when the opportunity favoured their exertions. The Duke of Berwick came over to prepare matters here. The transport ships, a small fleet of cruizers and a convoy of men of war, were in a filent manner brought together, and properly disposed; many regiments were embarked; and King James was waiting at Calais, that on the first notice of the success of the affassination, he might fet fail; fo nearly was the scheme brought to a crisis. The design was to strike the

blow on the 15th of February 1695-6: but in the beginning of that month fuch a disclosure was made by La Rue, a Captain Fisher, and Pendergrass, an Irish officer, as led to an investigation which defeated the project. Upon the detection of this defign a royal proclamation was iffued, promifing large rewards to those who should apprehend any of the conspirators named in it; so that few, except Barclay, escaped. The prisons were in a manner filled with fuch as were apprehended. Several of them were tried on indictments of high treason, and were executed. When Sir John Friend and Sir Wm. Perkins fuffered death, three nonjurying clergymen, Mr. Cook, Mr. Snatt, and Mr. Collier, who had visited them in prison, attended them to the place of execution; and besides assisting them with their exhortations and prayers, folemnly gave them absolution, by imposition of hands, before all the people: " a strain of impudence," says Bishop Burnet, "as new as it was wicked." These persons died, owning the ill defigns in which they had been engaged, and expressing no sentiment of penitence for them. The conduct of the clergy who absolved them was a virtual approbation of their traitorous measures; and a daring insult of the government, and of the legal proceedings which had fentenced them to death. The two former were committed, tried, and fined, as well as cenfured; Mr. Collier made his escape. A declaration against this behaviour of the clergymen, as extremely insolent, as without precedent in the manner, and as altogether

irregular, was published by the archbishops and fourteen bishops, the whole of the episcopal bench then in town; and several nonjurying clergymen gave it under their hands, that it was neither the practice of the Church of England, nor allowable in such cases, to give absolution.\*

When fuch a spirit shewed itself towards "the "powers that were," it is not furprifing, if the friends and partizans of the new civil establishment were regarded with jealoufy and refentment. The liberty granted to the diffenters to worship God according to their principles was all along the ground of complaint, and a grievance to many. Though all the former feverities were not revived against them, they were borne hard upon at this time in feveral instances where the Act of Indulgence, had not specifically provided for their relief. Proceedings were commenced against feveral, especially Mr. afterwards Dr. Joshua Oldfield, then pastor of a congregation of diffenters at Coventry, for keeping academical feminaries. Mr. Oldfield was a man of distinguished talents and learning; who, previously to his fettlement at Coventry, had lived feveral years at Oxford, and was in habits of friendly intercourse with some of the first scholars of the university; among others with Dr. Wallis and Mr. Dodwell, by whom he was highly esteemed. He had complied with the requisitions of the law by a declaration against popery, by fubscription to the doctrinal articles of the Esta-

<sup>\*</sup> Calamy, p. 550; and History of the Life and Reign of William, III. p. 377, 12mo. 1744.

blished Church, and by taking the oath of allegiance to the government, in the support of which he joined an affociation. But these evidences of his protestantism and loyalty did not protect him from the spirit of intolerance. On the 6th of October 1696, he was cited to appear on the 14th of the fame month before the ecclesiastical court, to be held at Coventry, for the diocese of Lichfield and Coventry. He was charged with teaching without a licence; and when he demanded a copy of the libel or articles against him, he was put off, and forced to attend again for it at Lichfield on the 26th. The libel ex officio, which was there delivered to him, accused him of teaching without licence, and also without subscription to the whole Book of Common Prayer and the thirty-nine articles entire, contrary to the 77th canon; though no charge of deficiency in any other qualification, or any criminality of conduct in other respect, was alleged against him. The judge earnestly pressed him for an immediate answer; and the utmost indulgence he could obtain, was to postpone his appearance in the same place till the 7th of November, the next court-day. When the day came, he replied by his proctor. One of his family happening to be prefent was fo terrified by the judge himself, who threatened him with excommunication, as to bind himself by an oath to accuse the defendant; but, by withdrawing before the hour for his examination came, he evaded the obligation. Mr. Oldfield removed the cause to Westminster-Hall, and obtained a noli prosequi from the Court of King's-Bench.

The learned Mr. Frankland, ejected from Bishop's-Aukland in Durham, who conducted, at different places of refidence, an academical feminary of great reputation from 1669 to 1698, was, notwithstanding the protection and fecurity which the Toleration A& offered, haraffed by citations from the ecclefiastical courts from the Revolution in 1688 to his death in 1698, foon after he had obtained a fimilar prohibition to stop proceedings: in the mean time he had been excommunicated, on one of the citations, for non-appearance. Others met with trouble on the fame grounds. The spirit of the law, which indeed, under great limitations, granted liberty of religious profession, and the known dispositions of the Court, were not fufficient to restrain the operations of intolerance without an appeal to the royal prerogative, to obtain from the liberality of the king the indemnity which the law ought to have guaranteed. The proceedings against Mr. Oldfield and Mr. Frankland, on account of keeping feminaries for claffical and philosophical learning, were peculiarly groundless and illiberal, as both had studied at the Universities of Cambridge, and left that feat of fcience and literature with great reputation for talents and proficiency.\* Profecutions against men for opening schools and diffusing knowledge were more fuitable to the age of Gothic barbarism, than to

<sup>\*</sup> Calamy, vol. i. p. 551; Dr. Wm. Harris's Funeral Discourses, p. 382; and Palmer's Nonconformist's Memorial, vol. i. p. 491.

times like those of the Revolution, when the day-star of light and liberty was rising on mankind.

The conduct of Sir Humphrey Edwin, a diffenter, and the lord-mayor of London this year, in carrying the regalia of his office to the meeting-house at Pinner's Hall, will be deemed by many to have been injudicious, and in those times of irritation calculated to raise jealousy and inflame the passions. The fact is, that unhappy consequences arose from it both in this and the fucceeding reign. It was represented by a warm advocate for the church, not only as a reproach to the laws and magistracy of the city, that the mayor should carry the sword of state with him to, as the divine elegantly expresses himself, " a " nasty conventicle," that was kept in one of the city-halls, but as atrox facinus, a horrid crime; and he called the plea offered in its defence, on the principle that the Act of Toleration as much established the religion of the diffenters as that of the nation, "an arrogant reason." The horrid nature of the crime, and the arrogance of the plea, could not be feen nor admitted by many who wished that this proceeding of the lord-mayor had been waved.

But the most marked and prominent seature of the times appeared in statute 8th and 9th, passed in the reign of King William III. intitled, "an Act for "the more effectual suppressing of blasphemy and "profaneness." Some years before this the controversy concerning the doctrine of the Trinity had been agitated; and Unitarian sentiments, called then as in the presenteday, by way of obloquy, Socinian, had been

advanced and defended in various publications; which Mr. Firmin, a citizen of wealth, and a pattern of active and generous benevolence in various ways, as well as of zeal in religious matters, dispersed over the nation, and gave to any person who was disposed to read them. Great attention was excited; the subject became a common topic of discourse; indignant contempt was expressed against mysterious doctrines, as the contrivances of priests, actuated by a design to bring the world into a blind fub mission, and as governed more by the spirit of priestcrast than the love of truth. The friends of the received doctrines were alarmed; a great outcry was raifed against focinianism; and the enemies to the government availed themselves of the opportunity, either through real or pretended fears, to purfue steps and to use a language which tended to undermine it. In this ferment of the public mind, the Commons addressed the King, Feb. 17, 1698, to this effect. They requested his Majesty to issue out his royal proclamation to all the magistrates and justices of the peace to put in speedy execution the laws against profaneness and immorality, and to require from time to time an account of their proceedings on it: They befought his Majesty to discourage, in a particular manner, all vice, profaneness, and irreligion in those who had the honour to be employed near his royal person, or in his fervice by fea or land; to appoint strict orders to be given to all his commanders, not only to shew a good example, but to inspect the manners of those under them: and they entreated that his

Majesty on all occasions would distinguish men of piety and virtue by marks of his royal favour. They also solicited the king to give such effectual orders as to his royal wisdom should seem sit, for the suppression of all pernicious books and pamphlets, containing in them impious doctrines against the Holy Trinity, and other fundamental articles of faith, and to discountenance the authors and publishers of them.

The concern expressed by these senators for the suppression of vice, immorality, and irreligion, will be deemed truly laudable, worthy the friends of virtue and the guardians of their country's best interests. But in the last clause of their address they will be thought to have gone beyond their province as legislators, which was to define and secure the rights existing between man and man; and to form laws, as the times and the state of property might alter, for the protection of those rights. The civil magistrate is the guarantee to every citizen against injuries from his fellow citizens; but he is no umpire between Gop and man: he has no claim, by deputation from either, to decide what is religious truth; nor does he possess, either in his personal capacity or his official character, any peculiar prerogative or ability for the task. The same means of information, the fame oracles of divine truth, lie open to the magistrate and to the subject : each is responsible to God for his religious opinions. In the times of which we are speaking, the force of these principles, which are more universally admitted in these days of better knowledge and superior liberality, was very partially felt; and the application of them was, in general, made only to cases that related to ecclesiastical government or to religious ceremonies.

We are, therefore, less surprized, though we may be disposed to lament, that such an address from the Commons was followed by the statute which we have mentioned. This Act recited, that many persons had of late years openly avowed and published many blasphemous and impious opinions, repugnant to the doctrines of the Christian religion, very dishonourable to Almighty God, and in their tendency destructive to the peace and welfare of the kingdom. To provide against these evils, and to suppress, as the Act expresses it, "these detestable crimes," it prohibits fuch publications; and enacts fevere penalties against any and every person, educated in the Christian religion, or who at any time had made a profession of it within this realm, who shall by writing, printing, teaching, or advited speaking, deny any one of the persons of the Holy Trinity to be God, or shall affert or maintain there are more Gods than one, or shall deny the Christian religion to be true, or the holy scriptures of the Old and New Testament to be of divine authority. To enforce these prohibitions, an incapacity and disability in law, to all intents and purpofes whatever, to hold any office or employment, ecclefiastical, civil, or military, or a privation of any office or employment held and enjoyed by the offender at the time of conviction, is the punishment affixed to the first offence. To be disabled from any right of fueing, profecuting, pleading, or using

any action or information in any court of law or equity, from being guardian of any child, or executor or administrator of any person, or capable of receiving any legacy or deed of gift, or of bearing any office civil or military, or any ecclefiastical benefice, for ever, within this realm, and an imprisonment for three years without bail or main-prize from the time of conviction, is the punishment assigned to the fecond offence. Some of the provisions in this clause feem to have been formed on the model of an edict by the Emperor Marcian in the fixth century, against the Eutychians and Apollinarists, by which they were rendered incapable of disposing of their estates, of making a will, or of inheriting any thing by the will of others, or by a deed of gift.\* In one claufe this statute may appear to express a degree of indulgence and lenity towards those who were convicted on it of any crime or crimes; as it provided, that on the acknowledgment and renunciation, within the space of four months, of the offence or of erroneous opinions, in the court where the conviction had taken place, fuch offender should be discharged from all penalties and disabilities incurred by such conviction.

Even this clause deserves to be reprobated, for it is insidious and ensnaring; holding out a temptation to the irresolute, and opening a door of escape from the penalties of the statute to those who are destitute of piety and moral restitude. Can it be ascertained, whether a renunciation of opinions made under such circumstances is the effect of conviction, and

an unequivocal proof of fincerity? Does it not look very suspicious? Has it not the appearance of being the act of 'a dismayed hypocrite?' Such statutes are incompetent to the end proposed by them, namely, fuppressing the avowal and checking the spread of any particular tenets. "No terrors, not even those "of death, are strong enough," it has been well observed, "to prevent the virtuous character from " making a profession of his religious sentiments. "There have been men in all ages who have chosen "to endure even an agonizing death, rather than "withhold an open testimony to their convictions; "and men there ever will be, who will think the 66 profession of their religious sentiments a duty of "indispensable obligation, and who will defy every "hazard rather than conceal them."\* If fuch statutes are incompetent to prevent the avowal of the fentiments against which they are levelled, the inflicting of the penalties they enact, instead of restraining the publication of fuch fentiments, promotes it, and gives a spread to them under circumstances that are very favourable to their reception. The case of a virtuous fufferer under the lash of such laws attracts notice, moves pity, gives a notoriety to his opinions, and raises his character for fincerity and fortitude in the estimation of the public. All these circumstances will give weight to his opinions, and turn the attention of men to them. "The words are gone forth, and can-" not be recalled; the public curiofity is only attracted "towards them by any species of legal cognizance

<sup>\*</sup> A Treatise on Heresy, by a Barrister at Law, p. 122, 3. 1792.

"whatsoever; a more general examination of the

" fentiment succeeds, and amongst the number of

" enquirers, fome, of course, will become advocates

" for the opinions of the preacher. The poison has

" entered the circulation, and must have its course."

The inefficiency of such statutes exposes the folly of them, and draws on them just contempt. But in reference to the intolerance and cruelty which mark them, they deserve to be branded with the most opprobrious censures.

It at once awakens deep concern and roufes warm indignation, that fuch a statute remains, though men do not act on it, even in our day, to dishonour our legislative code. The times in which it passed were not equally enlightened with the present; a great horror existed in the minds of most men against the fentiments which it was framed to suppress. Even such a spirited and upright advocate of civil and religious liberty as Andrew Marvell, could complain that there was " a very great neglect fomewhere, wherefoever " the inspection of books was lodged, that at least " the Socinian books should be tolerated, and sell " as openly as the Bible." The diffenters, in the address of their body to King William in 1697, had intreated and urged him to stop the press against the Unitarians; in which request they had in view the tracts written and circulated under the patronage of Mr. Firmin. || The disposition to pass the statute

<sup>\*</sup> A Treatife on Herefy, by a Barrifter at Law, p. 125.

<sup>†</sup> Rehearfal Transposed, part x. p. 172, (1672); or Thompfon's edition of Marvell's Works, vol. ii. p. 122.

<sup>#</sup> Emlyn's Works, vol. ii. p. 374. Lindfey's Hift. View p. 202.

under confideration was unhappily countenanced by a precedent in the Long Parliament in 1648, which, by the influence of the presbyterians, had passed an A& fimilar to this, but with the feverer penalty of death to be inflicted on the party condemned; "though indeed," as Mr. Lindsey adds, "three e years imprisonment is sometimes worse than "death." No precedent, no prejudices, though they may extenuate the culpability of the legislature in passing such an Act, can wholly justify it; especially as at that time feveral pens had ably afferted and defended the rights of conscience, explained the principles of free enquiry, and exposed the injustice of intolerance. There had been published "An "Essay concerning the power of the magistrate, and the rights of mankind in matters of religion;" a tract, which if it were "thoughtfully read and impar-" tially confidered," was calculated to make every ingenuous reader ashamed of persecution.\* There had appeared from the press "An Apology for the "Parliament, humbly representing to Mr. John "Gailhard some reasons why they did not at his " request enact fanguinary laws against protestants " in their last session," 1697. But the consideration which on this subject peculiarly wounds the reflecting mind is, that the statute of which we are fpeaking was not prevented by the close reasonings and liberal fpirit with which the nation had been addressed about nine or ten years before in Mr.

My Review of the Life &c. of Biddle, fect. vi.

<sup>\*</sup> An Apology for the Parliament, p. 39.

Locke's "Letter concerning Toleration;" which, though published first in Latin in Holland 1689, had excited fo much attention as to have been translated in the same year into the Dutch, French, and English languages; and the English translation had, within that space, gone through two impresfione, first in quarto and then in duodecimo. It is to be lamented, and to him who does not make allowance for the force of prejudice, and the flow progress of truth, however ably supported, it may appear furprifing, that the weight and authority which this tract derived from the fingular talents and eminence of the author, had not given a decided turn to the public mind in favour of full religious liberty. Mr. Locke, it is to be noticed, was not the first writer on the subject; the public attention had been directed to it some years before, even during the civil wars, when the argument had been ably stated and discussed. The misfortune was, that " not only the government," as the judicious and enlightened translator of Mr. Locke's Letters observes, " had been partial in matters of religion, 66 but those also who had suffered under that par-"tiality," and had endeavoured by their writings to vindicate their own rights and liberties, had done it for the most part upon narrow principles, fuited only to the interests of their own sects. Even Mr. Locke's generous principles were not, though flated in his clear and strong manner, immediately efficacious in enlarging and expanding the minds of men.

To this it is to be added, that political jealousies and interests blended their influence with religious zeal. This was very apparent in some subsequent proceedings against the papists. In the sessions of Parliament of 1698, an address was presented to the king, complaining of the boldness with which, from his Majesty's unexampled clemency, the papists had of late frequented the metropolis, and all places of public refort; and befeeching his Majesty to issue his royal proclamation against them. This the king promifed to do. The fact was, that many priests. alarmed at the evils which they anticipated from the effects of the revolution, had left the kingdom; but after the peace of Ryswick, they returned, accompanied by numbers of their order, and appeared about the town without referve, and with an assumed boldness, presuming on the spirit of toleration which had begun to operate in favour of other fectarists. The enemies of the government availed themselves of this circumstance to asperse the whig ministers, as being indifferent to the interests of religion, and to throw out infinuations that the peace of Ryswick included a secret article in favour of popery. Even the king himself was accused of an attachment, or at least partiality to that religion. Under the pretence of zeal for protestantism, and from a malignant enmity to the friends of government, in the fession of 1699, Mr. Howe, one of the most virulent jacobites in the house, under the fanc-

<sup>§</sup> Belsham's History of Great-Britain from the Revolution, vol. ii, p. 8, 8vo.

tion of a committee, of which he was the chairman, brought in a bill of great feverity. It inflicted a fentence of banishment upon all popish priests and schoolmasters, on pain of perpetual imprisonment in case of their return; and it offered a reward of 1000l. for their apprehension. It also enacted, that no papilt, born after the 25th of March 1700, should be capable of inheriting either title or estate; or of purchasing lands, &c. either in his own name or in trust for another, within the realm. The most extraordinary clause of the bill was that which required all papifts possessing estates in land to take the oaths of allegiance and fupremacy, and the test, when they attained the age of eighteen; and till they did it, the estate was to devolve to the next of kin that was a protestant.

The tenor of this bill and its progress through the house were marked by malignant insidiousness on the side of the party who moved it, and moved it with a design to perplex the measures of administration; for they indulged the expectation that the whigs, influenced by their principles of indulgence and toleration, would oppose it, and by such a line of conduct draw on themselves popular odium. Disappointed in this apprehension they would have dropt the bill. The court party were chargeable in this affair with a conduct irreconcileable to the maxims of fair and just policy, and were carried away by their zeal against popery. Bishop Burnet informs us, that he was in favour of this bill, "not-" withstanding his principles for toleration, and against

" all perfecution for confcience fake." Both parties penetrating into each other's designs, and jealous of each other's fuccess, were alert in manœuvres to counteract one another's views, and to clog the bill with new clauses, unreasonable and severe, to prevent its being passed by the lords. But such fear lest popery should recover its power and influence was entertained, that the bill rapidly paffed through both houses. Bishop Burnet, it is not to be doubted, spoke the sentiments of the lords, the commons, and the whole nation. "I had always "thought, that if a government found any fect in 66 religion incompatible with its quiet and fafety, 66 it might, and fometimes ought to, fend away all " of that fect, with as little hardship as possible."\* "A principle of policy," observes a liberal historian, "which would furnish just as valid a pretence for the expulsion of the Moriscoes from Spain, or the "Hugonots from France, as of the Papifts from "England." The original cause of the supposed expediency and necessity of such measures exists in the alliance of the church with the state, and the connection of the civil power with a particular mode of religious profession. The rigour and severity which distinguish the measure adopted in the case before us, are most obvious; and have so affected the minds of men in our times, that the legislature has, with a generous unanimity, repealed the Act; and the repeal has had the fanction of national approbation.

<sup>\*</sup> History, vol. iv. p. 333.

It may be remarked as the opprobium of the times in which this bill paffed, that there stands on record no protest against it by any of the lords; nor against the malignant statute, the terrors of which still hang over the heads of Unitarians; from whose sentiments, as they are grounded on free enquiry, and are not blended with any political interest, no danger to the state is to be apprehended. Neither of these bills, we may conclude, met with the hearty approbation of the king: he was reluctantly induced, by the influence of Parliament, and the imagined neceffity of the times, to give his affent to them. This may be inferred from his answer to the Scotch commissioners, when they tendered to him an oath, containing a clause about rooting out heresy, that he was not willing to be made a persecutor.\* Majesty's known tenderness to diffenters, and averfion to persecution, brought on him the charge of intending, by tolerating all religions, to overturn the Established Church. "The former part of this character " argued him to have a just sense of 66 the rights of human nature, as well as of the true interests of Britain; the latter is a reflection, that "discovers at once the ingratitude, ignorance, and " bigotry of those who made it." †

Passing over the intervening political occurrences, we come to an event which tended to revive the hopes of the discontented, and to alarm the sears of

<sup>\*</sup> Burnet, p. 332, 333; Belsham, vol. ii. p. 38, 39; Lindsey's Historical View, p. 305, note.

<sup>†</sup> Calamy, p. 619.

the true patriot, while it wore a gloomy afpect with reference to the cause of religious liberty, and the security of the protestant diffenters; this was the death of the king on the 8th of March 1701-2: a king, to whom they looked as their glorious deliverer from popery and slavery, to whose title they were true and firm adherents, and in whose service they were faithful. "To him the intellectual world is "indebted for the full freedom of discussion, and the unrestrained avowal of its sentiments on subjects of the highest importance and magnitude. To him "Britain owes the affertion and the final establishment of our constitutional privileges." †

‡ Belsham, vol. ii. p. 222.

## CHAPTER II.

Controversies agitated in the period between the Revolution and the death of King William.

## SECTION I.

The Controversy respecting the Rights, Powers, and Privileges of Convocations.

"HE Convocation or ecclefiastical synod in England differs," observes Judge Blackstone, confiderably in its conflitution from the fynods of " other Christian kingdoms: those consisting wholly of bishops; whereas, with us, the convocation is "the miniature of a Parliament, wherein the arch-66 bishop presides with regal state: the upper house of the bishops represents the House of Lords: and the lower house, composed of representatives of the several dioceses at large, and of each particc cular chapter therein, resembles the House of "Commons, with its knights of the shire, and bur-" geffes."\* This constitution originated in the policy and necessity of Edward I. who, in the year 1219, when the knights, citizens, and burgeffes were first summoned to Parliament by the royal

<sup>\*</sup> Commentaries, vol. i. p. 280. ed. 179.

writ, iffued also his writ to all the bishops of England to call together the clergy of their several dioceses at Westminster, to give him their help and counsel. This was the first national affembly to which the lower clergy were called by the king's writ. The object of the king was not so much to extend their privileges, and to raise their importance in the community, as to obtain aids from them; and to introduce a precedent and a method of taxing ecclesiastical benefices by consent of convocation. He accordingly demanded half the profits of their revenue for one year.\*

In confequence of the debates and diffentions which arose in the convocation that met in the beginning of King William's reign, it was prorogued, as we have feen, for 10 years. But the prorogations were conducted with exactness and regularity. With the opening of every other fession of Parliament the convocation was fummoned, and was in being with it. But as in the year 1665 the clergy had vielded up the right of giving their money in subsidies to the state by their own votes, and had submitted to be taxed by the House of Commons, there was at last nothing for them to do when they met; | for, notwithstanding all the danger which threatened religion during the former reigns, they never pretended to fit and act as a fynod. Under these circumstances they fat only for form's fake; the meetings were of course adjourned from time to

<sup>\*</sup> Warner's Ecclefiastical History of England, vol. i. p. 479

<sup>‡</sup> Page 63. || Burnet, vol. v. p. 37.

time by the prefident, but always in a capacity to be convened whenever the exigences of church or state required their counsel and affistance. No such exigence happened for several years. This exemption from an unnecessary and expensive attendance, which was defigned for the eafe of the clergy, by releasing them from an obligation of absence from their cures, became by degrees a ground of complaint: they murmured at the frequent and renewed prorogations as a violation of their constitution; and they cast severe reflections on the Archishop of Canterbury in particular, and on the episcopal bench, as neglectful of the interests of the church, and even disposed to betray them. These discontents were privately fomented among those of the lower clergy who were nonjurors. In 1697 these disputes became known to the world at large through the press; and the pen being taken up, the controversy was continued in different and successive publications. In these circumstances, while the public mind was agitated, and the clergy, in particular, were in no good temper, the Tory ministry, into whose hands the king had put the reigns of government, demanded, at the very beginning of their administration, that a convocation should be permitted to fit. It was summoned and met in the church of St. Paul's in London, on Monday Feb. 10th, 1700. The convocation was opened with speeches full of severe reflections on the bishops, which, from an unwillingness to enter into disputes, they passed over in silence, and with a forbearance that did them credit. On

the 21st of February, Dr. Hooper, dean of Canterbury, a man of learning and good conduct, but referved, crafty, and ambitious, was chosen, prefented, and approved as prolocutor. The archbishor, Dr. Tennison, meant and actually attempted to prerogue the convocation on the 25th of the fame month; but obstructions were thrown in the way of this measure. The constant method of adjournments had been this,—the archbishop signed a schedule for that purpose, by which the upper house was immediately adjourned; and that inftrument being fent down to the lower house, it was likewise. On this occasion the clergy, aware that the continuance of their meetings depended on the will and pleasure of the archbishop, who could hinder or break off all debates by an adjournment, resolved to contest this point. When, therefore, the schedule of prorogation was brought down and delivered to the prolocutor, they continued fitting in defiance of it, and proceeded in some debates, which, though of no moment in themselves, expressed their determination to act independently of the archbishop's After this the prolocutor himself, by the consent of the house, intimated an adjournment, and appointed the next meeting to be held in Henry VIIth's chapel, in opposition to the schedule; which included the whole body of the convocation, and to prevent separate and distinct meetings, had appointed it to affemble in the Jerusalem chamber.

These proceedings of the lower house were, with reason, considered by the archbishop and the ma-

jority of his suffragans, as setting up a claim of seperate interest and power. The union of a provincial synod was broken, the good correspondence between the two houses was destroyed, and the common methods of business were frustrated. On February 28th, when the fourth session was held, the prolocutor and clergy, acting on the principle of their last adjournment, did not meet his grace and the bishops in the synodical place, the Jerusalem chamber. This conduct was justly interpreted as a second contempt of the authority of the president and of the obligation of the schedule, the instrument of continuing or adjourning the convocation.

To come to an understanding on the points of difference between the two houses, the archbishop, with the confent of his episcopal brethren, sent for the prolocutor, and put to him these two questions. First, "Whether the lower house did sit after they "were prorogued by his grace on the 25th of Fe-"bruary?" And secondly, "whether they did meet "that very morning without attending in the Jeru-" falem chamber, to which they were prorogued?" After some discourse which these questions brought on, the prolocutor faid, "that the lower house was "preparing a paper to lay before his grace and the " upper house concerning the method of proroga-"tion and fome other matters of form." The archbishop replied in his own name and in the names of his brethren, that though they thought fit for the prefent to continue the usual practice, they were ready to receive and confider what should be offered

by the clergy, and to adopt such measures upon it as should appear to be just and right. As an instance of a conciliating temper, it was immediately added in the schedule of that day, to preclude ambiguity and dispute, after in binc locum, "vulgo vocat: Jerusalem "chamber." The lower house submitted to this with a falvo jure; and on the next session, the 6th of March, agreeably to the definite form of the last schedule, gave their attendance in the Jerusalem chamber. On being soon dismissed, they returned to their own house, and prepared a report from the committee, which had been appointed to search the records of the convocation for directions relative to the prorogations of their house; which in a little time they carried up to the upper house.

In this paper they afferted that it had been the usage of the lower house to continue its sittings till adjourned or prorogued by the prolocutor or his deputy, and not always to adjourn on the same day with the upper house. They also alleged, on the authority of some precedents, that it had been the common practice of their house, when the upper house was adjourned by the words in hunc locum, to meet apart in the particular place where they had fat last, distinctly from their lordships; even when the upper house had been adjourned to a place particularly specified by name, as St. Paul's, or Lambeth. They further pleaded that they found no evidence to prove that it had ever been the practice of the lower to attend the upper house before the former had met and fat according to its own adjournment; but

when it had first met and fat, they then, at their own motion, or by a special message, attended the bishops on business.

This paper was entitled "a Report of the Com-"mittee," and did not run, as it should have done, in the name of the "house;" but the archbishop. without taking any advantage of this informality. directed it to be read, and then referred the examination of it to a committee of the bishops; who drew up a copious reply to it, in which all their precedents were examined and answered.

In the mean time the archbishop delivered to the prolocutor the form of an "humble Address to his "Majesty," for the consent and concurrence of the lower house; which was given, with one alteration only, by the substitution of the phrase "reformed "churches" instead of "reformed religion." It thanked his Majesty for his constant protestion and favour to the Church of England. It acknowledged his pious concern for the reformed churches in general; and it expressed an assurance of stedfast fidelity and affection, and a readiness to maintain the fupremacy of the king, as fettled by law, This address was presented on the 10th of March to his Majesty; who accompanied his gracious reception of it, and his thanks for the promifes which it contained, with an affurance, that he would never extend his fupremacy beyond the law.

The lower house sat for some time in deliberations on a reply to the answer of the bishops; but instead of proceeding in it, they defired a free conference.

This was refused by the bishops, as a step altogether new; for though the clergy had, on some occasions, and to explain some point, been invited to a conference with the bishops, they had never before presumed to propose and to desire it of themselves. It was resolved, therefore, not to admit a conference; but to require an answer to the paper which had been sent to them. The lower house, on the ot er hand, resused to comply with this requisition, and resolved not to notice the archbishop's adjournment, except in adjourning themselves on the day appointed in his schedule, but by their own ast, and to intermediate days.

While these disagreements concerning their respective prerogatives and privileges existed between the two houses of convocation, the lower house stept forward in an unusual manner to exercise authority, and display their zeal in religion. They collected and examined feveral books, in which the received principles of the church, which had the name of orthodox, were impugned and controverted. They noted down the obnoxious passages, which they cenfured as erroneous; and wanted nothing but the king's leave to fanction their censures, and to introduce new canons into the church. They began with a treatife, which had been published in 1696, entitled " Christianity not mysterious," by Mr. John Toland; a work which excited much attention, created an alarm, and exposed the author to the blind and uprelenting malice of bigots in power. On the 20th of March, the prolocutor carried up to the upper house

a representation of this book, on the ground of some propositions injudiciously selected from it, as a treatife of pernicious principles, and of dangerous consequence; and they requested their lordships to concur in the censures and resolutions which they had passed in reference to it; and to adopt effectual measures to suppress such publications. The bishops. though they held Toland and his work in equal abhorrence with the clergy, confidered this forwardness and activity of the lower house to judge and decide in points of faith, as striking directly at epifcopal authority. They were furprifed to fee fuch important acts of church government assumed by men who had long afferted the divine right of epifcopacy, and had maintained that presbyters were no more than their affistants and council. They were also jealous of the credit, which it appeared to be the aim of the clergy to fecure to themselves, by this shew of zeal for the great articles of religion. Under these impressions the bishops demurred on the propofals of the lower house; and determined to take the opinion of council, how far the Act of Submiffion, t in the 25th of Henry VIII. restrained them.

† By the Act of Submission, which originated in convocation, and which, at the request of the clergy, had been confirmed in Parliament, they acknowledged, "that all convocations ought to be "affembled by the king's writ; and promised, in verbo facerdotii, "that they would never make nor execute any new canons or confitutions without the royal affect; and since many canons had been received that were found prejudicial to the king's prerogative, contrary to the laws of the land, and heavy to the subjects, that therefore there should be a committee of 32 persons, 16 of the

"two Houses of Parliament, and as many of the clergy, to be named

On the case being submitted to the judgment of the lawyers, some were afraid, and others were unwilling, to give a decided opinion. But Sir Edw. Northey, afterwards the attorney-general, thought that to condemn books was a step of great consequence, as it might, by censuring some explanations and allowing others, affect and alter the doctrine of the church; and that the convocation, since it had no license from the king, would incur the pains in the statute by intermeddling in such matters. The bishops, directed by this advice, suspended all further debate on this business.

Another publication, which came under their examination, and incurred their censure, was Bishop Burnet's "Exposition of the Articles." "They "fell upon it," says a modern writer, "with the "utmost fury, as a performance full of scandal to the "church, and dangerous to religion." They were much prejudiced and highly incensed against the author; and this gave an unavoidable bias to their judgment in reviewing his work. In imitation of the impeachments by the House of Commons, they expressed their censure of this book in three general charges: That it allowed a diversity of opinions, which the articles were framed to avoid: That it contained many passages contrary to the true meaning of the articles, and to other received doctrines of

<sup>&</sup>quot;by the king, who should have full power to revise the old canons, and to abrogate, confirm, or alter them, as they found expedient, the king's affent being obtained."—Neal's Hist. Puritans, vol. i. p. 14. ed. 1793; or Parsons's Abridgement of Neal, vol. i. p. 2.

<sup>‡</sup> Archdeacon Blackburne's Works, vol. v. p. 274.

the church: and That fome positions in it were of dangerous consequence to the church as by law established, and derogatory from the honour of the Reformation.

This paper of complaints having been read aud taken into confideration by the bishops, the president observed to the prolocutor, that it contained general affertions only, without proofs. He required in the name of the bishops that the lower house should fend up the specialties or particulars on which their charges were founded. The prolocutor, and the members who attended him, returned to the lower house, and it was supposed that the clergy were preparing their particular charges against the Bishop of Sarum's work. After waiting fome time, a proper officer, Mr. Tillot, was fent to enquire of the prolocutor, whether any propositions relative to particular passages in it were in readiness to be presented to the archbishop and bishops. The messenger foon came back with the following abrupt answer: "This 46 house desires Mr. Tillot to return their lordships "their humble thanks for their meffage; and to tell "them, that this house is preparing business, but are " not yet ready with it." It was never learned what were the particulars to which the general heads of censure referred. "It was," says Burnet, "a " fecret lodged in confiding hands." It should be mentioned to the honour of this prelate, that fo far from evading an examination of his book, he begged the archbishop to dispense with the order against further communications on the subject.

On receiving the message delivered by Mr. Tillot, the archbishop and bishops, in a committee, came to a declaration of their judgment on the steps taken in this matter by the lower house. They delivered it as their decision, "That the lower house had no "power, judicially, to cenfure any book: That they "ought not to have entered upon the examination " of a book written by a bishop of the church, with-"out first acquainting the president and bishops with their defign: That cenfuring the work of et the Bishop of Sarum in general terms, without " alleging the particular passages on which the cen-" fure was grounded, was defamatory and scandalous: "That the Bishop of Sarum, by his excellent 66 History of the Reformation, approved by both "houses of Parliament, and by other writings, " had done great fervices to the Church of England, "and had justly deserved the thanks of their house: "And that though private perfons may expound the " articles of the church, yet it could not be proper " for the convocation, at that time, to approve, " much less to condemn, such private expositions." By these decisions of the upper house the lower was restrained from proceeding, in their corporate capacity, to those extremities to which they shewed a a strong inclination. The task of animadverting on the Bishop of Sarum's treatife was assigned to an individual, generally supposed to be Dr. Binckes, who they had good reason to believe would make the most of it to the public; and who, in the name of his brethren, published, in 1702, "A prefatory

Discourse to an examination of a late book, entituled, An Exposition of the Thirty-nine Articles of the Church of England, by Gilbert bishop of Sarum." In which discourse he is said to have pursued the exposition with sufficient spleen.\*

Previously to the 30th of May, when the prolocutor of the lower house first laid before the upper house the representation of their sense upon the Bishop of Sarum's "Exposition of the Thirty-nine "Articles," the archbishop and the bishops had proposed that a committee, confisting of a select number from the upper house and an equal number from the lower house, should meet and consult, in order to an amicable adjustment of the points in dispute between them. But the lower house declined coming into any fuch agreement. On which his grace and their lordships declared, that they would receive nothing from the lower house till the irregularities which attended its proceedings were fettled. When, therefore, the document in which they criminated the publication of the Bishop of Sarum was presented, the archbishop read to the prolocutor and his attendants a paper drawn up by the consent of the bishops, and fanctioned by their approbation, declaring "that they could not receive " any thing offered from the lower house, till the 46 late irregularity of refusing to meet the committee " of the bishops, for inspecting the books of the "convocation, was fet right." A copy of this paper was, at his defire, prefented to the prolocutor.

<sup>\*</sup> Blackburn ut fapra.

On this, he and those who accompanied him, went tothe lower house; and returning within a few minutes waited in the chamber adjoining to the Jerufalem chamber. Here they were met by Humphrey bishop of Bangor, who, by the direction of the archbishop, asked the prolocutor, "whether the message he was " now charged with, was to fet the irregularity com-"plained of right?" The bishop, returning to the house, reported that at first the prolocutor replied, "that it was fomething in order to fet that irregula-"rity right;" but then, as if recollecting himself, he faid, "it was concerning that irregularity." On this the prolocutor and his attendants were called in; and his grace expressed the readiness of the house to receive any propofal that tended to fet right the irregularity of which it had complained. The prolocutor replied, it was fomething concerning it; and then read to them these words: "The paper that "the lower house ordered the prolocutor to present "to your grace and their lordships, was their humble " representation concerning a book, entitled, 'An " Exposition of the Thirty-nine Articles of the " Church of England,' and hath no relation to the "fupposed irregularity your grace and your lord-" fhips think fit to complain of: of that we are ready "to give your lordships satisfaction, when thereunto called; and in the mean time most humbly request "that your grace and your lordships will be pleased "to receive the faid paper."

The manner in which this paper was introduced and presented, excited the surprize of the archbishop

and the bishops at the conduct of the prolocutor. The Bishop of Bangor in particular entered a complaint against it, in a writing signed by his own hand, and charged him with prevarication; for when the bishop had put the question to him, whether the message he had to bring in was to set right the irregularity, of which the upper house complained, he replied, first, that "it was something to set that "irregularity right;" then he said, "it was con-"cerning that irregularity;" and at last it appeared that the message he brought concerned wholly another matter.

When the archbishop and bishops declared their judgment on the proceedings of the lower house against the work of Bishop Burnet, which was on the 13th June -, they took a decided part with the Bishop of Bangor in the altercation between him and the prolocutor, by a declaration that he had made a true and just report of the prolocutor's answer at the door of the house, which corresponded in effect with the answer which he made in the house to the archbishop; that the paper which he read, relative folely to the Bishop of Sarum, had no reference to the irregularity complained of; and that the prolocutor's answer was such as by no means ought to have been given by him to the archbishop, or any member of the house. On the same day they passed a vote of approbation on Dr. Verney and other diffentients from the majority in the lower house, for having acted, in their opinion, to the dictates of right and duty; and as deferving the protection of

the upper house in maintaining the just rights of convocation. The prolocutor and some members of the lower house incurred their censure, for having violated the methods of proceeding in convocation, endangered the constitution of the church, and been guilty of manifest disobedience and contempt.

All the bishops, except the bishops of London, Rochester and Exeter, concurred in these proceedings. Dr. Compton, who filled the fee of London, had for feveral years united himself to the Tories; and though with little authority or force, had opposed the court in every thing. His hopes of being advanced to the fee of Canterbury had also been twice disappointed. Dr. Spratt, who presided over the diocese of Rochester, had enfered deeply into the measures of the former reigns; and during this he adhered to his party with firmness, though the irregularities of his life did it no honour. Sir Jonathon Trelawney, at that time the bishop of Exeter, was the avowed patron and defender of the fynodical rights of the clergy. § These bishops entered their diffent to the resolutions of the upper house; yet, though they adhered to the lower house, they conferred no great reputation on its proceedings.

While the lower house declined, amidst these altercations, acceding to the proposal from the upper

<sup>\*</sup> Burnet, vol. v. p. 40, 41, 42. Calamy, vol. i. p. 607-8 Archbishop Tennison's Life, p. 77-91.

Atterbury's Sermons, dedication, p. 2.

Burnet, vol. v. p. 42. vol. i.

house, that the points in dispute between them should be referred to a committee, formed of a select and equal number from each, they did not treat the proposal with entire neglect; but on June 6th, delivered in a paper, in which they gave their reason for not meeting the committee of the bishops. They intimated that they were a distinct house, and claimed a liberty to admit or decline at their own discretion the appointment of committees; and that they could fee no ground for fuch a committee. They pleaded, that the bishops had no regular way of becoming acquainted with the transactions of their house, but as they were laid before them; and that if the inspection of their journal was demanded as a right, they might reasonably infift on their liberty: That before the upper house required the inspection of their present proceedings, they had reason to expect from their lordships to be put in possession of the journals of the lower house for the years 1586, 1640, 1661, &c. which of right belonged to them. They complained that they were discouraged by the declaration, that what they had done was of dangerous consequence; and that their lordships' sentence, cutting off all intercourse between the two houses was not only over fevere, beyond the occasion, but that for the present it destroyed the whole design and very being of a convocation.

This paper was referred to the examination of a committee of bishops, who drew up a full and explicit answer to it. In this answer they remon-

strated, that it would have been becoming in the lower house to have acknowledged the patience and tenderness of the upper house. They stared, that on fearching for precedents, they found that they had a right to appoint committees; and that it had been the uniform practice of the lower house to comply with fuch appointments. They complained, that the lower house, by their refusal, had disobeyed the authority of the prefident and bishops, and destroyed the intercourse between the two houses; and for this conduct they might have been proceeded against by canonical admonitions and censures, which had hitherto been forborne; but that till they returned to their duty, business could not proceed. The lower house, it was urged, in the reasons which they had offered for their justification, had evidently mistaken both right and fact. They had affumed to themselves to be an independent body; when the whole convocation was but one body, of which the archbishop was the head; and both houses had always been continued or prorogued by one instrument or act. Their aim in the motion to have the books inspected, it was pleaded, was to prevent miltakes, and to take away all occasion of disputes and controversies between the two houses. The complaint of wanting former journals, as if the lower house had a right to keep them, if they knew where, was cenfured as very unreasonable and unjust: for it was pleaded, that the registers and acts of both houses belonged to the archbishop; and that the ancient registers were deposited in the library

at Lambeth, where any perfons might have free access to them. It was also said, that if the lower house had met the bishops, they might have convinced them, that adjourning themselves and other transactions were at once illegal, and of dangerous consequence. It was therefore recommended to them to consider of their irregularities.\*

In the fession of June 20, the prolocutor, accompanied by the greatest part of the lower house, appeared after prayers, holding in his hand two distinct papers, and offering to deliver both. On which the president addressed him to this essect: "That " he could receive no paper from him, but that " which contained the particularities of the general " charge against the Bishop of Sarum; which, at the " request of the faid bishop, he was then ready to " receive." To this the prolocutor replied, "That " he had two papers in his hand ready to present to his grace and the rest of the bishops then present, " if his grace would receive them; but without the "direction of the lower house, he could not present one separately from the other; and that therefore "he would return and take the opinion of the " house." But he never came back with that opinion. The convocation was prorogued by royal writ, first to August the 7th, then to September the 18th; and foon the Parliament was diffolved, and the convocation with it. "From the fire thus 66 raifed in convocation, a great heat," fays Bishop Burnet, " was spread throughout the whole clergy

<sup>\*</sup> Calamy, vol. i. p. 606, 607.

" of the kingdom; it alienated them from their bishops, and raised factions among them every where."\*

A new convocation of the province of Canterbury was summoned to meet at the same time with the Parliament, on 30th December 1701; Dr. Sherlock, dean of St. Paul's, opened it, in that church, with solemn prayers, and a fermion in Latin. Dr. Woodward, dean of Sarum, a civilian, grown popular by opposing his diocesan, to whom he owed his preference, was elected prolocutor, in preference to the learned Dr. Beveridge, the archdeacon of Colchester, by a majority, and confirmed by the archbishop.

Unanimity prevailed at first between the bishops and the clergy; and both houses readily concurred in the first synodical act, which was addressing the king. The address expressed, with one feeling, their deep resentment of the indignity offered to his Majesty by the French King, in declaring the pretended Prince of Wales to be the king of his Majesty's realms and dominions; against whom, whilst they renewed their protestations of firm and unshaken allegiance, they assured him of their best endeavours to maintain, in their respective places and stations, his rightful title to the crown, and the succession to it in the protestant line.

But these auspicious omens of union did not last. The faction raised in the lower house had still the majority; and six days after the address had been

<sup>#</sup> Burnet, vol. v. p. 42.

presented to the king at Kensington, a question arose concerning the right of adjournment, whether it was vested in the archbishop alone, or whether the lower house had the power to adjourn itself. This question revived and widened the differences between the two houses. A difference of opinion on this point had in every fession led to disputes, but they had been managed with peace and order; and the house, whatever notions of independency had been advanced by a few individual members, had hitherto fo adapted the entries in their journal, that all unanimously met upon the fynodical days and hours appointed by the archbishop in his schedules of prorogation. But on January the 28th, 1702, a member of the lower house unhappily moved to change the form of entry in their minutes, and to use the phrase dominus prolocutor continuavit et prorogavit quoad hanc domum. The motion was carried; and by this form the prolocutor, instead of intimating that the house was continued or prorogued, adjourned or continued its meetings in his own name.

In the next fessions, on February the 3d, several members objected to this entry: but, by the votes of a majority, it was resolved, "that there should "be no alteration, and that the matter should not then be further debated." The house was about to proceed to other business, when the schedule of prorogation came down from the upper house. Upon which a member moved, "that the message which he observed had been delivered to the pro-

66 locutor, should be communicated to the house. "which he thought they had a right to infift on." This was opposed by the majority; and they proceeded to appoint a committee of grievances. After this the prolocutor adjourned, as by the authority of the house. Against this adjournment the disfentient members made a verbal protest, declaring, "that the House had no pretence of right to adco journ itself, when the schedule from the arch-" bishop interposes to prorogue the whole convo-" cation; and that therefore they protested against 66 the irregular way of adjourning adopted at that "time." This verbal protestation was put into writing before the next meeting on the 9th of February, when Dr. Freeman, dean of Peterborough, moved, "that the protestation should be admitted " and entered into the journal of the house, as a " flanding evidence of their afferting the just rights es and authority of their metropolitan as their " president." This was opposed by a majority; and matters would have been carried to a great height, had not a question proposed by Dr. Beveridge prepared the way for some conciliatory concessions on a future day.

The question put by Dr. Beveridge was, "Whe"ther, upon supposition that the house may sit
"upon synodical business after the coming down of
the schedule, till they think their business over,
the house would agree that the schedule should
be then executed, and the house prorogued
to the day and hour therein specified by virtue

" of the faid schedude, and in obedience to the " authority whereby the whole convocation was " prorogued." To evade a reply to this quef-"tion, it was, after some debates, agreed to appoint a committee to confider of an expedient to compose the disputes about the prorogation of the lower house. Eight members were chosen on each fide for this purpose. On that of the lower house, Dr. Hooper, Dr. Jane, Dr. Aldrich, Dr. Binckes, Mr. Needham, and Dr. Wynne: on that of the upper house, Dr. Beveridge, Dr. Hayley, Dr. Willis, Dr. Kennet, Dr. Prideaux, and Mr. Lloyd. This committee met on February 10th: and it was agreed for the fake of accommodation, first, "that no forms of prorogation should be " used hereafter by the prolocutor, that were not " used before the last convocation: secondly, that "the forms used by the prolocutor in the convoca-"tion of 1586 and 1588, should be hereafter used " by the prolocutor, in the order in which they lie " in the books, beginning with the first, till they "they are all gone through: and thirdly, that thefe 66 forms should be pronounced by the prolocutor, " when the house agreed that their business " was over."

After this, some boasted of the advantage gained on the side of the convocation, and declared that the cause of the archbishop had been given up by his friends; and they excluded the schedule from any concern in the adjournment. To obviate this construction, others prepared a paper in time for the

next fessions; to declare "that they understood " the latter part of the agreement aforesaid, with an " exception of any case when the president should " fee cause to fend an order with the schedule, " fignifying the express time of intimating the pro-" rogation upon that day. Otherwife, if it wee repretended to mean that the authority of the archbishop, or any order sent from the upper house, was thereby excluded or diminished; they "then folemnly protested against any such meaning or intended meaning." The right members also drew up a declaration of the fense in which they understood the articles of agreement; and among other things, they alleged their refusal to contact to an alteration that was offered on the third article, viz. "that the form should not be pronounced by " the prolocutor, till the house agreed that the " business was over." The principle on which they grounded their refusal was this: "That though "they might generally prefume upon the arch-" bishop's confent for their sitting to dispatch all " proper business, yet they could not agree to any roposition that would preclude his right to pro-" rogue them immediately, if he found it expedient." They added that they used the words " pronounced " by the prolocutor," to prevent any question about "adjourning themselves" being put to the house.

The next fession would have been held on the 12th of February, but a particular occurrence induced the archbishop to prorogue it to the 14th. The prolocutor being indisposed appointed Dr.

Aldrich, dean of Christ-church, to act in his place. The members who disavowed the proceedings of the majority, expressed a disposition to accept the deputation, if application were duly made to the prefident to approve and confirm it. To this the majority appeared to agree; and one of the leading members, Dr. Atterbury, faid, "that there was no " design to make a common referendary between "the two houses, without consulting his grace." Yet it was refolved that no fuch application should be made. The archbishop, therefore, sent for the clergy to the Jerufalem chamber, and prorogued the convocation to the 14th; telling them, "that an " incident of great moment had happened, on which " he and his brethren must take time to consider." He then ordered Mr. Tillot to read the schedule. On the 13th Dr. Woodward died; and when the houses met the next day, the archbishop, expressing his furprife at the news, adjourned them to the 10th: on that day, as no business was depending, his grace prorogued the convocation to the 5th of March.

The prolocutor, Dr. Woodward, previously to his illness, had, in order to recommend himself to a great party, lodged a complaint of a breach of privilege in the conduct of his diocesan Dr. Burnet, his best friend. The case was this: the clergy had paid their attendance on the bishop through his visitation, which he constantly held in person, in a regular and respectful manner: but the dean of Sarum had, not only without offering any excuse, declined appearing

as rector of Pewley, but aggravated his absence with figns of contempt. The bishop ordered a citation in the usual method, before any privilege of convocation could be pleaded, or could exist. When the time of privilege afterwards commenced, he directed his chancellor to put a stet upon the case; and no further proceedings in it took place. This light matter was made the ground of a complaint and remonstrance to the upper house on the 9th of February. It was represented not only as an unlawful molestation of a member of the lower house, but as an injury offered to the whole body of the convocation, in the person of the referendary between the two houses. The archbishop and bishops answered, that the proceedings referred to commenced when there was no privilege; that upon opening of the convocation, a stet had been put upon the case; and that no citation of process had been fince decreed. The beginning and profecution of this complaint were marked, indeed, with fo much partiality and prejudice, that many persons of candour and equity hoped that the author, if he lived, would have repented of it.

In reference to this matter and other points of litigation which had been agitated between the two houses, the archbishop closed the session with a conciliatory speech. His grace reminded the lower house, that the complaint against the Bishop of Salisbury for a breach of privilege had been readily admitted by the bishops, and by no one with more readiness than by the bishop himself: that the

answer promised for the next day, though prepared to be given and lodged in the records of the bishop's court at Salisbury, was not communicated, on account of the prolocutor's illness. Appealing to that answer, his grace expressed his hope that the clergy would not fuffer themselves for the future to be furprised into complaints, till they were fully affured that those complaints were well founded both in fact and right. He stated the delicacy and difficulties of immediately proceeding to the election of a new prolocutor, in the absence of many of the clergy, at a great distance from London, on the duties of their respective cures at the solemn time of Lent, when no fynodical business was yet before the convocation by royal authority; and when a war, which would divert the thoughts of men from business of this nature, was breaking out. He expressed his concern at the heats which had arisen, as "giving great scandal and offence even to those " who understood not the nature of the controversy, but were much concerned that there should be 66 any difference among men who, by profession, " were the ministers of the gospel of peace." He concluded with an affectionate exhortation; be-" feeching his brethren to look forward to the "things that make for peace, and whereby" he faid, " we may edify one another, and the established 66 church, of which, by the fingular goodness of "God, we are members; fo that those differences " which have continued too long already, may be " speedily and happily composed. To which end I

"I heartily commend myself and you to the God of peace and unity, through Jesus Christ our Lord."

The reasons offered by the archbishop for postponing the choice of a prolocutor, and the lenient spirit of his speech, satisfied a great part of the clergy; and they were pleafed with the opportunity of returning to their churches and families; and determined to wait till some emergent occasion would require that a prolocutor should be elected, or that the convocation should sit. But others discovered great discontent, and would meet two days after in Henry VIIth's chapel, to form themselves into an affembly, and to choose a moderator or chairman. When the day to which the archbishop had prorogued both houses arrived, they proceeded as a house, without a prolocutor, to the chamber adjoining to the Jerusalem chamber; and meeting with the Bishop of Lincoln, who, as his grace's commissary, had again prorogued the convocation to a future day, they requested him to inform the archbishop that it was their desire to proceed to the choice of a prolocutor. The bishop, with great kindness of deportment, declined carrying a verbal meffage to his grace, lest he should fall into a mistake in the delivery of it; but offered, if they pleased to dictate to him, to take their sense in writing, and lay it before him. On this they replied, "That it was the unanimous defire of the " lower house of convocation,"—Here they were interrupted by a member of a different opinion, the chaplain of the archbishop, who had that morning

waited upon his lordship; he modestly interposed with. his diffent, and expressed his "hope that no such " meffage would be delivered in the name of the " whole lower house; for as without a prolocutor "they were not a house, and did not act in that ca-66 pacity, fo many of the members, and he himself "for one, had not affented to any fuch meffage; " he prefumed, therefore, that it would be more of proper to let the message run in the name of " several members of the lower house." The bishop, in writing down their message, expressed it in this limited manner. This raifed a new clamour. They who assumed the name of a house, particularly, Dr. Finch, warden of All-Souls college in Oxford, cast severe reflections on the member who proposed the alteration of their motion; and accused him as a betrayer of their rights and liberties, though no one had with greater affiduity and care afcertained, or with greater firmness afferted, all the rights and powers that were agreeable to the practice of former convocations, and confonant to the established constitution of the English church and state. A few days after, on the 8th of March 1702, King William died. By this event the convocation was virtually diffolved: for the authority of the archbishop's mandate, by which the convocation was affembled, depended on the king's writ, the force of which terminated at his death; and the Act of Parliament which empowered the Parliament to fit after this event. made no provision for the continuance of the convocation: but when, on these grounds, the death

of the king, it might have been expected, would have put an end to the litigations of the lower house, a new clamour wasexcited, and a new question was flarted. That part of the lower house which had fet up unprecedented claims, were taught to call themselves a parliamentary body, or to consider themselves at least as an appendage to the Parliament, by virtue of the premunientes clause to meet in parliament. This point was moved by the Earl of Rochester in the House of Lords; but the judges were all decided in an opinion that the convocation was diffolved by the king's death, as it was called by a different writ, and had a different constitution from those of the parliament. pointed on this plea, they who were eager to carry their point, and to perpetuate the fitting of the convocation, had recourse to another measure. They folicited their friends in the House of Lords to introduce into some Parliamentary Act a clause to revive the dead convocation, and to declare it still in being. "This clause of giving resurrection," fays Archbishop Tennison, "was thought so ex-66 traordinary, that the majority of the peers could or not be reconciled to it, and the Attorney-General " declared that it was against the queen's ecclesiastical " fupremacy; on which they were obliged to relin-"quish their object, and to acquiesce in the dissolution " of the convocation." " " Amidst contentions," fays a modern historian, "at once fierce and frivolous, 66 the proceedings and debates of this convocation,

<sup>\*</sup> Calamy, vol. i. p. 613-619. Tennison's Life, p. 92-101.
Burnet, book v. p. 89, 90.

"by inexpressible malignity and folly; but being happily divested of every civil power, they knit their darkened brows and gnashed their teeth

46 in vain. ""

The dispute concerning the "rights, powers, and of privileges of convocations," which was carried on, at that period, with great violence and mutual animolities, though it feems now to be almost forgotten, did then greatly attract the notice of the public; warmly engaged the attention and raifed the passions of the nation; produced zealous partizans on each fide of the questions which were agitated in the respective houses; and employed in acrimonious attacks on one another those pens, which would, with more credit to the writers, and with greater benefit to religion, have been used against the enemies of revelation and protestantism. The ecclefiaftical corps of the nation were in the state of a house or a kingdom divided against itself. The clergy viewed the claims of the bishops with a fpirit of opposition, jealousy, and disdain. The prelates complained that "the hot and eager disputes " of the lower house of convocation had rifen to " higher degrees of difrespect and invasion of the " metropolitan and episcopal rights than were ever attempted before; and that they had thereby " given a greater blow to the church, than it had "ever received fince the presbyterian assembly in "the late times of confusion."+ The dispute was,

Belsham's History, vol. ii. p. 98.

however, at that time a matter of great importance. and well deferving a diligent examination. "Even "controversies," as a candid writer remarks, 66 which afterwards are to be regarded as infignifi-" cant, have their utility. They ferve to explode 66 errors and claims which are hurtful to the interests " of truth and liberty. And if, which is no impos-"fible case, similar errors and claims should, in "the revolution of things, again be obtruded upon "the world, we know where to apply for the 66 materials and arguments by which they may be "refuted." One important reflection offers itself from the review of these altercations; it is this,that they could not have arisen, had not the profession of Christianity been incorporated with the state; had not the followers, especially the ministers, of Christ, violated that express authoritative decision against all usurpation of power over one another, which he laid down; when noticing the exercise of dominion, and the subordinations of power practifed in the world, he declared, "But it shall not be so " among you; but whofoever will be great among 66 you, let him be your minister; and whosoever " will be chief among you, let him be your fervant." And when he delivered this principle of mutual fervice and equality, "All ye are brethren." †

The disputes that originated in the two houses of convocation, opened a large field of controversy. Many books and pamphlets were published on both

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Kippis's Biog. Britan. vol. i. p. 345.

<sup>†</sup> Matt. ch. xx. 25-27, 28,

fides; and discussion on the questions to which it gave rise, was kept alive for five years from the first publication in 1697, previously to the debates which we have stated, till the dissolution of the convocation in 1702; and in some subsequent years new publications, which owed their origin to new circumstances, issued from the press. The principal writers in this controversy were Dr. Binckes, Dr. Wake, Mr. Atterbury, Dr. Kennet, Dr. Edw. Hody, Dr. Edm. Gibson, and Dr. George Hooper.

The controversy opened from the press in 1697, in a quarto pamphlet, entitled "a Letter to a Convocation Man, concerning the rights, powers, and " privileges of Convocations;" which, though anonymous, was ascribed to Dr. Binckes. In this tract it was afferted that the convocation was as much a part of the constitution as a parliament itself. It was represented as a spiritual parliament, and the lower house was called "the commons spiritual." Dr. Wake, afterwards archbishop of Canterbury, in the same year took up his pen, as respondent to the author of the "Letter;" and published his book, entitled, "The Authority of Christian Princes over " their Ecclefiastical Synods." The aim of the author was to prove that the right of calling the elergy together rested folely in the prince; that without his permission they had no right, when

<sup>†</sup> Dr. Binckes was vicar of Leamington in Warwickshire, and dean of Lichfield: he died 19th June 1712. A sermon, which he preached before the House of Commons, 29th Jan. 1702, incurred censure, according to the course of the ecclesiastical courts.—See Atterbury's Epistolary Correspondence, vol. iii. p. 71, 72.

affembled, to debate or determine any point of doctrine or discipline; that he might annul, alter, or fuspend the execution of any of their decrees; and that this confent was necessary to the diffolution of any fynod. This publication called forth, in 1700, the celebrated treatife of Mr. Atterbury, afterwards bishop of Rochester, on "The Rights, Powers, and " Privileges of an English Convocation, stated and "vindicated; in answer to a late book of Dr. Wake's, " entitled, 'the Authority of Christian Princes, &c." and feveral other pieces," 8vo. This book ap. peared at first without the author's name; but his name was prefixed to the fecond edition, accompanied with many additions. "In this performance, where-"ever the truth of the question may be supposed to " lie, he displayed so much learning and ingenuity, " as well as zeal for the interests of his order, that "the lower house of convocation returned him "their thanks: and the University of Oxford com-" plimented him with the degree of doctor in divi-" nity." Dr. Wake, his adversary, allowed that he had "done all that a man of forward parts and " a hearty zeal could do to defend the cause which " he had espoused. He has chosen," continues Dr. Wake, "the most plausible topics of argumentation, 66 and has given them all the advantage that either " a sprightly wit or a good assurance could afford "them. But he wanted one thing: he had not "truth on his fide." His other antagonists likewise allowed him the full merit of his wit and ingenuity,

<sup>\*</sup> Biograph. Britan, vol. i. p. 336.

though they denied the folidity of his arguments, and condemned the spirit in which he wrote. Bishop Burnet refolved the high encomiums bestowed on the book and the honours which it secured to its author, into their true cause; a cause, independent of the intrinsic excellence of the work, or the force of its reasoning. "The clergy hoped," fays the bishop, "to recover "many lost privileges by the help of his perfor-"mances; they fancied they had a right to be a " part of the parliament, fo they looked on him as " their champion, and on most of the bishops as the betrayers of the rights of the church. This was ec encouraged by the new ministry; they were dif-" pleased with the bishops for adhering to told " ministry; and they hoped by the terror of a con-"vocation to have forced the prelates to apply to ce them for a shelter."

Dr. Kennet, afterwards bishop of Peterborough, entered the lists with Mr. Atterbury, in his "Eccle" siastical Synods and Ecclesiastical Convocations in the Church of England historically stated, and "justly vindicated from the misrepresentations of Mr. "Atterbury:" (part 1. 1701, 8vo.) "Who," says Burnet, "was by Dr. Kennet laid so open, not only in many particulars, but in a thread of ignorance that ran through his whole book, that if he had not had a measure of considence peculiar to himself, he must have been much humbled under it." † Dr. Wake also took up his pen a second time in desence of his own performance, and

in reply to that of Mr. Atterbury, in a folio volume, entitled, "The State of the Church and Clergy of England in their Councils, Synods, Convocations, "Conventions, and other public affemblies, histori"cally deduced from the conversion of the Saxons to the prefent times," 1703. The learned Dr. Hody was not filent while the questions concerning the rights of the convocation were agitated, but published, in 1701, "A History of English Councils "and Convocations, and of the Clergy's sitting in "Parliament, &c." 1701.

Mr. Atterbury, it appears, affected to difregard these answers to his work; and ascribed the time of their appearance, and the strain by which they were respectively distinguished, to art and management: for he wrote thus to a friend on the occasion: "When you come to town, you will be entertained 66 with answers of all forts to my book. Dr. Hody " has one just upon the point of coming out, in two thick octavo volumes of about twenty sheets of "paper, which he calls 'An History of Convoca-"tions.' Another gentleman (Dr. Kennet) has, " by order, wrote and printed a good part of another: "his is to be about two or three hundred pages, "and is to be a first part only, two more being to "follow; and this gentleman is ordered to use me "rudely, and to put as much gall as he can into his "ink. Dr. Hody is to be in the meek way. The "defign of both is to open the convocation with a " clamour against the book, just at the nick of its "meeting; fo that I shall not be able to answer. "After all Dr. Wake is to come, when the convocation is up, with a mighty folio. Under all this threatening news, I thank God I am in heart."

The work of Dr. Wake, to which Mr. Atterbury refers, was published in 1703, and was entitled, "The State of the Church and Clergy of England, " in their Councils, Synods, Convocations, Convenctions, and other public affemblies, historically et displayed from the conversion of the Saxons to et the present time; occasioned by a book entitled, "The Rights, Powers, and Privileges, &c." Dr. Gibson, afterwards bishop of London, then chaplain to the archbishop, exerted himself to the utmost in defence of the contested rights of the primate, and published no fewer than ten pamphlets, in vindication of his claims of right to prorogue the lower as well as upper house of convocation, in the space of three years.\* Dr. Hooper, the prolocutor, was not filent on the subject, but defended the other side of the question in a " Narrative of the Proceedings of the "Lower House of Convocation, relating to proro-"gations and adjournments, from Monday Feb. 10, "1700, to Wednesday June 25, 1701." Drawn up by the order of the house: 4to. To this succeeded a number of publications by both parties, which it would be tirefome to enumerate. † The press may

<sup>\*</sup> Atterbury's Epistolary Correspondence, vol. iii. p. 18, 19.

\* British Biograhy, vol. viii. p. 207-8.

<sup>†</sup> The reader is referred for a full review of several of the principal publications, to which the controversy about the rights and privileges of convocations gave birth, to Dr. Calamy's Abridgment, vol. i. p. 554, 560, 562, 564, 567, 570, 583, 602, 609, 610, 618.

be truly faid to have groaned under the various and fuccessive publications which this controversy

produced.

It deserves notice, that Bishop Warburton, adverting to this controversy, and especially to Dr. Atterbury's book, expresses himself as being very much in the dark as to the expediency of these convocational meetings, and on the benefit to religion accruing from them. "As to that part of the convocation's "office which is supposed to consist in watching "over the faith and principles of the people, I "should question," says his lordship, "if it would "have any good essect. Bad books might be censured; good ones might too. Burnet's 'Exposi." stition," I find, was sulminated; and had the "convocation been as busy twenty years ago, as "Dr. Atterbury would have it, I should have been "in pain for the 'Divine Legation."

"But suppose," he adds, "were their censures ever so just and reasonable, would they do any good? I doubt, in such a country as ours, they would but whet the appetite of readers, and be the means of circulating them into more hands. In short I do not see that much service could arise to religion from the authoritative condemnation of books, unless where great penalties were to follow, which cannot be, except in the case of writers who firike at the very soundations of government.

And for a minute enumeration of the many books and pamphlets which it occasioned, to the Biographia Britannica, 2d ed. vol. i. 335, note 1: and to p. 345, 346, for a catalogue of them arranged into four classes from Bishop Nicholson's Historical Library.

"And against books of this malignity, the state will always exert itself to purpose.

"The conclusion is, the convocation, by giving up their old right of taxing themselves, seem to have given up their right of meeting and debating. "At least, it is no wonder the government should incline to this side; for let what will be said of freedom of debate in popular councils, no government, I doubt, is heartily for it, but where it cannot with any safety or convenience be avoided."\*

## SECTION II.

## The Trinitarian Controversy.

soon after the Revolution, the public mind was agitated by various publications on the questions that owe their origin to the doctrine of the Trinity; a doctrine ever involved in intricacies by abstruse, metaphysical, and indefinite terms. In 1690, the learned mathematician, Dr. John Wallis, Savilian professor of geometry in Oxford, who professed to have paid a studious attention to the subject for more than forty years, offered to the public a pamphlet, entitled, "The Doctrine of the ever-blessed Trinity "explained." His explanation amounted to this, that the blessed Trinity was three somewhats, commonly called "persons; but the true notion and

Letters from a late eminent Prelate to one of his Friends, 8vo, p. 310, 11, 12. 1809.

" true name of that distinction," he said, " are un. "known to us. The word persons, when applied "to God, is but metaphorical; not fignifying just the " fame as when applied to men." This tract engaged the author for that and the following year in a controverly with the Unitarians; nor were the Trinitarians more fatisfied than they were, with an explanation which explained nothing. In 1601, there issued from the press a new edition of several tracts written by Mr. John Biddle, first published in 1648, and reprinted in 1653. Another publication of the same year was "A Brief History of the Uni-"tarians, called also Socinians; in four letters to a "friend." Dr. Sherlock, who had the character of being a polite, clear, and strong writer, and who, by his writings against popery in the former reign, had obtained great reputation, took up his pen, this year, on the Trinitarian question, in "A Vindica-"tion of the doctrine of the holy and ever-bleffed "Trinity;" in which he expressly afferted, that the three persons in the Trinity are three distinct infinite minds or spirits, and three individual substances; two of these issuing from the Father; and that these three are one by a mutual confciousness. This tract was intended as an answer to the "Brief "History of the Unitarians." A defence of that history against Dr. Sherlock's answer soon appeared. Another reply to his tract was published under the the title of " Some Thoughts upon Dr. Sherlock's 66 Vindication of the doctrine of the Holy Trinity, "in a letter, 4to." Observations on it were annexed to a tract in 4to. entitled "The Acts of "Great Athanasius; with notes, by way of illustra-"tion on his Creed." In this tract the doctor was charged with reviving paganism by such an explication of the Trinity, as undeniably introduced tritheism, or the doctrine of three gods; an error condemned by the ancients in the person of Philoponus; in the middle ages, in the person and writings of Abbot Joachim; but more severely, since the Reformation. in the person of Valentinus Gentilis, who for this very doctrine was condemned at Geneva, and beheaded at Berne. It was generally acknowledged that Dr. Sherlock had exceeded all proper bounds: and his friends used their influence to engage him to be filent in future. He had given the Unitarians fuch an advantage, that politicians feared the iffue of a war, the beginnings of which had been fo inauspicious. For some time a stop was put to the publication of any fermons or tracts written against that fect. The language held between the champions of what is called the orthodox faith was, that being masters of all the pulpits, they could sufficiently dispose the people to receive and adhere to that belief without the aid of printed answers and replies: and that they need not trouble themselves about the Socinians.

Several years before, the very learned Dr. Cudworth, in his elaborate performance, entitled, "The Intellectual System," had expressed the same

<sup>§</sup> Considerations on the Explications of the Doctrine of the Trinity, written by a person of quality; in 4to. 1693. p. 12.

apprehensions concerning the three divine persons, as Doctor Sherlock advanced: they both apprehended the three persons to be as distinct and different, and as really three feveral intelligent beings and substances, as three angels, or as Peter, James, and John are. Dr. Cudworth professed to follow, in accounting for the doctrine of the Trinity, the platonic philosophers; with whom he faid the orthodox fathers perfectly agreed. He contended, that the unity or fameness of substance of the three divine persons consisted not in number, but in kind or nature: he represented the Son and Spirit, however, as in every way inferior to the Father. He did not allow them to be omnipotent in any other respect than externally; i. se. because the Father concurreth omnipotently to all their external actions, whether of creation or providence. He defired to distinguish his explication from all others of the moderns by this mark; that it allowed not the three persons to be, in any respect but duration, coequal. For he faid, "three distinct intelligent natures or " effences, each pre-eternal, felf-existent, and equally comnipotent ad intra, are of necessity three Gods: 66 but if only the first person be indeed internally " omnipotent, and the other two fubordinate in "authority and power to him, you leave them but " one God, only in three divine perfons." Though

<sup>\*</sup> Confiderations on the explications of the Doctrine of the Trinity, 1693; p. 13, 14. The correspondence of Dr. Cudworth's sentiments with Plato, or their origin in the platonic philosophy, has been examined in the Theological Repository, vol. iv. p. 77, 97. Priestley's early opinions, vol. i. p. 349.

Dr. Cudworth and Dr. Sherlock appear to have been of the same opinion concerning the three divine persons, each conceiving of them as three several intelligences; it seems that the former learned writer did not entertain the same idea concerning their unity, which the latter afterwards advanced: for he called the union of will and affection ouly a moral union, not a physical or real unity; as three human persons would be three distinct men, notwithstanding the moral union in affection and will, so also three divine persons would be three distinct Gods, notwithstanding such an union in will and affection.\*

Not long after the Revolution, the civil power interfered in theological debates, and converted what ought to have been confidered only as fair discussion in order to ascertain and discover truth. into an offence against the community, and regarded it as the ground of a criminal charge. In 1603 was published a Treatife, entitled, "A brief but clear " Confutation of the Doctrine of the Trinity." It was industriously dispersed, and copies of it under cover were directed to feveral peers, and to fome members of the House of Commons. The attention of the legislature being called to it, their prejudices were awakened, and their fears of the spread of the fentiments it defended were alarmed. The House of Lords voted it to be an infamous and fcandalous libel; it was ordered to be burnt in Old Palaceyard by the hands of the common hangman; an

<sup>\*</sup> Considerations, &c. p. 14.

enquiry after the author, printer, and publisher was instituted; and the attorney-general was directed to prosecute them.

But notwithstanding the profecutions to which, as in this instance, the publication of tracts in favour of Unitarian fentiments was exposed, and the difcountenance given to fermons and tracts directed against such opinions, after a temporary pause the Trinitarian controversy was revived. In 1603, Dr. South, rector of Islip in Oxfordshire, a man of great talents and learning, but of a violent and domineering temper, attacked Dr. Sherlock's book on the Trinity in " Animadversions on it, together with a " more necessary vindication of that facred and prime carticle of the Christian faith from Dr. Sherlock's "new notions and false explications of it: humbly " offered to his admirers, and to himself the chief of "them." "This pamphlet was written," fays Bishop Burnet, "not without wit and learning, but without "any measure of Christian charity; and without "any regard either to the dignity of the subject or "the decencies of his profession."\* Dr. South explained the doctrine in the common method, that the Deity was one effence and three subsistencies. In 1694, Dr. Sherlock published a defence of himfelf against the "Animadversions;" and charged his opponent with Sabellianism. Dr. South replied in a treatife, entitled, "Tritheism charged upon

<sup>† 15</sup> Lords' Journal, 332, 3d Jan. 1693. History of William III. vol. ii. p. 381. Proceedings of the House of Lords in the case of Benjamin Flower, p. 37, 38.

<sup>\*</sup> Burnet, vol. iv. p. 311

"Dr. Sherlock's new notion of the Trinity, " and the charge made good in answer to the " defence." Others went into the dispute with fome learning, but with more warmth; and great men espoused the side of each. Dr. Sherlock was accused of polytheism, or holding the dostrine of three Gods; and with great justice, if words have any meaning. Dr. South came under the imputation of explaining away the Trinity, and falling into Sabellianism. The candid enquirer was unsettled and perplexed. He hesitated between the scheme of the former, which preferved a Trinity, but in which the Unity was lost; and that of the latter, which under the terms "modes, subsistencies, and proper-" ties, &c." kept up the "divine Unity, but then loft a Trinity, fuch as the scriptures discover, at least " with respect to the Father and the Son."\* The Unitarians, availing themselves of Dr. South's explication, declared a readiness to affent to the liturgy and articles, if that was the kind of Trinity which the language of both was intended to circulate.

Sentiments similar to those of Dr. Sherlock, so far at least as related to the distinction of persons, were advanced by an eminent divine among the dissenters, Mr. Howe; but he did not adopt Dr. Sherlock's idea of mutual consciousness as constituting the unity of the three divine persons; because that hypothesis left out, according to his expression, the nexus, or the connection by which they were united. His

<sup>\*</sup> Emlyn's Works, vol. i. p. 15. Lindfey's Apology, p. 73. 4th ed.

leading principles were, "that the persons in the "Trinity are distinct numerical natures, beings, and substances; that there is a variety of individual natures in the Deity; that there are in the God-head three distinct intelligent hypostases or persons, having each his own distinct, singular, intelligent nature; and these three divine persons, beings, essentially end these ends think this consociation therein: and we must need think this end a most blessed state, or a more persect idea of blessed ends, than can be conceived in an eternal solicitude." This, it has been observed, is Dr. Sherlock's doctrine; only with some more gross ideas and additions to it. Mr. Howe's tract was

1 Unitarian Tracts, in 4to. 1695, p. 39, 40, vol. iii. This idea, gross as it may appear to some, was, in a short time after it was broached in a metaphylical disquisition, converted into a theme of devotional declamation by Mr. after Dr. Watts; and at the diffance of twenty years, when he himself made an apology for having been carried away by the warm efforts of imagination further than riper years would probably indulge on fo fublime and abstrufe a subject, was delivered from the press in a fermon entitled, "The Scale of "Bleffedness; or bleffed Saints, bleffed Saviour, and bleffed Trinity;" from Ps. lxv. 4. Having dwelt upon the thought, that knowledge and mutual love make up the heaven of the three divine persons, the pious author, borne away by a heated imagination, and loft in his subject, concludes it in this rapturous strain: "The " nearness of the divine persons to each other, and the unspeakable " relish of their unbounded pleasures, are too vast ideas for our "bounded minds to entertain. 'Tis one infinite transport that runs " through Father, Son, and Spirit, without beginning and without " end, with boundless variety, yet ever perfect and ever present, "without change and without degree; and all this, because they "are fo near with one another, and so much one with Gop." Sermons on various subjects, vol. i. No. xii. or p. 309, 12mo. ed. 1721.

entitled, "A calm and fober Inquiry concerning the of possibility of a Trinity in the Godhead; in a letter "to a person of worth." To which were added some letters formerly written to Dr. Wallis, on the fame subject. 1694. Notwithstanding the prominent feature of agreement in the hypotheses of the two divines, Mr. Howe fell under the censure of Dr. Sherlock, as advancing fuch a notion of the unity of God as neither the scriptures nor the ancient church knew any thing of, and as fcarcely needing a confutation. Yet he offered animadversions on it in his defence against Dr. South. It was also noticed in "Some <sup>66</sup> Considerations on the explications of the Doctrine " of the Trinity, in a letter to H. H." To both Mr. Howe replied; to the former in a "Letter to a "Friend;" and to the latter, in "A View of those "Confiderations," in a letter to the friend whom he had before addressed. In this performance, with a fairness and liberality that did him much credit, he gave it " as his judgment, that much fervice " might be done to the common interest of religion, "by a free mutual communication of even more " more doubtful thoughts, if fuch disquisitions were " purfued with more candour, and with less confi-"dence and prepoffession of mind, or addictedness "to the interest of any party. If it were rather endeavoured to reason one another into or out 66 of this or that opinion, than either by fophistical " conclusions to cheat, or to hector by great " words, one that is not of our mind. Or if the " defign were less to expose an adversary, than to

" clear the matter in controversy. Besides, that " if such equanimity did more generally appear and "govern in transactions of this nature, it would or produce a greater liberty in communicating our "thoughts about fome of the more vogued and " fashionable opinions, by exempting each other "from the fear of ill treatment in the most sensible "kind. It being too manifest that the same confi-"dent insulting genius which makes a man think "himself to be competent to be a standard to man-"kind, would also make him impatient of differt, and tempt him to do worse than reproach one "that differs from him, if it were in his power. "And the club or faggot arguments must be exes pected to take place, where what he thinks rational "ones did not do the business." Mr. Howe by his publications in this controversy rose in esteem and respect with some; others, who highly valued his other publications, wished that he had left this argument untouched; a third fet could fearcely refrain from charging him, as well as Dr. Sherlock, with herefy; a term, by which those who use it assume to themselves orthodoxy and infallibility, and fix a stigma on such as differ from them.\*

In the church, Dr. Sherlock's fentiments found advocates as well as opponents. On the feast of St. Simon and Jude in 1695, Mr. Bingham, rector of Headbourn-Worthy, near Winchester, and a fellow of University college in Oxford, afterwards eminent for his laborious investigations and learned

Calamy's Life of Howe, p. 198-209.

publications on the antiquities of the christian church, and for his meritorious fervices in behalf of the establishment to which he belonged, advanced, in a fermon before the university, the notions of Dr. Sherlock; and afferted that " there were three infi-" nite distinct minds and substances in the Trinity; " and also that the three persons in the Trinity were " three distinct minds or spirits, and three individual "fubstances." They who patronifed and embraced the fentiments of Dr. South were offended with these affertions; and had sufficient influence to procure a folemn decree in convocation, judging, declaring; and determining "the aforesaid words to be false, "impious, and heretical; disagreeing with and contrary to the doctrine of the Catholic church; and especially to the doctrine of the Church of England publicly received." The realifts, inftead of yielding to the decision and sentence of the convocation, entered a virtual protest against it; and answered, that "what the heads of Oxford had " condemned as heretical and impious, was the very c Catholic faith: that the decree was a censure of the Nicene faith, and of the faith of the Church of England, as herefy; and exposed both to the " fcorn and triumph of the Socinians." Dr. Sherlock in particular faid, "that he would undertake, any day in the year, to procure a meeting of twice " as many wife and learned men to censure their 44 decree."\*

<sup>\*</sup> Ben Mordecai's Letters, vol. i. p. 70. 8vo. ed.

Under these circumstances, neither the authority of the university, nor the solemnity with which the decree was issued, could secure the end proposed by it. It rather irritated the parties, than settled their differences. Dr. Tennison, who then silled the see of Canterbury, prevailed with the king to interpose by his authority, and to give the royal sanction to certain injunctions drawn up by himself, and addressed to the arch bishops and bishops, to be published in their dioceses, and enforced by their episcopal authority, to maintain the purity of the Christian saith, and preserve the peace of the church. The proclamation directed,

That no preacher whatfoever in his fermon or lecture should presume to deliver any other doctrine concerning the blessed Trinity, than what was contained in the Holy Scriptures, and is agreeable to the three creeds and the thirty-nine articles of religion.

That in the explication of this doctrine they should carefully avoid all new terms, and confine themselves to such ways of explication as have been commonly used in the church.

The careful observance of the 53d canon, which prohibits public opposition between preachers, and especially bitter investives and scurrilous language against all persons whatever, was particularly recommended. These rules were also enjoined on all who wrote on the disputed questions. These directions were not limited to the clergy alone to govern their conduct in the controversy, but were also levelled at

those who were not of the clerical body, but who, it was understood, had presumed to talk and dispute against the Christian saith concerning the doctrine of the Trinity, or had written or published or dispersed books and pamphlets against it: And the clergy were strictly charged and commanded, together with all other means suitable to their holy profession, to use their authority according to law to repress and restrain such exorbitant practices.\*

No decree of a council, no bull of a pope, could be more decidedly marked by claims to authority over confcience, and to infallibility of judgment in the enactors of either, than were these royal injunctions drawn up by an episcopal pen. The royal personage from whose court they were given, and the prelate whose spirit distated them, though credit should be given to the purity of their motives, forgot that they were protestants. The only part of these injunctions that could possibly answer a valuable end, and that properly fell within the province of the civil magistrate, was the order to abstain from bitter invectives and scurrilous language. The other directions tended only to overbear the judgments of men, to suppress conviction, and to restrain inquiry.

The decree of the university and the injunctions of the king were not merely dead letters. The partizans of orthodoxy in that day not only had recourse to censures, but adopted vigorous measures. In 1695 was published a tract, reprinted by the London Unitarian Society in 1793, entitled "The

<sup>\*</sup> Tennison's Life, p. 49-53.

" defigned End to the Socinian Controversy; or a " rational and plain Discourse to prove that no other e person but the Father of Christ is God Most "High:" by Mr. John Smith. "The author "discovers," says the editor of the modern edition, "a very confiderable acquaintance with the Christian "fcriptures, and a mind influenced by the love of "truth." These recommendations did not screen him or his work from refentment and the vifitation of power. The work was feized, and the author was apprehended.\* Dr. Trelawney, while bishop of Exeter, entered the lists with peculiar spirit against those who were deemed to be engaged in a conspiracy against the catholic faith, viz. Socinians, latitudinarians, and deniers of the mysteries; and he proceeded to the extreme measure of excommunicating Dr. Bury, who had been also folemnly condemned by the universities for notorious herefy.†

By these means the Trinitarian controversy had at last a temporary suspension; but not till the leading disputants in it, Dr. Sherlock and Dr. South, had been ridiculed in a popular ballad, called 'The Battle Royal.'' The various modes adopted

## \* Preface to the last edition.

<sup>†</sup> A Letter to a Convocation Man, 1697; afcribed to Dr. Binckes. N. B. In a copy of this tract in Dr. Williams's Library, Red-Crofs-street, London, the names of Trelawney and Bury are inferted in the margin; as one the actor, the other the sufferer.

<sup>§</sup> This ballad was translated into several languages, particularly the Latin, by a curious hand, at the University of Cambridge; and presents were made to the author by the nobility and gentry. The ludicrous strain of it, which was very indecorous, considering

for suppressing the works of the Unitarians occafioned one who had written with acrimony against the friends of the Revolution, to remark "that cer-

the eminent and learned persons whom it reprimands, and the gravity of the questions debated by the disputants, is a proof that the dispute was regarded as the contention of theologues rather than as the sober investigation of sacred and important truth; and did not procure from all persons respect to the divines concerned in it. As it is a document that shews the spirit of the times, and the impression made by the controversy on the public mind, it may be acceptable to the reader.

## "THE BATTLE ROYAL,"

To the tune of " A Soldier and a Sailor."

A dean\* and a prebendary + Had once a vagary; And were at doubtful strife, sir. Who led the better life, sir, And was the better man.

The dean, he faid, that truly Since Bluff was fo unruly, He'd prove it to his face, fir, That he had the most grace, fir. And so the fight began, &c.

When PREB replied, like thunder, And roar'd out, it was no wonder, Since Gods the dean had THREE, fir, And more by Two than he, fir: For he had got but one, &c.

Now, while these two were raging, And in dispute engaging, The Master of the CHARTER I Said both had caught a tartar, For gods, fir, there were none, &c.

\* Dr. Sherlock.

That all the books of Mofes Were nothing but supposes; That he deferved rebuke, sir, Who wrote the Pentateuch, sir; 'Twas nothing but a sham, &c.

That as for Father Adam, With Mrs. Eve, his madam, And what the ferpent spake, fir, 'Twas nothing but a joke, fir, And well-invented flam, &c.

That in the BATTLE ROYAL, As none could take denial, The dame for which they strove, fir, Could neither of them Iove, fir, Since all had given offence, &c.

She therefore, flyly waiting, Left all THREE FOOLS a prating; And being in a fright, fir, RELIGION took her flight, fir And ne'er was heard of fince.

+ Dr. South.

T Dr. Thomas Burnet, master of the Charter-House, who about this time published his Archæologia; in which he was charged by some with having impugned and weakened the divine truths of the Old Testament.

‡ South's Posthumous Works, Memoirs, p. 128,--130.

§ Dr. Hickes.

- "tainly there must be something formidabe in
- "their books and fome reasonings in them, which
- " could not be well answered, that so much dili-
- "gence was used to suppress them."

## SECTION III.

The Controversy about Justification.

THE diffenters had scarcely begun to enjoy peace, protection, and liberty, under the auspicious influence of the Revolution, than they difagreed among themselves. Division and diffention, always to be lamented, were in feveral views, at that time, particularly difgraceful and unfeafonable. furnished those who had predicted their disunion, if they were left to themselves, an opportunity of infulting and reproaching them. While the flames were breaking out in the Established Church, occafioned both by religious and practical animolities, if the diffenters had been wife and temperate enough to preferve harmony and union among themselves, they would have fecured honour to their principles, preserved the consistency of their character as protestants, and acquired respectability and weight in the state. It had been presumed, that a foundation

Preface to the late edition of Smith's Divine End, &c. p. vi.

for a permanent union had been laid, and that a cement of their mutual interests had been formed, by the heads of agreement, to which the body of the ministers, both presbyterian and congregational, in London and its vicinity, and in feveral parts of the country, had affented in 1691.\* But strange as it may appear, the rife of their differences may be dated, it is faid, from that agreement. Some few of the congregational denomination never either approved of those heads of agreement, or concurred in the union. They were not fatisfied, moreover with refusing their confent to the union, and preferving their own independency; but were affiduous in using their influence with their brethren, who entertained the fame fentiments with themselves on certain doctrinal points, and had joined the union, to detach them from it; and they gave them no rest till this end was affected. The influence of the united ministers in London, on account of some differences and animolities to which the opinions broached by Mr. Davis, of Rothwell in Northamptonshire, had given rife, contributed on their fide to heighten the diffatisfaction of the others, to widen the breach, and to precipitate a rupture. "They " acted," fays Dr. Calamy, " as if they had been " under the fecret influence of fome who were fearful "while the Established Church was divided, lest "their own interest should gain a firm and perma-" nent union." It had been observed in Germany, that "the book of concord," as a plan of union

<sup>\*</sup> See before, p. 99.

was called, was the occasion of great discord; so the attempted union among the diffenters was the occasion of new quarrels and divisions."\*

Mr. Davis, of Rothwell, incurred the censure of his brethren in the neighbourhood for erroneous principles and irregular practices. The errors charged on him were these: "That the law of innocency was not able to fave men at first: That justification " upon believing is only a manifestation to the con-" science of an antecedent justification; and so it is not the state of the foul, but its fense of its " state, that is altered upon conversion: That jus-"tifying faith is a perfuasion that our fins are of pardoned; and when it is faid we believe for pardon, it is meant for the knowledge of pardon: "That this faith is not a confenting act of the will: "That the law prepares not for conversion, and its convictions tend to drive men farther from Christ: 66 That the law of the gospel is the great law of e electing grace, viz. I will have mercy on whom I "will have mercy: That there be no preparatory " humblings in order to faith: That we should begin " our religion with high confidence of our interest " in Christ, and must maintain it against all chal-" lenges or doubts from our fins or defects: That "they are like Baal's priests, who put men on "trying themselves by such marks, as sincerity, "universal obedience, love to God and Christ and the brethren: That all believers at all times " stand before God without sin; yea, when they are

<sup>\*</sup> Calamy, p. 512, 527. Howe's Life, p. 181, 182.

"God; and when they have sinned and prayed for pardon, it is for the discovery thereof to their conscience, and not for what is properly forgiveness: That Christ fulfilled the covenant of grace for us, and he believed for us, as our representative."

On the subject of irregular practices, Mr. Davis was accused of sending forth preachers unfit for the ministry, and not approved by neighbouring minifters; of unchurching fuch churches as did not agree with his exorbitant methods and licentious principles; of wickedly railing at most of the orthodox laborious ministers, endeavouring to the utmost to prejudice the people against their persons and labours, as idolatrous, illegal, and antichristian; yea, of affirming that all the churches had gone a whoring from Christ, and that he was happy who was the instrument of breaking all the churches. He was particularly pressed, in one point, with a charge of holding an horrid opinion, and observing an unchristian practice: it was, that though he did not scruple to baptize the children of his own people, yet he rebaptized fuch adult members as were baptized in their infancy by any ministers of the Church of England. Against this charge he defended himself on these grounds; " that if " any, the feed of strangers, and having no other 66 baptism than that of the public, desired to submit " to the ordinance, he dared not to refuse it: for he "looked on that administered in public as null and

" void, on two accounts: first, that they and their un-" believing parents being in no fense or wife under "the covenant, baptism could not be a feal; and, " if not a feal, he apprehended it to be nothing, "and therefore null and void. And fecondly, that "the administrators were none of Christ's sending, " and that therefore what they did in matters of " religion was nothing, as an idol is nothing." Mr. Davis argued, " by parity of reason, ordinances " falfely administered are nothing; and, though "baptism was performed by them in the name of " the Father, Son, and Spirit; yet still they pro-" phefied lies in the name of the LORD, for He " never fent them." The strain and spirit of this affertion may be confidered as a specimen and a proof of the charge alleged against Mr. Davis.

This statement of his principles and conduct, formed part of a declaration published by the "United Brethren," at the instigation of the ministers in the country, to counteract the spread of his sentiments, and in testimony of their own sidelity and zeal for the truth of Christ. In this declaration they expressed their thoughts concerning his doctrines and practices, and entered their protest against them, as repugnant to the gospel, to the doctrine of the Church of England, and to other confessions, to which they had given their assent. They also stigmatized his principles, as surnishing "strong temptations to "carnal security and libertinism; as Satan's stery darts, whereby he endeavoureth the ruin of those fouls who are less subject to other snares; and

" as what would destroy the ministry which Christ hath appointed and prospered to the conversion of sinners." They disowned Mr. Davis himself, as neither then nor at any former time esteemed to be of the number of the united brethren.

The concluding paragraph of this declaration is ftrongly expressive of the views and spirit of those who published it, as to the subject before them. "It is our grief," they fay, "that a man should " with meer falsehood, clamour, and noise, prevail " fo far: It is no less our wonder, that he should "generally fet up for the only gospel preacher, " reviling most others; and yet when charged with " his affertions, he at times attempts, to unintellie gent perfons, to reduce those abominable affer-" tions to what is the general opinion of such as he "exposeth. But we shall earnestly pray for his " repentance; and in the mean time, that that " fcripture may be verified in him, 2 Tim. iii. 9. " 'He shall proceed no further, but his folly shall be " manifest to all men:' which we are encouraged to " hope the sudden accomplishment of, since he is " given up to fuch trifling visions, enthusiastic pretences, felf-contradictions, highest arrogancy and " infolence, and many are awakened to fee the wiles " of the Devil by their visible effects; and most er persons fit to judge thereof agree, it cannot be the se interest of Christ that he serves, by the spirit he " discovers, and the public scandal and mischiefs he " fo industriously promotes."\*

<sup>\*</sup> Calamy, vol. i. p. 512-514.

The reader will judge how far the air of infallibility, and the tone of authority, which fuch a declaration carries in it, are calculated to convince or to conciliate. It is certain, that this paper had not the effect which it was meant to produce. Discontents existed among the united brethren themselves, and a difference of opinion about some doctrinal points soon discovered itself on an occasion which their sagacity could not anticipate, nor their influence prevent.

During the unhappy times of the civil wars, the fubject of Justification had been warmly controverted by writers of the feveral religious parties: who difagreed in their ideas on the meaning, not of the word "justification" only, but of the terms "faith "and good works," and in their construction of the language of the apostles Paul and James on this subject. Contests were started, that could have no object but to divide and alienate: various hypotheses were formed, which obscured the points that they were meant to elucidate: and the fense of the apostolical writers was perplexed by abundance of learned fophistry. Some who appeared in this controversy were censured as leaning to popery or judaism; others were regarded as advocates of antinomianism and libertinism; some again were charged with pelagianism and socinianism; and others, lastly, were confidered as advancing the principles of manichæism and fatalism. The questions connected with this controverfy had been agitated, with much contention, for about twenty years, when they attracted the attention of Mr. afterwards Bishop Bull; then

26 years of age, and engaged his close enquiries and affiduous study during eight or nine years. The refult of his investigation was the publication of a work in Latin, entitled "Harmonia Apostolica," Apostolical Harmony; confisting of two differtations. the first to explain and defend the doctrine of James on justification, the fecond to demonstrate the agreement and harmony of Paul with him on this point. The particular defign of the first differtation was to shew "that good works which proceed " from faith; are a necessary condition required from " us by God, to the end that by the new and 66 evangelical covenant obtained by and fealed in "the blood of Christ, the mediator of it, we may be justified according to his free and unmerited " grace."

Though our young divine settled his own judgment, he was not equally successful in his endeavours to bring others to discern and acknowledge the truth of those conclusions on the point, to which he had himself been led by his review of the scriptures and of primitive antiquity: for notwithstanding all his caution in discussing a subject which the disputations of theologians had rendered abstruse, his performance created alarm both in the church and out of it. His interpretations of the sacred writers, and his method of reconciling the two apostles, were tried by the correspondence which they bore to the sentiments of Luther and Calvin, whose names, as the two apostles of the Reformation, carried with them an undue and overbearing

influence. Though the evidence and strength of his arguments appeared to fome in a clear and convincing light, hard cenfures were passed by others on the work and its author; and the doctrines maintained in it were condemned as pernicious and heretical, contrary to the decrees of the Church of England, and of all other reformed chuches. Dr. Morley, bishop of Winchester, in a charge to his clergy, prohibited the reading of Mr. Bull's work; some heads of houses in the universities and some tutors warned the students against it. Dr. Barlow, Margaret professor at Oxford, and afterwards bishop of Lincoln, and Dr. Tully, principal of Edmund's Hall, an eloquent and learned writer, were the most zealous to oppose the pacific method which it offered in order to reconcile the different systems about attaining falvation. Among the diffenters, Mr. Tofeph Truman, educated in the distinguishing doctrines that were ratified by the Westminster Assembly, and Mr. Tombes, a learned baptist minister, animadverted on the "Apostolical Harmony," as if a blow had been aimed by it at the ground-work of the Reformation. About a year after it was published, a copy of it was fent to the author with marginal annotations and animadversions from the hands of his diocesan Dr. Nicholson, bishop of Gloucester; written, it was afterwards discovered, by Mr. Charles Gataker, the fon of the learned critic of that name, whose zeal for the principles which he had received, as authentic explications of the gospel, in the systems that he had studied, neither permitted him to think

fedately, nor to write with temper. Dr. Lewis du Moulin, fon of the famous Peter du Moulin, an independent, likewise attacked with great severity the principles and opinions advanced by Mr. Bull, in a pamphlet, entitled, " A short and true Account of the feveral advances the Church of England " hath made towards Rome; or a model of the er grounds upon which the papifts, for these hun-"dred years, have built their hopes and expectations "that England would ere long return to popery." London, 1680. This tract contained also virulent reflections on feveral eminent divines of the Church of England.\* The ground of the strain in which this pamphlet and others in this controversy were written, was, that the folifidian doctrine was regarded by many as the main pillar of protestantism; which being once shaken, it was thought, there could be no possibility of its bearing up its head against popery, or of justifying the proceedings of Luther and the other first reformers. With this tract, the controversy, which had been continued for ten years, was terminated: as Mr. Bull did not judge it necessary to reply. He had particularly and fully answered the other writers, who had animadverted on his "Apostolical Harmony." His

<sup>\*</sup> It ought to be mentioned to the honour of Dr. Moulin, and as a pleafing inftance of candour and ingenuousness, that on his death-bed, soon after the appearance of his pamphlet, he retracted all the personal restections which he had cast in his book upon any divine of the Church of England; and directed his retractation to be made public after his death. This was accordingly done. Nelson's Life of Bishop Bull, p. 254.

biographer fays, "that with a very laudable "diligence he spared no pains, that he might tho-"roughly and impartially examine all that his adver-"fary could bring against him: nor could it be denied, "that he made fuch just and reasonable concessions, as rendered his own cause the stronger, while they " yielded to the opposite that which it might lawfully "" demand." In the discussions which originated with his work, the meaning of the terms, "justifica-"tion, faith, and good works," was canvassed. The nature of the Mosaic law, and of its promises and threatenings, came under examination. These were proper subjects of enquiry; and the investigation of them tended to elucidate the scriptures, and to place the matter in debate on scriptural authority, its just and only obligatory ground. But with the difcussions on these points were blended systematic principles and scholastic niceties. It must be added, to the difgrace of those who wrote in it, though too much in the spirit of all times and of all controversies, that their pens were often dipped in gall, and their arguments were accompanied with heavy charges and invidious imputations. "The 66 best cause in the world may be run down with se clamour and confidence; but truth is never better " fupported, than by being modefly and fimply " proposed, with the arguments for and against it 66 fairly represented, without reflection upon any for " not thinking after the same manner with us."\*

<sup>\*</sup> For a full, candid, and fuccinct review of this controversy, see Nelson's Life of Bishop Bull, p. 89-257.

The controversy which was begun in the Established Church, and revived by the publication of Bull's "Harmonia Apostolica," was also taken up by the diffenters, and conducted with much warmth. So far back as the year 1649, Mr. Baxter had discussed the questions concerning the doctrine of justification, in a treatife, entitled, "Aphorisms of Justification and "the Covenants." Exceptions were made to this work at its first appearance. It excited much attention; became a subject of obloquy with many; and feveral learned men, as Dr. John Wallis, Mr. Gco. Lawson, Mr. John Warren, and Mr. Christopher Cartwright, employed their pens in animadverting upon it. The "Aphorisms" were particularly anfwered by Mr. John Crandon, of Fawley in Hampfhire, in a book, which he inscribed, "Mr. Baxter's "Aphorisms exorcised;" and by Mr. Wm. Eyre. of Salisbury, in his "Vindiciæ Justificationis gra-"tuitæ." Some of these writers delivered their fentiments at the defire of Mr. Baxter himfelf: upon which he published his suspension of these aphorisms; then his fuller explication and defence of them in his "Apology;" and afterwards an additional explication and defence of them, in his "Confession of Faith," and in his "Disputations of " Justification." On his part the controversy was agitated, at different times, for forty years. In one of his publications, during this period, he entered the lifts with Dr. Tully, one of Bishop Bull's opponents, in " A Treatise of Justifying Righteousness, " in two books," in 1676. In this work, though he fometimes acknowledged the doctor to be a very worthy person, yet he hesitated not to charge his "Justificatio Paulina" as being "desective in point "of truth, justice, charity, ingenuity, and pertinency to the matter." "It was the unhappiness both of "Mr. Baxter and Dr. Tully," observes Mr. Nelson, "that they gave but too much reason for the impusitation, under which they both equally lay, of being angry writers." Bull, Bellarmin, who also wrote on the same subject, and Baxter, were considered and represented by Dr. Tully as "the "three great adversaries of the faith," engaged in "a triple league" to overthrow it.\*

After the controversy, as it had been handled by fome writers whom we have mentioned, had subsided it revived again amongst the diffenters, and feems to have been confined within their pale. It was occasioned by the republication of the works of Dr. Crifp, by his fon Mr. Samuel Crifp, fanctioned by the names of feveral presbyterian and independent ministers. When they were first published, the affembly of divines at Westminster desired them to be burnt. The author was a man of great piety, and exemplary purity of character; but the fentiments that he advanced and defended were, with reason, considered as very pernicions in their tendency, and as opening a door for great licentiousness of manners in those whom their passions might dispose to act upon the strict letter of them.

Dr. Crisp's scheme is stated to be this: "That by "Goo's mere electing decree, all saving blessings

<sup>\*</sup> Nelfon's Life of Bull, p. 243-254.

"are by divine obligation made ours, and nothing more is needful to our title to these blessings: That on the cross all the sins of the elect were transferred to Christ, and ceased ever to be their sins: That at the first moment of conception, a title to these decreed blessings is personally applied to the elect, and they invested actually therein. Hence the elect have nothing to do, in order to an interest in these blessings; nor ought they to intend the least good to themselves in what they do: sin can do them no harm, because it is none of theirs; nor can God afflict them for any sin."

On his scheme it was affirmed, "That sins are not to be feared as doing any hurt, even when the most flagitious are committed. Grace and holiness cannot do us the least good. God has no more to lay to the charge of the wickedest men, if they be elected, than he has to lay to the charge of a faint in glory. That the elect are not to be governed by fear or hope; for the laws have no promises or threats to rule them; nor are they under the impressions of rewards or punishments, as motives to duty, or preservatives against sin."\*

These sentiments were stilled Antinomian, as impugning the excellence and subverting the obligations of the law. They exhibit an overstrained construction of Luther's doctrine, which represents the merits of Christ as the source of man's salvation; and of Calvin's doctrine respecting divine decrees. Were there not reason to suppose that the advocate for them

Dr. Williams's Gospel Truth, p. 6, 7, 8.

was fincere, though missed, one should be ready to impute to him an invidious design to caricature the opinions of those reformers, and to expose them to indignation or contempt. These opinions sprung up in Germany, and were broached by John Agricola, a native of Aisseben, and an eminent doctor of the Lutheran church. His followers were called Antinomians, i. e. "Enemies of the law." This sect was suppressed in its infancy by the fortitude, watchfulness, and influence of Luther: and Agricola acknowledged and renounced his pernicious system; though he is said to have returned to his errors, and to have preached them again with success after the death of Luther.\*

These opinions had for many years lain dormant in England, when the republication of Dr. Crifp's works in or about 1690 revived them, and gave them a new and wide circulation, especially among the nonconformists, whose liberties were threatened on this account. Under the conduct of Mr. Davis the flame broke out with peculiar violence, and fpread through eleven counties. Judicious and faithful ministers, who inculcated the necessity and obligations of righteousness, were deserted, and reproached as legalists; churches were divided, and town and country were filled with debates and noise. So high did the ferment rife, fo widely did the infection spread in the city of London, that if a minister among the presbyterians preached a fermon in which hope was placed on conditional promises, or the fear of sin was pressed by

<sup>\*</sup> Mosheim's Ecclesiastical History, vol. iv. p. 33.

the divine threatenings, he was immediately condemned and censured as an enemy to Christ and free grace. This censoriousness and violence of temper shewed itself particularly amongst the independents and baptists. One of the lecturers at Pinner's Hall preaching on repentance as necessary to the remission of fins, that pulpit was soon filled with the harshest censures against the presbyterians.\*

Many of the ministers of that persuasion solicited Mr. afterwards Dr. Daniel Williams, to confute the principles of Dr. Crifp. This he undertook to do: and entered on his talk first in a fermon at Pinner's Hall: and then in a treatife, entitled, "Gospel Truths " ftated and vindicated." The method in which this work was drawn up, was to state the truth and error under each head; to prove the latter to be the opinion of Dr. Crifp; then to shew wherein the difference did not lie; and this being done, to declare in what the real and proper difference did confift. Having thus explained and stated the case, the author confirmed the truth, which was opposed to a specific error, by the rule of faith received by both fides. To the direct proofs were added corroborating testimonies from the approved catechisms and confessions both of the presbyterian and independent body, viz. those of the assembly at Westminster, of the synod of New England, and of the congregational elders at the Savoy, befides those of such particular authors as were generally esteemed orthodox. And, lastly, he investigated the ground of Dr. Crisp's mistake.

<sup>\*</sup> Gospel Truth, preface, p. 27; and Bishop Bull's Life, p. 260\$

This plan of discussion was applied to about twenty-fix points; it was executed with plainness and simplicity, and matters were stated with such fairness and impartiality, that his adversaries did not detect one instance in which the opinions of the doctor were misrepresented or mistaken.‡ The author himself declared, that he had carefully avoided any reslection on the Rev. Dr. Crisp; "whom," he added, "I believe a holy man; and have abstained exposing many things according to the advantages offered, if by any means this book may become useful to such as most need it."

The points connected with the controversy, and discussed in this treatise, through as many chapters as the propositions amounted to, are "the state of "the elect before effectual calling: Gon's laying fins " on Christ: the discharge of the elect from sins. " upon their being laid on Christ: the elect ceasing to be finners from the time their fins were laid on " Christ: the time when our fins were laid on Christ. " and continued there: God's separation from and " abhorrence of Christ, while our fins lay upon him: "the change of person between Christ and the elect: " the nature of faving faith, the free offer of Christ 66 to finners, and of preparatory qualifications: " union with Christ by faith: justification by faith, " with a digression about repentance: the necessity "and benefit of holiness, obedience, and good works, with perfeverance therein: intending our " foul's good by the duties we perform: the way

<sup>1</sup> Bishop Bull's Life, p. 261.

"to attain affurance: God's feeing fins in believers,

"and their guilt by it: the hurt that fin may do the believers: Gop's displeasure for fin, in the afflic-

"tions of his people: the beauty of fincere holinefs:

"Gofpel preaching: legal preaching: exalting of

"Christ: the honour of the free grace of Gop."

Dr. Williams has been confidered as Mr. Baxter's fuccessor in the management of these disputes; and he incurred the same severe censures as had befallen that eminent writer, being accused of maintaining opinions inconsistent with the doctrine of Christ's satisfaction, and yielding up the cause to the socinians. Names that carried an odium with them were very freely bestowed on him; as if it were more the study of the partisans of Dr. Crisp's opinions to expose those with whom they had the dispute to reproach and obloquy, than to examine their arguments and discover truth.

Dr. Williams's work was first published in 1692, with testimonials of approbation by Dr. Bates, Mr. Howe, Mr. Alsop, Mr. Shower, and twelve other dissenting ministers. The names of double that number were added to the second edition. This was succeeded by a third edition, with other names; to which a large possessing to which a large possessing sudded, for elucidating fundry truths.

Dr. Chauncy, and feveral who coincided with him in opinions, animadverted on Dr. Williams's per-

Mr. Orton recommended the treatife of Dr. Williams as the best that he knew, to enable a person to judge of those controversies. "He is," Mr. Orton added, "the clearest, fairest controversial "writer I am acquainted with." Letters to a young Clergyman, letter xv.

formance: to whom Dr. Williams replied, in "A Defence of Gospel Truth," a performance which secured the approbation of able judges. But notwithstanding the conviction which it carried to some minds, it did not give the same satisfaction to all. Mr. Mather, an independent minister, published a sermon on justification, in which he afferted that believers were as righteous as Christ himself; that the covenant of grace was not conditional; with other opinions of the same tenor. Dr. Williams answered him in a tract, entitled, "Man made Righteous." To this work no one replied.\*

On the publication of Dr. Williams's "Gospel "Truth," instead of its serving to compose the differences which had broken out among the lecturers at Pinner's Hall, a new and great clamour was raised; particularly on account of the interpretation given to Phil. iii. 9. At length a paper of objections to that work, figned by Mr. Griffith, Mr. Cole, Mr. Mather, Mr. Chauncy, Mr. Trail, and Mr. Richard Taylor, was delivered at a meeting of the united ministers. But no cognizance was taken of it. The reasons of passing it over without notice were, that three of the fix objectors were not of the union; and that the material objections were not only confidered to be ungrounded, but were expressed in words as recited from Dr. Williams's work, which were quite contrary to the letter of the expressions.+ The doctor examined the paper in a postscript to a third edition

Bishop Bull's Life, p. 262.

<sup>†</sup> Dr. Williams's Works, vol. iv. p. 322-324.

of his tract, and fully confidered the objections it exhibited. But the filence with which the united ministers treated it, gave umbrage; and Dr. Chauncy, at a meeting about October 1692, using many warm words, affigned this neglect as a reason for leaving their meetings, and breaking off from their union. The more candid and pacific studied healing expedients; and after much confideration fixed on certain doctrinal articles, to which both fides agreed and fubscribed, Dec. 16, 1692. These were published to the world under the title of "The Agreement in "Doctrine among the Diffenting Ministers in "London." This paper was far from answering the conciliatory end that was expected from it. The debates continued: one party was suspected of verging towards arminianism, and even socinianism. The charge of encouraging antinomianism was retorted on the other party. Separate weekly meetings were held. New creeds were framed; but they were objected to by some or others "as too large or too "ftrait, too full or too empty." Different papers were drawn up and subscribed, to effect an accommomodation: but they created new altercations and fomented new differences. It feemed to be the aim and wish of some, that they might be thought to differ from their brethren, whether they really did fo or not. The contending parties, in some instances at least, fancied their mutual differences to be greater than in fact they were. A letter was published in 4to. entitled, " A Vindication of the Protestant "Dectrine concerning Justification, and of its

"of Antinomianism." But the hopes of free brotherly correspondence vanished away. "Such "were the effects of these wrangles at that time," observes Dr. Calamy, "upon the most common conversation, and so odd do the controversies that were then managed appear, if reviewed at a distance, as to convince considerate observers that there is no such enemy to peace as jealously encuraged, and that indulged suspicion is an endless fund of contention."

Though the controverfy arose amongst, and was confined to, the differences; yet an appeal was made to the judgment of two celebrated divines in the established church, Dr. Stillingsseet bishop of Worcester, and Dr. Jonathan Edwards, lately the principal of Jesus college, Oxford.

One point of debate which was started in the disputes of the day, related to a commutation of persons between Christ and believers. This, it was alleged, Dr. Stillingsseet had afferted and supported in his answer to Grotius on the doctrine of Christ's satisfaction; and this Dr. Williams was charged with denying, because he had denied what Dr. Crisp called a change of person, (not persons in the plural) i. e. a change of condition and state between Christ and a sinner; Christ thereby becoming as sinsul as we, and we as righteous as he. Dr. Williams was

<sup>|</sup> Calamy, vol. i. p. 515, 516; (alamy's Life of Howe, p. 183; Nelfon's Life of Bishop Bull, p. 26—263.

<sup>\*</sup> Life of How, p. 184-

induced, on this representation of his sentiments, to address a letter to the bishop, requesting his judgment on three questions: 1. What was his sense of commutation of persons? 2. Whether the author of "Gospel Truth" was chargeable with socinianism? and 3. Whether Dr. Crisp's sense concerning the change of person or persons were true or false? He supported his request by urging that his lordship's fentiments were pleaded against him. Mr. Lob, one of the independent party, though no direct antinomian, endeavoured also to secure the bishop as an umpire in their disputes; by a letter, informing him of the controversy then subsisting among the diffenters, in which a reference had been made to his lordship's fentiments; and foliciting him, that he would condefcend to give them his impartial thoughts on the point, "as being likely on both hands to be fo " received as to compose the differences between "them." Before this letter came to hand, the bishop had already answered Dr. Williams, and given his fentiments on the points mentioned in both their letters with great freedom and impartiality, ac well as with fingular candour and judgment. This letter was, on the receipt of Mr. Lob's, published in vindication of Dr. Williams against the heavy charges alleged against him. Mr. Lob on this addressed a fecond letter to the bishop, expressing much satisfaction with what he had written, and offering his thanks for it. He apprifed his lordship, that to afford him a fuller state of the maters in controversy, and to furnish him with more ample means of composing

their differences, he was preparing for the press an "Appeal" directed to his lordship; to whom he offered to send the sheets for his inspection in manufcript. This proposal the bishop waved. The Appeal, as soon as it was printed, was sent to his lordship; who, as a perfect master of the cause, considered it with great exactness, but did not live to sinish his answer to it. What appeared gave the public a true state of the controversy, and fully vindicated both Mr. Baxter and Dr. Williams from the charge of going over to the camp of the socinians.\* A paragraph on this point deserves to be quoted, as an evidence of the bishop's candour, and as an admonition to those who are ready in the present day to bring forward invidious charges.

"There is," faid the bishop, "a remarkable for thory in the history of the Synod of Dort, which may not be improper in this place. There were in one of the universities of that country two professors, both very warm and extremely zealous for that which they accounted the most orthodox doctrine; but it happened that one of these accused the other before the synod for no sewer than fifty errors, tending to socinianism, pelagianism, &c. &c.; and wonderful heat there was on both sides. At last a committee was appointed to examine this dreadful charge; and upon examination they found no ground for the charge of focinianism, or any other herefy; but only that he had afferted too much the use of ambiguous and

<sup>\*</sup> Bishop Bull's Life, p. 264-269.

" fcholastic terms, and endeavoured to bring in the

" way of the schoolmen, in his writings; and there-

" fore the fynod dismissed him with that prudentad "vice,—Rather to keep to the language of the

" fcriptures than of the fchools."\*

Dr. Edwards, to whom were fent the same questions, which had been laid before Bishop Stillingsleet, addressed in answer a letter to Dr. Williams, in which he also fully acquitted him of giving any countenance to the opinions of Socinus; and justified him against his accusers, as having stated in a right and an orthodox manner the doctrine of Christ's satisfaction.

But the progress of the controversy has been anticipated by introducing here the appeal made to those two eminent divines of the Established Church. For, previously to this stage of it, a design was formed to exclude Dr. Williams out of the lecture at Pinners' Hall, in 1694. A new lecture was fet up at Salters' Hall: three of the old lecturers. Dr. Bates, Mr. Howe, and Mr. Alsop, accompanied him to the new lecture; and two others were added: and four were joined to the old lecturers, Mr. Mead and Mr. Cole, who remained at Pinners' Hall. The supporters of the new lectures confilted of the greatest part of the old subscribers, men of great piety and judgment, who perceiving the violence of the other party, when all pacific proposals and measures had proved abortive, removed to a more convenient place.† Thus the lecture was broken

<sup>\*</sup> Calamy Life of Howe, p. 184, 5. † Ibid. p. 194.

into two; Mr. Howe's friendly proposal, urged by him, both publickly and privately, to alternate the same lecture in both places, could not be carried; and the separation continued. A few years since the lecture at Salters' Hall, for want of support and attendance, was given up.

Besides Mr. Howe's conciliating proposal, another attempt for reunion was made, by an offer on one side to renounce Arminianism, and on the other Antinomianism; but this plan of harmony proved unsuccessful. Soon after, a few particular ministers of each party privately drew up a paper, with a hope that they should be able by their influence to prevail on both sides to sign it. But this measure, instead of extinguishing old differences, created new ones. Some were zealous for it, and complained much that it was not adopted. Others warmly opposed it, and, among various reasons, because they conceived of it as bearing hard upon Dr. Williams.†

It affords an unhappy instance of the asperity and malignity of party, that not only the sentiments of Dr. Williams were censured and stigmatized, but an attack was made on his reputation, an attack so peculiar as scarcely to admit of any precedent; which, observes Dr. Calamy, "was far from recommending the differences, as to their candour or condust, to standers by." But the ends of his adversaries were not answered. For after about eight weeks spent in an inquiry into his life by a committee of the united ministers, who received all manner of

complaints against him, it was declared at a general meeting, as their unanimous opinion, and repeated and agreed to in three several successive meetings, that "he was entirely clear and innocent of all that "was laid to his charge."\*

It was subsequent to this, that a new clamour was raifed against him: on which the appeal was made to Bishop Stillingsleet and Dr. Edwards, which has been already mentioned. Though that prelate vindicated the fentiments of Dr. Williams, he censured, in a charge to his clergy in 1696, the body of disfenters, as defective in their discipline, on account of their divisions: a censure, which seems to indicate that his lordship was inclined to apply coercive meafures in fuch a case, instead of employing argument and perfuasion to convince them of their errors, and leaving it to time and reflection to calm and compose their minds. In confequence of the appeal made to the learned members of the church, various publications on both fides iffued from the press: but whether prejudices were foftened, or paffion had fpent itself, or argument at length produced conviction, the differers became cool, and the controverfy was terminated, at the instance of Mr. Lob, by Dr. Williams in 1698, who printed a few sheets entitled, "an End to Discord:" in which he stated the orthodox as also the focinian and antinomian notions as to Christ's fatisfaction; and interpreted the confession of those more sober independents in as orthodox as a fenfe as their words with the most

<sup>\*</sup> Calamy, vol. i. p. 549. Bishop Bull's Life, p. 276.

charitable construction could bear. It is almost incredible how much Dr. Williams was a fufferer in this controversy, from some who were too apt to act their principles against such as opposed them: for he had to contend with a strong party, who would leave nothing unattempted to crush him if possible. "But he had counted the cost;" as he wrote to a very respectable member of the Established Church, "even though his life had been "facrificed." This integrity, zeal, and fortitude in opposing, under such circumstances, what appeared to him pernicious errors, displayed the energy of principle, and excellence of his own character. To his indefatigable and zealous exertions it is in a great degree, ascribed, that within fixteen years after the close of this controversy, the number of antimonians among the diffenters was fo reduced, that only three or four preachers of that denomination, and those men of no estimation, were left: the opposite principles could by advanced without exciting a clamour, and most of the independents and baptifts in the metropolis preached against antimonianism.\*

Another effect of this controverfy was, that from the time of forming a new and separate lecture at Saters' Hall, the two denominations of presbyterians and independents became distinct communities, and acted separately with respect to their own denominations. And the ground of this separation being in doctrinal sentiments, the terms came afterwards to signify not a difference in Church Government,

Nelfon's Life of Bishop Bull, p. 274-276.

according to their original meaning, but in doctrinal opinions: the latter being applied to denote the reception of calvinistic, the former to fignify the belief of arminian sentiments; or respectively of creeds similar to either system.

#### CHAPTER III.

Internal History of the Protestant Dissenters.

#### SECTION I.

### Of their Academies.

THE A& of Uniformity ejected from the church men of the first learning in the age; men, who were the ornaments both of facred and general literature. The names of Baxter, Owen, Howe, and Bates; of Clarkson, who was tutor of Tillotson; of Gale, who wrote "the Court of the "Gentiles;" of Hill, the editor of "Schrevelius" "Lexicon;" of Poole, the author of the "Synopsis " Criticorum;" of Cradock, who with folid judgment and depth of thought methodized and illustrated the facred historians; of Clark, the annotator; of Tombes, preacher at the Temple; of Ray, the naturalift; of Gilpin, an accomplished scholar and eminent physician; and of Vinckes, an universal scholar; are enrolled in the honourable lift of those, who, having been themselves enriched with stores of learning, largely contributed to extend the limits of fcience and theological literature by their refearches and writings. These names, however, bear but a fmall proportion to the many who, among the Bartholomew divines, were held in high estimation for their learning.

The edict that deprived them of their livings could not despoil them of their erudition. The literary taste which they had formed in the seats of the Muses, and the treasures of knowledge which they had laid up, qualified them, in an eminent degree, when driven from their pulpits, to undertake the instruction of youth. The straitened circumstances of many obliged them, when they had lost the revenues of their vicarages and rectories, to seek some compensation by applying their talents and learning to the offices of education. Some became tutors in private families; some opened schools; and some established academies, in which they read lectures on different branches of science and theology.

The statute which was designed to secure the national establishment, by crushing the dissidents, had an opposite esset. It led numbers to separate themselves from the church, who were considerable in point of influence derived from rank or estates or commercial pursuits. This secession originated partly from principle, founded in objections to the establishment; partly from attachment to revered and worthy ministers, whom that statute had ejected; and partly from sympathy in the unmerited sufferings which those ministers were hence called upon to bear. The subsequent proceedings of government were not suited to conciliate the separatists, and to recover them to the communion of the church; but tended by new edicts and prosecutions to irritate to

a greater degree minds already exasperated. These diffidents had families to be educated, and naturally looked to those whose talents and learning they respected, and of whose sufferings they were partakers, as the most proper tutors for their fons; especially for those of them who were destined to the ministry, or to the walks of law or physic. This became more particularly the case, as the secesfion created objections not before felt against ministerial conformity; and when the new congregations formed under the ejected ministers were, by the deaths of their first pastors successively, in want of a new race of ministers to fill up the vacant places. All these causes operated against the short-sighted views of the legislature, and defeated in a great measure the schemes of intolerance. Encourage. ment was afforded to those who were disposed to adopt plans of education; and feminaries, which but for a malignant policy would never have existed, were opened in various parts of the kingdom to meet the wishes of such as would otherwise have fent their fons to the Universities.

The consequences, when it was too late to prevent them, were seen and selt. These rising seminaries were viewed with sear and jealousy; yet recourse was not had to remedial measures of a mild and liberal nature. Several aspersions were cast on those who taught University learning; the calm retreat of the student was haunted by the spectre of intolerance; and harassing processes in the spiritual court were commenced against those who presided over

theological feminaries. Mr. Morton, of Newington Green, was forced by fuch measures to desist from his literary employment, after a continuance in it with reputation for twenty years. A particular ground of censure and of proceedings against those who were thus occupied, in different dioceses, was the oath by which they had bound themselves on taking a degree in the Universities; which was confidered as precluding them, by this folemn engagement, from keeping private academies; and even any bishop, consistently with his oath, from granting a licence for it. The candid Tillotfon judged this to be a proper principle on which a diocesan might restrain any one who had received a diploma in either university from keeping an academy; and recommended it to Archbishop Sharp, when the clergy of Craven petitioned him to suppress Mr. Frankland's feminary, to act upon this ground, without considering him at all as a diffenter, " as the fairest and softest "way of getting rid of the business."

The oath alluded to, as administered at Oxford, ran in these words, "Jurabis etiam, quod in ista sa"cultate alibi in Anglia quam hic et Cantabrigiæ,
"lectiones tuas solenniter, tanquam in universitate
"non resumes; nec in aliqua facultate, sicut in uni"versitate, solenniter incipies; nec consenties ut
"aliquis alibi in Anglia incipiens hic pro magistro
"habeatur. Item jurabis, quod non leges aut audies
"Stamsfordiæ tanquam in universitate, studio vel
"collegio generali."

<sup>\$</sup> Birch's Life of Tillotson, p. 296.

The form at Cambridge is this, "Jurabis quod "nusquam præterquam Oxoniæ lectiones tuas fo- lenniter resumes, nec consenties ut aliquis alibi in Anglia incipiens hic pro magistro vel doctore in "illa facultate habeatur."\*

At the prefentation for degrees these oaths were administered. The original occasion of these oaths was this. In the early ages of literature those branches of seience which are taught at universities, were studied and professed in the several monasteries throughout the kingdom. Afterwards the celebrity and reputation of the superiors of the monasteries at Oxford and Cambridge drew a voluntary concourse of students to those towns; and some noble personages, patrons of literature, encouraged by these propitious circumstances, and with a view of alluring foreigners to these places, which were by rapid improvement become the seats of the Muses, erected certain bospitia or buildings for the reception of students, and liberally endowed them. The

<sup>\*</sup> The Oxford oath in English. "Thou shalt swear that thou "wilt not in this faculty refume thy solemn lections as in an Uni"versity in any place in England, except here or at Cambridge:
"That thou wilt not solemnly commence in any faculty as in an "university: And that thou wilt not consent that any one com"mencing elsewhere in England shall be regarded as a master.
"Thou shalt also swear thou wilt not read nor hear at Stamsord as

<sup>&</sup>quot;in an Univerfity, or general college and place of study."

The oath at Cambridge in English. "Thou shalt swear that thou
"wilt never resume thy common lections but at Oxford: And that
"thou wilt not consent that any one commencing at any other
"place in England shall be regarded here as a master or doctor in
"that faculty."

convenience of Oxford and Cambridge in point of Situation also recommended them as places of resort. Peculiar privileges were granted to fuch as entered themselves as students in them, by the bulls of popes and the charters of princes. Degrees, or public testimonials of genius and proficiency, were invented as incitements to emulation and diligence in study. But while ingenuous youth were invited by fuch allurements to these schools of philosophy, and great numbers reforted to them, yet no injunction or law prevented persons from receiving or reading lectures at any other place. When the numbers of fludents at Oxford and Cambridge, in confequence of the peculiar encouragements they afforded, continually increased, and no code of statutes was formed and enacted to keep order amongst them, frequent tumults and riots broke out among the pupils themfelves, and between them and the townsmen. On fome particular factions of this kind many students deserted these seminaries, and removed, some to Northampton and other places, but a greater part to Stamford. Being fettled here, they began with the patronage of some great persons to lay the foundations of an university, built colleges, performed academical exercises, and instituted degrees. An alarm was taken, on the defertion of their own schools and the growing reputation of that at Stamford, by Oxford and Cambridge. Interest was made with prelates and princes to recal the students who had left them: after some difficulty and opposition they carried their measure; the seminary at Stamford was suppressed;

new colleges were founded at Oxford and Cambridge, greater immunities were granted, and laws were framed to introduce and establish good order and discipline. By degrees the students returned, and Stamford was forfaken; but still it feems, by the specific mention of it in the Oxford oath, to have been particularly viewed with the eye of jealoufy; and it was favoured by characters of great influence. To bar a future fecession, the oath, which we have quoted, was drawn up and imposed; that even princes themselves, if they were disposed to establish other universities, might be greatly impeded, if not wholly obstructed in their design, and not be able to remove professors from Cambridge or Oxford to prefide over feminaries in other places, unless the pope was pleased to dispense with their oaths. The papal policy afterwards availed itself of institutions, at first formed purely for the advancement of learning, to ferve its interest and aggrandize its power, when it was feen that those places had a great influence on the disposition of the nation. The popes fecured these two places as fountains of power; limited their patronage and fanction to thefe feats only, as two could eafily be managed and controled; the prelates of the church became great founders, and framed their statutes to subserve their political and ambitious views; and popery continuing the established religion through many centuries. these two universities, by a kind of custom, became the only national feminaries.\*

<sup>\*</sup> Calamy's Continuation, vol. i. p. x81, 182.

They who were unfriendly to the diffenters construed the oaths under consideration to mean, "that " no man, who had taken the degree of Master in "Arts in either of our univerlities, might lawfully "instruct fo much as privately in any other place, " any persons in any art or science professed publicly " in the universities." This construction of the oath ferved two purpofes: one was to fet up an exclusive claim to the privilege, honour, and emoluments of communicating university learning; to establish it as a principle, "no licence, no philo-" fophy, out of our feminaries:" the other was to brand as perjured persons those diffenters, who on admittance to degrees had taken these oaths, and who privately instructed in learning, which bears the appropriate name of academical: and to fet up a ground on which they might be haraffed by expensive and litigious processes.

Other interpretations of the oath, more mild and liberal in themselves, and on which the heads of seminaries among the noncorformists rested their desence, were these: either "that the literal meaning is to obstruct only public, not private reading or teaching philosophy in other places; and that as to this public reading the oath is now antiquated, void, and null:" of this opinion was Bishop Taylor. The other reasonable interpretation of the oath is, "it means not teaching at all, either public or private, but only performing exercises of probation; and that by lectiones tuas solenniter tanquam in universitate, are meant only some of

66 the exercises for a degree, which were designed as " specimens of talents and proficiency; and the " folemnity enjoined referred to matter, or to the "orders of the university, concerning habit and time. "The word refumes is explained to mean taking the " fame degree again, or doing the fame exercise, for "the same purpose elsewhere; as if the university " degree were not a sufficient honour, unless ratified " elfewhere. Incipies is the known term, among "academies, to denote taking a degree of master or "doctor. This construction of the oath was argued "to be just, by the state of things from which it " originated: and from the clause relative to Stam-"ford evincing that owning a place for an university, " by performing university exercises in it, was the or practice against which it was meant to guard. 46 Another clause, repeated three times, first used with reference to lections, then to degrees, and " lastly to Stamford, tanguam in universitate, as it " is done in the university, pointing to the forma-" lities of the universities, it was urged, fixed the "words to this meaning." To justify this interpretation of the oath, an appeal was made to the prelatical men, then filling fees and other high posts, who in recent times had privately read lectures on university learning, in private places, to the sous of the nobility and gentry. It was pleaded, that it had been a common practice to read lectures publicly, as well as privately, as in Gresham and Sion Colleges: and it was argued that the prefs was a more public mode of teaching than vocal reading; yet the guilt of perjury or of offending against the university oath had never been imputed to those who published books of philosophy. An argument against construing the oath as an obligation to refrain from giving any instruction, either public or private, out of the university, was also drawn from the confequences which would be involved in it; confequences criminal and prejudicial. It would oblige fome men to hide their talents, and others not to provide for their own houses by the application of that learning, in the acquisition of which they had probably spent their portions. It would oblige many to educate their children in a way contrary to their own consciences, or in their apprehensions, considering the temptations at the universities, under circumstances hazardous to the morals of youth; or otherwise to withhold from their fons all opportunities of making attainments in literature. And it would imply an obligation to take up their morals and religion on the authority of the university; an implicitness incongruous with the privileges, and repugnant to the principles of protestants.\*

On these principles, those of the ejected ministers, who opened academies, though they had graduated at one of the universities and taken this oath, vindicated themselves from the reslections cast upon their conduct, and endeavoured to expose the iniquity and illegality of the processes commenced against them.

<sup>\*</sup> See these arguments more fully stated in Calamy's Continuation, vol. i. p. 177-197; vol. ii. p. 732-735.

Among those who engaged in the education of youth, and presided over seminaries with reputation and success, were Mr. Woodhouse, Mr. Warren, Mr. Morton, Mr. Frankland, Mr. Doolittle, Mr. Shuttlewood, Mr. Veal.

#### No. 1. Mr. Woodhouse's Academy.

Mr. Woodhouse, though not ejected, not being fixed at that time in any place as a minister, was filenced by the Act of Uniformity, while he resided in Nottinghamshire. He afterwards married a lady of good fortune, the daughter of Major Hubbard of Leicestershire; yet he did not consider himself as excused from active and useful exertions, but opened a feminary in the manor-house at Sheriff hales, near Shiffnal in Shropshire, which flourished in King Charles IId's reign, and obtained celebrity. The mixture of fweetness with authority in the government of his academy gave him a beneficial influence over his pupils, whose studies he directed with fingular ability, diligence, and fidelity; youth from the most considerable families in those parts were placed under his care. At one time his students amounted to between forty and fifty. Many, who afterwards made an eminent figure in the world as gentlemen and magistrates, as well as excellent divines, were educated by him. He piously managed his house as a nursery for heaven, as well as a school for learning; and on those who were intended for the pulpit, he frequently inculcated a faithful, diligent aim to promote the falvation of fouls, as a matter of the highest account. A list of some, who received

from him the principles of learning and religion, especially of those who were affistants and immediate fuccessors to the ejected ministers, and who sustained the character themselves with exemplary assiduity in its duties, does honour to his memory.\* When circumstances led him to break up his seminary, his mind, which revolted at the thought of an useless life, was greatly dejected; and it was his frequent lamentation, "Now every field is unpleafant, for I " fear I shall live to no purpose." But Providence foon opened to him a new sphere of service, for he was invited to be pastor of a congregation at Little St. Helen's, Bishopsgate-street, London; where he discharged the duties of his ministry, with affection, zeal, and usefulness, till within a few days of his death, which took place in 1700. Dr. J. C. Woodhouse, the present dean of Lichfield, the author of a translation and exposition of the book of the Revelation, a valuable work, written in the spirit of true criticism, is his great grandson. The late excellent Shriftian, and candid biblical critic, Mr. John Simpson, of Bath, was descended from this worthy man, and by marriage became more closely connected with the family of Woodhouse.

The students in the seminary at Sheriss hales were conducted through a course of lectures on logic, anatomy, and mathematics; beginning usually with the first, and sometimes with one or the other of these branches of knowledge. These

<sup>\*</sup> See Appendix, No. v.; fee Palmer's Nonconformist's Memorial vol. ii. p. 297, 8; and Thompson's Account of Dissenting Academies, MS. p. 1, 2.

were followed by lectures in physics, ethics, and rhetoric. They were heard fuccessively in Greek and Hebrew, at other times of the day or week. A law lecture was read one day in the week to those who had entered at the Inns of Court, or were defigned for the law: and they who were intended for the pulpit were conducted through a course of theological reading. All the students were obliged to read, in natural theology, Grotius de Veritate Christianæ Religionis, construing it and giving the sense of it as one of their Latin authors: to this succeeded the reading of Wilkins's "Principles "of Natural Religion," Fleming's "Confirming "Work," Baxter's "Reasons of the Christian Re-"ligion," Bates " on the Existence of God, Im-6 mortality of the Soul, and Divinity of the Christian "Religion," and Stillingfleet's "Origines Sacræ," with parts of Bochart. In logic they began with Burgedicius; which was gone through a fecond time with Heereboord's Commentary: Sanderson, Wallis, Ramus, and his commentator Downam, were recommended to their private perufal. The mathematical authors, through which they were conducted, were principally Galtruchius, Leybourn, Moxon, Gunter, Gaffendi, and Euclid's Elements, which were read late. In geography, Eachard, and in history, Puffendorf, furnished the text books. In natural philosophy, the authors read and explained were Heerebord, Magirus, De Carte's "Principia," Rhegius, Rohault, and De Stair, for both old and new physics. In anatomy, with Gibson was joined

the perusal of Blancardi Anatomia Reformata, and Bartholine. The writers adopted to guide them in their ethical studies were Eustachius, Whitby, More, and Heereboord's "Colleg. Ethic." And in metaphysics, Froamenius, Facchæus, and Baronius: to whom were added, Blank's "Thefes," and Davenant or Ward's "Determinationes." In Rhetoric, they were affifted by Radau, Quinctilian, and Vossius. In law, they read "Doctor and Student," Littleton's "Tenures," or Coke on Littleton. In theology, the authors read and explained were the Westminster Affembly's "Confession of Faith," and "Larger "Catechism," Corbet's "Humble Endeavour," Ruffonius's "Compendium of Turretin." These were followed by Calvin's "Institutes," Pareus on Ursin, Baxter's "End of Controverfy, and Methodus "Theologiæ," Williams's "Gospel Truth," Le Blane's "Theses," and Dixon's "Therapeutica % Sacra."

In all lectures, the authors were strictly explained, and commonly committed to memory, at least as to the sense of them. On one day, an account of the lecture of the preceding day was required before a new lecture was read; and on Saturday a review of the lectures of the five days before was delivered. When an author had been about half gone through, they went that part over again; and so the second part passed under a second perusal: so that every one author was read three times. And after this they exercised one another by questions and problems on the most difficult points that occurred.

Practical exercises accompanied the course of lectures; and the students were employed at times in furveying land, composing almanacks, making fun-dials of different constructions, and diffecting animals. On one day of the week Latin, Greek, and Hebrew nouns and verbs were publicly declined in the lecture room: disputations, after a logical form, were holden on Friday afternoon: they were accustomed to English composition under the form of letters and speeches: and the students designed for the ministry, according to their feniority, were practifed in analysing some verses of a psalm or chapter, drawing up skeletons or heads of fermons, and short schemes of prayer and devotional specimens according to Bishop Wilkins's method; and were called ion to pray in the family on the evening of the Lord's day, and to fet pfalms to two or three tunes. On the Saturday evening a didactical or polemical lecture in divinity, either on Wollebius's "Compendium Theologiæ," or on Ames's "Me-"dulla Theologiæ," was read by the tutor to the fenior class; and the class was required to give the literal fense of the author. Lord's day morning, at the time of family prayer, another lecture on divinity took place, when the iunior class gave an account of some portion of Vincent's "Exposition of the Assembly's Shorter "Catechism," representing the sense of the author; on which the tutor enlarged, as the occasion dictated and the subject required. Once a year there was a repetition of all the grammars, especially of the

Oxford Latin Grammar, by all the fludents. The Hebrew was taught by Bythner's "Grammar" and "Lyra," as well adapted to each other.\*

# No. 2. Mr. Warren's Academy.

Mr. Matthew Warren, of Oxford University, the younger son of Mr. John Warren, a gentleman of good fortune at Otterford in Devon, being ejected from the chapelry of Downhead in Somerfetshire, soon engaged, at the importunity of some friends, in the honourable literary employment of educating youth for the Christian ministry; and, after

\* From MS. papers with which John Woodhouse Crompton, esq. of Birmingham, favoured the author. Among them is a certificate of the ordination of Mr. William Woodhouse, of Rearsby, in the county of Leicester, 21st of August 1702. This gentleman was the fon of the tutor. It is a document which shews the practice of the day, and the idea then entertained of the nature and efficiency of the fervice. It runs thus: "Forafmuch as Mr. William Woodhouse, of Rearsby, in the county of Leicester, has defired "to enter orderly, according to the rules of the gospel, into the facred "office of the ministry, and has requested us, whose names are "under-written, folemnly to invest him with the ministerial authority. "and knowing him to be found and orthodox in his judgment, of a " pious and unblameable life, and fufficient ministerial abilitys, (no "exception being made against his ordination and admission,) we have approved him, and proceeded folemnly to fet him apart to "the office of a prefbyter, and all the parts and duties belonging to it, with fasting, prayer, and imposition of hands, and do, so far as concerns us, impower him to perform all the offices and "dutys of a minister of Jesus Christ. In witness whereof we have "hereunto fet our hands this twenty-first day of August, anno 64 Dom. 1702.

"JOHN DOUGHTY, Minister of the Gospel."
MICHAEL, MATTHEWS, Minister of the Gospel."
SAMUEL LAWRENCE, Minister of the Gospel.

meeting with many difficulties and molestations in the reigns of Charles II. and James II. he was for many years at the head of a flourishing academy at Taunton in Somerfetshire. He was generally acknowledged to be well qualified for his office as tutor, by a good share of useful learning; and by humility, modesty, and good humour, which were distinguishing traits in his character, peculiarly adapted to the various temper and genius of young persons, and to conciliate the affections of his pupils. Convinced of the great importance, and even necessity, for the conduct of future life, of furnishing the youthful minds with principles of morality, he directed his particular attention to the improvement of his pupils' understandings in that part of learning. In reading lectures he had the happy art of explaining things, even to the lowest capacities. He had been himself educated in the old logic and philosophy, and was little acquainted with the improvements of the new; yet it was expersive of liberality of mind and good fense, that he encouraged his pupils in freedom of enquiry, and in the study of those authors who were better fuited to gratify the love of knowledge and truth, even though they differed from the writers on whom he had formed his own fentiments. While Burgersdicius or Derodon, and in ethics Eustacius, were used as text-books in the lecture-room; Locke, Le Clerc, and Cumberland were guides to just think. ng, close reasoning, and enlightened views, in their closets. Mr. Warren was never confident or impoling; never vehement or rigid in his own opinion;

but open to argument, and disposed to prefer the judgment of others to his own. He was reckoned among the moderate divines of the day: ever studious of the things that make for peace, and promote christian harmony and love. He encouraged the free and critical study of the scriptures. Many young gentlemen, who afterwards filled civil stations with respectability and worth, and others who appeared in the ministry with credit and usefulness, were educated under him. The name of Mr. Grove, whose genius, character, and talents were formed in his seminary, is alone sufficient to do honour to its president. Mr. Warren died in 1706.

# No. 3. Mr. Charles Morton's Academy.

Mr. Charles Morton, ejected from the rectory of Blisland in Cornwall, of Wadham college, Oxford, was descended from an ancient family at Morton in Nottinghamshire, the seat of J. Morton, secretary to King Edward III. He was a general scholar, but was particularly eminent for his knowledge of the mathematics; on which account he was greatly valued by Dr. Wilkins, the warden of the college of which he was a fellow. After his ejectment he removed to a small tenement, his own property, in the parish of St. Ives, where he resided till the fire of London, in which he sustained great loss. He was solicited by several friends to undertake the instruc-

<sup>§</sup> Palmer's Nonconformist's Memorial, vol. ii. p. 358; Grove's Works, presace, vol. i. p. 14; and Sprint's Funeral Sermon for Warren, p. 45, 46, 50. Appendix, No. v.

tion of youth in academical learning, for which he had extraordinary qualifications. With this view he fettled at Newington Green. He had a peculiar talent of winning youth to the love of virtue and learning by the pleafantness of his conversation, and by a familiar way of making difficult subjects easily intelligible. He drew up fystems of the several arts and sciences for the use of his pupils, which he explained in his lectures, and which the students copied. One, entitled Eutaxia, exhibited the principles of policy exactly correspondent to the English Constitution; afferting at once the rights and honour of the crown, and the liberties of the subject. It traced the original of all government to the institution of Gon; enforced from the subject love to the person of the king, obedience to the laws, and a dutiful fubmission to legal taxes for the support of the crown and the laws. It confirmed the ordinary method of fuccession; and in case of total subversion or failure, gave a right to the ordines regni to restore the constitution, by the extraordinary call of some person to the throne. It is pronounced by one who had feen it to have been fo compleat, ingenious, and judicious a fystem, as to be equal, if not superior, to any printed composition of the kind. He also drew up a Compendium of Logic, which was the text book in Harvard college, after he became prefident of that American feminary, till it was superfeded by one on a more improved plan by Mr. Brattle, minister of the church in Cambridge. A copy of each, as rare specimens of American literature are preserved in the

cabinet of the historical fociety. A great many young ministers were educated by him, as well as other good scholars; and numbers of each class were afterwards very useful in church and state; and the feminary was marked by an universal fentiment of respect for the great and excellent men of the episcopal order, and an emulation of their virtues. Mr. Morton himfelf was a pious, learned, and ingenious man; of a fweet natural temper, and a generous public spirit; an indefatigable friend, beloved and valued by all that knew him. After having appeared in the character of a tutor with reputation for twenty years, he was fo haraffed with processes in the ecclesiastical court, that he found himself constrained to relinquish it; and being under great apprehensions for the interests of the nation, he emigrated to New England in 1685, and was chosen pastor of a church in Charlestown, over against Boston, and vice-prefident of Harvard college. Mr. Morton died April 1697, in the 80th year of his age. In the Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society there is a treatife of his, entitled "A Discourse on improving " the county of Cornwall;" the seventh chapter of which is on sea fand for manure. He published feveral fmall treatifes, as he was an enemy to large volumes, and often faid, Μεγα βιβλιον μεγα κακον, " a great book is a great evil." Dr. Calamy has preserved, besides his vindication of himself and brethren from the charge of perjury, on account of teaching university learning, " Advice to Candidates

"for the Ministry, under the present discouraging "circumstances." It was drawn up in the reign of Charles II. but deserves the frequent perusal and serious attention of those who bear that character in the present day. Both these pieces afford proofs of the talents and excellent spirit of the author. It is to be regretted that only sew names of his students are come down to us.\*

#### No. 4. Mr. Richard Frankland's Academy.

Mr. Richard Frankland, being ejected from a lectureship at Bishop's-Aukland in the county of Durham, was born in 1630, at Rathmill in the parish of Gigleswick in Craven, a division of the West Riding of Yorkshire; and received his classical learning at a famous school there. In 1647 he was entered a student in Christ college, Cambridge, of which Dr. Sam. Bolton was mafter; where he made a good proficiency in human and facred literature, and imbibed a deep fense of religion. There he took the degree of master of arts; and on his removal from college, after a short residence at Hexham on an invitation thither, he successively preached at Haughton-le-Spring, Lanchester, and Bishop's Aukland. The living of Aukland-St.-Andrew's, which was a valuable one, was prefented to him by Sir

<sup>\*</sup> Palmer's Nonconformist's Memorial, vol. i. p. 273, 4; Calamy's Account, p. 144; Continuation, vol. i. p. 176—211; Dr. Eliot's American Biographical Dictionary, article Morton; Sam. Palmer's Defence of the Education in Dissenting Academies, p. 10; and Vindication of the Dissenters, in answer to Mr. Wesley's Defence, &c. 440, 1705, p. 52—54; Appendix No. v.

Arthur Haslerig. It is a testimony that he was well known, and that his learning and character were esteemed, that when the Protestor Cromwell had erected a college for academical learning at Durham, in 1657, Mr. Frankland was fixed upon to be a tutor in it. By the destruction of the institution at the Restoration he lost the office; and the Act of Uniformity, with which he refused to comply, though folicited to it by Bishop Cosins with a promise of preferment, excluded him from his living; and he retired to his own estate at Rathmill. Here the persuasions of friends prevailed with him to open a private academy; and so much was he encouraged in this liberal employment of his talents, that in the space of twentynine years three hundred young gentlemen had received their education under him. In the mean time he repeatedly changed the place of his abode; but still carried on his academy wherever he went. In 1674 he removed to Natland, near Kendal, in Westmoreland, on an invitation to become the minister of a church there. By the harassing operation of the five-mile act, he was obliged to leave that place, and removed in succession to Dawsonfold in the same county, to Harthurrow in Lancashire, to Calton in Craven in Yorkshire, to Atterclisse near Sheffield, and again to Rathmill. He had the reputation of being an acute mathematician, an eminent divine, fagacious in the detection of error, and able in the defence of truth, and a folid interpreter of scripture; a zeal to promote the gospel in all places, united with great moderation, humility of mind, and affability of deportment, liberality to the

poor, and an amiable attention to all relative duties, formed in his character leading features. He was generally beloved, and very useful; yet his patience and fortitude were tried by many and various troubles: and even after the Revolution to his death in 1698, when he was 68 years of age, there was scarcely a year passed in which he did not meet with some disturbance. Dr. Latham has given us a list of his pupils.†

### No. 5. Mr. Doolittle's Academy.

Mr. Doolittle, A. M. of Pembroke hall, Cambridge, was a native of Kidderminster; and ejected from the rectory of St. Alphage, London Wall. He first opened a boarding-school in Moorsields, which was much encouraged and patronised; he had twenty-eight pupils, when that malignant fever, called the plague, broke out; on this he removed to Woodford bridge on Epping forest. Upon a license granted by King Charles II. in 1672, he returned to the vicinity of the city, became the pastor of a large congregation in Monkwell-street; the

† Calamy's Account, p. 284—288; Continuation, vol. i. p. 453; Palmer's Nonconformift's Memorial, vol. i. p. 488—491; Appendix No. v.

This was the first meeting-house built after the fire of London, 1662. In the vestry is preserved, framed and glazed, the royal license, which Mr. Doolittle took out on the declaration of indulgence granted to the nonconformists in 1672, signed by his Majesty's command, Arlington. It is thought to be the only memorial of the kind existing in the city.—See Wilson's Dissenting Churches, vol. iii. p 186, 187; where the author has given an exact copy of it, for the satisfaction of the curious.

and fet up a feminary on a more extensive plan at Islington, to educate young men for the ministry; in which he had the assistance of Mr. Thomas Vincent, M. A. of Christ-church, Oxford, ejected from St. Mary Magdalen, Milk-street, London; a gentleman well qualified for the office. When the Oxford Act passed, he removed to Wimbledon; and his lectures were privately attended by several of his pupils, who accommodated themselves with lodgings in the neighbourhood. Among the names of those who studied under him are some who afterwards made a sigure, and soared above the lessons they had received in the academy. Mr. Doolittle, though a very worthy and diligent divine, was not very eminent for compass of knowledge or depth of thought.\*

# No. 6. Mr. John Shuttlewood's Academy.

Mr. John Shuttlewood, A. B. of Christ college, Cambridge, born at Wymeswold, Leicestershire, Jan. 3, 1631, was ejected from Raunston and Hoose, in the same county. He was a considerable sufferer for his nonconformity; for not only was he deprived of his living, but was harassed with various prosecutions, which obliged him to frequent removals; sometimes taking his abode in Leicestershire, and sometimes residing in Northamptonshire: yet he could not secure his person from imprisonment, nor evade the seizure of his goods. His health was much affected

<sup>\*</sup> Palmer's Nonconformist's Memorial, vol. i. p. 80-88; Matth. Henry's Life, p. 27; Emlyn's Works, vol. i.

and injured by his ministerial labours in incommodious places and at unfeafonable hours, and by the evils of perfecution in those rigorous and cruel times. His troubles, however, did not prevent his keeping a feminary at Sulby, near Welford, Northamptonshire, and at Little Creaton, where he lived, and died in the year of the Revolution. It appears from a memorandum in his pocket almanack, "that "fix students were added to his seminary in one "year." It feems to have had a good degree of reputation, and to have been fometimes flourishing. The lift of fludents which time has transmitted down to us reflects credit on his academy, if not by the number of names, yet by eminence of character to which they rose. Mr. Shuttlewood was a man of ability and learning; an acceptable and useful preacher; much esteemed not only in the places of his residence, but through the neighbouring country. Of this the concife but impressive inscription on his tombstone was an honourable testimony; recording that he was "multum dilectus, multum deflendus," much beloved, much lamented.\* He was the father of the diffenting cause in that part of Northamptonshire, in which he fixed his residence.

### No. 7. Mr. Samuel Cradock's Academy.

Among others, who, when they were filenced by the Act of Uniformity, employed their talents and

<sup>\*</sup> Palmer's Nonconformist's Memorial, vol. ii. p. 123-128; and Thompson's MS. Collections, vol. ii. article Creaton.

learning for the instruction of youth, was Mr. Sam. Cradock, B. D. fellow of Emanuel college, Cambridge, ejected from the rectory of North Cadbury, Somerfetshire. The facrifice he made of this valuable living to the principle of conscience was compenfated to him by the will of a gentleman, to whom he was next heir, Mr. Walter Cradock, of Wickham-Brook in Suffolk, who bequeathed his estate to him. He used to acknowledge with great thankfulness this allotment of Providence in his favour; and on the occasion took for his motto. " Nec ingratus nec inutilis videar vixisse." He went fome years after and resided in the mansion of his deceased friend; but not to indulge in useless inactivity. For fome years he usually preached twice every Lord's day gratuitously to the neighbourhood: and commenced an instructor of youth in academical learning. In the number of those who studied under him were fome who were afterwards distinguished by their stations in life and by worth of character. His lectures were grounded on fystems of logic, natural and moral philosophy, and metaphysics; composed by himself, and extracted out of a variety of authors. His pupils were obliged to copy them out for their own use; they confidered this a great drudgery, but Dr. Calamy, who was one of them, was inclined to think that the benefits attending this task overbalanced the inconveniencies and labour of it. Mr. Cradock treated his pupils in a gentleman like manner, lived upon his own estate, kept a good house, and was much respected by all the gentlemen

around the country. This was the natural confequence of a mind truly catholic, that regarded with efteem every man for his goodness, and secured the esteem of all that were truly good; a return for affable and courteous manners. His deportment was condefcending, and his temper forgiving. "We " had," fays Dr. Calamy, " our innocent diversions, " and used to ride and visit any acquaintance we 66 had at Bury, Sudbury, Newmarket, Cambridge, and other places in the neighbourhood; but I " never knew any thing like debauchery among Mr. "Cradock's domestics." His publications remain as proofs of his folid judgment, digefted thought, clear method, and unaffected style, as a writer; while they breathe the spirit of serious and manly piety. His commentaries are still esteemed as valuable; and his treatife, entitled, "Knowledge and Practice," has been recommended as the best book of its kind to young ministers.\*

Among others who, after they were expelled from the pulpit, affisted the studies of young men, was Mr. Edward Veal, of Christ-church, Oxford, and afterwards of Trinity college, Dublin; who at first exercised his ministry in Dublin and its vicinity; and when he was deprived of his fellowship for nonconformity, became chaplain to Sir William Waller, in Middlesex; and on the death of his patron, settled as a minister with a congregation in Wapping, and remained in this connection to a good old age. The

<sup>\*</sup> Palmer's Nonconformist's Memorial, vol. ii. p. 353, 4; Dr. Calamy's History of his own Life and Times, MS.; and Doddridge's Preaching Lectures, p. 82, 12mo. 1804.

infirmities of declining life obliged him to relinquish his pulpit and academy some years before his death, June 6, 1708, et. 76. Mr. Nathaniel Taylor, an eminent and popular preacher, a pastor of the congregation at Salter's hall, called by Dr. Doddridge, on account of his vast wit and strength of expression, the dissenting South, who died suddenly in April 1702, is the only one of those who studied under Mr. Veal, whose name has been delivered down to us.

Others deserve to be mentioned with respect, as affisting the studies of youth, especially of those who were designed for the ministry; though the records of the times supply but very sew particulars relative to their mode of instruction, and those who enjoyed the benefit of it. Among these was

The excellent Mr. Philip Henry; who, after he was ejected from Worthenbury, and removed to Broad Oak, till his death in 1696, frequently received Into his house young gentlemen, who had in other seminaries finished a course of university learning, and were defirous of the benefit of his instructions and counfels; and who affifted in the education of his own children. One of the first who joined his family, in 1668, was Mr. Wm. Turner, of Edmund's Hallin Oxford, and afterwards for many years vicar of Walburton in Suffex; a ferious, laborious, and useful preacher; author of an elaborate "History of all "Religions in 1695," and of "A History of remark-"able Providences, &c." the plan of which was fug. gested by Mr. Matthew Pool. Another, who had been a commoner in Edmund's Hall, and then pursued

his studies under Mr. Henry's roof, was his kinsman Mr. Robert Bozier, a young man of pregnant parts, great application, and exemplary piety; who died of a fever, in the 23d year of his age, Sept. 13, 1680, at Mr. Doolittle's, Islington, into whose seminary he had entered himself a few weeks before with Mr. Matthew Henry. Mr. Samuel Lawrence, of Nantwich, who spent some time in Mr. Morton's academy, at Newington Green; Mr. John Wilson, of Warwick, pupil also of Mr. Thomas Rowe; and Dr. Benion, of Shrewfbury, who studied likewise at Glasgow, placed themselves under the direction of Mr. Henry. The great thing which he used to press upon those who were candidates for the ministry, was to study the fcriptures, and make them familiar. textuarius est bonus theologus was his favourite maxim. He would fay to them, "you come to me as Naaman did to Elisha, expecting that I should do "this or that for you; and, alas, I can but fay as he "did, Go, wash in Jordan: Go, study the scriptures. "I profess to teach no other learning but scripture " learning." With this view it was his custom to recommend to them the study of the Hebrew, and the use of an interleaved bible, into which to tranfcribe the expositions and observations which might occur in reading, and which often furpass those that are to be met with in professed commentators.+

Dr. Theophilus Gale, the learned author of "The "Court of the Gentiles," (who died in 1678, and

<sup>†</sup> Theological and Biblical Magazine, vol. vii. p. 347; Philip Henry's Life, p. 128, 1699.

expressed his liberal zeal for the encouragement of learning by leaving all his real and personal estate for the education of poor scholars, and by the bequest of the philosophical part of his well-chosen library to students at home, and all the rest of it to Harvard college, New England,) opened an academy about the year 1666, at Newington Green; for the direction of which he was eminently qualified by his deep and universal learning. Mr. John Ashwood, a pious and excellent man, whose life was written by Mr. Thomas Reynolds; and Mr. Thomas Rowe, and Mr. Benoni Rowe, to whose names celebrity is attached, studied under him.

Dr. Henry Langley, a judicious and folid divine, fellow of Pembroke college, Oxford, afterwards appointed master by ordinance of Parliament in 1647, and in the next year made canon of Christ-church; when at the Restoration he was deprived of both these preferments by the visitors, retired to Tubney, near Abingdon, and instructed young men in academical learning. He died Sept. 10, 1679. Mr. James Waters, of Uxbridge, whose daughter married Mr. Mason, the author of the treatise on "Self" Knowledge," commenced his studies under Dr. Langley.\*

Mr. John Malden, ejected from Newport in Shropshire, a man of great learning, an excellent Hebrecian, and a solid preacher, as well as exemplary for piety and deep humility, afterwards kept a private academy near Whitchurch, in the same county, and

<sup>\*</sup> Theological and Biblical Magazine, ut ante, p. 349.

had under his care many young men of great promise; among others Mr. Samuel Lawrence, after he left Mr. Henry, at the time of his death on May 23, 1681, æt. 60.\*

Dr. Obadiah Grew, ejected from St. Michael's, Coventry, after the grant of public liberty, added to his ministerial labours the tuition of some young men for the ministry; an employment for which he was as eminently qualified by solid learning, sedateness of temper, and courteous manners, as by regular piety and great candour. His death terminated his useful exertions, Oct. 22, 1689. Mr. Samuel Pomfret, a pious and popular preacher in London, who formed a church of his own gathering about the end of the 17th century, and which consisted of more than 800 communicants, some years before his death, on 11th Jan. 1721—22, commenced his academical studies under Dr. Grew.

Mr. Thos. Shewell, M. A. a native of Coventry, educated in the University of Cambridge, and ejected by the Act of Uniformity from the vicarage of Lenham in Kent; succeeded Dr. Grew in his two characters of pastor and tutor, and conducted his academy till his death, Jan. 19, 1693.

Dr. Joshua Oldfield, who had been a student in Christ's college, Cambridge, on settling at Coventry as successor to Mr. Shewill, sollowed the steps of his predecessor in teaching academical learning, and pursued this literary employment here for a con-

<sup>•</sup> Theological and Biblical Magazine, ut ante, p. 311.
5 Idem, p. 312.

confiderable time with great reputation, till his removal to London in 1700; where he refumed it, in conjunction with other learned divines; though during his refidence at Coventry he met with much opposition from the spiritual courts. He was affisted in his labours as a tutor by his co-pastor Mr. Tong.§

Mr. Henry Newcome, of Manchester, who had been forced to remove to Ellenbrook by the Oxford Act, when he had liberty to license a place of worship in 1672, united with the character of the pastor that of the teacher of academical literature; or which he was well qualified, by his great proficiency in philosophy and theology, and by his ease and freedom in communicating from his stores of acquired knowledge. After his death in 1695, Mr. John Chorlton, a native of Salford, near Manchester, a student under Mr. Frankland, and first assistant and then successor to Mr. Newcome in his pastoral office, also engaged in the liberal employment of educating candidates for the ministry.\*

Mr. James Coningham, M. A. educated in the University of Edinburgh, taught academical learning first at Penrith in Cumberland, where he began his ministry; and on removing to Manchester in 1700, to be co-pastor with Mr. Chorlton, united with him in his literary labours of tuition. He had to contend with persons inimical to the differences, after Mr. Chorlton's death in 1705, and was prosecuted by government for keeping an academy. This

<sup>§</sup> Theo. and Bib. Magazine, p. 312, 313. \* Id. p. 348, 349.

feminary appears to have been broken up on Mr. Coningham's removal to London to fucceed Mr. Stretton, in 1712.\*

Mr. Ralph Button, B. D. canon of Christ-church, Oxford, and orator of the University, who lost both his preferments at the Restoration, though he had celebrated that event in a Hebrew and Latin poem; should be mentioned as one of those who devoted their time and talents, when laid afide from their labours as ministers, to the laudable employment of training up youth in science and literature. After he was expelled from his posts in the university, he removed with his family to Brentford, where he was induced by the perfuation of two gentlemen. who were knights, privately to instruct their fons; for which he was cast into gaol, and suffered an imprisonment of fix months. On the indulgence, he removed to Islington, and opened a private academy. Mr. Baxter adds to an encomium on his moral and religious character, that he was an excellent scholar. In the earlier part of his life he had been an eminent tutor in Exeter college; to a vacant fellowship in which he had been previously chosen by the recommendation of Dr. Prideaux, its rector, in 1633. In 1642 he removed to London, on the breaking out of the civil wars, and had been elected professor of geometry in Gresham college. These situations had prepared him for private tuition, and were proofs of his literary qualifications. He died in 1680. Among his pupils were Mr. Samuel

<sup>\*</sup> Theological and Biblical Magazine, ut ante, p. 348, 349.

Pomfret, who finished his studies under him; Mr. King, of Wellingborough; and Sir Joseph Jekyll, the fon of a clergyman; afterwards, as Mr. Whiston delineates his character with force and conciseness, " the most excellent and upright "Master of the Rolls." He was distinguished by his difinterested and steady attachment to the cause of civil and religious liberty, and by the patronage he afforded to facred literature and its friends. Instances of this were a pension of 50l. per annum to Mr. Whiston, and an annual falary to Mr. Chubb. whom, before he fell into a sceptical state of mind. Mr. Whiston had introduced to him. To Sir Joseph Jekyll were dedicated, by their respective authors, Bishop Butler's Sermons preached in the Rolls chapel, and Mr. Lowman's Paraphrase and Notes on the Revelation.

Mr. William Wickens, stated by Calamy to have been ejected from St. Andrew Hubbard, Little East-cheap, London, though at the Restoration it should seem he was not in possession of that living, but preacher at the Poultry Compter, is in the list of those who presided over private academies at Newington Green; where he preached to a small congregation till within two years of his death, Sept. 22, 1699, act. 85. It may be concluded, that he was eminently qualified to assist theological studies, from his familiar acquaintance with the Old and New Testaments in the original languages, so that in his closet he seldom had recourse to any translation. His favourite study, next to the Holy Scriptures,

was oriental learning, especially the Jewish laws and customs, in the knowledge of which he had few equals.\*—Mr. Stephen Lobb, fon of Richard Lobb, efg; high sheriff of Cornwall, and member of parliament for St. Michael in that county, in 1659; (who was the pastor of an independent congregation in Fetter, London, and made a conspicuous figure among the diffenters during the reign of James II. and had a great share in the controversy with Dr. Williams;) is not to be forgotten in the enumeration of those who directed the studies of candidates for the ministry. He is faid to have enjoyed every advantage of not only a pious but liberal education, first commenced in a diffenting academy, and then completed in Holland; to have possessed a discerning penetrating spirit, a firm and sound judgment, and great strength of mind; and to have united with these natural abilities a close application to study, and was a great mafter of the art of reasoning. These qualifications will justify us in forming a high estimate of his fitness for the province of a tutor. He died in the vigour of life, June 3, 1699.—Mr. Francis Glascock, (a gentleman, it is apprehended, of Scotch extraction, and educated in one of the universities of North-Britain,) ought to be mentioned in connection with the two preceding names. He was predecessor to Dr. Jabez Earle, as pastor of a congregation, which affembled first in Drury-lane, and then in Hanover-street, Long-acre. He died in 1706; and a few months before his death had been

<sup>\*</sup> Theological and Biblical Magazine, ut ante, p. 374.

chosen, though a presbyterian, a Tuesday's lecturer at Pinner's Hall; where he delivered, as well as in his own pulpit, many elaborate discourses, which discovered not less ability, than they expressed zeal in defence of what he judged to be the great doctrines of the gospel, more especially the deity of Jesus Christ. On the occasion of the excellent Mr. Morton's being induced by the rigour of the times to feek a sphere of usefulness and a peaceable asylum in America, Mr. Glascock, Mr. Lobb, and Mr. Wickens undertook to give private lectures to the students, deprived of his affiftance by his emigration, and disposed to form themselves into a class; and to others, who, through the feverity of the times, were deprived of more public means of improvement. Among thefe. while others of Mr. Morton's pupils went to Geneva, was Mr. John Beaumont, a native of London. who received his claffical education in St. Paul's school under the learned Dr. Thomas Gale, with whom his great love of learning made him a favourite. Mr. Beaumont, when he entered on the office of the minister, besides preaching with great acceptance at Fareham in Hampshire, and other places, was fuccessful in raising and forming three congregations. one at Swallowfield in Berkshire, a second at Peckham, and a third at Batterfea, in Surrey; and affifted in gathering another at Chertfea in the same county; and was afterwards 32 years pastor of a congregation at Deptford in Kent, where he died in 1730. Dr.Gale, when he was fit for the university, urged him, and held out offers to induce him, to enter in

one of the colleges at Cambridge; which he declined from conscientious scruples on conformity. He was ordained after the presbyterian model, July 1, 1689, with Mr. Hughes, of Canterbury, by Dr. Annesley, Mr. John Reynolds, Mr. Robert Franklin, and Mr. John Quick. His first settlement as a pastor was at Battersea, where he spent sive years. His character as a Christian was distinguished by an holy, blamelese, and inosfensive conversation, and by great patience and resignation under uncommon afflictions; and as a divine, by his zeal in afferting the doctrines that are called orthodox.\*

Mr. Benjamin Robinson, who succeeded Mr. Woodhouse in the pastoral connection in Little St. Helen's, and was for twenty years a minister of eminence in London, dying April 30, 1724, in the early days of his ministry was engaged in the education of youth. The foundation of his qualifications for this province was laid by a confiderable progress in the Latin, Greek, and Hebrew languages, under Mr. Ogden, a polite scholar, and master of a school in Derby, of which town Mr. Robinson was a native; from whose care he was removed to pursue academical studies under Mr. Woodhouse. At Findern, near Derby, where he was folemnly ordained to the work of the ministry, in Oct. 1688, he first opened a grammar school in 1693. In this situation he was highly esteemed by many worthy clergy-

Theological and Biblical Magazine, ut ante, p. 374-376; Wilfon's Hiftory of Diffenting Churches, vol. iii. p. 436, 437-445; and Dr. A. Taylor's Funeral Sermon for Mr. Beaumont, p. 43-46.

men and others on account of his learning and good fense, his unaffected piety and obliging deportment, and had offers of preferment in the national church; vet these circumstances, so honourable to his character, and fo propitious to his purfuits, did not fcreen him from a citation into the Bishop of Lichfield and Coventry's court. But his acquaintance with and personal application to Dr. Lloyd, the bishop, and who afterwards kept up an epistolary correspondence with him, foon relieved him from the trouble of this litigious process. Within a few years he removed to Hungerford in Berkshire; where, at the earnest request of his brethren in those parts, he opened an academy. This measure awakened enmity against him, and a complaint was lodged against him with the eminent prelate Bishop Burnet; who fent for him, as he passed through Hungerford, on the progress of a visitation; to whom he gave fuch fatisfaction, both as to his undertaking and his nonconformity, as paved the way for a kind intimacy ever after. He is faid to have been distinguished by a regard to the strict and genuine fense of scripture according to the best rules of interpretation. Many were educated under him for the ministry; and some younger ministers, settled in his neighbourhood, derived great benefit from the light he cast on subjects in private conferences, and at quarterly meetings held in Newbury, at which it was customary to handle on some theological subject, in which mode of discussion Mr. Robinson excelled. He appears to have been affifted in his academical

department, for a year or two, by Mr. Edward Godwin; who had studied under the learned Mr. Samuel Jones, at Tewkesbury, and settled as a minister in Hungerford, and became, in 1722, copastor with Mr. Robinson, at Little St. Helen's. To Mr. Godwin's judgment Dr. Doddridge was indebted for several important alterations and improvements in the manuscript of his "Family Ex-"positor;" and by his friendly and assiduous services that excellent work was carried through the press. Mr. Robinson's seminary was broken up by his removal to London.

Another gentleman usefully engaged, at this period, in plans of education, was Mr. Henry Hickman, B. D. whom the Act of Uniformity deprived of a fellowship in Magdalen college, Oxford; on which he went over to Holland. On his return he fixed his refidence in a retired fituation near Stourbridge in Worcestershire, his native country. Here he opened a private feminary to read lectures in logic and philosophy. He had the character of being a man of excellent and general learning, a celebrated preacher, and an acute disputant. The titles of his publications afford a prefumption of his talents as a scholar and a disputant. He was, in the latter part of his life, minister of an English church at Leyden, and died at Utrecht, in a very advanced age, in 1601 or 2. The names of two of his difciples are transmitted down to these times. One

Wilson's History of Diffenting Churches, vol. i. p. 382; Dr. Cunning's Funeral Sermon for Mr. Robinson, p. 55, 56, 57.

was Mr. John Ball, many years the revered minister of a congregation at Honiton in Devon; where he died May 6, 1745, in the 91st year of his age: he had exercifed his ministry in this town above half a century, and preached but a few days before his death with great fluency and vivacity. He was the fon of a learned and excellent minister, Mr. Nathaniel Ball, ejected from Royston in Herts. He spent fome time under Mr. John Short, also the son of a minister, ejected from Lyme-Regis in Dorsetshire, a man of learning, and who educated young men for the ministry at Lyme and Culliton. Mr. Ball likewise studied at Utrecht, with a closeness of application that brought on a fevere illness, and a weakness of several years. He spoke the Latin tongue with great fluency, could read any book in Greek with the fame eafe as in English, and generally carried the Hebrew pfalter into the pulpit to expound from it. He could repeat the Pfalms by heart, and feldom paffed a day without hearing or reading fix or eight chapters in the bible. It was his usual custom to pray fix times a day; a learned person, not particularly favourable to him, owned that " he prayed "like an apostle." His great affability and good temper endeared him to persons of all parties; and for his general knowledge of the world, and facetious conversation, his company was esteemed and courted. He was liberal to the poor. In his pastoral duties he was peculiarly diligent and active in catechifing: and had at one time above two hundred catechumens. A person remarkable for his

bias to deism said of him, "that man is what a mi"nister should be." Mr. Ball's name will occur
in a subsequent period of this history. In the perfecuting times of Charles II. and James II. Mr.
Ball was connived at in the education of a select
number of gentlemen's sons, whose fathers did not
accord with him in sentiments; for he was greatly
beloved by persons of rank and influence, as well
as of different parties, in the neighbourhood.

Another minister, who studied a short time under Mr. Hickman, and but a fhort time, on account of the infirmities of his declining years, was Mr. Thos. Cotton, M. A. born at or near Workly in Yorkthire. His father was a confiderable iron-mafter in that county, and noted for his great hospitality and kindness to the ejected ministers; one of whom, Mr. Spawford, ejected from Silkestone, he received into his family as tutor to his fon, till his death. Mr. Cotton removed from Mr. Hickman's academy to Mr. Frankland's in Westmorland; and finished his academical course of studies at Edinburgh, about the year 1677. After this he was engaged to be chaplain to Lady Sarah Houghton, daughter of the Earl of Chesterfield, for about a year, when a severe illness obliged him to leave that fituation. When he had recovered his health, he preached in his father's house, till persecution obliged him to defift. By the advice of his friends, on this account, he accepted an invitation to go abroad as

<sup>‡</sup> Waldron's Funeral Sermon for Mr. Ball, 'p. 22, 23; and Palmer's Nonconformifts' Memorial, vol. i. p. 191.

governor to a young gentleman; with whom he fpent three years in travelling through feveral parts of Europe. When they were at Paris, where during their stay they attended public worship in the English ambassador's chapel, and were greatly pleased with the useful and serious preaching of Dr. afterwards Archbishop, Wake, they received continual melancholy accounts of the deplorable state of the protestants in the southern parts of France; and they were afterwards witnesses to many very afflicting instances of persecution. Assemblies of several thousands were broken up with floods of tears; the nearest relations were rent from one another; numberless families were utterly and barbarously destroyed; ministers were silenced, banished, or stript of all they had; fome were made flaves, and fome put to the most cruel deaths. The reports of the perfecution all over France, which on leaving Paris they received at Lyons, were fo diffreshing and lamentable, that they resolved to turn their course to a protestant country; and they were quickened in the execution of their purpose by the bitter reflections against the protestants, and the new infults and threatenings produced by the intelligence which reached Lyons at that time of Monmouth's rout in England. They went next to Geneva, where their fympathy was exercifed, and their minds were afflicted, by frequent reports of the fufferings of the Vaudois, and the barbarities practifed on them. Mr. Cotton, on his return to England, continued in the capacity of a tutor for fome months, as his health was much impaired. His income in that connection was very confiderable. His prospects, if he had inclined to any civil employment, were flattering; and as he was determined for the ministry, he had the offer of a good living, with the recommendation of the former incumbent, as well as the friendship of the patron; and the overture of maintaining a reader to perform fuch offices as he should appoint. But he chose to take his lot with the protestant dissenters. He was first the pastor of a small congregation in Hoxton. with whom he remained, under very little encouragement, for five or fix years. He then spent two or three years at Ware in Hertfordshire. He removed thence to fettle with a congregation at St. Giles's in the Fields, near Great Russel-street, Bloomsbury. He was very useful and laborious; and when his health permitted, besides preaching, he expounded in the forenoon, and catechifed in the afternoon. He also supplied as chaplain to the two Ladies Russell, the widows of Lord Robert and Lord James, which engaged much time and attendance. "He was a man of good useful learning, without shew; of great piety and feriousness, without austerity and moroseness; and of great regularity in his whole behaviour, without being troublesome to any body. His deportment shewed the christian, the minister, and the gentleman. He was a folid preacher, and had a very happy talent of fuiting his discourses to particular persons and occasions. "From him I " learnt," faid his nephew, Dr. Wright, "in a man-" ner that I have reason to be thankful for, that

- feenes of dragooning and perfecution, of which he had been a witness, made him an enemy to subscriptions to human articles of faith; and gave him a lively conviction of the necessity of maintaining the great protestant principle,—the right of private judgment. Mr. Cotton died in 1730.
- In the " Memoirs" of his travels, written for his own use, he related many affecting scenes of this kind, of which he was a spectator at Ludun, Poictou, and Saumure. At Poictou, in particular, he was exceedingly moved with the vast numbers at their last public worship, and the great difficulty with which the minister pronounced the bleffing, when all broke out into a flood of tears. The laft religious affembly on a lecture-day at Saumure, Mr. Cotton could never recollect without lively emotions: the congregation all in tears, the finging of the last psalm, the pronouncing of the blesling, and afterwards all the people passing before their ministers to receive their benedictions, were circumstances he wanted words to describe. The ministers and professors were banished; and he attended them to the vessel in which they failed. The affecting fight of the vast affemblage which formed the church at Charenton, and of fuch numbers devoted to banishment, slavery, and the most barbarous deaths, was a spectacle that overpowered the mind. The stay at Saumure hadbeen very pleafant, and the agreeable acquaintance they had formed in that town invited their continuance in it, till it became a scene of great danger and affliction; especially after an order was issued to require all strangers, particularly the English, to accompany and affift the fevere proceedings against the protestants. When the governor received authoritative directions to fee their church demolished, the tearing down of that temple was extremely diffreshing; the very graves were opened, and the utmost ravages committed. The destruction of it was attended with a remarkable occurrence, which Mr. Cotton recorded as an instance of the contradictory interpretations which the same act of Providence may receive, according to the different principles of those who pass their opinion on it. A person who was ambitious to have his daughter pull down the first stone of the church, had her taken from him a few days after by death. The parent and others of his

These historical gleanings concerning the academical institutions of the first Nonconformists, few and imperfect as they may be deemed, are sufficient to expose the iniquity and folly of the times. The neceffity of fuch institutions arose from the spirit of intolerance, which had excluded from the church. and from the univerlities, fo many men of learning and talents. The vexatious and continued profecutions which purfued them into the retirements of fcience and literature, shewed a virulence and malignity of temper. It was great injustice to debar men from the honourable and pacific employment of their acquirements, genius, and intellect: an employment highly useful to the community, and in many instances necessary to the support of themselves and their families, as well as affording a folace, when filenced, as ministers. It) heightened this injustice, done not to them only but to the whole body of diffenters, that the law made the universities the property of one persuasion; and rendered private

persuasion looked upon her death as a speedy call to heaven, in reward of so meritorious an act; the persecuted protestants regarded it as a just and very affecting judgment. On his journey from Poictou, Mr. Cotton was deeply impressed by the agitations of mind and the expressions of an old gentleman who came into an Inn nearly at the same instant with him, who stood leaning on his staff, and shaking his head, and weeping, cried out, "Unhappy France! It I and mine were but now entering into some country of refuge and stafety, where we might have liberty to worship God according to our consciences, I should think myself the happiest man in the world, though I had only this staff in my hand." This person was sound to be the eldest son of a very considerable samily, and possessed a large estate.——Dr. Wright's Sermon on the death of the Rev. Thomas Cotton, p. 34—36, notes.

academies necessary for the youth, who were excluded by the A& of Uniformity from being candidates for the degrees and preferments of those seminaries, and from the advantages of being students in them, but on the condition of conformity. This conduct was in reality repugnant to the spirit and design of the Act of Toleration. The diffenters were allowed by that act the just liberty of worshipping God according to their own consciences; and in the first instance the benefit of a ministry of their own choosing; but these severities, by which the education of their youth was obstructed, if not absolutely prevented, went to preclude them from the enjoyment of a fuccession of ministers of learning and ability. With great inconfishency the end was granted, but the means of attaining the end were denied to them.

As in all cases, so in this, the measures distated by a spirit of persecution were not only unjust but impolitic. The evil and mischief was not confined to the dissenters; it affected the interests of the nation, on which it had an unfavourable aspect, by obliging the more opulent, at a great expence, and at the risk of imbibing sentiments not congenial to the English manners and constitution, to send their youth abroad for education. It affected the interests of literature. Where a competition of religious parties exists, there is a rivalship in the means of giving support to and reslecting honour on each. "While the pro- testant religion was publicly professed in France, selearning slourished there. After the revocation

"of the edict of Nantz, literature declined. The priests having none to expose their ignorance, grew lazy and sensual. Where a strict uniformity has been required, and no different tolerated, it has been observed, learning is at a low ebb, as in Italy and Spain."\*

## SECTION II.

## The different Sects of Dissenters at the Revolution.

THE name at first given to the dissentients from the Church of England, after the re-establishment of the Reformation from Popery, in the reign of Queen Elizabeth, was that of Puritans. It was bestowed by way of reproach; though it arose from a laudable desire in them to advance the Reformation, both as to the forms of worship and the discipline of the church, to a purer standard than was agreeable to the views of the dominant party of protestants, and to the taste and principles of the queen. Numbers of them were refugees, who, to escape the fury of persecution in the preceding reign, had sled into foreign countries, particularly to Frankfort. There

<sup>\*</sup> Moderation, a Virtue. By James Owen. ad part, p99.

they imbibed fentiments, which they brought into their native land, on their return to it under the auspices of a protestant princess's accession to the throne, and which involved them in those contests and divisions that gave rife to the name, and eventually terminated in a fecession from the church. In early periods of the Reformation, feveral questions that were not confistent with the received principles and practices had been started. The scrupulosity of the venerable Dr. Hooper, on being appointed to the see of Glocester, brought on the controversy concerning the lawfulness of the facerdotal vestments, which had been in use during the popish establishment. Several had avowed antitrinitarian fentiments. Some had adopted and advanced opinions repugnant to the practice of infant baptism. But the questions concerning vestments and ceremonies, and ecclesiastical government, constituted the principal and leading polemics of the age; and for years all other names were lost in that of Puritans. The state of things were changed, when the Prince of Orange came to the throne. He found the diffentients from the establishment divided into several bodies, each respectable for number and influence; and which, during the civil wars and in the reign of Charles II. had rifen to importance, and afferted their diffinct claims to weight in the state, and to the protection of government. These were Presbyterians, Independents, and Baptists. To them was added a sect of recent origin, but who have fince commanded peculiar respect, and attracted the regards of the politician

and the philosopher; I mean the Society of Friends, commonly called *Quakers*. The state of each of these sects during the period between the Revolution and the death of King William demands a particular notice.

## §. 1. Presbtyerians.

The fentiments by which this denomination of Protestants distinguished themselves, related to the church government. At the time of the Reformation, and through preceding centuries, the ecclefiaftical hierarchy of England was epifcopal; invested in bishops, as a distinct and superior order of Christian ministers, exercising a jurisdiction over a whole province. Presbyterianism admits of two orders of church officers only, presbyters and deacons; the former the ministers of particular congregations, and the latter the almoners or stewards. The names of presbyter and bishop, it is contended, are fynonimous, and descriptive of the same office. Ecclefiastical affairs on the presbyterian plan are brought under the direction of three affemblies: the first is that of a confistory, or presbytery, within each congregation, called in Scotland a kirk fession; the fecond a fynod, or a provincial affembly, formed of deputies from the feveral confistories; the third a general or national affembly, constituted of deputies from the fynods. Appeals lie from one to the other; but the decision of the general assembly is final.

Many of the reformed churches on the continent. when they feceded from the church of Rome, adopted this plan of church government. The exiles, who during the reign of Queen Mary had fought an afylum among them, returned to England fully poffeffed with a decided preference of the mode of ecclefialtical jurisdiction, which they had feen in Geneva, Switzerland, and France; and with ardent defires to fucceed in vigorous endeavours to introduce the same plan in England; and it was viewed with apprehension and jealoufy, as the beginning of a new ecclefiastical conflitution, and a model after which to raise other churches. In this temper of mind they were not disposed to meet opposition to their views, nor to acquiesce in the authority assumed by the bishops, and in that tenacious adherence to the old mode of government, as well as use of ceremonies and vestments, in which they were fanctioned by the queen. Two parties were formed on these points. The adherents to the old establishment indignantly refented the attempts of a few individuals to alter what had been fettled by national fynods and acts of parliament. The others refented being constrained to practife what was, in their opinion, repugnant to the purity of religion. They attacked one another by verbal reproaches and irritating writings. No measures of accommodation were pursued. Nothing was done to conciliate each other's minds.\*

<sup>\*</sup> Dictionnaire des Heresies, Paris 1767, vol. i. les articles, Angleterre, Anglicane Religion.

The Puritans, however, gained ground. The legislature being averse from their principles, and even from any further reformation, some of the leading distincts attempted, in a private way, to introduce their favourite plan of church government; and in the year 1573 they erected a presbytery at Wandsworth, a village situated on the Thames, at a convenient distance from London. This was the first presbyterian church in England; and yet notwithstanding the court of high commission endeavoured to suppress it, they could not effect their purpose, nor prevent the formation of others in neighbouring counties.\*

Their fentiments by degrees spread widely through the kingdom, and the numbers of those who embraced them were so much increased, that when the assembly of divines was convened by ordinance of Parliament at Westminster in 1643, for settling the government, liturgy, and dostrine of the Church of England, on the episcopal clergy withdrawing from it, the presbyterians constituted the majority of its members; and asted under the patronage of some of the principal political characters of the times. Their object, in which they failed by the controversies which it created, was to lay aside the name and function of bishops, and to establish the presbyterian

<sup>\*</sup> Neal, vol. i. p. 266, ed. of 1793.

<sup>†</sup> Among these patrons were Denzil Hollis, esq; Sir William Wallace, Sir Philip Stapleton, Sir John Clotworthy, Sir Benjamin Rudyard, Serjeant Maynard, Colonel Massey, Colonel Harley, and John Glynn, esq.

form, as jus divinum, or a divine institution, derived expressly from Christ and his apostles. Though they were eventually foiled in this attempt, they rose to a great degree of power and influence, and carried measures very favourable to their views, after King Charles I. was in the hands of the Scots. In 1646, the prefbyterian ecclefiastical government began to appear in its proper form. The kingdom of England was divided, instead of dioceses, into provinces; every parish had its presbytery; a number of these constituted classes; for instance, the province of London was composed of twelve classes; the classes returned representatives to the provincial affembly, as the provincial did to the national. The first provincial affembly, viz. that of London, met at the convocation house of St. Paul's on the 3d of May in 1647. But the meetings of provincial affemblies under the authority of Parliament, obtained only in a few places, as in London and in Lancashire and Shropshire; but the presbyterian ministers adopting the general idea, though not invested with authoritative jurisdictions from the civil power, held voluntary affociations for their church affairs in most counties. Even the county of Lancaster was not formed into a presbyterian province till February 7, 1648. A class of presbytery had been constituted by ordinance of Parliament in Shropshire, in April 1647. When General Monk, in 1659-60, restored the members secluded in 1648, who were of the presbyterian party, and filled up the Parliament, the house became entirely presbyterian; and this

feet, which had been curbed during the Protectorate, and difarmed of its coercive power of discipline, rose again into the enjoyment of all the power it had ever exercised: and the ministers of it were in full possession of all the livings in England. Their profperity and influence were of thort duration. On the restoration of Charles II. the Parliament, after having fat eight months, was diffolved, partly on the ground of its being too much presbyterian; the liturgy of the church of England was introduced again into the king's chapel, and into feveral churches both in city and country; prelacy was declared to be still the legal establishment, and the Common Prayer the only legal form of worship; some hundreds of the presbyterian clergy were at once dispossessed of their livings; presbytery was abolished, and the episcopal hierarchy restored to its former pre-eminence. But it is not fo easy to eradicate sentiments out of the minds of men, as it is to deprive those who profess them of external emoluments and advantages. It is not fo easy to exterminate, as it is to oppress a particular party. A confiderable body of diffenters, the presbyterians, continued to exist under very severe deprivations and fufferings during the reigns of Charles II. and James II. to the Revolution, and with considerable reputation and influence; fo as to render it, we have feen, a measure, in the opinion of many principal persons, not only just and liberal, but highly politic, in a national view, to adopt and attempt a plan of comprehension with them; and to engage that party entirely to the interests of the

court. As that scheme failed, this religious body not only sell from that zenith of power to which it had risen in the time of the commonwealth, but lost all sanction to the proceedings of their presbyteries, by ordinances of Parliament, or edicts of the state; and were reduced to a level, in point of legal establishment, with the other sectarists; and lest with them to the enjoyment of the benefits of the same Act of Toleration.

The friend of religious liberty will not be disposed to weep over the fate of the presbyterian hierarchy. While it existed, it was only a substitute of one spiritual tyranny, of one system of coercion for another. In the room of prelates arose presbyters or elders, as lords over God's heritage. Laws were made for conscience; the supposed doctrines and laws of Jefus Christ were enforced by penal fanctions; and the civil magistrate was sworn to do the worst part of the work.† The Form of Directory for Worship was enforced by fines and penalties; the use of the Common Prayer in churches, in private families, and even in the closet, was forbidden. The modest and reasonable application of the independents for indulgence and toleration was denied. The cry of the day, and the shibboleth of the dominant party, was Covenant Uniformity, and the Divine Right of Presbytery. An ordinance against blasphemy and herefy, exhibiting a long and black lift of principles and tenets on which it fixed this stigma, doomed to the pains of death, without benefit of clergy, those

against whom an indictment for holding any of the errors specified in the statute should be found, and who on trial did not abjure the same. It was a principle advanced in the admired assembly's catechism, that the second commandment forbids the toleration of all salse religion.† It sufficiently, indeed, marks the spirit of the presbyterian government, that in a vindication of it published by the ministers and elders of London, met in a provincial assembly Nov. 2, 1649, the doctrine of universal toleration is represented "as contrary to godliness, "opening a door to libertinism, and profaneness, and a tener to be rejected as sole-poison."

One of the most distinguished powers invested by ordinance of Parliament with the presbyterians was the authority to examine, and ordain by imposition of hands, those whom they should judge qualified to be admitted into the facred ministry. The first injunction of this nature was issued to ten presbyters and members of the affembly at Westminster, and to thirteen presbyters of the city of London. Similar powers were communicated to different and various classes, by like ordinances of Parliament, through the kingdom; and numbers, under this fanction of the state, without a bishop, were set apart and introduced into the office of the christian ministry. The acting class of presbyters in the hundred of Bradford North in Shropshire, in the course of twelve years publicly ordained fixty-three ministers.

<sup>†</sup> Emlyn's Works, vol. i. p. 63, of the Narrative.

<sup>§</sup> Neal, vol. iii. p. 146, 281, 286, 458, 459, 460, 287, note.

The narrative of the ordination of Mr. Philip Henry is a specimen of the manner in which this fervice was conducted by the presbyterians of that day. On applying, 6th July, 1657, to the presbytery at Prees, to be admitted a minister, he was examined, in the first place, concerning the religious state of his mind, or, in the stile of those times, concerning his experience of the work of grace in his heart; his skill in the original languages of the scriptures was then tried, by reading and construing two verses in the Hebrew bible, and two in the Greek testament. This was followed by questions in logic, natural philosophy, and theology, to ascertain the attainments he had made in human and facred science. He was required to give his fense of a difficult text, to prove his skill in interpreting the scriptures; a case of conscience was proposed for his solution; an enquiry was made into his acquaintance with the ecclesiastical history; and lastly, a question on the extent of the Divine Providence, or "an Providentia "DEI extendat se ad omnia?" the affirmative of which he was to maintain, was affigned to him as the subject of a thesis, which he was to compose against the next meeting. This thesis he exhibited and defended on the 3d of August; when several ministers opposed, and one presided as moderator. He then produced and left with the registrar of the class two certificates; one from Oxford, figned by Doctors Wilkinson, Langley, &c.; the other from the neighbouring ministers, &c. each attesting the regularity and exemplariness of his conversation. The

day of ordination was then appointed to be September the 6th, at Prees. Notice of this was given at Worthenbury by a paper first read in the church, and then affixed to the door the Lord's day preceding the service; fignifying also, "that if any one could produce any just exceptions against the doctrine or life of the said Mr. Henry, or any fusficient reason why he might not be ordained, they should certify the same to the class, or to the feribe, and it should be heard and considered."

When the day came, a very large auditory was collected together. The fervices on the occasion commenced with a prayer by Mr. Porter, of Whitchurch: Mr. Parsons, of Wem, preached on 1 Tim. i. 12: then a confession of faith was delivered by Mr. Henry, at the requisition of Mr. Parsons; who, in a feries of questions, also called upon him to express his fentiments concerning his ends in undertaking the office of a minister, and his purposes of diligence in its duties, of zeal and fidelity in defence of unity and truth, of humility and meekness in receiving admonition and discipline, of fortitude and perfeverance under discouragements and persecutions, and of deportment in any future domestic connections, as well as his perfuafion of the truth of the reformed religion. His answers on these points giving fatisfaction, Mr. Parfons prayed; and in a prayer he and the other presbyters, Mr. Porter, Mr. Houghton of Prees, Mr. Malden of Newport, and Mr. Steel of Hanmer, laid their hands on his head, with words to this purpose, "whom we do "in thy name fet apart to the work and office of the ministry." Five others, after the like previous examination and trials, professions and promises, were at the same time set apart to sustain the ministerial character. The service was closed by Mr. Malden, with an exhortation to the new-ordained minister.

The class gave him and the others instruments in parchment, certifying to all these transactions, in this form:

"Whereas Mr. Philip Henry, of Worthenbury, in the county of Flint, master of arts, hath adof dreffed himself unto us, authorised by an ordinance " of both houses of Parliament, of the 20th of Aug. 1648, for the ordination of ministers, desiring to "be ordained a presbyter, for that he is chosen and " appointed for the work of the ministry at Worthen-"bury in the county of Flint, as by a certificate "now remaining with us, touching that his elec-"tion and appointment appeareth: and he having "likewise exhibited a sufficient testimonial of his "diligence and proficiency in his studies, and un-66 blameableness of his life and conversation; he hath " been examined according to the rules for exami-" nation in the faid ordinance expressed; and there-"upon approved, there being no just exception " made, nor put in against his ordination and ad-" mission. These may therefore testify to all whom "it may concern, that upon the fixteenth day of "September 1657, we have proceeded folemnly to fet " him apart for the office of presbyter, and work of "the ministry of the gospel, by laying on of our

hands with fasting and prayer. By virtue thereof " we do declare him to be a lawful and fufficiently "authorifed minister of Jesus Christ: and having " good evidence of his lawful and fair calling, not " only to the work of the ministry, but to the exer-" cife thereof at the chappel of Worthenbury in the county of Flint; we do hereby fend him thither, " and actually admit him to the faid charge, to per-"form all the offices and duties of a faithful paftor "there; exhorting the people in the name of Jesus "Christ, willingly to receive and acknowledge him as se the minister of Christ, and to maintain and en-"courage him in the execution of his office, that "he may be able to give up fuch an account to "Christ of their obedience, as may be his joy and " their everlasting comfort. In witness whereof we et the presbyters of the fourth class, in the county 66 of Salop, commonly called Bradford-North class, 66 have hereunto fet our hands this 16th day of 66 September, in the year of our LORD GOD 1657."†

By the order of Parliament, on the 29th of Jan. 1644, it was required of all young ministers at their ordination to take the folemn league and covenant, which had been adopted on the proposal of the general assembly in Scotland, 9th Aug. 1643.\* It was usual to certify in the ordination testimonial, that it had been taken by the person who had been set apart to the Christian ministry. It appears by the total omission of a clause to this effect in the

<sup>†</sup> Mr. Philip Henry's Life, p. 24-30, 2d ed.

<sup>\*</sup> Neal, vol. iii, p. 74.

above certificate, that this requisition was not infissed on at that time. A proof of its not being uniformly a condition of ordination offers itself also from the certificate given to Mr. Henry Osland, of Bewdley, who was ordained in the church of Bartholomew, Exchange, London, 5th Aug. 1651, by Mr. Samuel Clark, Mr. Simeon Ashe, &c.; for in the printed certificate given on the occasion, the words relating to taking the covenant were erased, as he had not taken it.\*

It can scarcely escape the observation of any one, that on these occasions, which related purely to the discharge of religious functions, the qualifications for the performance of which rested only in the mental and religious attainments of the person himself, and could not be communicated, and the occasions for which would depend on the election of those among whom he was to minister, a power to confer an official character was affumed: not a testimonial only to talents and moral and religious dispositions was afforded to recommend the person set apart, to attention and respect; but he was declared a lawful and sufficiently authorised minister of Jesus Christ; and the power of doing this was expressly affigned to an authority derived from an ordinance of both Houses of England. The whole business refolves itself not into a mere act of religion, and into the principles of the New Testament, but into an act of civil policy. The presbyters are the ministers of

<sup>\*</sup> The copy of the Testimonial in MS. penes me, communicated by Jonathan Skey, esq; Bewdley; and Palmer's Nonconformists' Memorial, vol. ii. p. 526.

the state; and the presbyterian church is in a close alliance with the state.

After the Revolution, when episcopacy was restored, and presbyterianism was deprived of the distinction and influence which the state had communicated to it, the style of these testimonials underwent a material alteration, and the authoritative tone was necessarily lowered. Of this change the following certificate is an instance; given by Mr. Mansfield, ejected from Armitage chapel, Staffordshire, Mr. Edward Bourcher, from Churchill, Worcestershire, and Mr. William Turton, from Rowley, Staffordshire, at the ordination of Mr. Thos. Pickard. It runs thus: "Forasmuch as Mr. Thos. Pickard, " of Birmingham, in the parish of Birmingham, in " the county of Warwick, hath earnestly desired to enter orderly, according to the rules of the Gospel of " our Lord and Saviour Jefus Christ, into the facred office and function of the ministry; and having requested us, whose names are underwritten, folemnly " to invest him with ministerial authority; and knowes ing him to be a man found and orthodox in his judg-"" ment, of a pious and unblameable conversation, and "competently qualified with ministerial abilities, (no « exception being made against his ordination or "admission:) We have approved him, and have " proceeded folemnly to fet him apart to the office of " preaching presbyter, and all the parts and duties " belonging to it, with fasting, and prayer, and im-"position of hands; and do, so far as concernetbus, "empower him to perform all the offices and duties of a faithful minister of Jesus Christ. In witness whereof we have hereunto put our hands the

46 19th day of September, 1705."

During the short period in which the presbyterian ministers exercised the powers of ordination invested in them by Parliament, there arose a new class of ministers, who had been set apart to their facred office by presbyterian synods, or by deputations from them, in the time of the Republic, and afterwards by affemblies of presbyters; who had not, as had many of their predecessors, received ordination from the hands of bishops. On this circumstance a question arose concerning the validity of such orders. The bishops, being re-established in the full enjoyment of episcopal jurisdiction, were ambitious to support the dignity of the powers annexed to their office; and to discredit, in the opinion of the public, the claims of an order of men, who assumed an independency of facred character and office, though deprived of the rights which their predeceffors derived from the state, and ministers of a feet again under the frowns of the nation. There could be no doubt indeed that they were legal ministers; for even in the 13th of 2d Elizabeth, any person under the degree of a bishop, though he pretended to be a minister by any other form of institution, confecration, or ordering, than that fet forth by Parliament in the reign of Edward VI., was allowed by an Act which then passed, to qualify himself before the enfuing Christmas by subscription, &c. as therein directed; and on that ground was to be

deemed, by the ecclefiaftical as well as civil laws of the realm, sufficiently called and sent to enjoy a benefice, and exercise the function of a minister of GoD's word and facraments in the Church of England itself.\* The recent Act of Toleration, as far as the civil magistrate can give validity to religious acts, had communicated this respectability without episcopal orders in the most extensive degree, not to the presbyterian ministers only, but to those of the other fects: " for no class of protestant diffenting "ministers can easily be imagined to have existed, "that is not included within some one of the de-" feriptions recognized in that Act, t viz. perfons in "holy orders, or pretending to holy orders, or of preachers or teachers of any congregation of "diffenting protestants." But it was contended that the orders of the presbyterians were defective and invalid, in respect to the authority of the New Testament, and the efficacy of their ministrations; which were deemed null and void, because they were not ordained by the laying on of the hands of a bishop. This was a fentiment particularly calculated to exalt the episcopal priesthood, and to alarm weak and superstitious minds. It was advanced with great confidence; and became through fome following years, at the beginning of the eighteenth century, a question agitated from the press. The opinion was often stated and controverted in the

<sup>\*</sup> Archdeacon Blackburne's Works, vol. v. p. 294, 5.

<sup>†</sup> Joseph Smith's Observations on the Statute of 1st of William and Mary, chap. xviii. &c. p. 33. Bristol, 1804.

pulpit, at the ordinations of diffenting ministers, to vindicate their claims, and to remove the obloquy cast upon their office. It was for that period not only a new, but the chief point of controversy between the Church of England and the diffenters.

The partifans on each fide of this controverfy, as well as in the dispute about church government, had the same general point in view; namely, to affert and support the exclusive claims of one class of men, independently of the general body of christians, to peculiar authority and gifts as teachers of religion. The only question between them was, whether that authority supposed to be conveyed by imposition of hands were equally valid and divine when conveyed by the laying on of the hands of presbyters as of bishops. In each case the right of judging concerning the qualifications of candidates for the christian ministry, of electing to the office of preachers, and of investing them with it, is a purely clerical claim. "The people they are to preach to are not supposed " to know who are, or who are not, fit and proper " persons; but they must, as it has been expressed, " take up with fuch fare as their reverend caterers or provide for them. Each party contended for " a superiority of character attached to ministers. 66 Each party had its ecclesiastical hierarchy, or a 66 government by ministers; to whom the people " were expected to be in subjection. In those 56 countries where presbyterianism is established by " law, this is one of its distinguishing characteristics. 6 In the Church of Scotland, if the ministers are upon "a level with one another, the people are not upon a level with their ministers, but in all ecclesiastical matters are governed by them. To be sure the lowest ecclesiastical jurisdiction is that of the kirk fession, where not the whole parish meet to concert matters, like a vestry in England, but a few individuals; the minister's own friends and whom he appointed, as deacons; and moreover should these men happen to differ in opinion from him, he has only to appeal to the presbytery, and then he is upon his own ground, among the men in black, and has all his own way."

There was another feet which rose up in the times of which we are speaking, formed on more liberal principles:

## §. 2. Of the Independents.

The sect to which we refer was that of the Independents; meaning to denominate by this term a religious party only, distinguished from a political body, in the times of the civil wars, whose principles on civil government being democratical, while their religious tenets were widely different, were also called Independents.

The fundamental principle of the religious feet was this: "We are a voluntary fociety, and all upon "a level as brethren and fifters." They deemed a national establishment of religion of any fort to be inconsistent with the New Testament idea of a

Protestant Dissenters' Magazine, vol. vi. p. 295.

christian church. Their minister was either one of their own body, appointed to this office by their own choice; or, if their own choice fixed on a member of another congregation, it was a condition of his being inftated in his office, that he should receive a dismissal from the society with which he had been connected, and commence a member of the congregation which had invited him to be their minister. Every member of the congregation, whether male or female, had a voice or vote in the choice of a minister, in the admission or exclusion of members, and in all the concerns of the church. The minister fat as prefident; but he was supposed to have no more influence than any other member, nor was regarded as holding a higher rank, or being invested with any fuperior or exclusive power. He was even accountable for his proceedings to the people; who, as they had chosen him, claimed a right to divest him at their pleasure of his office. It was required of those who defired to be received into the church, except fuch as had a letter of honourable dismission from another congregation, to appear before the fociety, and give an account of themselves, vivâ voce, with the reasons why they requested to be permitted to join it; after which they were brought in, or admitted to the facrament, the bond of their union, or rejected by the vote of the church. This last circumstance was a material mark of distinction from the presbyterian discipline; for in that, if a person would be admitted to the sacrament, he must apply to the minister of the parish, and if ap-

proved by him, his admission passed of course, without any other person's being consulted. Another diftinguishing feature of the church discipline of the Independents confifted in this point, that their minister was so far from being persona ecclesia, as Black-Hone denominates an incumbent, and invested with facerdotal authority, that there was usually another person, not a minister but a layman, whom they called a ruling elder. His office bore a refemblance to that of the censor among the Romans, or the ephori among the Lacedæmonians. He had the power to call the minister or any other member to account in a summary way, if the conduct of either appeared wrong; and if they did not submit to his reproof, to present them to the church for censure, degradation, or excommunication.

The churches of this denomination were properly called "congregational," in distinction from "parochial;" because they consisted of members collected from any quarter of the vicinity, more near or remote, without any regard to nominal district; and because they looked on each church as in possession of a distinct and exclusive jurisdiction over its own members and affairs, out of the controul of any other man or body of men. Even when the prespyterian government, under the authority of the civil power, prevailed in the land, this sect considered themselves as subject to no extraneous jurisdiction of prespyteries or synods, or any other church. Their creed, their ceremonial, their discipline, their sinances, were settled and regulated by themselves alone, within

their own walls, without looking to any others as directors or umpires. They indeed abhorred the idea of the smallest interference from any man or society; and were truly Independents.†

This feet arose under Mr. Robinson, pastor of an English church, amongst the adherents to Mr. Robert Brown, descended from an honourable family in Rutlandshire, and a preacher in the diocese of Norwich: from whence he fled to Holland from the perfecutions with which the Puritans were haraffed towards the end of the fixteenth century. and fettled at Middleburgh in Zealand. Mr. Robinson, a Norfolk divine, beneficed in the neighbourhood of Yarmouth, who became pastor of an English church at Leyden, was one of that company of exiles; and formed his congregation on the principles of independency, which he had at first imbibed from Mr. Brown. In 1604, among others who emigrated to avoid the rigorous proceedings of Bishop Bancroft, was Mr. Henry Jacob, precentor of Christchurch college; who meeting with Mr. Robinson at Leyden, embraced his sentiments concerning church discipline and government. On his return to England, soon after 1610, he formed a defign, which he communicated to the most learned Puritans of those times, of forming a separate congregation on the principles of independency. In the year 1616 was laid the foundation of the first Independent or Congregational church in England, by Mr. Jacob and some others who entered into his views.

<sup>†</sup> Protestant Dissenters' Magazine, vol. vi. p. 296, 7.

They observed a day of solemn fasting and prayer for a blessing upon their undertaking; towards the close of the solemnity each of them made an open confession of his faith in Jesus Christ; and then standing together and joining hands, they solemnly "covenanted" with each other, in the presence of Almighty "God, to walk together in all God's ways and "ordinances according as He had already revealed, "or should further make them known to them."

This church affembled in private for twenty or thirty years. Mr. Jacob was fucceeded by Mr. John Lathorp, who had been a clergyman in Kent; who, on the congregation's being discovered, in 1632, by the bishop's pursuivant, and forty-two of its members being apprehended and cast into prison with their pastor, and detained in confinement two years, obtained liberty to leave the kingdom, and emigrated to New England with thirty of his adherents in 1634. On this the congregation chose Mr. Cann, author of the marginal references in the Bible, to be their pastor. After he was driven into Holland by the feverity of the times, it held meetings in connection with fuccessive ministers, under the cover of fecrecy, in different places, till Jan. 1640-1, when it ventured to open its doors in Deadman's-place, Southwark.

During the protectorship of Cromwell, the Independents increased to great numbers, became a considerable body, and made a boldstandin the Westminster affembly against the proceedings of the high presby-

<sup>\*</sup> Neal, vol. i. p. 328; vol. ii. p. 46-100. § Ib. vol. ii. p. 373-5.

terians.† Their churches multiplied both in the city and country; and their party was strengthened by the addition of many rich and substantial partisans to it. By the nature of their principles, they existed, however, in detached communities, without any link of union or co-operation of efforts; "like fo many flips launched fingly, and failing apart and alone in the vast ocean of those tumultuous times." A proposal was, in this state of the cause, brought forward at a meeting of fome of their principal members and divines in London, to open a correfpondence between their churches in city and country; not on the plan of reciprocal controul and government, but for counsel and mutual edification. Hitherto they had not jointly agreed to any common standard of faith and discipline, whereas other sects and parties of Christians had published "a Confes-" fion of their Faith:" this had been demanded and feemed necessary to explain and justify their fentiments on points both of doctrine and church government. For this reason they petitioned the Protector, a little before his death, for permission to hold a synod; which was granted to them. The meeting was held at the Savoy in London, 12th Oct. 1658, and confifted of ministers and messengers from above one hundred congregational churches; amongst whom were characters of great celebrity for learning and judgment, fuch as Goodwin, Owen, Caryl, Nye, and Howe. After meetings continued through eleven or twelve days, conducted with great har-

<sup>1</sup> Neal, vol. iii. p. 130.

mony, they exhibited to the public, after the plan of the Westminster Confession, a view of their opinions and determinations on almost two hundred distinct articles of faith and discipline, arranged in thirtythree chapters, to which was given, from the place of their assembly, the title of the "Savoy Confession."\*

It reflects honour on this denomination of English diffenters, that the constitution of their churches was friendly to the exercise of private judgment, and recognized the christian liberty of every man. While power, in whatever hands it was lodged, was the engine of oppression, and abused to perfecution; while the presbyterians in strong terms of censure protested against toleration, as "an indule gence for foul murder;" the Independents were early and strenuous advocates for the rights of conscience. Mosheim has borne a very respectable testimony to the learning of their ministers, and to the regularity and fanctity of their manners.+ That credit to which this feet arose under the auspices of Cromwell, declined greatly in the reign of Charles II. Soon after the accession of King William to the throne, they incorporated themselves with the other body of diffenters, called presbyterians, by certain heads of agreement as before stated, which tended to the maintainance of their respective institutions.

These two parties of differentiants differed from the established church, and from each other, principally and discriminately in the opinions concerning ecclesiastical orders, government, and discipline.

<sup>\*</sup> Neal, vol. iv. p. 189, &c. † Mosheim, vol. iv. p. 530.

There was another feet, who, on another ground, feparated both from them and the establishment; as they disagreed with them in their views of the nature and subjects of baptism; whose history, which has commenced before this period, must be resumed here.

## §. 3. Of the Baptists.

This body of Christians were originally intermixed with other nonconformists; and they did not begin to form themselves into separate societies till the year 1633. In 1644 there were feven congregations of them in London, and forty-seven in the country. Though the appearance of this feet was early, its progress was for many years impeded by the odium and contempt which fell upon it, and by the severities to which it was exposed from the ruling powers. During the civil wars they increased; held weekly affemblies for religious worship; and printed various treatifes, not in defence of their own fentiments only, but on the general principles of religious liberty and toleration. They even petitioned Parliament, on a broad basis, " to allow public protection to private "as well as public congregations; to review and " repeal the laws against the separatists; to permit a " freedom of the press to any man who wrote nothing "fcandalous or dangerous to the state; to prove "themselves loving fathers to all good men, and so "invite equal affiftance and affection from all." Yet they had no influence in the viciflitudes of government during the period to which we refer.

Two only of their profession, Mr. Lawrence, and Mr. John Fiennes, a son of Lord Say, till the year 1648, had seats in the House of Commons. None of them were in the Westminster assembly. Whatever weight in public affairs they might be supposed to have possessed, on Cromwell's assuming the reins of government it ceased. From the Restoration to the Declaration of Indulgence in 1672, they suffered very severely in their persons, health, liberty, and property, by harassing prosecutions, heavy penalties, confiscations of their goods, and cruelimprisonments.\*

The Revolution proclaimed to this as well as to other denominations of fuffering differinents fecurity and liberty; fecurity from fines and imprisonments, and the evils of malignant perfecution; and liberty to act up, without molestation, to the dictates of confcience. The face of things being thus changed, the different fects were, as to the open profession of their fentiments, placed on the same equal footing of tranquillity and safety; and it soon appeared how inessectual the severities of past years had been to suppress enquiry, stop the growth of opinion, and subdue freedom of mind.

The Baptists, it soon appeared, had widely disseminated their principles; and, notwithstanding the dark cloud which had repeatedly burst upon them, had gradually received a considerable accession to their numbers. For in the year 1689, a general

<sup>\*</sup> See for the History of the Baptists my edition of Neal's History of the Puritans, vol. ii. supplement 513; vol. iv. supplement to chap. 5, 6, 7, 8; and chap. 9, 10, section i.

affembly, confifting of a minister, and one principal brother of every congregation, was convened in London, on the 3d of September, by a letter from the elders and ministering brethren in the city and its vicinity, "to confider of fuch things that might " much tend to the honour of God, and further " the peace, well-being, establishment, and present as " also the future comfort of the churches." This letter was figned by Mr. Wm. Kiffin, Han. Knollis, John Harris, George Barratt, Benjamin Keach, Edward Man, and Richard Adams.\* The reprefentatives of more than one hundred congregations affembled together. Their first act was to appoint a general fast to be kept by all the community, and to address a letter to every congregation, representing the causes and reasons on which they recommended this act of general humiliation; drawn from the fins of the nation, the marks of declenfion in faith and zeal apparent among themselves, the judgments of plague and fire with which this nation had been visited, the perfecution raised against themselves. and the mortality which had prevailed among their The subjects of earnest prayer which ministers. they fuggested, included, besides imploring that a penitent and contrite heart might be given to them, and that they might be cleanfed from the great pollutions with which they had been defiled, supplications that they might be affished to understand and comport with the defigns of Providence in respect of the latter time; that greater light might break forth,

<sup>\*</sup> See the letter in Rippon's Baptist Register, vol. iii p. 260.

and the glory of the LORD rife upon the churches; that peace and concord might prevail through them; that in every place multitudes might be turned to the LORD; and that the lineal feed of Abraham, the poor Jews, and the gentiles, might be made one sheepfold under one shepherd, Jesus Christ.

One important measure, on which this affembly, after folemn prayer and a confideration of the state of their churches, concluded, was to raife a fund by a voluntary subscription, to be opened with all convenient speed, towards maintaining and supporting a regular ministry. This fund was defigned to be applied to affirst those churches that were not able to support a minister; to send ordained ministers, approved by two churches in London or the country, to visit the churches, and to preach both in the city and country, where the gospel had or had not been previously preached; and to affift any members in the aforesaid churches, "of promising gifts and " found in fundamentals," that were disposed for study, to attain a knowledge of the Latin, Greek, and Hebrew languages.

The affembly also debated and decided on several questions which were proposed for discussion. One of these questions turned on a singular point of speculation, viz. the date of the actual justification and adoption of believers; whether it did not take place at the time of Christ's death? It was determined, that though these blessings were then infallibly secured by the gracious purpose of God, and merit of Jesus Christ, yet they did not take

effect until believers were really implanted into Jesus Christ by faith; to which as the instrumental cause scripture attributes these benefits, while it gives such a representation of their previous state as is altogether inconsistent with an actual right to them. Eph. i. 2, 3—12.

Another question related to the case of neighbouring churches, consisting of small numbers, and incapable of maintaining their own ministry. The expediency of joining together for their mutual edification in support of the same ministry was unanimously concluded.

The extremes of ornament and fashion in the dress of the members of their churches, became also a subject of enquiry; a reformation of every thing in attire that was not consistent with modesty, gravity, and sobriety, was urged on the attention of the elders and ministers, as a matter which it was their province to promote; and the brethren and sisters, (for such was the language of the day,) who had solemnly professed to deny themselves, were earnestly exhorted not to conform to the fashion of the world.

When James II., with an artful defign to screen and favour the papists, and fanctioned by the venal opinion of eleven out of twelve judges, affected the character of the friend of toleration; and by exercise of royal prerogative assumed to himself the power of dispensing with the penal laws relative to religion, and published, in 1687, his "declaration of liberty" of conscience;" the Baptists who had, during three

different religious establishments, sustained great sufferings, and feverely fmarted under the mildest of those powers, led the way in addresses of thanks to the king for the peace and liberty, to the enjoyment of which his mandate restored them. Their address went no further than to express purely a sense of gratitude, and their joyful feelings on the occasion. Some few members of the community were betrayed by the infidious arts of the Court into a conduct that was more subservient to its views, and expressive of an approbation of its dispensing power. They accepted commissions as regulators for the support of it, and were employed by the king to go into divers counties, and to feveral corporations, to improve their interest to secure it countenance. But fuch were the just and constitutional sentiments entertained, as by other diffenters, so by the Baptists, on this stretch of the prerogative, and such the jealousy conceived of the design of administration, these tools, whether venal, or injudicious and weak only, or both, met with little or no encouragement; and some congregations not only reproved those who were thus employed, but commenced regular proceedings against them.

The corrupt and dastardly conduct of these agents of administration, however, though unjustly, brought reproach and infamy on the whole body; and reflections were cast upon them for hazarding, by a compliance with the popish party, the protestant religion and the civil liberties of the nation. It was

the object of the general affembly to improve the opportunity afforded them, by their affociation for the interests of their churches, to wipe off these reproaches by a public act. They accordingly declared, in their own vindication, "that to the utmost " of their knowledge there was not one congrega-"tion that had a hand, or gave confent to any "thing of that nature, nor did even countenance any of their members to own an absolute power "in the late king to difpense with penal laws and "tests; being well fatisfied that the doing thereof, "by his fole prerogative, would lay the foundation of the destruction of the protestant religion, and " bring flavery to this kingdom." This conduct, as they intimated, was a proof of the peculiar power of virtuous, religious, and patriotic principles with them; confidering the strong temptations they had been under to fall in with the king's design, from great fufferings in the ecclefialtical courts, from the molestations of informers, from the ruin of their estates, and from the deprivation of their liberties, through the oaths and perjury of the vilest men. Warm affurances of attachment to the reigning prince, to the protestant religion, and to the liberties of the nation; and of ardent thankfulness to GoD, for raising up King William, in his special goodness, as his instrument to deliver us from popery and arbitrary power, concluded their declaration.

The affembly also judged fit to draw up and publish, for the satisfaction of all other christians who differed from them in the point of baptism, a sum-

mary of the doctrine of faith and practice, according to their ideas, in "a Confession of Faith, signed by "thirty-feven perfons, in the name and behalf of "the whole affembly;" copies of which they requested the respective churches that they reprefented to procure, and which they recommended to the perusal of others. This confession of faith confifted of thirty-two chapters,—on the scriptures; on Gop and the Trinity; on the decrees of Gop; on creation; Divine Providence; the fall of man; fin, and the punishment of it; of God's covenant; of Christ the mediator of it; on free-will, effectual calling, justification, adoption, fanctification; on faving faith, repentance unto life and falvation, good works; on perfeverance, the affurance of grace and falvation, the law of GoD; on the gospel and the extent of its grace, on christian liberty and liberty of conscience, religious worship, and the fabbath-day; on lawful oaths and vows, the civil magistrate, marriage, the church, communion of faints, baptism, and the Lord's supper, on each distinctly; on the state of man after death, and the refurrection of the dead, and the last judgment. In support of the propositions advanced on these various topics, the margin is filled with references to texts as proofs of their truth. The outlines of this composition will obviously shew how far it deviated from the simplicity of that principle, with which the evangelical historian was fatisfied to announce and prove as the discriminating article of a christian faith, and the condition of eternal life.

"These things," i. e. the works and discourses of Christ, "were written, that ye might believe that " Jesus is the Christ, and that ye might have life "through his name." The avowed defign of this confession of the Baptists was to delineate and represent their fentiments as denying Arminianism. this general view it corresponded with the other Calvinistic creeds of the age. It is sufficient, and it seems proper in this history, to give the reader the chapter on baptism.

Col. ii. 12. Gal. iii. 27. Mark i. 4. Acts xxvi. 16. Rom. vi. 24.

1. " Baptism," fay our professors, Rom. vi. 3, 4, 5. " is an ordinance of the New Testa. " ment, ordained by Jesus Christ, to " be unto the party baptized a fign " of his fellowship with him, in his "death and refurrection; of his "being ingrafted into him; of re-" mission of fins; and of his giving " himself up unto God, through " Jesus Christ, to live and walk in " newness of life."

Mark xvi. 16. Acts viii. 37, 38.

2. "Those who do actually pro-" fess repentance towards Gon, faith "in and obedience to our Lord " Jefus Christ, are the only proper " subjects of this ordinance."

3. " The outward element to be Matt. xxviii. 19, 20. " used in this ordinance is water, Acts viii. 38. " wherein the party is to be baptized in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit." Matt. iii. 16. John iii. 23. 4. "Immersion, or dipping the "person in water, is necessary to "the due administration of this "ordinance."

The principles on which this general affembly avowedly commenced and conducted their confultations and debates were liberal; friendly to candid discussion, and to the liberty and independence of the respective churches, from which it was formed. They disclaimed all manner of superiority or superintendancy over the churches; all authority and power to prescribe or impose any thing upon the faith and practice of any of the churches of Christ; and they professed that " their whole intendment was " to be helpers together of one another by way of " counfel and advice." They declared that in those points of principle or practice relative to communion, wherein one church should differ from another, they would not impole any thing, but leave every church to its liberty. They resolved not to admit any particular offence which should arise between individuals or churches to be debated amongst them, till the rule prescribed by Christ in such matters had been afted upon, and the confent of both parties had been given, or fufficiently endeavoured. No determination was in any cafe to be binding on any one church, without its own confent. That every counsel or advice should rest on proofs deduced from the word of God, and annexed to it.

<sup>\*</sup> Crofby, vol. iii. Appendix No. ii.

It should be added, that the "Confession of Faith," as it has been said, was supported by appeals to the scriptures; and that the whole strain of it, consistently with the principles which they said down for their government, was merely declaratory of their own views, without any clause imposing the reception of it, or any denunciation against such as should deny or dispute the tenets it expressed, as had been usual in the determinations and creeds of synods and councils.\*

Another general affembly of the elders and meffengers of the churches of this denomination, from divers parts of England and Wales, was held in London, from the 2d of June to the 8th, in 1691; which was conducted by the same rules and principles as those upon which the former had acted.

Some congregations in the county of Somerset, or parts nearly adjacent, published in the same year. "A Short Confession, or a brief Narrative of Faith." This summary of theological sentiments, in which they who composed it merely state their own views, though called "short," extended through forty-two pages in octavo, and was divided into twenty-seven chapters; the subjects of which were, "the existence and perfections of God, substituting, through one God, in three, Father, Son, and Holy Spirit; the holy scriptures; the creation; the fall of man; the love of God; the extent of the death of Christ; the gospel; the power and will of man; free grace; faith; repentance; baptism; the Lord's supper; the

<sup>\*</sup> Crosby, vol. iii. p. 245-258.

"work of the Holy Spirit; justification; fanctifica-"tion; perseverance; God's decree, Providence. cor fore-knowledge; election; reprobation; "Gop's governing the world, meant principally to 66 declare the duty and obligation of christians to be obedient to the governors of the world, as the 66 deputies of Gop, in all things of human and civil " concern; but as to spiritual things, of religious, " ecclefialtical, or divine concern, to affert the fole "authority of God by Jesus Christ, who had deli-"vered to us his laws:" this chapter closes with a fentiment, the liberality of which stands in an honourable contrast with the 18th article of our established church.\* These Baptists professed their "belief to 66 be, that where the gospel did not come, if men "were governed by the law of God written in their hearts, and by the works of God without them. "which are sufficient to teach them that there is a "God, and fo endeavour to live to God fuitably to the " light they have received, no doubt but they shall be " accepted, though they are not under the ministra-"tion of the gospel; and in the great day of judg-" ment rewarded according to their works." The remaining chapters expressed their sentiments on the questions that related to the nature and constitution of the church of Christ, the resurrection of the dead. the eternal judgment, the restitution of all things. and the reign of Christ.+

The article runs thus, "They are to be accurfed, that prefume to fay that every man shall be faved by the law or sect which
he professes; fo that he be diligent to frame his life according
to that law, and the light of nature."

<sup>†</sup> Crosby, vol. iv. Appendix, No. i.

In the chapter on the church of Christ, the qualifications of persons set apart to the office of elders or ministers naturally came under confideration. That they should be suitably gifted and qualified for that office, is admitted; but as to an acquaintance with the languages, Hebrew, Greek, and Latin, while the usefulness of it in its place is granted, a belief is expressed that "it is not a qualification so " absolutely necessary to the being of a minister or ec elder, but that a person may very possibly be " fufficiently qualified for that office without it." The point is argued upon these principles, viz. that the gospel was first preached by unlearned men; that this is not enumerated amongst the qualifications for the character prescribed in the scriptures: that men unlearned in the languages have, experience testifies, been very useful instruments of God in gathering churches, and in the conversion and religious edification of men: and that confining the ministry to men of learning does not bear an analogy to the method of the first planting of the gospel; when the foolish and weak things of the world were chosen to confound the mighty, and things that are not to bring to nought things that are; when the great things of the gospel were hidden from the wife and prudent, and revealed unto babes. The well-meaning framers of the Confession then ask, with a kind of triumph, in the strain of a challenge, "What great and good effects have we feen of " learning? Why should men be so fond of it? Was not the apostacy brought into the world by

" learned men? Has it not been maintained and carried " on by such ever since? " In these interrogatories it escaped their attention, that the learning with which the apostacy or corruption arose, was not that of the languages critically applied to explain the fcriptures. but that of the metaphyfical philosophy of the Pagan schools. It escaped their recollection, that a long night of ignorance afforded opportunity for superstition to introduce its inventions, and for spiritual dominion to forge its chains; and that with the revival of learning came the Reformation. They did not anticipate, with what strength of argument. with what luminous discoveries, learning, within half a century, would emancipate the mind; nor did they reflect with what skilful, laboured, and acute investigations it had already detected and exposed the wickedness and impositions of the apostacy.

In the chapter "on the reign of Christ," they professed their belief, that our Lord Jesus Christ, at the time appointed of the Father, will come again in power and great glory, not only to raise the dead, restore and judge the world, but to take to himself his kingdom, and reign on the throne of his father David on Mount Sion in Jerusalem for ever, as the alone visible supreme lord and king of the whole earth: that this kingdom would be universal and everlasting, peaceable and glorious: the kingdom that would succeed the fourth monarchy, and the stone cut out of the mountain without hands, which shall fill the whole earth: not to be

<sup>\*</sup> Crosby, ut ante, p. 32-34.

fet up by the material fword, that being so exceedingly contrary to the very nature of christianity, which requires us to love our enemies and pray for them, not to destroy them; to be subject to government in all cases of civil concern, and patiently to endure perfecution. They also argued that the kingdom of Christ being spiritual ought not to be set up or maintained either by the sword or any civil power whatsoever, but by the preaching of the gospel, which is the word of God; and not being of this world, his servants ought not to fight.

One particular in this Confession, under the title of "the fall of man," deferves notice. "Our first " parents," it declares, " by virtue of the first " transgression, brought not only themselves, but " their whole posterity into a state of sin and death, " together with those many inconveniencies that are " now come upon mankind as the fad effects of "fin. But that this trangression did procure in " itself the second death, viz. in the lake of fire or " hell torments, either to Adam or any of his pofec terity, as is by some not only imagined but " affirmed; as it is a doctrine that is altogether " feriptureless, and so false, so it is altogether irraet tional; from whence it hath no room in our " faith." In the preamble to this declaration, composed by the ministers and messengers of upwards of an hundred congregations, the defign of its being published is avowed not to make an oftentatious display of supérior attainments, but to bear their testimony to "the faith once delivered to the

" faints;" and to conciliate the minds of those who looked upon them as a people degenerated from all other baptized congregations; and to pave the way, if poslible, for "a more perfect acquaint-"ance, acceptance, and fellowship with those "churches, whom they believed to be one with "them in the most material points of faith and " practice, but who carried themselves strange "towards them for want of a right understanding " of their faith." The article which we have last quoted from their "Confession," was not calculated to meet the ideas and taste of those who entertained rigid fentiments concerning the effects of the fall of man; but it is a proof that these christians thought for themselves in this point: and as to the conciliatory end which they proposed to themselves, by their account of their faith, they expressed a becoming fortitude of mind to bear disappointment. "If, when all is done, it do not answer the end for " which it is intended; but we must, notwithstanding, 66 be looked upon as a bye-people, and be rejected or " laid afide; we trust we shall keep close to the LORD " in the things that we at present understand, until "we are by some divine authority convinced of " fome religious mistakes therein:" a declaration expressive at once of firmness of mind, and an openness to conviction.

In 1692, from May the 3d to the 24th, another general affembly of Baptists from 107 churches was held in London. To strengthen their union,

f Crosby, vol. iv. Appendix, No. i. p. 7; vol. iii. p. 260, 261.

and to conduct their affociations on a convenient plan, it was refolved, at this affembly, that, whereas, for fome preceding years, the churches in feveral counties had held their particular affociations, and one general, annually, at London, to divide this one general meeting into two; one to be kept at Bristol at Easter, and the other in London at Whitfuncide: and that after each, a narrative of the proceedings of each, as far as related to matters of general use, should be printed and circulated among the churches. It was also agreed that two meffengers should be deputed from London to attend the meeting at Bristol, and two from Bristol to be present at that in London. It was agreed, with a view to render the fund for ministers and students more efficient, and to facilitate the contributions, that the churches should make quarterly collections for it in what method they judged best. It was also determined that these assemblies were not to be accountable to one another any more than churches were: that no appeals were to be made to them by the churches to determine matters of faith or fact; but that all matters referred to them for their confideration were to be laid before them under the form of propositions or queries for advice.

In the present age, when taste for sacred music is so prevalent, and the gratification of it so industriously cultivated, it will appear astonishing to many, that there ever existed, among any body of christians, a scruple on the subject of vocal music in the worship of the Divine Being. But whether it might be

partly ascribed to the want of a musical taste, or to a purely conscientious fear of corrupting the simplicity of christian worship, and of a partial reverting to the model of the Jewish service, there were some among the Baptists, in the times of which we are speaking, who objected to finging in the affemblies for public worship. A controversy on this subject was carried on in different publications by feveral writers. The fpirit in which this question was discussed by the advocates of each fide was difgraceful and irritating; for the authors of the tracts which came from the press on this occasion, loaded one another with uncharitable reflections, censures, and reproaches. When prejudice and paffion, it may be supposed, had begun to fubfide, and the writers had grown weary, both parties agreed to refer the matter to the examination and determination of feven persons, to be nominated out of their body by this affembly. The nomination fell on Mr. Andrew Gifford, of Bristol, Mr. Edm. White, Mr. Henry Austin, Mr. Robert Keate, of Wantage, Mr. John Willis, Mr. Samuel Buttal, of Plymouth, and Mr. John Scott, as arbitrators in this dispute. The points to be submitted to their confideration were stated and limited by the following questions put to each party: viz. "Whether you " are willing to be determined by the faid brethren, " and resolve to do what they shall determine, in " order to the removing of all those reflections that 66 are writ in all the books that are printed on both "fides, about the controversy of finging, &c.? "The matters to be debated and determined are

"only respecting respections and matters of sact." The assembly placing the decision of the debate, submitted to review, on this basis, it is evident, did not assume an authority to decree on the merits of the question, or the force of the argument; but aimed only to reconcile the parties. This question was answered and tully agreed to by Mr. William Kissin, minister of the congregation in Devonshire-square, Mr. John Man, Mr. George Barret, Mr. William Collin, pastor of the church in Petty-France, Mr. Benjamin Keach, minister of a congregation in Southwark, Mr. Richard Steed, of Faringdon, Berkshire, and Mr. Thomas Hollowell.

The determination adopted and recommended on this affair was, "that all concerned on both fides in "the controverfy should be defired to call in and bring all their books, afterwards specified by their titles, into the assembly, or to whom they should appoint, and leavethem to their disposal: that if any persisted in this reproachful method, they delivered it as their sense that the person or persons acting thus, who sowed offences, divisions, and discords among christians, should be remarked." It was also inserted in the narrative of the proceedings of the assembly, as their determination and entreaty, that none of the members of the churches should buy, sell, give, or dispersethe enumerated books, nor any others that contained the bitter reslections on their brethren.

These determinations were introduced by a serious and affectionate expostulation read with them to both parties, and signed. It ran in this strain,

"Beloved and honoured in the LORD, for your "work's fake, we your unworthy brethren, whom "you have chosen to examine and determine "the matters aforesaid, so far as we know our own hearts, have fingly, without respect of persons, "judged as for the LORD; and unanimoufly con-"cluded, that those persons who have been con-"cerned in this controverfy, have on both fides "erred in most of the particulars that were laid " before us. If we have been partial in any thing, " it is only, for which we beg your pardon, that " we may lay your evils before you in easy terms, " from this confidence, that the grace of God will " help you much more to aggravate them in your own fouls; especially when you compare how " unlike Jesus Christ, and the holy commands he "hath given for brotherly love, your treatment " hath been one towards another; 'who when he was reviled, reviled not again; ' I Pet. ii. 22, 23; es and how far short in this controversy you have " have come in answering that character which the "Spirit of God gives of true charity. 1 Cor. xiii. 4, &c. Had the things wherewith you charge one another been true, we humbly conceive you " should have taken those rules Christ hath pre-" fcribed, in a more private debate, way, and method et that would not have reflected upon your holy of profession and the name of God, to convince " one another of your errors; and that the ways " you have taken to discover the nakedness of your 66 brethren have been irregular, and tended rather

66 to beget greater offences and stumblings, than "convincing, healing, and recovering. Ham for "discovering the nakedness of Noah was accurred "of God. Gen. ix. 29. To proclaim one another's er errors to reproach is from the evil one, and to "give our enemies occasion to rejoice over our 66 failings, forbidden to be told in Gath and Gilgal. 66 2 Sam. i. 20. You know who hath faid that the "iffue of 'biting' will be 'to devour one another," " if God prevent not. We grieve to think what "dishonours your method will bring to the name " of God, reproach to your holy profession, stum-" bling to finners, and division among the churches " of Christ. And therefore, as brethren, partakers of the fame grace, we humbly exhort you, and " pray God would make you all fensible of your errors, humble you for them, and 'as God for " Christ's fake hath forgiven you, fo you would " for his name fake ' forgive one another.' And "therefore in the name of the LORD, and for his " fake, we entreat and determine that you proceed " no longer in fuch methods."

By these moderate and conciliatory measures, in which not the decrees of authority but mild persuasions were employed, a stop was put to the animosities and troubles that threatened the Baptist
churches; the question was separated from the
spirit with which it had been discussed, and left to
stand on the strength of the argument adduced;
and from that time singing the praises of God in
their public assemblies was adopted as a part of

religious worship by many, with whom it had not been before a practice.\*

Though this affembly was formed by a deputation from 107 churches only, the Baptists were at that time very numerous, and many of them never joined in the affemblies of which we are speaking.

This denomination of different resident in London and its vicinity, in 1696, when a fecret scheme to affaffinate King William was discovered, and his Majesty was congratulated on his deliverance from the defigns of his enemies by numerous addresses, approached the throne as a distinct body, with their fentiments of joy and attachment, in an address on the 9th of April, delivered by a very respectable minister, Mr. Joseph Stennett, introduced by the Earl of Monmouth, then Earl of Peterborough. They declared, "That they gladly embraced the " occasion to affure his Majesty that as they en-" joyed a share in the benign influences of his " government, whereby their civil and religious "liberties had been fo happily protected and vin-" dicated; fo they would make it their glory, as they "accounted it their duty, to render his Majesty the " utmost fervice they were capable of in that sphere "wherein the law allowed them to move." As a further testimony of their fidelity and affection to his Majesty's person and government, they expressed their cheerful readiness "to follow the pattern of the ho-" nourable House of Commons in subscribing to an " affociation subjoined to the address." And they

<sup>\*</sup> Crosby, vol. iii. p. 266-270.

concluded with faying, that "they would never cease to offer up their most fervent supplications to Heaven, that the spirit of wisdom might continue to direct all his Majesty's councils; that the LORD of Hosts might still succeed his arms; that troops of affociate angels might guard his royal person; that his Majesty might have a long and happy reign on earth; and at length wear a crown of immortal glory in the kingdom of heaven." This address was not suffered to pass as merely a customary form, but was much taken notice of at the time.

A disposition to agitate the Trinitarian controverfy now shewed itself. Mr. Joseph Wright, of Maidstone, a man of piety and learning, brought a charge of denying the divinity and humanity of Christ, unto the general affembly, against Mr. Matthew Caffin, of Horsham, another minister of distinguished character and talents, with whom he had been in the habits of intimate friendship and confidential intercourse; and he infifted upon his exclusion both from the affembly, and from all communion with the Baptist churches. Mr. Wright difgraced his zeal by grounding his charge, not on what Mr. Caffin had advanced in any publication, which would of course have been open to animadversion and censure, but on the communication of fentiments in private conversation, in which he had made objections to certain material parts of the Athanafian creed.

Mr. Caffin fet up a defence of himfelf against the charge of holding blasphemous and heretical opinions

ungenerously brought forward by Mr. Wright. "He candidly acknowledged that fome propositions " in that creed, after his most diligent and impartial « examination, were above his understanding; and " therefore he never had, nor could then, receive it as " the standard of his faith. He insisted on it that "the holy scriptures contained all that could be " necessary for a christian to believe and profess. "He declared, that if he were catechifed ever fo " feverely on them, he could not decline a free and "open declaration of his fentiments. He avowed his " belief in Christ as the 'Word,' in the beginning of "the creation with God; and that he was in the "highest imaginable sense Got, consistently with "that most established truth, that there can be but " one absolutely supreme God. He avowed it as "his opinion, that Christ was the God over ali," "intended by Paul, which he thought conformable "to our Lord's own declaration concerning him-" felf. He confessed his belief that Christ, as to " his flesh, was the feed of the woman, the fon and " offspring of David, conceived indeed miraculously, "but born of Mary in the same natural way as other men. He pleaded that it had been his study and delight to exalt and honour his Saviour, " both as God and man, to the highest degree of "thought: that he had never disturbed the minds of any christians about unrevealed sublimities, but " left to every one the fame liberty of judgment which he claimed for himself; and while he " owned that he was far enough from perfection

"in knowledge, he appealed to his friends, as well knowing that he was always open to conviction, and thankful for every addition of new light."

It reflects honour on this affembly, which was numerous, that this truly protestant and ingenious defence gave general satisfaction; and Mr. Wright was much discountenanced for his unbecoming reflections and his want of candour.

A general affembly was, at a short distance of time, held at Aylesbury, in the county of Bucks. Here Mr. Wright engaged the concurrence of another person, to exhibit again his charge against Mr. Cassin; but again was disappointed in his views: for this assembly also dismissed the accusation, and resolved, notwithstanding a difference of sentiments on some abstruse unrevealed points, to maintain amity and friendship with Mr. Cassin.

Mr. Wright, chaggined with his disappointments, and feeling the inefficiency of his influence, withdrew from the affemblies, and protested against their proceedings. But the seed of contention which he had sown sprung up, and in other quarters there arose new accusers of Mr. Cassin. The churches in Buckinghamshire and Northamptonshire exhibited similar charges against him to the assembly convened from them, and moved that he should be brought to judgment. He explained himself with great meekness and condescension, and laboured to recover their good opinion, but without success. Their complaints were renewed, and a hearing was again demanded; and the assembly agreed that at Whit-

funtide next year, 1700, his case should be fully examined. It met at the time fixed: Mr. Cassin attended, and to prevent consustion and tedious debates, a committee of eight persons, four of whom were on the side of the complainants, was chosen to confer with him, and to draw up a general determination, which, being affented to and signed, might be a sufficient ground of union. This was done, and the following declaration, after it had been read several times, received the signature of all who were present, and was published by the complainants, viz.

"According to the trust reposed in us, we offered to the assembly, that it be agreed, that Christ, as he was the Word, is from the beginning; but in time that Word took not on him the nature of angels, but took on him the seed of Abraham; and as such is Emanuel, God with us, or God manifest in the sless and as he is the Word, is one with the Father and the Holy Ghost. And as he was God manifested in the sless, so he is the Jesus that tasted death for every one. And further, hereas there have been, and yet are, debates about the Most High God, we conceive He is one infinite, unchangeable, and eternal spirit, incomprehensible Godhead, and doth subsist in the Father, the Word, and the Holy Ghost.

"BENJ. MILLER, "Thos. KIRBY,

"DAVID BROWN,

" Wm. VINCENT,
" JOHN HASSUM,
" JOHN AMORY,
" NATH. GALE."

It was also entered in the journals of the assembly, "That the defence brother Matthew Cassin has "made, together with his acknowledgements, are to the satisfaction of the assembly."

This vote, though it breathed the spirit of peace and tolerance, had not immediately its defired effect. At the next meeting of the general affembly, the churches in the county of Northampton lodged a complaint, that Mr. Caffin had not been tried to their fatisfaction. After a deliberate debate, a motion was made, put to the vote, and carried by a great majority, "that the declaration made by Mr. Caffin, "and his figning the aforefaid expedient was fuffi-"cient and fatisfactory." Notwithstanding this conciliatory resolution passed, the dissatisfied did not acquiesce in it; but cherishing the uneasiness which rankled in their breafts, forfook the affemblies of their brethren, and held for some years separate affociations; till at length, after some essays, a friendly union was accomplished on a fure foundation of forbearance and charity, -an adherence to the scriptures only as the complete and only rule of faith and practice. It was a fign of the ill-nature and diflike which the feparatifts indulged on this occasion, that the affembly from which they withdrew was, for a short time, called Caffinite. I

From the time of the Reformation a practice of holding public disputations on the theological questions on which the parties of the times differed, had existed in Europe and in England. They were

<sup>‡</sup> Crosby, vol. iii. p. 280-285.

fometimes appointed by royal proclamation, not fo much with the candid defign of investigating the truth, as with the hope of gaining a triumph over those who ventured to diffent from the religious principles and injunctions which the power of the state had legalized. This mode of settling controversies on points of faith or practice was at other times voluntarily adopted by partizans of each fide. without the authority of the magistrate or the protection of power. The principles of the Baptists were repeatedly brought to this discussion and trial. The first disputation on them was holden in Southwark, 17th Oct. 1642, between Dr. Featly, Mr. Kiffin, and others. The next took place in London, in the year 1643, at different times and through feveral weeks, between Mr. Knollis, Mr. Kiffin, and Mr. Jeffey. A third was conducted at Tirling in the county of Effex, on 11th Jan. 1643, by Mr. John Stalham, Mr. Newton, Mr. Gray, Mr. Butt, and Mr. Thomas Lambe. Mr. Gibbs and Mr. Richard Carpenter held a disputation, about the year 1647, before a great affembly of ministers and others, in the parish church of Newport-Pagnell. Mr. Samuel Fisher, and several clergymen, on July 26, 1649, engaged in such a verbal discussion at Ashford, in the county of Kent. On a challenge given by Mr. Baxter, this mode of stating and defending their respective opinions was adopted by the learned Mr. Tombes, on Jan. 1st, 1649, in the parish church of Bewdley. On 2d May, 1652, a difputation of this kind was holden at Cork, in Ireland,

between Dr. Harding, Dr. Worth, and Mr. Murcott. Mr. John Craig, Mr. Henry Vaughan, and Mr. John Tombes engaged in a fimilar debate at Abergavenny in the county of Monmouth. Portsmouth was the theatre of the last of these contests; which, though they might draw attention to the questions controverted between the parties. and lead some persons to enquiry, unhappily tended more to inflame the passions of the disputants, and to excite an eagerness for victory, than to elicit the truth. This disputation was holden, with his Majesty's licence, on February 22, 1698. The disputants on the fide of the Pædobaptists were Mr. Samuel Chandler, of Fareham, and Mr. Leigh, of Newport; and Mr. Robinson, of Hungerford, was their moderator. The ministers who advocated the principles of the Baptists were Dr. William Russel, of London; Mr. John Williams, of East-Knoyle; and the moderator, Mr. John Sharp, of Frome. The disputation was conducted in the old mode of the schools by syllogifms. Two questions came under discussion in this debate. First, "Whether according to the com-" mission of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, adult "believers are the only proper subjects of baptism, "and not infants?" Secondly, "Whether the ordinance of baptism, as appointed by Christ, is "to be administered by dipping, plunging, or over-" whelming only, and no otherwise?" It began at ten in the morning, and lasted till between the hours of fix and feven in the evening; when after much

advanced on both fides about words to no profit. and fo as to create a confused jangling and noise, Mr Williams, a presbyterian minister, as were all the Pædobaptist disputants, faid he thought there had been little faid to the purpose. To whom Dr. Ruffel replied, "Mr. Williams, I think there hath "been a great deal faid, more than hath been an-" fwered: but if you are not fatisfied, we will wave " all that hath been faid, and I will dispute it over "with you de novo." Mr. Williams, with a shrug, declined this challenge, faying he was not well. Upon which it was judged proper to close the difputation. Each party having addressed the governor and mayor with their thanks for the civility shewn to them, Mr. Leigh concluded with prayer, and dismissed the assembly. One of the public prints, called the Postman, on the 25th of February, declared the victory to be on the fide of the Pædobaptifts; and charged Dr. Ruffell with employing all the fubtlety and fophistry of the schools in the management of the argument against infant baptism. From this charge it was inferred that he handled the fubjects of debate with the dexterity of an able disputant, and pressed his opponents with no mean and contemptible arguments. A partial account of it, accompanied with mifrepresentations and unhandfome reflections, appeared in the "Flying Post" of the 1st of April. It was an instance of disingenuous or uncandid conduct in the scribe of the Pædobaptists, that when the scribe on the side of the baptists went to propose comparing with him their respective

minutes, he refused it; and no applications could procure from him even a fight of his papers: he pleaded that his copy was very imperfect, as he had never before been engaged in such an office. Dr. Russel submitted to the judgment of the public the decision on which side the victory lay, by giving the disputation from the press, in a tract, entitled, "A true Narrative of the Portsmouth Disputation, between some Ministers of the Prespyterians and others of the Baptist persuasion, concerning the subjects and manner of Baptism."† About three months afterwards, the Pædobaptists published their own account of it; which they confessed was imperfect, and in which others detected several insertions, transpositions, falsisications, and additions.

About this time Mr. Pilkington, a Benedictine monk, renounced popery, and embraced protestantism under the form of profession observed by the baptists. This event, by its unusual and singular nature, may be supposed at the time to have created an interest in the public mind, and to have called the attention of men to the denomination of christians, to which, under so remarkable a change of sentiments and religious profession, Mr. Pilkington joined himself; and on these grounds may have a claim to be noticed in this history. His education at first was conducted by some Romish emissaries in England; under whose tuition he spent sive years and a half. The proficiency which he had made in an acquaintance with the principles of the catholic faith during

<sup>†</sup> This narrative is preserved by Crusby, vol. iii. p. 314-353.

that period, induced the friends of it to fend him to the English seminary at Lisbon, to perfect his qualifications for fustaining the character of a missionary, Here he fpent three years and upwards, imbibing erroneous notions concerning protestantism, and almost insuperable prejudices against it. Regarding it as a dangerous herefy, more effectually to fecure himself from being drawn into it, he resolved to relinquish the design of becoming a missionary priest. and to betake himself to a religious state. With this view he made interest with the monks of a certain order, and obtained their confent to be admitted to their habit. When the president of the college heard of it, unwilling to lofe one of his flock. and fearing that Mr. Pilkington's friends, who defigned him for other ends, would refent the step, he put a stop to the design by frequent applications to the Pope's nuncio. The disappointment created great animolities between the two religious houses; and fo exasperated the mind of the young candidate for the habit of a monk, that he refused obedience to the constitutions of the college; and though he had no doubt but that his conduct would highly disgust his friends, he requested a dismission from it, in order to return to England.

In this state of his mind he resolved to search into the dostrines of the church of England, under a sanguine hope, that if he could persuade himself of the possibility of salvation out of the church of Rome, he should meet in England with other friends to maintain him at one of the universities. In pursuance of this resolution he communicated his intentions to Mr. Colbatch, chaplain of the English factory, with whom he had conversed several times on controverted points in religion, and requested his recommendation to some of the clergy in England. This gentleman savoured his views by writing to the Bishop of Salisbury's chaplain, whom, on his arrival at London, he unfortunately sound out of town; but on applying to some other clergymen he was introduced to the Archbishop of Canterbury.

The encouragement and patronage afforded him at this juncture fo diffipated his fears and animated his intentions, as to dispel for the present all apprehenfions for his foul's future state; and fascinated by the rifing hopes of preferment, he forgot the resolution he had made of not forsaking the Romish communion till he was convinced of the possibility of falvation out of it. Under this short retreat of prejudice, and led away by feducing prospects, though he had scarcely begun the search after truth, he thought he had found it, and was hasty to possess it. Upon requesting to take orders in the church he was fent to Oxford. Here his former prejudices revived; doubts and scruples to the disadvantage of protestantism arose in his mind; vain were his attempts to stifle these thoughts; the civilities he received at the university, though far above his expectations, were bands too weak to attach him to the place; the preferments promised to him, though considerable, could not afford peace to his mind. Having spent four terms in the university.

he returned to the church of Rome. On his readmission into that communion, he submitted to the penance of a pilgrimage from London to Holy-Well in Wales, on foot, and by begging. After eighteen months, peace being concluded with France, he was admitted to the habit of a Benedictine monk in the English monastery of St. Edmund in Paris; in which he continued nearly a year.

In this place new views were prefented to his mind; and his temporary connection with this religious order, eventually led him to be in reality, what he had been before but in effigy, a protestant. The representations which he had read, and the reports which he had heard of the profesfors of this faith, inspired him with an unusual curiosity to observe, and narrowly to inspect, their practices. The post of fecretary to the convent, which on account of the great age of the fecretary he supplied, afforded him favourable and peculiar opportunities of noticing the manners of the house. All things, to his great furprize, ran counter to his expectations. whole family he discovered was divided into factions and parties; ambition, pride, and felf-love marked the characters and deportment of the individuals of the order; instead of hospitality and real poverty, nothing was to be feen but infatiable avarice and thirst after riches. In the place of boasted temperance, excess and gluttony, to avoid public fcandal, were practised in private: and inflead of referved and abstemious manners, the general and other superiors had their parties of young females,

whom they regaled with wines and luxuries, in a close chapel of the church. The obedience of the order appeared most in obeying their own unbridled lusts and passions. In a word, "it seemed to me, he declares, "their chief study was, which of them should be most wicked at home, and yet be thought the most holy abroad; that is to say, who should most genteelly play the hypocrite."

Though these practices and examples raised his disgust, and exposed him to great temptations, vet the attachment to a monastic life which he still retained, inclined him to believe that he could live up to the rules of the order, though very fevere, which others allowed themselves the liberty of breaking. This confideration had almost determined him to continue in this connection, when taking a bible into his hands, he cast his eyes on the 22d verse of the 9th chapter of the first epistle to the Corinthians—" I therefore fo run, not as uncertainly; " fo fight I, not as one that beateth the air." This passage, the impression of which on his mind was strengthened by another that occurred to his thoughts, from the 12th verse of the 1st chapter of Isaiah, viz. "Who hath required these things at "your hands?" produced various doubts and apprehenfions in his mind, and an agitation which permitted him to take little rest day or night. Afterfrequent contests with himself for and against the exercise of those mortifications and austerities which the rules of the house enjoined, he began to reflect on the reasonableness and weight of Christ's decla-

ration, "When ye shall have done all those things " which are commanded you, fay we are unprofitable " fervants:" another of the Apostle Paul, " That the " fufferings of this present time are not worthy to be " compared to the glory that shall be revealed in us," also much affected him. The train of his thoughts led him to a conviction that the Romish doctrine of merit, and the efficacy of works of supererogation, was highly derogatory to the honour of Christ. When he witneffed the expressions of the far greater veneration paid to the Virgin Mary than to her Son, by pulling off their hoods, by kneeling down, and by lighting the candles on the altar when they fang anthems to her, (practices not observed in other parts of the fervice,) he was perfuaded that their faints' worship fell under the same censure. As his former prejudices yielded to the spirit of enquiry, these and other discoveries concerning the practices and principles of the Roman Catholic religion led him to examine all its other doctrines. Therefult of this investigation was a conviction that the greatest part of them was repugnant to the word of GoD; and he reflected with great astonishment that he had not fooner discerned the errors and fuperstitions of the Catholic church, which he now began to look on as abominations.

To fortify his mind against any temptations to a fecond relapse, he formed a firm, deliberate resolution to shut his eyes against all doctrines that could not be plainly proved from the scriptures; and laying aside all motives of interest, to join in communion

with that church, whose opinions and worthip fhould, on examination, appear to him most conformable to them. In pursuance of these purposes he returned to England; he attended on the fermons of the clergy of the established church, and of the diffenting ministers of different denominations; he candidly and feriously read their publications; and perused with particular attention the thirty-nine articles of the church of England, not without a wish to find them in all points fo agreeable, in his judgment, to the scriptures, that he might with satisfaction to himself have fat down in that communion, in which he would have rejoiced. But insuperable objections offered themselves to his mind. He could not assent to the 34th article, on the traditions of the church; nor the 35th article, of homilies; nor to the 36th, of confecration of bishops and ministers. He could not admit the claim advanced in the 20th article, that the church hath power to decree rites and ceremonies, and authority in controversies of faith. He also could not agree with the clause relative to infant baptism, in the 27th article. On these grounds he defired to be admitted into the communion of the Baptists, whose doctrine and worship, in his judgment, came nearest to the holy scriptures.

Mr. Pilkington was baptized by the Rev. John Piggott, minister of the congregation in Little Wyldestreet, Lincoln's-Inn fields; who, on the occasion, first addressed him in the presence of the church, and before a numerous auditory, with a solemn

<sup>†</sup> Crosby, vol. iv. Appendix, No. ii.

charge to "fpeak nothing but the truth" in declaring the change of his fentiments, and stating the grounds and motives of his conduct: he also earnestly bespoke a candid and impartial attention from the auditory to the account which they were to receive from Mr. Pilkington, of the manner and means of his conviction, and of the reasons which induced him to withdraw from the worship and communion of the church of Rome. This was done by his reading a narrative, from which the preceding history is drawn. He then in a folemn recantation professed his full conviction of all the palpable errors, corruptions, and novelties of the church of Rome, both in doctrine and practice; and his utter abjuration of the same with great abhorrence and detestation, particularly its tenets concerning the infallibility and supremacy of the bishop or church of Rome, as grossly intrenching on the prerogatives of God. §

These acts in the proceedings of the occasion shew that due caution and deliberation were exercised on it, and that a zeal for proselytism did not betray the Baptists into a neglect of obtaining satisfaction concerning the sincerity of the convert, and the intelligence and judgment with which he acted.

In September, 1701, King James died at St. Germain's in France, above twelve years after his exile, and after frequent unsuccessful attempts to regain the crown. On this the French king, contrary to the advice of his ministry, proclaimed the pretended Prince of Wales king of England; and

<sup>§</sup> Crosby, vol. iii. p. 353, 4, 5; vol. iv. ut supra.

exerted his interest with other courts, in which he had ministers, to engage their princes to own him in the same character. This conduct of Lewis XIV. gave universal ditgust. King William looked upon it as an open violation of the treaty of Ryswick; the nation felt high indignation at feeing a foreign power, with which it was then at peace, pretend to declare who ought to fit on the English throne; and expressed their resentment in numerous addresses to the king of their own choice from all parts of the kingdom. The Baptist ministers in and about the city of London, as a distinct body, followed their fellow-subjects in these testimonies of loyalty and affection. Their address was presented to his Majesty at Hampton-Court, on Dec. 21, by Mr. Stennet, introduced by the Right Honourable the Earl of Peterborough. In this address, besides general declarations of attachment to the king, and of folicitude for the fettlement of the succession to the throne in the protestant line, they delivered their fentiments on the particular object of the address in the following manner; freaking for themselves and for their feveral congregations, they faid, " As we " cannot forget what difficulties and dangers your " Majesty has generously encountered and gloriously "overcome, in delivering and protecting these kingdoms from popery and arbitrary power, fo " we conceive a just indignation against the late per-" fidious and presumptuous conduct of the French "king; who, not content to enflave and persecute " his own people, aspires to give kings and laws to

"other nations, and has taken upon him to own and declare the pretended Prince of Wales to be king of these realms, of which your Majesty is the only lawful and rightful sovereign."\*

Mr. Stennet, about this time, appeared also as the advocare of the whole body with which he was connected, by defending their discriminating opinion and practice in religion from the press. They were attacked in a work, entitled "Fundamentals without" a foundation, or a true picture of the Anabaptists," by David Russen. The design of this treatise was not only to controvert, but to reproach the principles of the Baptists. Mr. Stennet replied to this writer, without discovering the least appearance of anger or resentment, in an easy and pleasant strain, with much learning and solid reasoning, mixed with fine turns of wit, which were natural to him.

While the Baptists derived their denomination from one common principle concerning the nature and design of baptism, there was a variety of sentiment amongst them on several theological points, which gave birth to different sects; particularly two on doctrinal opinions, distinguished by the denomination of Particular and General Baptists. The former were understood to coincide in their sentiments with Calvin; particularly on the doctrine of absolute and personal or individual election. The latter, or General Baptists, entertained those views of the divine decrees, and of the extent of the scheme of salvation, which had been advanced and defended

<sup>\*</sup> Crosby, vol. iii. p. 357, 8. † Idem, p. 369.

by Arminius and his adherents, and embraced the doctrine of general redemption. Among each of these denominations there were some congregations who derived the discriminating name of Sabbatarians from their religious observance of the seventh day of the week, as a day of rest and social worship, in conformity to the fourth commandment, which they confidered as obligatory on Christians. Mr. Francis Bampfield, a student in Wadham college, Oxford, and ejected from Sherborne in Dorfetshire, formed a church after this, at Pinner's hall in London, on the fabbatarian principle, of which he was a zealous affertor.\* The Baptists of these different classes observed, in general, the same rules of church government as the other protestant diffenters; except that they admitted none, at that period, to communion with them in the Lord's Supper, who had not made the personal profession of the christian faith by immersion. Of latter years some of their congregations have relaxed their discipline in this respect, and allow of mixed communion; and receive to the Lord's Supper those who differ from them on the questions concerning baptism; acting on this principle, that Christ only is our master, and that every man must, from the sincere and impartial study of the New Testament, form his judgment on the christian doctrine and institutions of the gospel, and not from an implicit or careless deference to the ideas and notions of other men.

<sup>\*</sup> See the Author's edition of Neal's History of the Puritans, vol. iv. p. 610, 611, and note †.

The Baptists had, and in some parts of the kingdom still continue, an office peculiar to themselves: it is that of messengers, or angels of the churches; using the word in its original primary sense. They are looked upon as fuperior in rank and dignity to common pastors. To this office is attached the power of visiting the churches through certain appointed districts, containing, perhaps, feveral counties. At ordinations, if one of these angels or messengers be present, he ordains by laying on his own hands only; and thus qualifies the person ordained to be a messenger, wherever he is chosen to that office. But if the persons elected to it have received ordination only from common pastors, he must be ordained again by one or more of those angels, before he can himself act in that capacity. The most able, useful, and active ministers are elected to visit the churches, and to exercise a kind of general fuperintendency under that name. It is apprehended that Titus, Timothy, and Sylvanus were messengers in the earliest times of christianity. They rest the origin and authority of the office, particularly on the apostolic declaration, 2 Cor. viii. 3, Whether any do enquire of Titus, he is my partner and fellow-helper concerning you; or our brethren " be enquired of, they are the messengers of the 66 churches, and the glory of Christ." † On such grounds they argued for the jus divinum of the

t Crosby, vol. iii. p. 273; Thompson's MS. Collections, penes me, vol. i. article Boston in Lincolnshire; Evershed's Sermon, entitled, "The Messengers' Mission," preached at Canterbury at the ordination of messengers, July 29th, 1783.

messenger's office. The Baptists had also, amongst some of their churches, the custom of receiving into their community newly-baptized persons by imposition of hands, as a tradition and practice derived from the apostles, and as an early and invariable method of admitting members into the church of Christ; this custom they reckon one of the six sundamentals or "principles of the doctrine of Christ," enumerated Heb, vi. 1, 2.

From this survey of the general history of the Baptists during the period between the Revolution and the death of King William, our plan leads us to notice those individuals who, at that time, appear to have been particularly esteemed and regarded by them as men of talents and influence.

Amongst these was Mr. William Kiffin, who began his ministry with the Independents; but afterwards taking a part in the conferences that were held in the congregation of Mr. Henry Jessey, when the majority of them adopted the fentiments of the Baptists,\* Mr. Kiffin at that time changed his opinion, and joined himself to the church of Mr. John Spilfbury. A difference arose between them about permitting an individual to preach to them, who had not been initiated into the christian church by immersion; as if the conscientious omission, on one fide, of a right confidered as an institution of Christ by the other party, could vitiate the functions of the minister: or as if a mutual indulgence to the distates of conscience could be a criminal # See Neal, vol. iii. p. \$17.

connivance at error. On this point these good men parted, but to their credit they kept up a friendly correspondence. Mr. Kiffin became the pastor of a baptist congregation in Devonshire-square, London. After the Restoration he had great influence at court, both with the King, and Chancellor Hyde; and possessing opulence, is reported to have supplied his Majesty, on pressing emergencies, with a present of ten thousand pounds. He improved his interest with the king to obtain an order for the examination. in council, of a scurrilous and malignant pamphlet, meant to defame the Baptists, entitled "Baxter "baptized in blood." Another effect of his influence was the pardon of twelve baptists, who were condemned to death at Aylesbury, for refusing to conform to the established church, under a clause in the conventicle act of the 35th of Queen Elizabeth, by the justices of the county at a quarter fessions: a proceeding which surprized the king, who could fearcely believe that any law to justify putting his subjects to death for religion only could be in force.† Mr. Kiffin himself had, in the time of the Commonwealth, been profecuted under the ordinance of Parliament, enacted, with a defigned reference to Mr. Riddle, for punishing blasphemies and herefies. On the 12th July 1655, he was fummoned before the Lord-Mayor, and charged with a breach of this ordinance, by preaching that "the " baptism of infants was unlawful." That magistrate

<sup>\*</sup> See Neal, vol. iv. p. 477. † Crosby, vol. ii. p. 181; and vol. iii. p. 5.

being bufy, the execution of the penalty incurred was referred to the following Monday.\* The influence which he had at Court, instead of abashing malignity, provoked it, and increased the number of his enemies, and they formed a defign upon his life; which coming to his knowledge by a letter that was intercepted, he was fo happy as to escape. He and Mr. Knollys advocated the principles of the Baptists against Dr. Grew and Dr. Bryan, in a disputation held at Coventry; in which both fides claimed the victory, but which was conducted with good temper and great moderation, and closed without any diminution of friendly regards. Mr. Kiffin lived to be very old, and preached to the last. He was a man of confiderable parts, had learning, and was an acute disputant. It is a fign of his weight, and of the estimation in which he was held by the religious and political communities, that he was one of the five baptists, who were made aldermen by King James II. when he deprived the city of London of its charter.†

Another individual, who obtained distinction among the baptists of that day, and was the author of a Treatise in 4to. on the subject of baptism, was Mr. Thomas Patient, who began his ministry among the Independents in New England; but by his own reflections in reading the scriptures, was led to conclude that infant baptism had no foundation in them. This change of sentiments provoked the resentment of his brethren, and exposed him to much

<sup>\*</sup> Crosby, vol. i. p. 215. † Id. vol. iii. p. 3, 4, 5.

fuffering, and which induced him to emigrate to England: where he became co-pastor with Mr. William Kiffin. He accompanied General Fleetwood to Ireland, and fettled there; and after Dr. Winterwas removed by the General, usually preached in the Cathedral. The interest of the baptists was much advanced by his labours in that kingdom, and he is thought to have formed the baptist church at Cloughkeating; which in the year 1740 confifted of between two or three hundred members, united in one communion, though fome were of the general and others of the particular persuasion. This church was implicated in the profecutions which followed the suppression of Monmouth's insurrection; and the minister and all the members were tried for their lives. The foreman of the jury swore, before he went into the court, that he would not leave it till he had brought them all in guilty: a rash and profane way of prejudging a cause. As soon as he entered the court he died: and the rest of the jury acquitted them.\*

There did not arise among this denomination of christians a more remarkable character, in many respects, than Mr. John Bunyan; who was born of honest but poor parents, at Elstow in Bedfordshire, in 1628. His father was a tinker: his education consisted only in being taught to read and write; and after he was grown up, he followed his father's occupation. In 1645 he served as a soldier in the Parliament's army at the siege of Leicester. In his

<sup>\*</sup> Crosby, vol. iii. p. 43.

youth he was very vicious, and greatly corrupted the manners of his young companions. He became at length a thoughtful and pious man. Different incidents feem to have awakened the principle of conscience in his breast, and to have led him into deep, serious, and penitent reflections. The reproof of a woman, a notoriously wicked character, addreffed to him with sharpness, when he was cursing and fwearing in a vehement manner, and reproaching him as able to spoil all the youth in the town, filled him with shame, and determined him to refrain from that profane practice. An accidental conversation with a poor man on religion induced him to apply himself to reading the scriptures; which was followed by fuch a reformation, both in his words and life, that the change in his manners filled his neighbours with aftonishment, and converted their former censures of his conduct into commendation and praife. A casual conference also with four poor women, into whose company he fell at Bedford, on the fubject of the new birth, left very ferious impressions on his mind. He himself, it appears, ascribed his conversion, principally, or in the first instance, to a sudden voice from Heaven, saying, Will thou leave thy fins, and go to heaven; or have "thy fins, and go to hell?" and accosting him when he was at play with his companions. This excited fuch an aftonishment, that he immediately left his sport, and looking up to heaven, whence the voice feemed to come, he thought he faw the Lord Jesus looking down upon him and threatening him with

fomegrievous punishment for his irreligious practices. This supposed phænomenon indicated a state of mind previously much agitated and affected with conscious guilt, aided by the force and vivacity of an imagination strongly tinctured with enthusiasm, of the influence of which his history affords various instances: for on other and future occasions he conceived that he faw visions and heard voices from heaven. turn of his thoughts, and the natural power of fancy. presenting images suitable to his remorfe and fears, were as really the means which a gracious Providence employed to bring him to repentance, and the effect was the same, as if a real supernatural impression had been made on his ear, or a miraculous scene had been presented to his eye. He became a man of fincere piety and blameless morals; though the latter did not screen him from malicious and groundless calumnies; and the former was unhappily accompanied with great bigotry and a cenforious spirit. When he married, he was extremely poor, not having so much furniture as even a dish or a spoon, and all the portion his wife brought him, confifted in two books, "The plain Man's Path-way " to Heaven," and "The Practice of Piety." After his conversion he was baptized by Mr. Gifford, the minister of the baptist church, in Bedford, and admitted a member of it about the year 1655.\* His

Long before the year 1650, there were in this town and neighbourhood pious persons, who felt a detestation of episcopal superstition and tyranny, and united in searching after nonconformists, called in that day Puritans. The chief among these were

talents and gifts and religious spirit attracted the attention of this congregation, amongst whom he for some time gave a word of exhortation, or led their worship, till they called him to the character of a public minister, and set him apart to that office by fasting and prayer. He was a popular preacher, and generally spoke with much sluency and with great effect. A Cambridge scholar, who afterwards became a very eminent minister in the county, is particularly mentioned as an instance of the power and

the Rev. Mr. Man, Mr. John Grew, Mr. John Eston, and Mr. Anthony Harrington. They neither were nor desired to be formed into a church; but were zealous to edify each other, and to promote the gospel by their liberality and friendship. Always keeping a door open, and a table surnished, for those ministers and christians who evinced a zeal for the purity and practice of religion. About the year 1650 came among them Mr. John Gifford, a native of Kent, who had been a great royalist and a major in the king's army; but had been recently under deep religious impressions, and had commenced preacher. His labours in that character were acceptable, and successful in awakening in the minds of some a religious concern, and in engaging these friends of piety to form themselves into a church, of which he was chosen the pastor or elder.

"The principles on which they entered into fellowship one with another, and on which they received new members into their christian association, were faith in Christ and holiness of life, without respect to this or that circumstance of opinion in outward or circumstantial points. By these means faith and holiness were encouraged, love and amity were maintained, disputing and occasional janglings were avoided, and many that were weak in the faith were consistent in the principles of eternal life." In consistency with the large basis, on which this church was constituted, its next minister, Mr. Bunyan, was an advocate for the mixed communion of christians, who differed in opinion on the questions relative to baptism.

<sup>\*</sup> Thomson's Collections, vol. i. Bedford MSS.

fuccess of his preaching. Mr. Bunyan was to appear on a week-day in the pulpit of a church in a country village, in the county; and a great number of people was collected together to hear him. The Cambridge student riding by at the time enquired, what meant the concourse of people? He was told that one Bunyan, a tinker, was to preach there; in a sportive mood he committed his horse to the care of a boy, faying, " he was resolved to hear the tinker " prate," and went into the church. His attention was fixed; he was affected and impressed; he came out ferious and thoughtful, and much changed; and would, when he could gratify his taste, hear none but the tinker for a long time.\* The learned Dr. Owen, the vice-chancellor of the university of Oxford, countenanced his ministerial labours, and attended his fermons. The intolerance of the government, in a few years, put a stop to this course of services. On the 12th of November, 1660, he was requested to preach at Gansel, near Harlington, in Bedfordshire; and there he was apprehended by virtue of a warrant granted by Francis Wingate, efq; a justice of peace, before whom he was taken, and then committed to Bedford goal. After an impriforment of feven weeks he was tried on an indictment at Bedford quarter-fessions; charged with " having devilibly and perniciously abstained from coming to church to hear divine fervice; and with "being a common upholder of feveral unlawful "meetings and conventicles, to the great disturbance

<sup>\*</sup> Crosby, vol. iii. p. 65.

and distraction of the good subjects of this kingdom, contrary to the laws of our fovereign lord "the king." All, it has been justly observed, that John Bunyan had been guilty of, though it was alleged to be thus " devilish and pernicious, " and so wickedly calculated to disturb and distract " the good people of England," was merely worshipping God according to the dictates of his own conscience, and endeavouring to propagate his own religious opinions. But even the facts stated in this ridiculous indictment were not proved, no witnesses were produced against him: but some words which came from him in the course of a conversation with the justices, were taken for a conviction and recorded: he was fent back to prison, under this fentence, to lie there for three months: and if he did not then engage to hear divine fervice, and attend in the church, and defift from preaching, to be banished the realm; and in case of not leaving the realm on an appointed day, or of returning to it without a special licence from the king, to be hanged.\*

His wife, to whom, at the time of his commitment, he had been married almost two years, on the following affizes addressed herself to the judges; but the justices had prejudiced them, to the utmost they could, against him. Sir Matthew Hale who was one of them, and appeared to know nothing of his history indeed, had the matter come judicially

<sup>\*</sup> Biographia Britannica, by Kippis and others, vol. iii. article Bunyan, page 12, note 1.

<sup>+</sup> Sine was his fecond wife.

before him, feemed defirous to afford him relief: and advised his wife to procure a writ of error but Bunyan and his friends were either too poor, or too little acquainted with fuch matters, to take the necessary steps to obtain his enlargement. fentence of banishment was never executed against him; but he was detained in prison from fessions to fessions, from assizes to assizes, without being brought before the judges, and obtaining permission to plead his cause, till his imprisonment lasted twelve years. He endured the evils of this long confinement with perfect relignation and patience; learnt to make long tagged thread-laces, and supported himself by it; and wrote many of his tracts, though his library is faid to have confifted only of his Bible and the Book of Martyrs. His enlargement at last is ascribed to the compassion and interest of the worthy prelate Dr. Barlow bishop of Lincoln, and to the interference of Dr. Owen.\* There was an existing law. which invested a bishop with the power to release a prisoner, situated as was Mr. Bunyan, if any two persons would join in a cautionary bond that he should conform in half a year. Dr. Owen readily confented, on being requested, to give his bond. The bishop, on application being made to him, declined availing himself of his episcopal prerogative; but as the law provided that, in case of a bishop's refusal, application should be made to the Lord Chancellor to iffue out an order to take the cautionary bond and release the prisoner, the bishop proposed

British Biography, vol. vi. p. 106.

this mode of proceeding as more fafe for himself at that critical time, as he had many enemies, and promised a compliance with the order of the chancellor. This measure, though it was not so direct as the other, and was more expensive, was adopted, and Mr. Bunyan was released. In the last year of his imprisonment, 1671, on the death of Mr. Gifford, he had been unanimously chosen to succeed him in the pastoral office.

After his enlargement, he employed himself in preaching and writing; and made journies into various parts of the kingdom to visit pious persons of his own religious views, which vifitations fixed on him the title of "Bishop Bunyan." When James II. published his declaration for the liberty of conscience in 1687, though he saw it proceeded not from kindness to protestant diffenters, and his piercing judgment anticipated the black cloud of flavery which the funshine of transient liberty was intended to introduce, yet he thought it right to improve the present day; and by the contributions of his followers built a public meeting-house at Bedford, in which he constantly preached to large congregations. It was his constant practice also, after his liberty, to visit London once a year; where he preached in feveral places, particularly in Southwark, to numerous auditors, with great acceptance. At last he fell, not a victim to the malignant spirit of persecution, but a facrifice, in the event, to the pacific kinds ness of his own heart. A young gentleman having fallen under the resentment of his father, requested

Mr. Bunyan's reconciliatory offices to make up the breach. He undertook and happily effected this benevolent office. On his return to London, from the journey which it occasioned, he was overtaken with excessive rains, and contracted a cold from being very wet, which brought on a violent fever. that in ten days put a period to his life at the house of Mr. Straddocks, a grocer, on Snow-hill, on the 12th of August, in the 60th year of his age. According to the description of his person and the delineation of his character, drawn by the continuator of his life, " he appeared in countenance to be " of a stern and rough temper, but was in his con-" verfation mild and affable; not given to loquacity " or much discourse in company, unless some " urgent occasion required it; observing never to boast of himself or his parts, but rather feem low "in his own eyes, and submitted himself to the ec judgment of others; abhorring lying and fwearse ing; being just, in all that lay in his power, to his word; not feeming to revenge injuries, loving to "reconcile differences, and making friendship with all; he had an excellent difcernment of persons, 66 being of good judgment and quick wit. As for " his person, he was tall of stature; strong boned, " though not corpulent; fomewhat of a ruddy face, "with sharp and sparkling eyes; wearing his hair "on his upper lip after the old British fashion; " his hair reddish, but in his latter days time had " sprinkled it with grey; his nose well set, but not " declining or bending; and his mouth moderately

" large; his forehead fomething high, and his habit always plain and modest." §

"When he arrived at the 60th year of his age, he had written books," it has been observed, equal to the number of his years." His works, which had been long printed in detached pieces on tobacco paper, were collected together and reprinted in 1736 and 1737, in 2 vols. folio; and have since been reprinted in a fairer edition, particularly in one impression with a recommendation from the pen of Mr. Geo. Whitfield. The Pilgrim's Progress had, in the year 1784, passed through upwards of 50 editions.

Bunyan, "who had been mentioned," fays Mr. Granger, "amongst the least and lowest of our writers, deserves a much higher rank than is com-"monly imagined. His master-piece is his 'Pilgrim's " Progres,' one of the most popular, and I may "add, one of the most ingenious books in the " English language.\* It gives us a clear and distinct "idea of Calvinistical divinity. The allegory is " admirably carried on, and the characters are justly "drawn and uniformly supported. The author's "original and poetic genius shines through the " coarfeness and vulgarity of his language, and intite mates that if he had been a master of numbers he es might have composed a poem worthy of Spenser "himself. As this opinion may be deemed paradox-"ical, I shall venture to name two persons of es eminence of the same sentiments; one, the late

<sup>§</sup> Biographia Britannica, ut ante, note Z.

<sup>\*</sup> This observation, Mr. Granger observes in the margin, is not to be extended to the second part.

"Mr. Merrick, of Reading, who has been heard to fay in conversation, that his invention was like that of Homer; the other, Dr. Roberts, fellow of Eton college."

The mixture of the dramatic and narrative, enlivening the style, Lord Kaimes remarks, has rendered the "Pilgrim's Progress," and "Robinson "Crusoe," great favourites of the vulgar, and has been the cause of their having been translated into feveral European languages. Bunyan had fuch an extraordinary knack in amufing and parabolical compositions under the form of visions, that some thought there were communications made to him in dreams, and that he first really dreamt over the matter contained in his writings of this kind. This notion was not a little propagated by his picture prefixed to some of his treatises, in which he is represented in a sleeping posture. An anonymous author in 1729, speaking of the "Pilgrim's Pro-" gres," remarked that " it had infinitely outdone "The Tale of a Tub, which perhaps had not made one convert to infidelity; whereas the Pilgrim's " Progress had converted many finners to Christ."\*

Dr. Kippis, with great deference to the opinions of fuch judges as Mr. Merrick and Dr. Roberts, doubts whether Bunyan could ever have been capable of rifing to a production worthy a Spenfer. The poverty, not with regard to numbers only but to

<sup>\$</sup> Granger's History of England, vol. iii. p. 348, 8vo. ed. 1779.

The above remarks are taken from Mr. Oldy's MSS. See Biographia Britannica, ut ante, p. 13, note L.

fancy, visible in the specimens of his versification, justifies an apprehension, that with the best advantages of education he would scarcely have attained to complete poetical composition. "He had the "invention, but not the other natural qualifications "which are necessary to constitute a great poet. If his genius had intended him to be any thing more than a poet in prose, it would probably, it like Shakespeare's, have broken through every difficulty of birth and station."

It may be added, that a learned bishop,† whose practical writings glow with a devotional spirit, and whose commentaries are still in high estimation, published also an allegorical work, entitled "The "Pilgrim;" but not with a success or reputation that could in any degree rival Bunyan's performance. The writer of this recollects that at a classical lesson, when he was at St. Paul's school, Mr. Allen, the learned editor of Demosthenes, passed high encomiums on the latter work, as greatly superior in point of invention to the former, which has now funk into oblivion.

This article, it may be apprehended, has been carried to a length beyond the proportion of room it should occupy in a work not professedly biographical; but the singularity of the character will be admitted as an apology.

In the lift of those who sustained great trials in a conscientious adherence to their religious profession,

<sup>†</sup> Bishop Patrick.

† Biographia Britannica; Granger's History of England; British
Biography, as before; and Crosby, vol. iii. p. 63-75.

was Mr. Henry Forty, in his early years a member of Mr. Jessey's congregation, and afterwards pastor of the church at Abingdon. His own parents, as well as many other persons, received a pious determination of mind from his preaching. He lay twelve years, for the testimony of a good conscience, in prison at Exeter; and died in the 67th year of his age, in 1692, with the character of a man of great piety and unblameable manners. Mr. Benj. Keach preached his funeral sermon.

The short history of the next person, Mr. Isaac Lamb, was marked with many peculiar circumstances. He was a native of Colchester, where he was born in 1650, and for some time attended his father in Cromwell's army. From his youth he discovered an affectionate attachment to piety, and took great delight in the perusal of the scriptures. His progress in that study surpassed what could be expected from his years. The gravity of his aspect and the feriousness of his deportment gave him so manly and dignified an appearance, that at the age of 16 he was made chaplain of the Constant Warwick, a man of war in Oliver's navy. He often preached before Admiral Blake; and once in the presence of him, Admiral Penn, and another naval officer of the same rank. He delivered serious, interesting thoughts in an agreeable manner. At one time fix of the ship's crew were baptized by him in an arm of the sea. After having been on different occasions, not fewer than twenty times, on the French or Spanish shores, and at other places, he returned

from Holland in 1660 in the same fleet which brought over Charles II. His principles of nonconformity foon exposed him to privations and fufferings. He was offered a benefice of an rool. per annum; which, as he could not, confistently with his fentiments, fprinkle the children of the parish, he declined to accept. It was proposed to him to do this part of his parochial duty by another; but it was repugnant to his fense of religious simplicity and integrity to engage a substitute to perform a fervice, which in his view was a misapplication of a christian institution. He therefore refused the living. It heightened the merit of this facrifice to the delicacy of principle, that he lost by his refusal 200l, due on the living, and ready to be paid to the next incumbent. Being fixed by this determination among the diffenters, he became pastor of a congregation in East-Smithfield. It greatly increased under his popular strain of preaching; and removed to a new building, erected for its accommodation, in Virginia-street, Ratcliffe highway; where the auditory was numerous, and the communicants amounted at times to three hundred. Their worship was often disturbed by officers and foldiers in King Charles' beign. Once an officer with his military subalterns came and commanded him to be filent. He answered in the words of the apostle, " Whether it be right. " in the fight of God to hearken unto you more "than unto Gop, judge ye." Upon which the officer with his foldiers went off. At another time Sir William Smith, Mr. Bury, Mr. Brown, and four

other justices, came in their coaches, with a mob, to break the windows and to tear up the pews and pulpit of the meeting-house, as they had before done at the meeting-house of Mr. Hercules Collins, in the neighbourhood. But Mr. Lamb, having previously received notice of their intention, had, by the advice of a friend, removed all the surniture of the place, except a sew loose forms; so that they were disappointed in their purpose; on which one of the justices said, that his name ought to have been Fox, and not Lamb. He died on the 20th of August 1691. He was a man of sweet temper and exemplary conversation; and great usefulness marked his course.

One of the most distinguished characters amongst the General Baptists of the times was Mr. Thomas Grantham, descended from a reduced branch of an antient family of rank and opulence in Lincolnshire. He was born, in the year 1634, in the village of Halton, near Spilsby; and the house in which he drew his first breath, is still shewn to those who venerate his memory. As his parents were in low circumstances, he was brought up a taylor; but he afterwards directed his attention to agriculture, and occupied a farm. From an early period of his life his mind received a ferious and religious tincture; and he was baptized, on the profession of his faith in the gospel, about the age of nineteen, and joined the church at Boston. In the year 1656, when he was 22 years of age, he was chosen pastor of a

<sup>\*</sup> Erosby, vol. iii. p. 100-103.

church in the South-Marsh parts of the county, confifting then only of four persons. He had for feveral years affociated with them, and been active in affifting their religious improvement by procuring ministers to preach to them publicly, or exercising his own gifts for prayer and instruction among them privately. This fmall fociety was a branch of a church which arose in 1644, formed at first on the principle of rejecting in the administration of baptism, while they retained the practice of sprinkling, the crofs and fponfors. Some of them afterwards, as they purfued their religious enquiries, faw reasons to adopt the practice of immersion on a profession of faith. This change in the fentiments of some did not meet with the concurrence of all: disagreements arose, which terminated in a disunion in 1651. The few who embraced the principles of the baptists, after Mr. Grantham's connection with them, as a pastor, soon increased in numbers. His zeal and energy animated the rest. As they had an accession of new members, there arose among them several who became acceptable and useful ministers. They drew the attention of their neighbours on themselves, and were exposed to the malignity of enemies. Mr. Grantham and feveral others were fummoned before the magistrates; who, as the accusations alleged against them had no foundation but in lies and forged stories, foon perceived their innocence, and they were fet at liberty, and went on cheerfully, though often insulted by the mob and opposed by the clergy. Even-during the Protectorate, or inter-

regnum, their preachers were interrupted in their discourses; and sometimes dragged out of doors, and pelted and stoned with barbarous violence. All this abuse they bore with patience and meekness. Their meetings were held first, at Halton and other places, in private houses; at length they obtained a grant of Northolm chapel, near Croft, and not far from Waynfleet. Here they remained fome years, and had many accessions of members to their communion. Among others, Mr. John Watts, a person of eminence and reputation in those parts, who had been educated for the ministry at the university; but not being able, from a principle of conscience. to conform to the rites and practices of the national church, he had obtained no dignity or preferment. He became, after he had joined himself to Mr. Grantham and his friends, the worthy pastor of a baptist church gathered by his ministry, and which held their affemblies for divine worship in his own house.

Mr. Grantham is supposed to have drawn up the "Narrative and Complaint," stating the sufferings of the Baptists, which accompanied the "Brief "Confession of Faith," and "Petition," presented to the king, Charles IId, on the 26th of July, 1660.\* About 1662 he was apprehended, carried before a magistrate, and bound over to the assizes to be holden for the county of Lincoln. At the same time many

Univerfal Theological Magazine, vol. iii. p. 8.—N. B. The Brief Confession is given by Crosby, vol. ii. Appendix, No. iv.; and in the preceding Miscellany, p. 9, 10; and No. xiv. p. 57—59.

of the baptists were haraffed with profecutions for absence from the established church, and with the exaction of penalties of 201, per month. The confequence of the proceedings against Mr. Grantham was an imprisonment for fifteen months. Obloquy and infult were added to the evils of confinement. Several clergymen who vifited him upbraided him with being a jefuit; and a rumour was spread that he was a papist. To confute this calumny and counteract the impressions which it made, he published a controverfy which he maintained with a Roman Catholic, and entitled it, "The Baptist against the "Papift." By this prudent conduct he filenced the report. During his imprisonment he published a treatife entitled, " The Prisoner against the Prelate; " or, a Dialogue between the Common Gaol of "Lincoln and the Cathedral:" and another work under the title of "Christianismus Primitivus." In these publications, as the designed brevity of "The " Brief Confession" had occasioned some ambiguity, he treated the fubject more explicitly and fully. When we consider the prevailing sentiments of that age concerning the doctrine of the Trinity, it is a fingular circumstance that the first article in this confession expresses the doctrine of the Unity of Gop with a scriptural simplicity, that is in obvious contrast to the received standards,—the Catechism of the Westminster Assembly, and the thirty-nine articles of the Church of England. This may justly excite our furprize, while it recommends itself to our approbation. It runs thus, "We believe, and are very

"confident, that there is but one God, the Father, of whom are all things from everlasting to everfasting, glorious and unwordable in all his 
attributes: I Cor. viii. 6; Isa. xl. 28. At the affizes, in the spring of 1663, no one appearing and no crime being alleged against them, Mr. Grantham and his virtuous fellow sufferers, who had been committed with him, were discharged, and returned to the churches to which they belonged, who received them with no small joy.

Mr. Grantham fuffered a fecond imprisonment under the operation of the Conventicle Act, which was first passed for seven years in 1663, and was revived at the expiration of that term with additional clauses of heightened severity in 1670: under the authority of this A& foldiers were empowered to difarm those that differted from the national establishment. Though no arms were found in the possession of the baptists, yet their houses were risled, their goods carried off, and they themselves forced away from their wives and families, without knowing whither they were to be driven, or whether they should be prosecuted by law, or fall a facrifice to military force. They were dragged from town to town, and compelled to run like lacqueys by the fides of the foldiers' horses. Mr. Grantham, Mr. John Gree, and Mr. John Green, with feveral others, were thus the victims of armed infolence and violence. Mr. Grantham and his friends were lodged, tied up during the whole night, at an inn, in a room not fit for entertainment: their fituation drove fleep from their eyes; nor would the foldiers take any rest, but fat up near them, and with rioting and revellings, oaths and curfes, annoyed and shocked these pious prisoners. On the morning they were conducted to Louth, put into the house of correction, and afterwards brought before the committee. At this tribunal, instead of well-supported charges against them, infidious questions were put, to draw from them some ground of accusation; and they were asked to pledge themselves on oath to conformity. Though the times afforded many examples of those who had been terrified into a dereliction of their principles, these good men, and the baptists in general, remained firm and unshaken in their religious profession. Mr. Grantham and his two fellow sufferers were by first command sent to gaol, where they lay for half a year. During their imprisonment the affizes came on, but their enemies prevented their cause from being heard. They were afterwards, at the quarter-fessions, brought before the justices; but the bench refused to enter into the case, or proceed against them. Upon this the Sheriff pleaded, that as he had produced them in open court, he was free from his charge; and fo they were fet at liberty, but without any compensation for their unjust imprisonment and attendant sufferings.

Mr. Grantham, foon after his liberation, was harassed under a different and unfanctioned mode of persecuting malignity. He was prosecuted on action of 100l. for with force of arms beating and uncivilly treating the wife of a certain person;

merely on the ground of his having baptized her. But to the shame of his prosecutors, the cause which threatened his ruin was thrown out of court at the next affizes as a malicious prosecution.

"The malice and violence of their enemies against Mr. Grantham and his adherents often carried them to the greatest and most shocking lengths. Defamation and lying accufations were among their most common weapons. The worst of men es appearing as informers; the worst of magistrates "abetting and encouraging them; and the worst " of priefts, who first began to blow the fire, now "feeing how it spread, clapping their hands, and 66 hallooing them, on to this evil work."\* In vindication of themselves and their principles against this malicious treatment, Mr. Grantham drew up a small piece, which was never published, entitled, "The Baptist's Complaint against the persecuting "Priest, &c." This tract was a remonstrance against the persecuting spirit, to which this denomination of christians, notwithstanding their friendly deportment and faithful endeavours to maintain peace and brotherly concord, had been exposed for more than thirty years; which stirred up persons in authority to harafs them by imprisonment and feizure of their goods; and which expressed itself in irreligious abuse and invectives even from the pulpit, where they were stigmatized as "heretics," and "damned fanatics." This malicious treatment was provoked only by their "diffent from the Church

<sup>\*</sup> Universal Theological Magazine, ut ante, p. 63.

of England in some practices, which the most " learned confessed had neither precept nor precedent " in the word." It was more criminal in the clergy to manifest this conduct towards baptists, because they had never withheld from them their dues; but had paid them their demands as punctually as any others, and, as they alleged, probably from better principles. "For we consider," they pleaded, "that when we either hire or purchase land, the tenth " is excepted, and is therefore not ours. But yet it " is also to be considered, that tithes were not given se to maintain men in drunkenness, lording over, per-" fecuting, and ruining fuch as fear God, merely "because they diffent from them in the things es aforesaid; yet thus goes the business in these "days; by which unreasonable practices they outdo the false prophets who were of old, for they or prepared war against those that did not put into " their mouths, but these devour those that labour " to maintain them." A concluding fentiment in this " Complaint" deserves, for its justness and force, to be repeated. "We believe," observes Mr. Grantham in the name of his fellow fufferers, " and are fure, that to persecute is no mark of the true "church, but to fuffer perfecution is fo; and that " religion is not worth professing in time of peace, " which is not worth owning in the time of the " greatest trouble."

Mr. Grantham, after this recent discharge from gaol, again suffered imprisonment several times; and

<sup>\*</sup> Crofby, vol. iii. p. 84-88.

continued to be very forely haraffed and oppressed during the remainder of that infamous reign. About the end of it, or soon after James II. succeeded to the throne, he removed from Lincolnshire and settled in the city of Norwich. This change of his residence did not abate or diminish his activity and labours. He was still firm to the cause for which he had so long and severely suffered, and was unwearied in his exertions to promote it. He soon raised and formed a church, in that city, on the principles of the general baptists, which met at the White Friars' yard, and which still exists. He directed his attention, with similar success, to the populous town of Yarmouth. In the year 1688, or 1689, he visited, with the same lau-

† A grandson of Mr. Grantham, Grantham Killingworth, efg: who died about the year 1270, left a confiderable part of his property for the support of the minister at the White Friars' yard. He was a leading character among the baptists, and the author of various theological tracts, written with ability and judgment. He was particularly on the alert to feize the opportunities afforded by any controversy of his times, to graft on it arguments in defence of his own views on the nature and fubjects of baptifm. When the "Sermons against Popery" were preached at Salters' Hall, he published a tract, entitled "A Supplement to the Sermons " lately preached at Salters' Hall against Popery; with a view to " shew that, on the reasonings of the preachers, infant sprinkling " was another great corruption of the Christian religion." This pamphlet came to a fifth edition, in which other points, viz. Mr. Emlyn's Previous Question, &c. were discussed. On occasion of the controversy with the author of "Christianity not founded on " Argument," Mr. Killingworth published "Remarks on the " feveral Answers to it;" in which his aim was to turn the reafonings of the authors, who were pædobaptifts, against themselves. See Bulkley's notes on the Bible, vol. iii. Life, p. 16, note.

dable views, the town of Lynn-Regis, at the other extremity of the county. He first preached in the town-hall to a numerous and attentive auditory. The prospect was so favourable, that it encouraged a friend, Mr. James Marham, at whose house he was entertained, to procure and fit up a convenient place of worship; a church was gathered; and Mr. Marham was the first pastor of it. Though the place was duly registered according to the directions and authority of the Act of Toleration, which passed just as the building was completed, yet the minister and hearers were harassed by a prosecution, on the Conventicle Act, in 1691.† The Revolution and the Act of Toleration, though great bleffings to the nation, did not immediately effect a change in the views and temper of the mass of the people. It required time for the violence of a perfecuting spirit to fubfide, and for just fentiments on religious liberty to take possession of the public mind, and spread their influence to any considerable extent. The malignity of old prejudices, against the baptists in particular, was foftened, in many places at least, very flowly and partially. Mr. Grantham continued to feel its effects till the time of his death. The envenomed tongue of fcandal in particular employed itself in vile calumnies and charges of groß immoralities. Among those who traduced his character were Mr. Toathby, who had been a magistrate, and took his rank in fociety as a gentleman and a clergyman; and Mr. John Willet, the rector of

t Universal Theological Magazine, ut ante, p. 111-112.

Tattershall in Lincolnshire. The latter had the effrontery and baseness to declare, in writing under his own fignature, "that he faw Mr. Grantham " fland in the pillory two hours, at Louth, for "flealing sheep and hurdles; and that he saw him "hold up his hand at the bar." This falsehood was circulated both at Norwich and Yarmouth, and Mr. Grantham was induced to refer the matter to Thomas Blofield, efg; mayor of Norwich. The accuser, on being brought before this magistrate, confessed the absolute falsehood of the charge which he had promulgated and figned; and with cryings, and tears, and wringing of his hands, over and over implored Mr. Grantham's forgiveness. The mayor, on Mr. Grantham's readily forgiving him, commanded, with expressions of his strong abhorrence of the rector's conduct, a record of the confession to be made out by the clerk, and to be signed and fealed by the calumniating clergyman:\* who after this was done, as he had no money, would have been committed to prison for charges, had not Mr. Grantham, on the true christian principle of rendering good for evil, given the officer of the court ten shillings to set his enemy at liberty.

Mr. Grantham died on the 17th of January, 1692, at the age of 58. Indecencies were threatened to his corpse, but they were happily prevented. Soon after his decease, a paper was published and figned by eight friends, containing his dying words,

<sup>\*</sup> A copy of the Confession may be seen in Crosby, vol. iii.

addressed to them within two minutes of his death, mostly in the language of scripture; expressive of his fense of his approaching end; of the testimony of his conscience as to the integrity of his conduct, and of the difinterested purity of his motives in preaching the gospel; of his affection to his friends, leaving with them his last counsels in a strain of apostolic admonitions, casting his eyes back on his fufferings in being made a fcoff and a gazing-stock to many people, cordially forgiving his enemies, and foliciting the prayers of his friends for their forgiveness; taking a final leave of them with affection, devotion, and facred hope, "Friends," he began, "I am in a very weak condition, and as this is the " fabbath with me, it will be the everlasting fab-" bath; for now I am going off the stage of this "world." He concluded thus: "to be short, I " must leave you. Do not grieve or mourn for me: though I die, yet I shall rise to glory, where I " defire we may all meet and fee one another's face " at the last day, knowing one another, and rejoicing " in glory; for I have conquered the infernal er enemy by this faith, and have made the way plain " and easy to me. And now I commit you to the " grace of our Lord Jesus Christ: and the love of 66 God, and the communion of the Holy Ghost, be " with you all. Amen."

Mr. Grantham, besides the treatises already mentioned, wrote "The Pædobaptists' Apology for the baptized churches." The design of the tract

<sup>\*</sup> Universal Theological Magazine, ut ante, p. 115, 126.

was to shew, by quotations from the writings of pædobaptists, that the practice of the baptists, as to the mode and subject of baptism, was most antient and apostolical; and that infant baptism was a novelty. The deductions from these premises were, that the sufferings inslicted on the baptists were no less a glory to them than a shame to their persecutors.\*

Report has represented Mr. Grantham as acquainted with eight or nine languages. This is most probably an exaggerated account of his attainments. His grandfon, Mr. Grantham Killingworth, it appears, fpoke of him as able to write the Latin with confiderable eafe and correctness. His writings afford proofs of his having acquired fome proficiency in the learned languages: his polemical publications were thought to do credit to his abilities, and to his acuteness in the art of reasoning: though he himself, in the preface to "a Defence of "the Christian Religion against human Invention " and pretended Revelations, dated from the Castle of Lincoln, 10th Jan. 1663," apologized for the defects which might be discovered in his language and method, by pleading that "he got his bread by " the labour of his hands, and had never faluted "the schools to gain the knowledge of their arts." That he possessed no small share of literary attainments, of address, and of weight of character, is reasonably inferred, from his being frequently delegated to take an active and leading part in the

<sup>\*</sup> Crosby, vol. iii, p. 90.

concerns of the general baptists of that day, even in their applications to the throne; when there was not a want of men of real learning, who had been educated at the university.

Mr. James Marham, whose name occurs in the preceding Memoir, merits more particular notice, as a conspicuous and distinguished person amongst the baptifts in Lincolnshire and Norfolk. The time and place of his nativity are not afcertained. He feems to have commenced his appearance on the stage of public life at Holbeach in Lincolnshire, in 1681 or 1682. He was the first of that class of diffenters in that place; and foon after his fettlement in it, affemblies for religious worship were held in his house; the original preachers were Mr. Samuel Phillips, and Mr. William Rix; the first was pastor of the church in Deadman's-lane in Wisbeach. In the reign of King James he was fettled in Wapool-bell, a town in Norfolk, where the people having never heard of the name of baptists, called him "an outlandish professor." Here he laid the foundation of a church of the baptist denomination, which now exists; and from which originated another church, formed in Wisbeach. On the death of his wife, Mr. Marham removed to Lynn; in this town, alfo, though on his fettlement in it there was not another baptist there, he hired and furnished a place for religious worship, and engaged the services of Mr. Grantham, and Mr. Long, a messenger from the baptist churches in London, whom he entertained at his own house and table without any pecuniary

compensation for some months; and by these means raised a church, of which he afterwards became himself the pastor.

The conspicuous activity and zeal of this excellent man exposed him to various sufferings. About three years before the death of Charles II. he was haraffed by profecutions from court to court, and carried from one justice to another, for four weeks; and though by his vigorous interference he procured the liberation of his ministers, Mr. Rix and Mr. Phillips, he himself suffered imprisonment; and a fine of feveral score pounds was levied on him, for having disturbed and broken the uniformity of the religious profession of the town. After this his goods were distrained. When he had lived three weeks at Wapool-bell, he was commanded by the officers of the town to frequent the parish church. or to appear before the justice and parson of the. parish Mr. Harbe. He complied with the latter requifition, and underwent an examination of four hours in the presence of several gentlemen. This did not terminate to the fatisfaction of the clerical examiner, and a time for another investigation was fixed; but on the Proclamation for liberty of conscience, this enquiry was declined. At Lynn, new troubles awaited him. In July 1691, he with others, as before noticed, were profecuted on the Conventicle Act, though the place of meeting had been registered according to the Act of Parliament, and their goods were feized by a levy granted against him. He petitioned the judge Sir E-N-, at Norwich in September following, and was forced to prefer the same complaint to some of the great council at the fessions of Parliament. After Parliament had rifen, he was profecuted on the same grounds, and involved in troubles that threatened his ruin. In the following October, the informers against him, affecting great remorfe, obtained from him a discharge from their false information, by entering into bonds of an amicable tenor; but they were base and daring enough after this actually to feize his goods on the former levy. On this he was advised to sue the bonds; and the baptists at London were folicited to unite in his support with all possible liberality and energy. It is to be regretted that no documents offer themselves to throw light on the termination of this vexatious suit, and further to elucidate the history and character of this virtuous and conscientious sufferer; to whose piety, zeal, and benevolence this imperfect detail affords pleasing and affecting testimonies.\*

Another character among the baptists of those times, distinguished by some peculiar circumstances, was Mr. Edward Morecock, a man of eminence, of great zeal and sirmness, and much courted on King James's accession. He was born in January 1626; and died in August 1693. He was originally in the naval service; and in the Protectorate was captain of a man of war, when he was shot in an

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;A brief Relation of the remarkable Services and Troubles that went before the prefent Trouble of our brother James Marham;" quoted in Universal Theol. Mag. ut ante, p. 114, &c. note.

engagement through the body with a musquet ball. He made a handsome provision for a family of nearly twenty children, by his skill in fishing up shipwrecks; especially by his recovering, after the Dutch war in 1672, ships funk in the river Medway, which had come fo close to Chatham as to throw many of their shot into the town, one of which was found in Captain Morecock's garden. After this he engaged in the pastoral care of a church in that place; and fo entirely devoted himself to its duties, that he declined very advantageous offers made to him, if he would undertake to recover wrecks at fea. His unblameable manners and obliging deportment gained him the esteem and affection of the gentlemen in the neighbourhood, infomuch that when any warrant was iffued for feizing his person,—as he was harassed by frequent warrants against his body and goods, towards the end of King Charles the IId's reign,one of the justices would privately send his servant to apprize him of it before the officers could execute it. On receiving the intelligence, he usually retired to the house of one of his daughters in Essex. was, however, fined with monthly penalties for nonattendance at the parish church, till he was exchequered to the amount of 800l. His house was often plundered, and his goods were feized and carried off. To fecure himself from these ruinous depredations, on the ground of his nonconformity, he made his fortune over to an intimate friend; who, acting on the principles of justice and honour, restored it when the danger was past. One Hinton, an informer

against him, often threatened that he would have him cast into prison, and possess himself of his furniture; which he promised to give to a lewd woman who attended him. But Mr. Morecock, through a good Providence, always escaped impriforment, while many of his brethren fuffered by it. On visiting some of them once in Rochester gaol, he faw this Hinton confined in it; upon which he accosted him, "I see, friend, you have got hither " before me." This man afterwards died miferably, the flesh rotting from his bones. Lord Roper, a Roman Catholic, on the accession of James II. offered Mr. Morecock any post that he would accept; but he absolutely refused any commission under that king, affigning to his friends as a reason for his refusal, "that the favours offered by him "to diffenters were defigned only to draw them " into a fnare;" a reason which did credit to his fagacity, judgment, and difinterested principles. His firmnels of conduct was at one time the occasion of a design against his life. Two witnesses were suborned to swear that he had been an officer in Monmouth's army; but by unexceptionable evidence he proved that he was in another place at the time that he was charged with being in the army.\*

Mr. John Miller, a native of Hinton-Marton, in the county of Dorfet, descended from parents who were in affluent circumstances; a man of great piety, worth, and usefulness; deserves to be mentioned with respect. He was educated by a Presby-

<sup>\*</sup> Crosby, vol. iii. p. 109-112.

terian minister; but a studious and diligent enquiry in after life led him to embrace the opinions of the baptilts, and he was paltor of a congregation of this denomination at Minchinton, in the county in which he drew his first breath. He died on the 14th of May 1694. His active labours as a preacher were not limited to his own congregation; he travelled from place to place, diffeminating the principles of religion, and planting feveral churches in agreement with his ideas of christian truth. His nonconformity and opinions exposed him to fevere fufferings: he lay ten years in prison, and very narrowly escaped a præmunire. In the year preceding the death of Charles II. he was haraffed by vexatious proceedings, and greatly injured in his property by extortions. A distress was first taken on his goods on the Three Week Act: then he was apprehended and cast into prison at Dorchester; at the fummer affizes he was indicted for eleven months non-conforming; and a neighbouring justice, to supply the deficiency of evidence against him, swore to the indistment: and though he pleaded that he had, contrary to the laws of England, suffered already in two courts for that offence, he was fined 220l. At Michaelmas, possession was taken of his affets by the bailiffs under the warrant of the under-sheriff, who feized and wasted between 4 and 500l .: and his eldest fon was obliged to flee, in consequence of a warrant granted against him for taking an account of the goods that were fold. He petitioned the King for redress; not to procure the restoration of his

goods, but only to fecure the corn that was left from further depredation: he obtained, however, no other relief than what was implied in this imperious and infultory language, " I have nothing " to fay to you; you must go home and conform." The virtuous sufferer went home, but not to facrifice principle by conformity: he fold his estate, retired to a folitary place, rented a small farm, and fpent his remaining days in quiet. There was one occurrence in the life of Mr. Miller, which indicates that though he was the mark of persecuting malignity, his talents and character commanded refpect; and, in fuch times, it was peculiarly honourable to the clergymen who were parties in it. This was a disputation, after liberty of conscience was granted, held with Dr. Beach and four other minifters of the established church, the time and place having been first published in three market-towns. The fubjects of disputation were the consonance of their baptism, church, and ministry with the scriptures; and the charges of schism against Mr. Miller and his adherents. The questions concerning baptism came first under discussion. The clerical gentlemen were fo impressed with Mr. Miller's arguments, that no reply was made, except that Dr. Beach ingenuously confessed that the point was difficult; and they waved entering into the other questions. On withdrawing to another house they fent for their opponent, received him with politeness and respect, apologized for having troubled him, and affured him that they would never dispute the

point with the baptists again; and thus they separated with expressions of good-will and friendship.\*

With the preceding names of those who, by their abilities, zeal, and characters, did credit to the denomination of differenters of which we are speaking, may be enrolled Mr. George Hammon, pastor of a congregation at Biddenden in the county of Kent, the author of feveral publications suitable to the theological controversies and religious taste of the age. He was active in vindicating what appeared the truth to his own mind on all occasions, eminent for his ministerial exertions, and marked by the persecutions which he suffered. He died at Hasseden's Wood, in the parish of Cranbrook. connection with him may be mentioned Mr. Richard Hobbs, pastor of a congregation at Dover: distinguished by his piety and worth, and by his sufferings for religion. His feriousness and piety spoke fo strongly in his favour, that when he was a prifoner in Dover, he was now and then allowed to go from his prison. In his confinement he addressed a letter to Dr. Hind, proposing for folution, in a modest and candid manner, two queries grounded on the scriptures. One was, whether the baptism practifed in the church was not at variance with the directions of the scriptures, in the administrator, Subject, and administration? The other was, whether the church, by forcing all into it as communicants, however finful and impious, did not pervert the gospel, overthrow the way of the new covenant,

<sup>\*</sup> Crofby, vol. iii. p. 121-124.

and give ground for pious and conscientious men to question the divine authority of its worship? Dr. Hind, instead of replying to these queries, instigated the magistrates to deprive him of the indulgence which he had received, and to enjoin an unrelaxed confinement. "These proceedings," Mr. Hobbs observed, "bore a great likeness to those beyond the seas, at Rome; where, if any do but question the truth of their worship, it is an hundred to one if they have not the Inquisition for their pains. Doubtless such kind of proceedings do sound more like the Pope's anathemas, than in the least savour of a protestant spirit."

It is a just tribute of respect to integrity and fortitude to mention the names of others who were great sufferers for nonconformity. Mr. Tidmarsh, a baptist minister at Oxford, a man greatly esteemed; Mr. John Amory, a man of good literary attainments, of Wrington, in Somersetshire; Mr. Thomas Burgefs, pastor of a church at Taunton; Mr. James Hind, of Langport, and minister at Kinsbury; Mr. William Richards, who preached at Draycott; Mr. Peter Coles, of Downton, near Sarum; Mr. Walter Penn, pastor of a church in Sarum; Mr. John Kingman, of Burford, near that city; Mr. John Sanger, a schoolmaster and minister at Downton; Mr. Roger Applin, of Ellerton, and pastor of a congregation at Whitechurch; Mr. John Tredwell, a ferious and useful preacher, and a man of unblemished manners; Mr. Francis Stanley, of

<sup>\*</sup> Crosby, vol. iii. p. 103, 104.

Northamptonshire, noted for his piety and zeal: Mr. John Grauden, of Cocket, near Towcester; Mr. John Stanton, of Bliffworth; Mr. Stephen Curtis, a native of Harringworth, in Northamptonshire, and a very useful minister in that place; Mr. Joseph Slater, Mr. William Stanger, and Mr. Robt. Bringhurst, who fled from the country to escape imprisonment; Mr. Benjamin Morley, of Ramsthorp; Mr. John reas, of East-Haddon; Mr. William Smith, Mr. William Bliffe, of Welston; Mr. John Gilby, of Long-Bugby, in Northampfhire: fuffered imprisonment, and were despoiled of their goods by diffresses, or almost ruined by heavy fines and charges. Mr. James Wilmot, a minister at Hooknorton, Oxfordshire, besides being confined twice in the prison of Oxford, and fustaining losses by distresses and fines; when he was released from the gaol in Whitney, was excommunicated, and was obliged to abfcond, in order to escape the writs which were iffued out against him. In some instances the fufferings of these conscientious professors were aggravated by the fraudulent practices of their perfecutors, who feized their goods under false warrants. This art was practifed, in November 1682, against Mr. Samuel Taverner, who was born at Romford in Effex in July 1621. He was originally an officer in the army; afterwards governor of Deal Castle. He embraced the principles of the baptists, on being led to entertain their views by his conversation with Dr. Prescot, pastor of the church at Dover; and was baptised at Sandwich, 13th April 1663. He was

October 1681. He suffered much for adherence to his religious profession; and died on the 4th August 1696, in the 75th year of his age, having obtained celebrity for piety and usefulness. The severity of the jailor, at times, denied the imprisoned the consolation of any act of social devotion among themselves; at Oxford they were not permitted to pray together; and even the usual expression of piety at their meals was interrupted by the entrance of the jailor in a rage, and by his taunting enquiry, 44 What are you preaching over your meal?"

The history of these pious sufferers affords examples of the death of perfecutors, which were fo circumstanced as to mark a great depravity of character. and the base principles by which the men were actuated; though it belong not to men, who are incompetent judges of the ways of Providence, decidedly to pronounce them divine judgments. Mr. Richard Farmer, minister at Kibley in Leicestershire, an hard student, and a very affecting preacher, by a warrant to feize his goods, lost in one year 120l. One of the informers against him, who boasted on a Christmas, at Trinkley market, of his proceedings against him, and declared exultingly that before Candlemas he should by informations make a good portion for his daughter, was thrown from his horse as he was riding home over a boggy place, where there was a little brook, and drowned in a quantity of water not deep enough to cover his body. Another informer, foon after he had fworn against

Mr. Farmer, died of a fwoln tongue, without being fuspected of having taken a false oath.\*

If the characters which have passed under our review be not transmitted down to our times as having enlarged the bounds of science, nor as having diffinguished themselves in the walks of criticism or philosophy; yet by their religious integrity, and by their zeal and fortitude, they gained the respect of the feet to which they confcientiously adhered, and the interests of which they were active in promoting. In the history of that fect, though it be a circumscribed theatre of fame, they have a claim to honourable mention. The hardships, losses, and sufferings, which they experienced in the cause that they espoused, hold forth instructive warnings to future times of the malignity of an intolerant and perfecuting spirit among protestants; and shew us with what a flow progress, and partial operation of better principles, that spirit had declined among the mass of those who were dissidents from the church of Rome, though an hundred and fifty years had elapsed fince the separation of England from that ecclefiastical tyranny.

## §. 4. Of the Quakers.

THIS community of Christians had, during the period preceding the Revolution, smarted under the severest strokes of the rod of persecution. When, after this event, the A& of Toleration was

<sup>\*</sup> Crosby, vol. iii. p. 112, 118, 124-128.

passed, with a design, at once politic and generous. to unite the protestants of the different denominations in an attachment to the new government, against the adherents to popery and the excluded royal race; vet fome were adverse to the extension of its benefits, fo as to include the Quakers under its propitious influence. "The quakers," it was declared in the House, "were no Christians." Some members of this fociety, who attended the Parliament to watch the progress of the bill, and to use their endeavours that it might pass in such terms as might yield an effectual relief to their own body, found it clogged with a confession, as a test upon the diffenters. which carried on its face a design to exclude them: and they apprehended that it was inferted on the prefumption that they disbelieved the Trinity and denied the Scriptures, and would therefore scruple to accede to it. If the principle on which this clause was formed, betrayed ignorance of the fentiments of the quakers, it must be owned to have reslected credit on their fincerity and fortitude; implying a perfuasion that they would not, through fear of suffering, be betrayed into prevarication and falsehood.

The article proposed to be inserted in the bill was this: "I A. B. do profess faith in God the Father, and in Jesus Christ his eternal Son, the true God, and in the Holy Spirit, coequal with the Father and his Son, one God blessed for ever: And do acknow-selege the Holy Scriptures of the Old and New Testament to be the revealed will and word of God." The Friends who attended the Parliament objected,

on feveral grounds, to this confession. One was this: that the phrase the word of God, being applied to the books or writings of the Old and New Testament, had a fense given it different from that in which the scriptures themselves use it, viz. "the word that was from the beginning, that was with God, and "that was Gop." A more enlarged principle of objection to it was, that they did not esteem themfelves obliged to receive for doctrine the invented terms or commandments of men; though they were ready to testify their faith in the Trinity, and in the fcriptures, according to fcripture testimony. At the advice of Sir Thomas Clarges and other Members of the House, who were friendly to them, they proposed a profession drawn up in the following terms: "I A. B. profess faith in God the Father, " and in Jesus Christ his eternal Son, the true God, " and in the Holy Spirit, one God bleffed for ever: 46 And do acknowledge the Holy Scriptures of the "Old and New Testament to be given by divine " inspiration." It may escape the penetration of fome to difcern any material difference between thefe two formularies of faith, or to exculpate the latter, any more than the proposed form, from the imputation of being exhibited in the invented terms or commandments of men. But it was a form of their own drawing up, and fatisfied the scruples of their minds. Sir Thomas Clarges presented it to the House; and moved, in a Committee of the whole House, that this profession of faith should be admitted and substituted for the former. The members of the Society of Friends who were in waiting, viz. Mr. George Whitehead, Mr. John Vaughton, Mr. Wm. Mead, and Mr. John Ofgood, were called in and examined. Their answers gave the House fuch satisfaction as to their belief in those points comprehended in the formulary, as fecured the end of their attendance, and obtained the relief which they folicited; for their proposed profession was incorporated into the Act of Toleration: Whereas it enjoined and required from the ministers and preachers, from all in holy orders, or pretended holy orders, among the other denominations of diffenters, an approbation of and subscription to the thirty-nine articles, except the 34th, 35th, and 36th, and a clause in the 20th; and, in indulgence to those who scruple the baptizing of infants, that part of the 27th article, which teaches infant baptism.

In another instance this Act of Toleration was modelled with a peculiar and tender regard to the scrupulosity of the Society of Friends. They, it is well known, entertain a conscientious scruple against taking any oath: in the room, therefore, of the oaths mentioned in the Act, and required of other dissenters, as a condition of their title to the benefit of it, the people called Quakers were allowed, as the term of their claim to the advantages of it, to subscribe the following declaration only, viz.

"I A. B. do fincerely promife and folemnly declare, before God and the world, that I will be true and faithful to King William and Queen

" Mary. And I folemnly profess and declare, that

"I do from my heart abhor, detest, and renounce, as impious and heretical, that damnable doctrine and position, that princes excommunicated, or deprived by the Pope, or any authority of the fee of Rome, may be deposed or murdered by their subjects or any other whatsoever. And I do declare that no foreign prince, person, prelate, state, or potentate, hath or ought to have any power, jurisdiction, superiority, preeminence, or austhority, ecclesiastical orspiritual, within this realm."

The regulations which the Act of Toleration admitted in favour of this feet did honour to the legislature of the day; and to the religious community, to whose peculiar mode of thinking they were adapted. They indicated in the former a mild and equitable temper; and they implied that there were traits of character in the latter which entitled them to respect, to commiseration under their sufferings, and to fecurity for the future. It may be inferred from those partial mitigations, had the other disfenters of that day entertained the more just and enlarged views of later times on the subject of religious liberty, the A& of Toleration would have been formed on a more liberal plan. The legislalature feems to have been diffinguished more by a mild and yielding temper, than by correct ideas or comprehensive views.

In one instance the Toleration Ast was marked by a rigorous spirit towards even the Society of Friends. It provided, by an express clause, "that nothing contained in it should be construed to exempt any

"of the persons asoresaid, from paying of tithes and other parochial duties to the church or minister, nor from any prosecution in any ecciclesiastical court or elsewhere for the same." Although," observes the historian of this sect, the king was principled against persecution, yet this exemption was out of his power to grant, being prevented by the coronation oath. Many of the prosecutions for these demands evidence that the spirit of persecution survived the Act of Toleration, by the severe and oppressive methods adopted by many claimants of tithes for the recovery of their demands."\*

In 1695, many Friends were cast into prison, and fevere proceedings were commenced against others, for the non-payment of those demands. Some also were long detained in prison on the charge of con tempt of the Courts. It was determined, under these circumstances, to draw up and present to the king a state of their case. This paper professed their peaceable and quiet principles and deportment; it reprefented that they were under deep fufferings, in their persons and estates, by tedious imprisonments, feizures, and fequestrations; that feveral members of their fociety had died in prisons; that many more were under profecution in England and Wales, to the ruin of many families, chiefly on charges of contempt for not answering upon oath, in cases of tithes, when sued in the exchequer or eccle-

<sup>\*</sup> Gough's History of the People called Quakers, vol. iii. p. 232-236.

fiaftical courts, where the process was carried to excommunication. It exhibited, as a plea for the royal interference to relieve their fufferings, various instances, in which, under the preceding reigns, the great feverities inflicted upon them had been mitigated or redressed by the exercise of regal influence or prerogative; -- in 1661, by King Charles IId's proclamation of grace, which released many from prison; in 1672, by his letters patent or pardon, pursuant to his declaration of indulgence to tender consciences; in the 25th year of the reign of that king, by an Act of Parliament granting his Majesty's most gracious and general pardon of contempts against the king; in 1685 and 1688, by divers commissions and two general proclamations of pardon, iffued by King James II.; in the fecond year of King William and Queen Mary by an act of gracious, general, and free pardon; by the queen, in releasing a poor innocent woman from a long imprisonment on a fine in the gaol of Lancaster, in the king's absence; and by the favourable inclination the king had shewn to release two prisoners in Westmoreland, on a petition lately prefented to him. The address concluded with foliciting the king, by proclamation or otherwife, as feemed most meet and convenient to his wisdom and clemency, to extend his compassion to the fufferers of that day, for their lawful relief from their confinements, prifons, and hardships.

This case and petition were presented to the king by Messrs. George Whitehead, Gilbert Latey, Thomas Lower, John Taylor, and Daniel Quare:

the last person was known to his Majesty, had ready access to him, and obtained his permission to introduce the others. The king gave them audience, unattended, in a private apartment. He enquired to what places they belonged, and of what congregations they were ministers? This gave Mr. Whitehead an opportunity of explaining the nature of that office, as it was exercised in their society; and of informing his Majesty that it did not imply a permanent connection with a particular congregation, but confisted in visiting, as the LORD inclined them, the meetings of friends, without receiving any stipend. The king made no reply, but feemed very ferious, and fatisfied with the answer. A copy of the petition was delivered by Messrs. George Whitehead and Gilbert Latey, with the royal approbation, to the lord keeper, Somers; who received it graciously, and affured them of his readiness, as far as the law would admit, to comply with their request; affuring them that the king and himself were really in their principles favourable to liberty of conscience. The pleasing result of this application to the throne was, that in a short time after an Act of Grace was paffed, and about forty friends were difcharged from prison and restored to liberty.\*

The indulgent clause in the Ast of Toleration which admitted the people called Quakers to the benefits of that statute, on their declaration, instead of an oath, did not enter into any other Asts which required an oath. Their principle not to swear, not

<sup>\*</sup> Gough, vol. iii. p. 366-399.

to make or take oaths, exposed them for a refusal in many of the transactions of life to great inconveniences and fufferings in person and estate; disabled them from receiving their just debts, or defending their just claims and property; precluded their giving evidence in the courts of judicature, or anfwers in chancery or exchequer; was a bar to their proving wills and testaments, or taking out letters of administration: prevented their commercial transactions at the Custom-house, and services in manorial courts; deprived them of the use of their franchife in the election of magistrates and Members of Parliament; and was the ground of denying to their young men, who had even faithfully ferved their apprenticeships, their freedom in cities and boroughs. These were ferious evils, and exposed them to unjust and vexatious suits. On these grounds they petitioned the House of Commons, in 1695, for leave to bring in a bill that their folemn affirmation or denial might be accepted instead of an oath; submitting that whosoever in this case should be convicted of falsifying the truth, should undergo like pains and penalties as in law and justice are due unto perjured persons.

A committee was chosen at the meeting for sufferings in London to carry this bill into Parliament with effect. They solicited the members in favour of it by personal applications; and left with them copies of the petition, and a statement of their principles and sufferings on the grounds of conscientious scruple against violating the command of Christ,

"Swear not at all," which they understood to be a politive prohibition. Some weeks were spent in these previous steps to furnish the members with a clear knowledge of the nature of the case, and to avoid an abrupt and hafty introduction of the petition into the house. Having prepared the minds of the members for a reception of it, they applied to Edmund Waller, esq; the son of the poet of that name, to present it to the house, an office which he cheerfully undertook to do; and when he moved the reading of it, and asked for leave to bring in a bill formed on the principle of it, the motion was carried by a great majority, and leave accordingly was given. The patrons of the bill, who were active in promoting it, were of opinion, that to give a folemnity to the attestation in the courts of justice, and to render it what Parliament might reasonably require, it was necessary to introduce into the affirmation some explicit and facred expressions respecting the omnifcience of Gop, as "I A.B. do declare, in the " presence of ALMIGHTY GOL." The friends of the committee wished to have their simple affirmation or negation accepted, without any appeal to the Divine Being; but in deference to the opinion of those who advocated their cause, they thought it more eligible to acquiesce, than to risk the losing of the bill. In this form it passed the House of Commons.

To facilitate the carrying of the bill through the House of Lords, their case was reprinted and enlarged, and illustrated by reference to the case of the Menonists in Holland; who, since the year 1577,

had been fo far indulged, that their yea and nay were admitted instead of an oath, subject, in case of falfifying, to the fame penalties as perjury. Though King William had aimed to supply the vacant sees with men of distinguished moderation, some bishops of the old cast still had seats in the House of Lords. These endeavoured to frustrate the application of the Friends. They proposed, instead of the affirmation as it came from the Commons, that more folemn affeverations should be adopted, viz. "I call God "to witness and judge, &c.;" "I call God to " record on my foul, and appeal to GoD as a judge " of the truth of what I fay, &c." The committee properly remarked, that these proposed forms of expressions, in which the sacred name of God, as a judge or avenger, was introduced, constituted a new oath; the imposition of which would manifestly defeat the end of their petition to be freed from all oaths as contrary to their confcientious persuasion. The temporal peers, who had taken their fentiments on this fubject, returned into the house, and renewed the debate on the bill, and brought the bishops to concur in another amendment, viz. to add after the word God, these words, the witness of the truth. Having carried this point, they reported it to the Friends, and earnestly perfuaded them to acquiesce in the infertion of this additional clause, rather than lofe the bill. Finding that they could do no better, it was agreed to leave the matter to the difcretion of the temporal peers who espoused their cause. So the bill was finally passed, with an affirmation in

this form,—"I A. B. do declare in the presence of "ALMIGHTY GOD, the witness of the truth of "what I say."

A clause was introduced into this Ast relative to the exactment of tithes, empowering two justices of the peace, on the complaint of any parson, vicar, farmer, or proprietor of tithes, or collectors of tithes, that any quaker had refused to pay or compound for the fame, or to pay any church-rates, to convene before them fuch quaker or quakers, and to examine and afcertain on oath what is due or payable on oath by fuch quaker or quakers to the party or parties complaining; and by order under their hands and feals to direct and appoint the payment thereof, if the fum does not exceed ten pounds: or to levy the money, thereby ordered to be paid, by diffress or fale of goods; allowing, however, an appeal from the fentence and proceedings of fuch two justices to the next general quarter-sessions.

This Act was in force for feven years only; but at the expiration of that term it was renewed for eleven years longer; and in the year 1713 it was made perpetual. The terms of the affirmation still created uneasiness in the minds of many friends, who had conscientious scruples about the use of them; as, in their opinion, too nearly approaching the nature of an oath, by reason of an implied appeal to Gon. A petition was presented to Parliament for another amendment of it in 1721, and the prayer of it was granted.\*

<sup>·</sup> Gough's Hiftory, vol. iii. p. 409-412,

While the scruples and grievances of the Society of Friends met with a candid attention and a liberal redress in these points, they were threatened with the operation of a new penal law. Dr. Henry Compton, the bishop of London, brought a bill into the House of Lords, and gave it his warm support. for the better payment of church-rates, small tithes. and other church dues: it was meant to extend the penalties of the Act of 32 Henry VIII. for the recovery of predial tithes and fmall tithes, repairs of the places of public worship, clerks' wages, and fextons' fees. By the operation of this Act, a person might be subject, for a trifling demand, of perhaps less than a shilling, to the expence of a suit in the ecclefiastical courts; and, in case he did not obey the monition of the judge by paying the demand and costs, to an attachment and a commitment to prison without bail or mainprize: with this addition, that it gave the justices power to grant warrants to distrain the goods of the defendants in fuch causes, or imprison, if no distress could be found.

A bill fo threatening and severe naturally awakened the attention of the meeting for sufferings in London. A copy of the bill was procured; and exceptions to it were prepared, to shew its repugnancy to common law and justice, and to represent its injurious aspect on the rights and property of the subject. When the day appointed for a committee of the Lords to sit upon it arrived, some of the friends in London were admitted to an audience. The Bishop of London, as chairman, interrogated them on the

grounds of their objections to it. Mr. Whitehead replied, that the reason assigned in the Act of 17 Charles I, for abolishing the star-chamber and high commission courts, he conceived, with submission. applied to the pending bill; as giving absolute power to the ecclefiaftical courts, their judges and ordinaries, to pass definitive sentence without appeal, and conveying to them the power of becoming arbitrary and oppressive. The temporal peers conducted the conference with great civility and kindness: after much discourse, the bishop asked if they had any exceptions to offer in writing. He was answered in the affirmative, and a statement of their exceptions was produced. The general reason against it, offered by Mr. Whitehead, was more fully represented, and the application of it to particular parts of the bill minutely exhibited. Special objections were alleged against the severity of the bill in not admitting or providing for the party cited any legal excuse, in case of absence on a long journey, or being prevented from appearing by occasions of emergency; and against the indiscriminate equality of the penalties which it enacted. It was urged, that it exceeded in feverity the statute 32 Henry VIII. c. 7, and invested the ecclefiaftical courts with a greater and more absolute power. These representations had their The bill was laid afide.\*

When, after the treaty of peace concluded at Ryfwick in 1697, addresses of congratulation were prefented to the king, from many quarters, and by other

<sup>\*</sup> Gough's History, vol. iii. p. 410-414.

focieties of protestants, this society respectfully and gratefully adverted, in their address, to the liberty of conscience granted to his subjects of different persuastions, and especially to their own large participation of his favours, which "they esteemed it their duty gratefully to commemorate and acknowledge, earnestly beseeching Almighty God to assist him to prosecute all such his just and good intentions; that his days here might be happy and peaceable; and he might hereaster partake of a lasting crown that would never fade away."\*

The Act of Toleration, even in the more benign aspect which it assumed towards this people, though it restrained, was not, instantly, efficient enough to suppress the spirit of persecution. They did not long enjoy the pacific advantages of that Act without molestation. In 1698, some clergymen of Norfolk. at the infligation of one who had left the fociety, challenged fome friends in the neighbourhood, and others in London, to a public meeting in the parish church of West-Dereham; at which they endeavoured to draw, from many books written by fome of the fociety, with which they had been furnished, conclusions injurious to that community. They were foiled in this attempt. Disappointed in their hopes from a verbal controversy, they had recourse to the press; and published two calumniating tracts, in which they represented the members of the society as feditious, and their principles as blasphemous: and to prepare the way for further attempts against

<sup>\*</sup> Gough's Hiftory, vol. iii. p. 416.

the fecurity and peace of this fociety, they prefented these tracts to the members of Parliament. George Whitehead was active in preparing answers to these pamphlets, both to detect their ungenerous aims, and to refute their injurious and acrimonious affertions. In the mean time Mr. William Penn drew up, printed, and circulated among the members, a brief and manly remonstrance against the aspersions and conduct of the clergy; expressing not "a fur-" prize at being evilly intreated, but a just expec-" tation, that if conscience prevailed more than con-"tention, and charity over-ruled prejudice, they " might hope for fairer quarter than from their " adversaries." Neither ashamed nor overawed by this remonstrance, the clergy and their abettors proceeded in their defign, and presented to the House of Commons a petition against the Society of Friends. replete with general invectives. They expressed their resentment at the daily increase of the Quakers, and the mischiefs and dangers which this increase threatened to the nation: they affected to suspect their teachers of being Romish emissaries in disguise; whom they charged with boldly spreading their venomous doctrines every where under pretenfions to a divine inspiration; and they professed to be alarmed at the tendency of their blasphemous books and pernicious principles, as tending to subvert the fundamentals of christianity, and to undermine the civil government. The prayer of the petition was, "that the princi-" ples and practices of this people might be strictly " examined, and cenfured, and suppressed, as to the "wisdom of the House might feem expedient, with "whatsoever tenderness to their persons and estates." As if, truly, penal statutes, destroying the liberty and ruining the estates of men, could consist with much tenderness and levity; as if imprisonment and fines were measures of mildness.

Two clergymen, Mr. John Meriton and Mr. L. Topcliff, attended at the House to solicit some Members to introduce this petition. A copy of it had been procured by the active partizans of the fociety. who shewed it to several Members of Parliament: and pointed out its direct tendency to make void the Act of Toleration, and to superfede the liberty of conscience granted by the government. The times were now changed, and more liberal fentiments were adopted in respect to religious liberty, than had prevailed in former reigns. The members of Parliament determined to fet their faces against a petition, the malignant consequences of which were at first obvious. The representatives of the county, into whose hands it had been put, were indeed brought into a dilemma; under the prospect of disobliging the clergy and their adherents, or of acting contrary to their own judgment, and the general fentiments of the House. On mature deliberation they declined moving it on a petition, which they were fensible would be immediately rejected. This conduct, however, though at once wife and liberal, did not difcourage the corporation of St. Edmundsbury, in Suffolk, from bringing forward another petition of the same spirit and tendency. It represented that

no ancient herefies had ever been fo formidable in their rife and progress as was that of the quakers; who, it stated, were " in their clandestine constitu-"tion opposite to the established policy; in their of principles of faith, antichristian; of government, " antimonarchical; in points of doctrine, anti-" fcriptural; and in practices, as to their monthly, "quarterly, and yearly meetings, illegal." petition, after the rejection of that from Norfolk, was foon disposed of. The members for Suffolk, who had declared their aversion from the principles of that application to the House, would not violate their own judgment and confiftency of character fo far as to introduce this; and to the mortification of those magistrates who, during the existence of the penal laws, had fignalized their promptitude to perfecution, this attempt to regain the power of haraffing their innocent fellow-subjects was defeated by the suppression of their petition.\*

The last act of the general body of the Society of Friends in this period of their history was an address to the throne. After the Parliament had settled the succession to the crown in Sophia the Electress of Hanover and her heirs, and while King William was in Holland, the superseded monarch, James II. died on the 17th September 1700, at the palace of Germain's in France; and his son, by order of the French sovereign, was proclaimed King of the British. This measure of the French court roused the general indignation of the English; and

<sup>#</sup> Gough, p. 418-423.

on the king's return from the Continent addresses were fent from all quarters, expressive of gratitude for the revolution, and of loyalty to him and to the house of Hanover. The Society of Friends, who heretofore had been constrained to lay before the throne complaints of grievances, were ready on this occasion, with a lively sense of the liberty and favour which they enjoyed, to approach it with warm fentiments of duty and gratitude in an address, presented by their respectable members George Whitehead, William Mead, and Francis Camfield, to "a prince whom they believed God had pro-"moted and principled for the good ends of co government; whom God by his almighty power " had eminently preferved, and made exemplary in " prudence as well as goodness to other kings and " princes, whereby his memorial would be re-" nowned to posterity." Their address was favourably received by the king, who in answer replied, "I have protected you, and shall protect you:" and on a perusal of it in his closet, it was understood that he expressed his particular approbation. It was some years before it appeared in the Gazette; and fuch was the spirit of the times, that advantage was taken of this delay to deceive the public by a ridiculous fabrication, published in some of the newspapers, as their address, in which they were reprefented as using expressions so blunt and unmannerly as bespoke audacity and insolence rather than the honest simplicity of this society. Such representations ferved to gratify spleen, and to make an impression on prejudiced minds; though the forgery was foon detected by the publication of the real address.\*

Some characters which appeared during these times in this community, have received from the pen of its historian a particular tribute of respect. Among these was Alexander Parker, born near Bolton in Lancashire, who, at an early period of his life, embraced the principles of this people, and became an eminent minister among them. He was the companion of George Fox, when he was fent up by Colonel Hacket to Oliver Cromwell; and travelled with him on various journies, in different parts of England, in Scotland, and into Holland, in 1684. "He was one in the number of the worthies of that "age who were given up to the service of their "Maker, and to the promoting of pure religion and the practice of piety, as the principal purpose " of their lives." With this view he took many journies by himself in the exercise of his ministry. He several times suffered by imprisonment and fines. The last twenty years of his life he resided in London, and was very ferviceable with others in folicitations to government for the relief of their friends under fufferings; for which offices the comeliness of his person and deportment particularly qualified him. He was the author of feveral treatifes and epiftles to the fociety; and concluded his active and benevolent exertions and well-spent life with great peace on the 9th of March 1688-9.†

<sup>\*</sup> Gough, p. 455-458. + Gough, p. 237-8.

Another member of the Society of Friends at this period, who distinguished himself by his virtues and labours, was Mr. Robert Lodge, a frequent companion of Mr. John Burnyeat in his ministry and iournies in Ireland. He was born about the year 1636, at Masham in Yorkshire, in which place he afterwards refided. In his youth his mind had a strong tineture of religion, and his understanding was opened to behold it under fuch views of its purity and refinement, before he had heard of the people called quakers, that in frequent conversations which he had with the clergy, he discovered apprehensions of its nature superior to those which were entertained by them. On the appearance of some members of that fociety in his neighbourhood, about the year 1654, he embraced their fentiments in the eighteenth year of his age. He was afterwards looked on as possessing eminent gifts for the ministry, and travelled in the exercise of it through different parts of England and Ireland; and was instrumental to awaken in many serious consideration of their ways, and religious defires after the attainment of peace and future happiness. He was twice imprisoned; once at York 1660, and again at Wakefield in 1665. He died on the 7th of July 1690, with a ferenity of mind which supported him above the fear of death, like one falling into an eafy fleep. His last words were "Blessed be God, I " have heavenly peace." " He was a man," we are told, " of an amiable disposition, cultivated by " pure religion; a preacher of righteousness, no " less in the whole tenour of his life and circumspect conversation than in word or doctrine; whereby

" he acquired the general esteem of his friends and

"neighbours, and left an honourable and fpotless reputation behind him."

In the same year died Mr. Thomas Salthouse, an intimate in the family of Judge Fell, when Mr. George Fox came to Swarthmore; whose preaching wrought conviction in him, and in the greatest part of the family. Having been commissioned to preach, he spent a considerable portion of his life in travelling, and labouring to promote, particularly in the western counties, the reception of what he deemed to be the truth; and for his testimony to it he fuffered repeated imprisonments, attended with circumstances of feverity and ignominy; being detained in gaol, (when those who had been apprehended with him, had been released,) through his inability to pay fines, or to procure fecurities on account of his great distance from home, as well as being treated as a vagrant. After some years he appears to have married and fettled in Cornwall; but he continued frequently to visit different parts of the kingdom; and although he escaped imprifonment, he was not fecure against the malignity of informers. At the funeral of a respectable member of the fociety in December 1681, he addreffed a mixed audience, in a fuitable exhortation to feriousness and sobriety, reminding them of the " certainty of death and judgment, and a retribution "f according to their works." "On fuch an occasion,

" and to fuch an affembly of many good Christians. "loyal subjects, and professors of the protestant " religion, one would fcarcely expect," observes the historian, "that reminding men of their mortality, " and inciting them to prepare for death, could have " been liable to the penalties of the law:" yet upon the oath of two informers feveral prefent were convicted unheard, and fined for a conventicle by three justices: and the goods in the shop of Mr. Salthouse were distrained to the value of 29l. 9s. 9d. to pay a fine of 201. for preaching. In 1683, he and feveral others were committed to Launceston gaol; and for declining to take an oath, they were brought to the fummer affizes, where the oath was again tendered to them in open court. Upon conviction of refuling it, they were on a fentence of pramunire detained three years in prison, till King James's general pardon released them. After this, Mr. Salthouse renewed and continued his pastoral visits, till, about the 60th year of his age, death determined all his labours and fufferings. He is spoken of as " a man of good natural capacity, and adorned with an excellent gift in the ministry; remarkably " affable and pleafant in his conversation, which of procured him the respectful regard of many others " as well as of friends." He addressed to them some excellent epiftles, and was the author of fome valuable tracts.\*

A third character which recommends itself to our notice in the biography of the Society of Friends, at this period, is that of Mr. Charles Marshall, Gough, ut ante, p. 255-258.

born in Bristol in April 1637; by profession an apothecary and chemist; a man who was accounted worthy of double honour in his own religious community; and who, for the innocence and integrity of his life, had obtained a good respect among his acquaintance and neighbours. He descended from religious and virtuous parents, who, as the faithful guardians of his tender youth, directed his education with a view at once to the cultivation of virtuous dispositions in him, and to the furnishing of his mind with literature. His mother took him to the religious affemblies of the Independents which she frequented, and fometimes to those of the baptists; and he accompanied her to hear ministers of every denomination who were in the greatest reputation for zeal, experience, and piety. He himself from his childhood conceived an abhorrence of every degree of profaneness and immorality, and delighted in reading the fcriptures. He accustomed himself, as he advanced in years, to spend much time in the fields and woods, out of the fight and observation of men, in the exercises of devotion. His inducement to this was a diffatisfaction with the conduct of many in the different religious communities of the day, on account of their having fallen, as he conceived, into a mere lifeless and empty profession. He had therefore left them, and accustomed himself to these folirary retirements, and also affociated with some other persons who thought as he did. He spent one day in the week with them in fasting and prayer, feeking relief from a conflict of spirit, in their religious feelings and views. This select party was visited, in 1654, by Mr. John Cam and Mr. John Audiland, ministers among the people called quakers. The preaching of the latter arrested the attention of Mr. Marshall, and brought him over to his fenriments. After many years, in 1670, he became a minister among them. In the same year he commenced his travels in that character, through the neighbouring counties of Wiltshire and Glocestershire, and pursued them so far as to Kendal in Westmoreland, returning through Cheshire and Worcestershire. He continued his journies to the year 1672, without any interruption from informers. either by imprisonment or by levying of fines under the Conventicle Act. He experienced many deliverances from difficulties and dangers which attended him. At one time having the fands to cross near Ulverston in Lancashire, he was on the point of attempting it, in company with four others, being told by two persons who lived on the other side of the river that he might do it with fafety; but flopping from an impression on his own mind, he received intelligence that it would be dangerous to venture to do it at that time, and defifted; and in about an hour the fea overflowed the fands to the extent of feveral miles. In 1674, he was dragged through a gallery out of the meeting-house at Claverton in Somersetshire, with so much violence, by a magistrate, that it caused a spitting of blood, and was attended with a contusion, of which he long after complained. He was a great sufferer in the

forfeiture of his goods for tithes; and in 1682, was confined, by a profecution before the barons of the exchequer, two years in Fleet prison. He met with many trials of his faith and patience, in his affiduous endeavours, conjointly with Mr. George Fox, to heal diffentions which broke out about 1677, in the Society of Friends, in consequence of the oppofition made by John Wilkinson and John Story to the establishment of an orderly discipline. He continued his labours and journies, with many trying exercises of body and mind, for the greatest part of twenty years; giving up his time, substance, and strength, to the objects of his ministry. On his last journey to Bristol and the Western Counties, in 1698, he was visited with a lingering indisposition, which, according to a prefage and fettled opinion of his own mind, proved mortal. Having passed a life of faithfulness, integrity, and extensive benevolence, in the fervice of Gop and man, he felt, in the affured prospect of his approaching change, that the work of righteousness was peace, and the effect thereof quietness and assurance for ever. His expressions and counsels to those who visited him in an illness of four months, frequently attended with great pain, clearly evidenced that he felt no decay in love to his brethren, in universal benevolence, or in his spiritual abilities; and borne up superior to his affliction by the fecurity of his confcience, he was strengthened to bear his painful fensations with much patience and calm refignation to the divine will. He strongly recommended to some of his

brethren in the ministry two things, which were weightily impressed upon his own mind. One point which he urged on their attention, to use his own words, was, "that they would gather down unto " the immortal feed and word of life in themselves. " and be exercised in it before the LORD; and duly " prize and fet a right value upon the many out-" ward and inward bleffings that the LORD has " eminently bestowed upon them fince the morning of the day of his bleffed vifitation; then shall they " grow and be preserved in a living freshness to Him. and the LORD will continue his mercies to them. and they shall not want his divine refreshing pre-" fence in their meetings together before Him." The fecond was that "those friends to whom the "Lord had given great estates, ought to cast their bread upon the waters, and to do good therewith " in their life time; for those that are enjoyers of " fuch things, should see that they be good stewards "thereof." As his last moments approached, he closed his eyes with his own hands, and with composure of mind refigned his foul to God in the 62d year of his age. He was himself a living example of that virtuous and holy conduct which he inculcated; he was the advocate of the poor with the opulent, recommending felf-denial, hospitality, and liberality, rather than high living; and the charity to which he exhorted others he exhibited in his own practice, particularly in supplying the fick with advice and medicine, the hungry with food, and the naked with raiment.

Mr. John Crook was another member of the Society of Friends, whose name is come down to us with peculiar testimonies of respect. He was a man of literature, possessed a good estate, and was in the commission of the peace for Bedfordshire. In the year 1654, and about the 37th of his age, convinced by the ministry of Mr. George Fox and Mr. William Dewsbury, he embraced the principles of the Friends, and became a member of their community. On this his commission was taken away. He entered with fuch ardour of feeling into the fentiments and spirit of this body of christians, that he became not only a very ferviceable member of their community, but a preacher of distinguished gifts, " as an eloquent man, and mighty in the scrip-" tures, and highly esteemed." As long as the state of his health permitted, till he was disabled by a complication of painful maladies, he travelled as a minister through different parts of the nation, particularly in Bedfordshire and the neighbouring counties. He was a great sufferer for the testimony of a good conscience, being repeatedly exposed to the severities of unmerited prosecutions. At different times he was confined for months in the gaols of Newgate, Huntingdon, and Aylesbury. On the 25th of June 1662, Mr. Crook, with two other other brethren, one a physician, and the other a goldsmith, men of property and character, without being charged with any crime, or the ground of an indictment, were tried at the bar of the Old Bailey, and a fentence of pramunire was passed on them for

refusing to take the oath of allegiance before Judge Twisden. On this occasion Mr. Crook pleaded with ability and intrepidity, on the principles of the English constitution and laws, against the illegal proceedings of the court. He and his fellow prifoners demanded, before the jury brought in their verdict, their privilege to make their defence; but it was refused them. The Chief Judge on the bench remarked, that "if the quakers had liberty to speak, "they would make themselves famous, and their "iudges odious." An officer of the court was commanded to stop their mouths, which he did with a dirty cloth. Immediately after sentence was passed, their estates were seized. On the 23d of July they were fet at liberty, it was supposed, by the king's order. During their confinement Mr. Crook drew up and committed to the press, a narrative of the the trial; " that the king and nation might not be ignorant of the measures pursued, and their ten-"dency to despotism, and the ruin of the subject."\* As Mr. Crook advanced in life he was afflicted with frequent and fevere paroxyfms of the stone, during which he was never known to utter an impatient word; and when the extremity of the fit was over, he would thankfully express the inward joy and peace of mind that he had felt in it. He died on the 26th April 1699, at his house in Hertford, in the 82d year of his age. Mr. Gough has preferved a letter to his children and grandchildren, written scarcely two months before his death, full of

<sup>\*</sup> Gough, vol. i. p. 518-526.

weighty counfels, expressed with vigour, and breathing a spirit of elevated piety.\*

We have referved for this place the names of two most distinguished members of the Society of Friends. They died, indeed, before those whom we have already noticed; but they may with propriety close the list of worthies in that community, as persons justly held in peculiar respect. The individuals to whom we relate were Rob. Barclay and George Fox.

Mr. Robert Barclay, the fon of Colonel David Barclay, † was born at Edinburgh in the year 1648. He was descended on the paternal side from the. ancient and honourable family of the Barclays, of Mathers, in the kingdom of Scotland; and on the maternal, from that of Catherine Gordon, of the house of the Duke of Gordon. The first rudiments of his education were received among the Calvinists in his own country. In an early period of his life he was removed to Paris, for a more extensive education, under the tuition of his uncle, president of the Scotch college in that city. Here he received, from the impressions made on his immature judgment, a strong bias in favour of the principles of the Catholic faith. In 1664, he returned home; having acquired a competent knowledge of the fciences, and attained to a great proficiency in the French and Latin tongues. He could write and speak the latter language with great facility and correctness; and he afterwards made himself master of

<sup>\*</sup> Gough, vol. iii. p. 433-441.

<sup>†</sup> See the last edition of Neal, vol. v. p. 117.

the Greek and Hebrew. During his absence his father joined in fellowship with the people called quakers. The religious conversation and circumfpect example of his father, and his intercourse with others of the same profession, directed the attention of the young Barclay to their principles; disposed him to think very favourable of their religious views, as producing a conduct in life remarkably confistent with the precepts and spirit of the gospel; and induced him to attend their meetings. In the 10th year of his age he made a public profession of their fentiments, and became an active and zealous member of their community; and received the ministry among them as his greatest honour. A clear conception, an extensive reach of thought, a close and convincing manner of reasoning, delivered in a plain, unaffected, neat style, combined with confiderable literary attainments, in union with a calmness of temper, qualified him to be, both in writing and discourse, an able and powerful advocate of the fociety to which he had joined himself. In 1675, on the 14th of February, he and Mr. George Keith held a public disputation with some students in divinity, at Aberdeen, on the principles of their religious profession; the students, having numbers on their side, handled the subject that came into discussion with disgraceful levity, fell into clamour and personal abuse, and vaunted themselves in a victory which they had not obtained. The disputation ended as usual in tumult and disorder, and also with throwing of clods and stones. Four students who

were present, but not disputants, were, however, fo impressed with the arguments offered by the advocates of the Friends, that they joined the fociety. Mr. Barclay afterwards published " a true and faith-" ful account of the most material passages of this "Disputation, conducted before some hundreds of " witnesses." In 1676, some members of the Society, to the number of thirty-four, were cast into prison by the magistrates of Edinburgh, on the ground of a declaration issued by the council to enforce the Acts of Parliament against conventicles; and were detained three months, before they were called to the tribunal of the commissioners of the privy council, to hear the libel exhibited against them, and to set up their defence. After an hour or two spent by the court in deliberation on their defence, the prifoners were called in one by one, and feverally asked, "Whether they would oblige themselves not to go "any more to meeting?" which every one of them refusing to do, some of them, among whom was Mr. Barclay's father, were fined each one fourth of their respective valued rents for keeping conventicles; and an eighth part of the faid valued rents each for withdrawing from the public worship; and several were fined to an eighth part for their wives' transgressions in the same way. Others who were not possessed of landed property, were fined in sums of money of 40l. 30l. 25l. or less each; and all were remanded to prison till the fines were paid. Their numbers were foon increased by the repeated imprisonments of others, apprehended at religious meetings. On this, Mr. Barclay being in London, gained admittance to the king, delivered to him a narrative of the proceedings and of the feverity of the magistrates, and interceded that the case of his imprisoned friends might be recommended to the favourable notice of the Council of Scotland. The king accordingly directed the Earl of Lauderdale to recommend it to their consideration. The council referred it to their former commissioners, in conjunction with three others. In the issue it was decreed that on paying their sines they should be released, as were the next morning all who had been imprisoned since the others were fined.

In 1677, Mr. Barclay accompanied his friends. William Penn, George Fox, and George Keith, on a journey to Holland, to visit the members of their community in the United States, and in some parts. of Germany. Barclay and Penn proceeded to Kerford, the residence of Elizabeth Princess Palatine, and aunt to King George I. who, under a folicitous concern of mind on a religious account, had carried on an epistolary correspondence with Mr. Penn.\* Mr. Barclay is faid on this tour to have recommended his doctrines, not only by the exemplariness of his manners, but by the cheerfulness and agreeableness of his conversation. In 1677, Mr. Barclay presented an excellent letter to the public ministers assembled in a congress, held at Nimeguen, to restore the peace of Europe; copies of it in Latin were delivered to the ambassadors of the Emperor, of the kings of Great-

<sup>•</sup> Gough, vol. ii. p. 217, 458, 466, 485.

Britain, Spain, France, Sweden, the States General, &c.; and were received, it is related, with great marks of esteem and respect. The author informs them, that a fight of the miferies produced by war. which he witneffed on his vifit to Holland and Germany, first suggested to him the idea of writing this address. The design of it was to lay open the true causes of war and bloodshed; the dreadful and barbarous confequences attending it; and the only certain and undeniable means of attaining, by the pursuit of christian principles, true, lasting, and folid peace. Voltaire remarks on this tour through the continent by Mr. Barclay and his affociates, "The Friends fowed the good feed in Germany, " but reaped very little fruit; for the mode of " thee-ing and thou-ing was not approved of in a " country where a man is perpetually obliged to " employ the titles of Highness and Excellency."

Mr. Barclay after this "passed his life in a peaceful retirement; having a large family, which he governed with great dignity, wisdom, and discretion; living always decently and honourably upon his own fortune, which was considerable." He had attained only to the 42d year of his age, when he died at his own house at Ury, in Scotland, on the 3d of October 1690. His sickness was short, his temper of mind through it was calm and serene; and he closed his eyes with firm considence in God. A friend, in his course of travels through those parts, calling on him, he expressed his love to

<sup>·</sup> Goadby's British Biography, vol. vii. p. 142.

all the faithful friends in England, who kept their integrity in the truth; and defired that the affurance of his affection might be carried to the friends in Cumberland where his visitor resided, and at Swarthmore, and to the faithful every where, adding, "GoD is good still, and though I am under a great weight of weakness and sickness, yet my ee peace flows; and this I know, whatever exercises " may be permitted to come upon me, they shall "tend to God's glory and my falvation, and in that "I rest." Ample and honourable testimonies were borne to his character and talents by those of his own perfuafion.\* The eulogy on him by Mr. Wm. Penn, who was well acquainted with him, may be acceptable to the reader. "He loved the truth and " way of God, as revealed among us, above all 46 the world, and was not ashamed of it before men, se but bold and able in maintaining it; found in " judgment, strong in argument, cheerful in suffer-"ings; of a pleasant disposition, yet folid, plain, and exemplary in conversation. He was a learned " man, a good christian, an able minister, a dutiful " fon, a loving husband, a tender and careful father, " an easy master, and a good and kind neighbour " and friend. These eminent qualities in one who " employed them fo ferviceably, and who had not " lived much above half the life of a man, aggra-" vated the loss of him, especially in that nation " where he lived." A more modern writer, in very

<sup>\*</sup> For specimens of which see Gough, vol. iii. p. 247-8; and Kippis's Biographia Britannica, vol. i. p. 599, &c. note l.

expressive and handsome terms, has concisely drawn his character: "He was a man of eminent learning "and abilities, sincerely pious, and uniformly vir- tuous. He was very benevolent and charitable, "and remarkable for the government of his passions, and the meckness and sweetness of his temper." A Scots poet, writing of Mr. Barclay's two brothers, William and John, concludes with these verses upon Robert:

- " But lo! a third appears, with ferious air,
- "His Prince's darling, and his country's care.
- "See his religion, which so late before
- "Was like a jumbled mass of dross and ore,
- " Refined by him, and burnish'd o'er with art,
- " Awakes the spirit, and attracts the heart."

After his decease, Dr. Kippis informs us, his widow received many letters of condolence, not only from friends of his own religious persuasion, but from other persons of rank and character. Seven children survived him, all of whom were alive in October 1740, fifty years after their father's death. His descendants at that time amounted to between sixty and seventy.†

His works, admired for the strength and power of reasoning which they display, and for the perspicuity and accuracy of language in which they are written, were collected together, and published in London in 1692. Besides the tracts already mentioned, the most distinguished of his publications

<sup>\*</sup> Goadby's Britist Biography, vol. vii. p. 143.

<sup>+</sup> Biographia Britannica, vol. i. p. 602.

were, "The Anarchy of the Ranters," (a feet of that day) "and other Libertines;" "The Hierarchy of the Romanists and other pretended churches equally refused and refuted, in a two-fold apology " for the church and people of Gop, called in de-" rision Quakers, &c." This is pronounced to be " a learned and excellent treatife, containing as much "found reasoning as any book of its fize in ours, " or perhaps in any modern language." Another treatife, in which many weighty points are discussed with great judgment and moderation, and which exhibits a noble description of christian beneficence, penned by him in the prison of Aberdeen, was entitled, "Universal Love considered, and established " upon its right foundation, &c." But the most celebrated of his works was his "Apology" for the Quakers, published in Latin at Amsterdam in 1676, in 4to. Two copies of it were immediately fent to each of the public ministers then at the Congress of Nimeguen, who received it with all imaginable favour and respect. In 1678, the author published an English translation of it, and it was quickly translated into High Dutch, Low Dutch, French. and Spanish. It has been universally allowed to furpass every thing of its kind, and to set the principles of the Society, in whose defence it was written, in the fairest light possible. The numerous answers to it at home and abroad only contributed to make it more read and more esteemed. It has given to the name of the author a diffusive and permanent celebrity and reputation. A very elegant edition of it

came from the press of Mr. Baskerville.\* It reflects no honour on the candour and impartiality of the German ecclefiastical historian Mosheim, that he endeavours to depreciate this elaborate work: ascribes to the author a duplicity foreign to his real character; and infinuates that he has given a fallacious account of the principles of the Quakers: whereas it has been observed by another biographer, " that "the most bitter of his antagonists have constantly " owned that the author of the 'Apology' was a " man of great candour and charity; one who loved " truth fincerely; and did not make use of his great " learning and abilities in order to impose, by the "frength of them, his own opinions on weaker " minds." A writer of the French Encyclopediè, under the word Quaker, has declared, "I am not " ashamed to own that I have read Robert Barclay's Apology for Quakerism over and over " again with fingular fatisfaction; and am con-"vinced that, taken altogether, it is the most reace fonable and most perfect system which has ever " been conceived."§

This work is addressed to King Charles II. in a dedication, as important, curious, and extraordinary as any part of it. Among many striking passages the following has been selected as a specimen of the manly freedom, of the strong yet decent strain of it. "There is no king in the world who can so experi-

For a lift of the Answers to the Apology, see Biogr. Britan. vol. i. p. 596, note f.

<sup>§</sup> Biogr. Brit. vol. i. p. 597, note f.; and Gough, vol. ii. p. 405.

" mentally testify of God's providence and good-" ness; neither is there any who rules so many free " people, so many true christians; which thing ren-"ders thy government more honourable, thyfelf " more considerable, than the accession of many " natious filled with flavish and superstitious souls. "Thou hast tasted of prosperity and adversity; thou " knowest what it is to be banished from thy native " country; to be over-ruled, as well as to rule and "fit upon the throne; and being oppressed, thou " hast reason to know how hateful the oppressor is both to God and man. If, after all those warn-" ings and advertisements, thou dost not return unto " the LORD with all thy heart, but forget Him who " remembered thee in thy diffress, and give up thy-" felf to follow lust and vanity, surely great will be " thy condemnation."

About the time of Mr. Barclay's birth, Mr. Geo. Fox, who is regarded as the author and founder of the feet called Quakers, began to preach. He was born at Draytonin Lancashire, 1624. About the year 1648, he commenced public teacher in Manchester, and its neighbouring towns. From this spot he extended his journies and labours through the counties of Derby, Leicester, and Northampton; insisting on his leading principle, "that every man was enlight-"ened by the divine light of Christ;" inveighing against injustice, drunkenness, and other prevalent vices; and under an apprehension that he had received a divine command, assuming a particular style of addressing persons, and refraining from the usual

modes of expressing civility and respect to others.\* He exposed himself, both by his preaching and fingularities of practice, to reproach and infult; was repeatedly cast into prison in various towns; and at times scarcely escaped with his life from the madnels of an infuriated mob. The trial of confinement was often aggravated by peculiar circumstances of rigour and hardship; by the menaces of jailors; by rudeness and incivility; by the exclusion of friends who were not permitted to visit him; by the intrusion of enemies, who were allowed to force their company on him, to gaze at him, and dispute with him: by the peculiar incommodiousness of his apartments. and by the nuisances attached to them. Previously to the period at which we now take up his history, Mr. Fox, in company with other members of his religious community, took shipping from England in 1671, to visit the West-Indies and other parts of the British dominions in America. After a passage of nearly two months they landed at Barbadoes. Here, before and after some of his friends had left him and proceeded to the islands of Antigua and Nevis, he held many large and fatisfactory meetings both for worship and discipline, free from any interruption from the governor, who had received him on his visit with remarkable kindness. From Barbadoes he passed over to Jamaica, in company with some ad-. herents to his principles. The governor, magiftrates, and people in general, afforded them a kind reception. Their meetings were quiet and numerous.

<sup>\*</sup> Our edition of Neal, vol. iv. p. 31, and notes, p. 34-52, and notes p. 36, 275, 502, 503, 509, 643.

Many, and amongst them some persons of the higher class, were brought over to their profession. We next find him and some of his coadjutors in Maryland, after a tedious and difficult passage, owing to contrary and tempestuous winds, through the Gulf of Florida. But these pious worthies, zealous of the cause of, as it appeared to their minds, evangelical truth, were not discouraged or diverted from their active exertions by perils by land or perils by fea. Soon after their difembarking, they joined a general meeting of friends held for four days at West River, and after this another at Cliffs. These two general meetings being over, the friends from Europe parted company. Mr. Fox, and they who joined him, failed by boat to the eastern shore, where they held meetings; at which, besides many perfons of quality of the country, one of the Indian kings and some other Indians attended, who owned the truth of his doctrine, and defired that it might be stated to their people. They then took their journey to New England, an enterprize of great difficulty and peril. In their journey they spent some little time in Long Island, and attended a half-yearly meeting of friends, for four days, at Oyster-Bay. After fome stay in Long Island, they proceeded by thip to Rhode Island, to attend the general meeting to be convened there for the province of New England, which continued by adjournments fix days. His next stage was at Shrewsbury in East-Jersey. From this place, with the affiftance of Indian guides, they purfued their journey through the woods to

Maryland. After contending with numerous difficulties, they reached Newcastle in five days, where Mr. Fox was hospitably entertained by the governor, and held a large meeting at his house; which afforded great fatisfaction to the people, who in tenderness confessed the truth of the doctrines published amongst them. In three days of very severe travelling, they reached the house of a friend at Myles River in Maryland; where they held feveral meetings, both amongst the inhabitants, and amongst the Indians, to whom Mr. Fox spoke by an interpreter, who were very feriously attentive to his doctrine, and shewed a very affectionate respect to himself. They next visited Virginia and Carolina. Their labours were not confined to the precincts of the English government, but they included in their tours, stimulated by the fentiment of universal love, the Indians in the back fettlements, whom they addreffed by an interpreter; and who well received their labours, professing that "they understood " what was spoken, and that it was very good." In 1673, he embarked at Potuxant for Bristol. It is a proof of the philanthropy and equity of Mr. Fox's spirit and principles, that he exhorted the members of the fociety to exercise peculiar kindness towards their negro flaves, to instruct them in christianity, and to instill into them the fear of their Creator; to fee that their overfeers treated them with humanity and gentleness; and after certain years of servitude to fet them free. This generous advice, which was approved and observed by the members of the

fociety of friends, gave offence to the other inhabitants at Barbadoes, and excited a general alarm. Alike honourable to Mr. Fox is the epiftle, which in the year 1682, full of anxious concern for the conduct of his friends in every quarter of the world. he wrote to those in Pennsylvania and the adjacent provinces, in an elevated strain of morality, exhorting them to justice and liberality in all commercial transactions. He advised them not to let avarice tempt them to take advantage of the circumstances or necessity of others, or to enhance their gain in exorbitant profits, but to fell on moderate terms. On the other hand, when the prices were too low to give a profit equal to the necessary occasions of the proprietors, to purchase at an advanced rate, having an eye more to the public good than to private interest. To beware of fetting their hearts on riches. if their trade increased through a reputation for justice and fair dealing, lest they should lose the image of God, in which the dominion over the creature is retained. Not to extend trade beyond their capitals and their ability to manage it: to circumscribe themselves within such limits as would enable them to be punctual to their engagements. and regular in their payments. Not to let out their defires after extensive possessions and greatness in the world, left they should be absorbed in the incumbrances thereof, like the rich fool in the parable, whose barns were too little: earnestly enjoining the practice of righteousness, fidelity, and mercy, on

Gough, vol. iii. p. 49.

those who were magistrates, or advanced to public trusts, by the exhortations of scripture.\*

After his return to England Mr. Fox was cast into Worcester gaol, on the ridiculous charge of having "held a meeting from all parts of the nation "for terrifying the king's subjects." During his imprisonment he was attacked with an alarming fickness, and his recovery was very doubtful. His wife, (who was the widow of Judge Fell,) to whom he was married in 1669, went to London to petition the king for his release; which he was willing to grant in the mode of a pardon: this, as it feemed to imply, if not an acknowledgment, yet confciousness of guilt, Mr. Fox declined to accept, and chofe rather to await the decision of a court of justice. By his trial, after a severe confinement of two years, he was honourably acquitted. He then went to Holland. On his coming home, a fuit was instituted against him for refusing to pay tithes. His opponents were fuccessful, and he was obliged to submit to the consequences.† In 1684 he again visited the continent; and on his return, finding his health and spirits were much impaired by incessant fatigues and long imprisonments, he defilted from travelling, and spent his latter years in London and its vicinity, as affording him an opportunity of rendering the most essential services to his friends, especially to fuch as were under perfecution. He continued his public duties as a minister till within a few days of

<sup>\*</sup> Gough, vol. iii. p. 147, 148.

<sup>1</sup> Rees' Cyclopædia, 4to. article, George Fox.

his death, which happened, after a short illness, on the 13th of January 1690, when he was in the 67th year of his age. Mr. William Penn, who was with him, reports, that he was fo calm in his fpirits to the last, as if death were hardly worth notice, recommending to those about him the difpersion of "an epistle he had lately written, and, " above all, the care of friends, especially those in "Ireland and America;" twice over repeating, "mind 66 poor friends in Ireland and America." To fome who visited him, and enquired how he felt, he anfwered, "Never heed; the LORD's presence is over " all weakness and death; the feed reigns; bleffed "be the LORD." He was tall and corpulent; very temperate, eating little and fleeping less; a man of good natural talents, and very conversant in the scriptures; though his expressions might found uncouth and unfashionable to nice ears, his matter was instructive, weighty, and profound; and he excelled in prayer. The reverence and folemnity of his address and behaviour, and the fervency and fullness of his words, often struck strangers with admiration. "To man he was an original, being no "man's copy." High commendation is bestowed on his meekness, humility, and moderation. His fufferings bore testimony to his fortitude, patience, and refignation: his piety, fincerity, and purity of intention, were evinced by his inceffant zeal through life. His funeral was attended by a great concourfe of friends, and others of various parties.

works were collected in three volumes folio; confisting of his journal, epistles, and doctrinal treatifes.

+ Encyclopædia, ut ante; Gough, vol. iii. p. 48, 52, 56, 83, 85, 89, 90, 91, 92, 101, 102, 103, 250, 254.

## CHAPTER IV.

## NEW CHARITABLE INSTITUTIONS.

THE mind turns with pleasure from a survey of the collision of sentiments among various sects, and of the interests of peculiar parties, to the review of measures that unite men in the pursuit of one good design; or raise in their breasts an emulation in promoting knowledge and virtue, and advancing the improvement of mankind. If the period through which we have carried our historical research was marked by contests and dissentions, by sufferings nobly supported, by virtuous struggles in the cause of liberty, and by mutual bickerings and jealousies, it was distinguished by the commencement of several benevolent institutions, and by the execution of plans of extensive usefulness.

When zealous protestants, alarmed by the spirit and measures of the government in the reign of James II. were distressed with apprehensions of the rapid spread of Popery, many in and about the metropolis held meetings for instruction and devotion. Such associations had hitherto been maintained only by the puritans and dissenters. The members of

the established church now entered into them with feriousness and ardour; and applied to their ministers. Dr. afterwards Bishop Beveridge, and Dr. Horneck. preacher at the Savoy, to afford them direction and fuperintendance, and to supply them with forms of Besides the rules for the management of their meetings, it was particularly recommended to the members of these societies, "to love one another: "when reviled, not to revile again: to speak evil of no man: to wrong no man: to pray, if pof-" fible, feven times a day: to keep close to the "church of England: to transact all things peace-"ably and gently: to be helpful to each other: " to use themselves to holy thoughts on coming in " and going out: to examine themselves every "night: to give every one his due: to obey fu-" periors, both spiritual and temporal." They were restrained by the rules of their association from discourfing at their meetings on any controverted point of divinity, or on the government of church or state; and prohibited from the use of any prayers, but those, of the church, such as the litany and collects, and others prescribed to them, and particularly from the use of such, as the absolution, which were appropriate to the use of ministers. In the choice of books of practical divinity, which they read to one another, they were to follow the direction of the prefiding minister.\* These societies confifted chiefly of young men. After the Revolution they became more numerous; and so improved

\* Dr. Horneck's Life, p. 74-76.

their finances by collections, that they were enabled to remunerate the attendance of many clergymen to read prayers: these aids to devotion were in a short time afforded at so many different hours, and extended to so many places, as to include every hour of the day. On every Lord's day there were constant sacraments in many churches. Greater numbers attended at prayers and sacraments, and greater appearances of devotion were diffused through the city, than had been observed in the memory of man.\*

They foon began to carry their views beyond their own religious improvement to the state of morals around them: and they entered into an agreement to watch the public manners, and to inform the magistrates of swearers, drunkards, and profaners of the Lord's day, and disorderly houses. In the year 1692 arose out of these communities the institution of "Societies for the Reformation of " Manners." From some magistrates they met with fupport and encouragement; by others they were treated roughly. The differers from the first entered into their virtuous views, and afforded them ready affistance. A part of the fines given by law to informers was thrown into a stock, which formed a charitable fund. They perfifted in their well meant exertions, and extended their efforts to suppress vice and profaneness; and were fanctioned by the folemn and public approbation of the lords temporal and spiritual, and of the judges on their cir-

<sup>\*</sup> Burnet's History, vol. v. p. 90, 91.

cuits; and their measures were occasionally recommended by the bithops in circular letters. confequence of the representation of one of the fecretaries of state, his Majesty William III. was induced to promife the societies his protection and countenance; and at the folicitation of the House of Commons, in an address presented to him in 1600. he published a proclamation against profaneness and immorality, gave a royal fanction to the objects proposed by these societies, and invigorated their zeal and ardour in the profecution of them. The ministers of religion were engaged to exhibit the importance and utility of their defign, and to animate them in their endeavours to accomplish it, by fermons, addressed on stated seasons in the pulpit of Bow church to the members of the establishment, and in that of Salters' hall to the differences. Ministers of the different denominations of the different took their turns to plead the cause of virtue and a reformation of manners, and continued these services in fuccession for at least nearly fifty years.

Similar focieties were foon afterwards formed in Ireland and Scotland; and in about twelve years thirteen focieties inflituted for the fame object existed in Edinburgh only, besides those which had been established in other parts of the kingdom under the auspices of the nobility, and of the commissioners of the general assembly of the church of Scotland. Other christian states in a

Burnet, p. 91; Calamy, vol. i. p. 551; and an Account of the Progress of Reformation of Manners, 1705, 410. p. 2.

public manner applauded and recommended the institution. The plan and success of the undertaking. by the translation of the "Account of the Societies" into the Latin, French, and Dutch languages, were communicated to other nations, excited great attention, and gave birth to fimilar affociations. This account was printed and dispersed, and the design of it promoted, at Neufchâtel, by the exertions of the learned and excellent Offervald. It was translated, printed, and much enquired after at Zurich; numerous copies of it were bought up and circulated in other protestant cantons; and the fynods and confiftories recommended the plan and measures of the English societies to be adopted in those districts. The principal persons in church and state united to bless God for the success with which the zeal of these religious communities had been crowned. They were had in veneration; and remembered and prayed for in all the pulpits. All the Helvetic and Rhetic churches were excited by this ardour to direct their efforts to the punishment of vice, and to promote the spread of christian knowledge and The narrative of their proceedings was translated into the Danish and Swedish languages. with the view of introducing similar institutions into those kingdoms; versions of it in the German language were given and published with great effect by Monsieur Scherer, and Dr. Frank, of the city of Halle. The spirit it awakened produced good effects in Flanders, Holland, and Berlin.

The influence of the inflitution was not confined to Europe. Its operations became known, and were felt, in the West-Indies and North-America. In November 1700, a society for the reformation of manners, consisting of the clergy and many gentlemen of the country, was formed in the island of Jamaica. An impression of the "Account of the Societies" was printed off and dispersed through North America; and in 1702, and afterwards, several societies for the suppression of vice and profaneness were formed in Boston.

The fame of the English societies united in the profecution of this laudable object, it appears by these instances, was spread into many lands: and "their example was followed in foreign parts," whence they often received letters, written by men of the highest character, extolling the public spirit of the nation, and praying for the ALMIGHTY's " bleffing on it." " Within a few years," faid Dr. afterwards Bishop, White Kennet, in the anniversary fermon, Dec. 11, 1701, "by the working of this public spirit, a multitude of sinners have \* se been reproved, and to appearance at least have " been reformed. By a moderate calculation, no " lefs than thirty thousand persons have been con-"victed for profane swearing and cursing; nearly "the fame number of lewd and disorderly persons " have been brought to a merciful punishment, and "were hereby reclaimed from their vices, or at, 66 least restrained from the public scandal of them. The efforts of the fociety to discountenance and suppress vice were not confined to the infliction of punishment. This often could not be done to the extent to which their virtuous zeal prompted them to carry it, without detecting the haunts of vice and employing informers; a measure at least invidious, and calculated to indulge evil passions in some, while it animadverted on the overtacts of others. A measure more judicious, and accompanied with no mischief or obloguy, was to disseminate moral and religious principles, and to address the reason and consciences of men, by the distribution of books of a religious nature, containing diffuafives from the vices of the age. Dr. Kennet, when he advocated the views of the fociety, observed that above one hundred thousand tracts of this tendency had been given away. The happy effect of these different endeavours was, that immorality and profanencis had visibly decreased. Among other tracts, which the fociety dispersed through England in vast numbers, was a small treatise entitled "The kind Caution "to profane Swearers." "The Soldier's Monitor" was another tract, many thousands of which they distributed; and by the order of Queen Anne, it was given to her foldiers in Ireland, Flanders, and Portugal. The King of Pruffia, on reading it, used it as a "vade mecum," declaring that he had weighed it with great confideration; and commanded an impression of it to be distributed through his whole army at his own expence. It was translated into the Muscovite language; and application was made to the Queen of Portugal, as well as to the Czarish Emperor, to distribute it through their respective armies. The example of the society in London was followed by those in New England. They circulated through every town of the province treatises to enforce the observance of the Lord's day, and a sheet of "Considerations" to reclaim those who neglected public worship. They compiled and dispersed an "Abstract of Laws" against all punishable wickedness. They endeavoured also to introduce a fense of religion among the failors. and to bring them under better regulations than had been generally observed. A society for the fuppression of disorderly conduct at Boston printed and fent through the colonies a sheet, stating the methods and motives for fuch affociations. The confequence was, that they were established with much fuccess and falutary effect in many towns; and letters were received from various quarters, reporting with gratitude and rapture the advantages of which they had been productive. While the defign of these focieties was accompanied with fignal efficacy, it was carried on with great modesty, difcretion, and filence. It may be feared, that the measures of the society in London, at least as far as relates to the apprehension of delinquents, were not uniformly conducted with equal care and temper; for the history of the institution furnishes two instances, in which the lives of the constables were facrificed in the execution of their office. In May 1702, at May-Fair, the civil officers, under the di-

rection of the justices of the county, endeavouring to prevent the immoralities of the feafon, were affaulted by a company of foldiers, to the number of above thirty, with fwords in their hands, and execrations and oaths upon their lips. Some were wounded; and one constable, Mr. John Cooper, was killed. The person by whose hands he lost his life, one Thomas Cook, a common fencer, was convicted of the murder, and executed for it; and William Wallis, a ferjeant of the guards, was condemned as concerned in it. In March 1708-9, Mr. Dent, who, in the course of seventeen years, had aided the apprehending and profecuting of feveral thousands of drunkards, swearers, and profligate characters, fell a victim to his zeal in the fervice of the fociety by the hands of three private foldiers. It was an honourable testimony to the virtues of his character, as well as to the activity of his efforts in the cause of reformation, and of the ardour of the fociety in the profecution of their object, that his funeral was attended with great expressions of respect; between 20 and 30 clergymen preceded the corpfe; twelve justices of the peace held up the pall, or immediately followed it; gentlemen of quality, aldermen, and above a thousand citizens, formed the train of mourners; and a guard of more than thirty constables and beadles accompanied the procession, \*

The Account, p. 10—14; Dr. Bray's Sermon at the funeral of Mr. Dent, dedication, and p. 25, 26.

These events served as lessons of prudence and caution to the agents who were engaged to promote the views of the reformers; but did not furnish a reason for defisting from the prosecution of the great object, which was the suppression of vice, and the encouragement of virtue and good morals. This was an end beneficial to the community, and honourable to those who were engaged in advancing it. The principle on which they acted in unison was, that public combinations in virtue are necessary to balance and counterpoise those of vice. But as the most excellent designs under the management of men are liable to cenfure and abuse, from the influence of the errors, prejudices, and passions, which blend with or obstruct their execution; it was to be expected, that this affociation in the fupport of virtue would incur censure, and meet with opposition, especially from those against whose pursuits and practices it militated. Resentment and revenge were in some instances, instead of reformation, produced in the minds of those whose vices were detected, restrained, and punished. though it was not only recommended but infifted on by the members of those societies, that the informer should never receive that part of the penalty which the law allowed them; though the practices of fugh unprincipled persons as extorted money from delinquents were detelted, strictly enquired after, and when discovered, punished; yet it was fometimes infinuated against the institution, and even charged on those who conducted it, that not refor-

mation, but procuring money from the offenders, was intended. Notwithstanding, however, the impediments and obstructions thrown in the way of the proceedings of the reformers by the refractory. and the odium cast on them by the resentful, these affociations were in many inflances efficient and fuccessful, and actually produced much good. Many houses, the haunts of vice, were shut up. The streets were very much cleared of the loose and debauched. Many young men were brought to shame. and stopped in the career of vice and ruin. Many who were at first exasperated by the check which they received, were led to a fense of their sin and danger, recovered to a virtuous courfe, and became thankful for the means of their reformation. total number of profecutions in or near London only, for debauchery and profaneness, in the space of forty-two years was calculated at 100,650.\* These prosecutions could not fail, by an immediate efficacy, to restrain vice; and besides the consequences which would be felt by the delinquents. would prove a warning to others, awaken in men a regard to their interest and good name, and excite a detestation of profaneness and vice.

Another inftitution arose among the members of the national church at this period, which went not to correct and punish the existing vices of the times, but to prevent iniquity by implanting the principles of religion and virtue in the minds of men, especially Bishop Maddox's Sermon to the Societies for Reformation of Manners, 17th Jan. 1736, p. 25-32.

in those of childhood and youth. This was the Society for promoting Christian Knowledge; to which the latter end of the year 1698 gave birth. "It breathed," it has been well observed, "the true spirit of "christianity, and followed at a humble distance the "example of its divine author, by diffusing the light of the gospel more especially among the poor.";

The means it adopted to fecure its benevolent and rational ends were worthy of enlightened and liberal minds. These were the erection of charity schools, and the distribution of books. It originated with a few gentlemen, who entered into the design with an unanimity and zeal that secured its success. The fociety confifted partly of fubscribing, and partly of corresponding members. The former at its first establishment lived in or near London, and were hence, till 1727, called refiding members, who held regular meetings for the transaction of business: the latter were persons in Great-Britain, Ireland, and other protestant countries, who were chosen, on recommendation, to correspond with the residing members; to transmit to them an account of the state of religion in their neighbourhood; to fuggest hints on the most promising methods to be pursued to answer its views, to remit occasional benefactions, and to receive from it for distribution bibles. testaments, and religious tracts.

Under the auspices of this society, and other generous friends to religion and to the rising generation, charity schools were erested, not in the

<sup>†</sup> Porteus's Works, vol. iii. p. 301.

metropolis only, but in all parts of the kingdom; in which children, taken from the most indigent and helpless classes of fociety, were initiated into fuch learning as would qualify them for some ordinary employment; taught the principles of religion and found morals; and clothed, and placed out in life. The least fuccess in the prosecution of such useful measures is a great and useful effect. The institution has, with increasing influence, preserved its energy for more than a century. The area under the dome of St. Paul's Cathedral, in the year 1782, exhibited the wonderfully pleasing and affecting spectacle of nearly five thousand children, collected together from the charity schools in and about London and Westminster, and ranged in a kind of amphitheatre, as witnesses to the exertions of the fociety. The number of children then prefent was reckoned to bear a small proportion to the whole number in the schools of Great-Britain and Ireland: which, it was calculated, exceeded forty thousand,

It was another principal object of this fociety to provide and to disperse, at a very considerable expence, among the lower people of all ages and occupations, a very large number of bibles, common-prayer books, and small tracts on a variety of religious subjects. The number which had been distributed by it, from its first institution thirty years since, was so immensely great as almost to exceed belief. Within sifty years preceding this date, it had amounted to no less than 2,834,371. Inconsiderable and trivial," it has been well

observed, "as the little treatises dispersed by the " fociety may feem; yet it is by the repeated efforts of fuch small instruments as these, that the " greatest effects are often produced. Their numbers, their plainness, and their cheapness, will give them an efficacy and extent of circulation, which 46 much more voluminous and more laboured comso positions may not be able to acquire; just as we " fee that the lowest, and humblest, and most numerous bodies of men, not the opulent and fplendid few, are those that constitute the real " strength and wealth of the community." The wisdom and utility of this method of addressing the mass of mankind have been discerned and confessed by those who are not friends to revelation. Voltaire wrote innumerable little pieces against revelation; he prided himself greatly in having discovered this method, as he stated it, of enlightening the world; M. d'Alembert and others applauded his conduct in this respect.\* Count Struensee, in one of the conversations which he had with the divine who attended him before his execution, expressed an earnest wish that the rational friends of christianity would learn this method, by which Voltaire had done much mischief, and apply it to better purposes, by writing fmall pamphlets to acquaint people with the advantages of christianity, which might be of greater efficacy than preaching.†

<sup>\*</sup> Porteus's Works, vol. iii. p. 307, note; 309, note, and 310.

<sup>+</sup> Wendeborne's Narrative of the Conversion &c. of Count Struensee, p. 150.

The fociety of which we are speaking had been anticipated by the differents in one measure, by which they generously purfued the end of their affociation, viz. that of opening charity schools. In 1687, in the reign of King James II. Mr. Poulton, a jesuit, gave public notice that he would instruct the children of the poor gratis; and on this plan opened a school in Gravel-lane, Southwark, one of the poorest neighbourhoods in the city, where a great proportion of the inhabitants were watermen and fishermen of the lowest class. The proposal was deemed infidious, as it was also popular; and this person, under the appearance of compassion and liberality to the poor, was confidered as artfully adopting a fcheme to diffeminate the principles of popery, and to make converts to it from the rifing generation. Three respectable gentlemen, members of the congregation of the protestant dissenters. under the ministry of Mr. Nathaniel Vincent, Mr. Arthur Shallet, Mr. Samuel Warburton, and Mr. Ferdinando Holland, alarmed at the obvious design, and animated by zeal for protestantism, exerted themselves to counteract the operation of the jesuit's measure, by the foundation of a school for the instruction of the poor in reading, writing, arithmetic, and the principles of the protestant religion, to be supported by voluntary subscriptions, donations, and legacies, and by two annual collections. This was the first school opened by pro estant diffenters; and it reflects lasting honour on its founders, that it was fet up on truly liberal principles;

namely, that "objects should be received into it "without distinction of parties, the general good being intended." The number of scholars at first was forty; it afterwards was increased to fifty, and in 1794 it amounted to an hundred and eighty. One of the annual sermons was preached for some years at the school on a new-year's day; but from January 1, 1741,\* it was delivered from the pulpit in St. Thomas's, Southwark; and for more than a hundred years was regularly printed and published. The other was preached in the city.

It does credit to the protestant diffenters of the day, that when the Society for promoting Christian Knowledge opened charity schools for the instruction of poor children, many of them concurred with the members of that affociation in their benevolent measure, and gave their names as subscribers to the feminaries, formed on the principles of the established church; till these seminaries became nurseries of disaffection to the government, and strong prejudices against the diffenters were instilled into the indifcriminating minds of the children. After the accession of George the First to the throne, the protestant succession remained doubtful, and no meafure was left untried to defeat it. They who were governed by these views, endeavoured to get the management of the charity schools into their own hands; and to convert the education of children, on public funds, into an instrument of aversion and

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Allen's Sermon for the Charity School, in Gravellane, Southwark, Jan. 1, 1741. p. 30.

disaffectiont o the protestant settlement.\* This conduct was fo notorious, and furnished fo just and weighty a ground of complaint in those times against these institutions; that Dr. Wake, the archbishop of Canterbury, addressed a letter, in 1716, to the trustees of the schools in and about London, earneftly exhorting them "vigorously to animadvert upon " all, whether children or teachers, who themselves <sup>66</sup> appeared, or fuffered others to appear, at any time " in public to affront the government, and to bear " a part in the tumults and riots, which were then " a fcandal as well as prejudice to the good order "and peace of the realm." In this letter his Grace also directed, that any catechisms or institutes taught in any of the schools, that meddled with political or party principles, should be immediately thrown aside, as pernicious to the original defign of those pious nurferies. This prelate was so apprehensive of the confequences of this abuse of a charitable institution into a fubserviency to party and political designs, that he some time after recommended it to the trustees to require all the masters and mistresses under their direction not only to take the oaths to the government before their admission, but at the time of their admission to subscribe to some such solemn promise or declaration as this,-" that they do heartily ac-" knowledge his Majesty King George to be the only ce lawful and rightful king of these realms; and will

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Gibson, the Bishop of London's Directions for the Charity Schools, quoted by Dr. Watts, in "An Essay towards the Encouragement of Charity Schools, p.45.

to the utmost of their power educate the children committed to their charge in a true fense of their cc duty to him as fuch: That they will not, by any words or actions, do any thing whereby to leffen their esteem of, or their obedience to, the present ec government: That upon all public days, when ce their children may be likely to appear among any "disorderly persons, they will do their best to keep them in; and feverely punish them, if they should hear of their running into any tumults or public "meetings, contrary to the good order of such " fehools and feholars," His Grace's advice was adopted, and strengthened by the like exhortations of other prelates in their fermons at the anniversary meetings of the charity schools; and the society employed all their influence to fecure a general conformity to the fentiments and injunctions of the bishops in this matter, as of the last importance to the welfare of their schools.\*

These facts are proofs of the disaffection towards the existing government, which in a great degree characterized the times, and shewed itself in the established church; and they afford an affecting example, that institutions formed at first on principles of philanthropy, and the design of which is to advance knowledge and virtue, may be and have been perverted from their original end, and prostituted to party politics. When Bishop Gibson published his directions some years after, he thought there

<sup>\*</sup> Dr. Tucker, dean of Glocester's Sermon at Christ-Church, 7th May, 1766, p. 66; 67, of the Appendix.

was great reason to believe that much of that leaven was worked out; and was willing to hope that fince things were better, true and loyal fubjects would begin to think more favourably of those seminaries.\* But in the year 1728, this leaven still continued to work. The divine right of episcopacy, and the invalidity of all ordinances administered by persons not ordained by regular successors of the Apostles, were taught in those schools, and instilled into the minds of children of fix and feven years of age; and yet the contributions of dissenters were folicited to the support of these seminaries. Though the zeal of the managers might in fuch applications be conspicuous, the propriety and modesty of their fuit may be questioned.† In fact displeasure was naturally felt, when it appeared that the subscriptions of differers were employed to support schools in which principles of diflovalty were taught; a bigoted zeal for the word church instilled into young and passive minds; and a spirit of enmity and persecution against all whom they were instructed to call preje byterians, was cherished in the hearts of children who were in part receiving their bread and clothing from the hands of those whom they were trained up to hate. The diffenters expressed their disapprobation of the illiberality of this conduct; withdrew their charity which was fo abused; and from respect to their own principles, and a regard to their personal fecurity, were stimulated to institute schools on a

<sup>\*</sup> Watts's Effay, p. 45.

<sup>†</sup> Dr. Chandler's Sesmon, at Gravel-lane, Southwark, 1st Jan. 1728.

more christian and generous plan; in which, on the one hand, the children were taught nothing of the divine right of presbytery, and on the other, no suspicions of the scriptural authority of diocesan episcopacy were infinuated. In their seminaries the children were not prejudiced against any party of christians, nor were they bred up bigots to their own sest; and the catechetical system of religious principles, into which they were initiated contained no articles but such as the established clergy subscribed, and their belief of which they were bound by Ast of Parliament to profess. It will fall within a future period of this history to specify the schools formed in subsequent times.

The next measure adopted by the Society for promoting Christian Knowledge was formed on a more liberal scale, and animated by a diffusive fpirit of philanthropy. It extended its views and its aids to neighbouring and remote countries; communicating to them religious knowledge, by the distribution of books in their respective languages, and by the establishment of schools and missions, particularly in various parts of the East-Indies, for the conversion and instruction of the heathens. Such have been the progress and effects of their exertions, that a late venerable prelate, in the year 1782, from a retrospect of their proceedings, was enabled to give a pleafing view of the various refults of their comprehensive designs. The fociety had printed and dispersed over the Isle of Man many thousand copies of the Old and New

Testament, of the Common Prayer, and other religious books in the vulgar language of that island. It had published three several editions of the Bible in the Welsh language, and distributed them through every part of Wales, to the amount of sifty thousand copies. It had made provision for the education of youth and the celebration of divine worship in the Scilly islands, where there was the utmost need of both. It had printed the New Testament and Psalter in Arabic; and had sent a large number of both, not to mention some other tracts, into Palestine, Syria, Mesopotamia, Arabia, and Egypt, from its regard to the Greek church, and even into Persia and India. And it had dispersed many religious tracts in the Malabar language.\*

It is an agreeable transition from this general account of the benevolent operations of this society, to notice another institution, to which the period passing under our review gave birth; the immediate and direct object of which was to plant christianity in foreign parts. The way had been prepared for such a noble design by the occurrences of former years. The pattern had been furnished in America, and the government at home had given its aid and sanction to it. The puritans, whom intolerance in religion, and political measures of a despotical aspect, had driven over the Atlantic Ocean to cultivate the wilderness of the western continent, no sooner saw the colony, raised in the dreary wilds of this new region, begin to flourish, but they applied them-

selves with zeal and assiduity to effect the conversion of the Indians, and not without fuccess. Mayhew, Sheppard, and Elliot, the last of whom in particular gained the honourable title of the Apostle of the Indians, distinguished themselves in these labours of facred humanity. The favages were civilized, instructed, and formed into regular congregations. The fuccels of these pious attempts drew the attention of the people and parliament of England. In 1646, "a Society or Company, for the Propagation of the Gospel in New England, and the parts "adjacent in America," was constituted under the fanction of an Act of Parliament; and by a collection made in all the parishes in England, there was raised a fum sufficient to purchase an estate in land of between 5 and 600l. per annum. Upon the restoration of Charles II. the corporation became dead in law; and Colonel Bedingfield, a Roman Catholic, who had fold an estate of 3221. per annum, which was invested in it as trustees, availed himself of the circumstance to re-posses himself of it, refufing at the same time to refund the purchase money. The Hon. Robert Boyle employed his interest with Lord Chancellor Clarendon to counteract this injustice. Mr. Baxter, and Henry Ashurst, esq; also joined their influence to obtain a re-establishment of the fociety under royal patronage; and by a new charter, granted by Charles II. in the fourteenth year of his reign, in the year 1663 it was incorporated, and the estate, which had been detained by

Bedingfield, was restored by a decree of the Chancellor; and Mr. Boyle was appointed the first governor of the revived corporation.\* These steps towards the spread of christianity were preparatory to a new institution, formed under the authority of the royal charter by King William, in 1701, entitled "The Society for propagating the Gospel in Fo-" reign Parts." " It was," observes Bishop Burnet, a glorious conclusion of a reign that was begun et with preserving our religion, thus to create a corporation for propagating it to the remoter " parts of the earth, and among infidels." The defign of extending into distant countries the bleffings of the gospel received by this institution stability: the royal patronage awakened activity and zeal; the bishops and clergy gave it their generous and active support; and its funds, were enlarged and strengthened by liberal subscriptions. The primary and immediate object was "the main-"tenance of a learned and orthodox clergy," to discharge the clerical functions in those plantation, or colonies, where either very feanty or no provision was made for the public worship of God. Another and early object of its attention was to provide, by catechifts and schoolmasters, for the instruction and conversion of the negroes in the colonies. And their plan included, as their revenues increased, the civilization and conversion of the Mohawks; and

Mosheim's Ecclesiastical History, vol. iv. p. 241; Birch's Life of Boyle, p. 141; and the Appendix No. 1. is a copy of the charter.

<sup>+</sup> Burnet's Hiftory, vol. v. p. 92.

other Indian nations. One of the first steps adopted by the society was to send, at the expense of its sunds, fifty-four missionaries through the states of Georgia, North and South Carolina, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, New England, and New York; to whom were added two catechists for the instruction of the negroes.

In connection with the exertions of this fociety to diffeminate christian knowledge abroad may be properly noticed the provision made by a private and generous individual to vindicate and defend the truth of christianity through future time at home. The great christian philosopher, the Hon. Mr. Robert Boyle, who during his life had given 300l. to advance the defign of propagating the christian religion in America, by a codicil annexed to his will, dated July 28, 1691, charged his messuage or dwelling-house in St. Michael's, Crooked-lane, London, with the payment of the clear yearly rents and profits to some learned divine in London, or within the bills of mortality; to be elected for a term not exceeding three years, by Dr. Tenison, afterwards archbishop of Canterbury, by Sir Henry Ashurst, Sir John Rotheram, and John Evelyn, esq: and the furvivors or furvivor of them, and fuch person or persons as the survivor of them should appoint to succeed in the trust. The office assigned to the lecturer was to preach eight fermons in the year for proving the christian religion against noto-

<sup>1</sup> Jablonski Institut. Christian. Histor. vol. iii. p. 32; Bishop Newton's Biog. Works, vol. iii. p. 422-425.

rious infidels, viz. atheifts, pagans, Jews, and Mahometans; not descending lower to any controversies that are among christians. In union with, and in subserviency to, the primary object of the soundation, the lecturer was enjoined to affist and encourage any societies engaged in measures for propagating the gospel in foreign parts; and to be ready to satisfy real scruples, and to answer any new objections and difficulties, which had not previously received good answers. The sermons it was directed should be preached on the first Monday of January, February, March, April, and May, and of September, October, and November. The lectures were seldom continued above a year.

The endowment was liable to defalcation, or at least to delay in payment, or to difficulty of recovery, by the house standing empty, or tenants failing in due payment of the rent. To remedy these inconveniences, Archbishop Tenison procured a yearly grant of 50l. to be paid quarterly for ever, charged upon a farm in the parish of Brill, in the county of Bucks. This stipend is accordingly paid without fee or reward. "The pious and honourable talk of " preaching the Boylean lecture," observes Mosheim, 66 has been committed always to men of the most " eminent genius and abilities; and is still undertaken " with zeal, and performed with remarkable dignity " and fuccefs. The discourses that have been " delivered in consequence of this admirable institu-66 tion have been most commonly published; and 66 they form, at this day, a large and important "collection, which is known throughout all Europe, and has done eminent fervice to the cause of religion and virtue."\*

This infitution has given occasion for the publication of some most judicious, learned, and popular works; to which an extensive circulation and a permanent celebrity have been attached. The first of these lectures was preached by that great scholar Dr. Bentley; whose sermons on this occasion have been pronounced the most valuable of that great critic's performances.† The design which he pursued in them was to demonstrate the being and providence of God on the principles of Sir Isaac Newton's discoveries. Among the hearers were the members of a club of sceptics or insidels; who, on being asked what they had to say against them, candidly owned that they did not know what to say.§ In

<sup>\*</sup> Birch's Life of Boyle, p. 292, -3, and p. 353-4.

<sup>\*</sup> Mosheim, vol. iv. p. 243. † Whiston's Memoirs, p. 93.

<sup>5</sup> Dr. Bentley was so affected with this answer, Mr. Whiston tells us, as to say, "he doubted he had done harm to Christianity by these sermons, as having diverted these sceptics from their denial of a God and a Providence, from which they might be always driven with great ease, to pick up objections against revelation in general: a mode of attack, which would certainly afford them a much larger field for contradiction." But surely the learned preacher had no just ground for this sentiment of concern and regret. He had fixed upon a subject peculiarly proper as the preliminary basis for a series of discourses in desence of natural as well as of revealed religion; on a subject that fell directly within the intentions and directions of the sounder of the lecture. And there would be no security against blaming ourselves, if men were to be dissatisfied with themselves, because malignity and prejudice could and did pervert their good intentions and laudable exertions.

<sup>\*</sup> Whiston's Memoirs, p. 93.

the fuccession of more than a century the lecture has been preached by fome who have ranked with the first class of divines, and whose names are enrolled with honour in the annals of the republic of literature. To this lecture we are indebted for Derham's Physico-Theology; for Dr. John Clarke's Sermons on the Origin of Evil, which have passed through feveral editions; for Dr. Samuel Clarke's 66 Discourse concerning the Being and Attributes " of God, the Obligations of Natural Religion, " and the Truth and Certainty of the Christian "Revelation:" rthe fermons which form this treatife were executed in a manner that at once furprifed and instructed the most intelligent hearers; "every christian in this country, in which they first faw of the light, ought to esteem them," says Bishop " Hoadly," as his treatise; as they contain the true ee strength, not only of natural, but revealed, reli-"gion:"] and for the course of sermons by Dr. Benjamin Ibbott, a most able, rational, and judicious defender of revelation; in which is accurately and liberally stated the true notion of the exercise of private judgment or free-thinking in matters of religion; the objections against it are answered; and the modern way of free-thinking, as treated of in a late discourse on that subject, is taken into consideration. The "Difcourse on Free-Thinking," here The preacher might have reflected, to his comfort, that he had left a large field open to his fuccessors in the institution, in which he had not precluded them from labouring with skill, energy, and fuccess through many years; and that he had laid a good foundation on which they might build.

referred to, was written by Anthony Collins, efg. It has been observed by a very able judge, Dr. Law the bishop of Carlisle, much to the credit of these discourses, that Dr. Ibbot stands absolutely clear of all the exceptions urged in "Christianity not founded " on argument;" and that he hath fully answered the end of the great and good founder of the lecture.\* In this lecture originated also some important and valuable works, thrown, after they were preached, out of the form of fermons, which have excited great attention, and been justly held in high estimation. To this class belongs "The History of the Acts of the Holy Apostles confirmed from other authors; and confidered as full evidence of " the Truth of Christianity; with a Prefatory Difcourse upon the Nature of that Evidence;" by Rich. Biscoe, A. M.; who had, in the earlier part of his life, been the minister of a congregation of difsentersat Newington-Green, till he conformed in 1727. Dr. Doddridge pronounces this to be an elaborate and valuable work. It has long been scarce, An appointment to preach the Boylean lecture gave rise to the learned Dr. Jortin's admirable "Remarks " on Ecclesiastical History;" in the three first volumes of which is inferted the substance of the second and third heads of his discourses on that occasion. His subjects were, the nature and intent of prophecy, together with an examination of some predictions in the Old and New Testament; and considerations on miracles in general, on the miracles of Christ and

Considerations on the Theory of Religion, p. 24, 6th edition.

his apostles, and on the support which they gave to the christian religion.\* By the trustees of this noble donation of the christian philosopher, Dr. Newton, afterwards bishop of Bristol, who had already published a volume of "Differtations on the Prophecies." was encouraged to proceed in his interesting and important investigations as to their design and accomplishment. He was invited to preach Mr. Boyle's lecture: this testimony of approbation bestowed on his former work afforded an occasion, and acted as a stimulus to animate him, to pursue this particular study. The result was the publication of two more volumes of "Differtations on the Pro-" phecies which have remarkably been fulfilled, and " at this time are fulfilling in the world;" 1758. At the first impression 1250 copies were printed, and a thousand at every edition to the fixth in 1782. The work met with a very favourable reception abroad, and was translated into the Danish and German languages; | and is still popular. In 1739. the discourses which had been delivered previously to that date at Mr. Boyle's lecture were republished in a collected form in three volumes folio. In 1737, the Rev. Gilbert Burnet had given to the public a judicious, comprehensive, and well-digested abridgement of the fermons of those who had preached it before that time. This abridgement comprehends the discourses of Bentley, Kidder, Williams, Gastrel, Harris, Bradford, Blackhall, Stanhope, Clarke,

Difney's Memoirs of the Life and Writings of Dr. Jortin, p. 54.

Bishop Newton's Posthumous Works, Life, p. 73, 4.

Hancock, Whiston, Turner, Butler, Woodward. Derham, Ibbot, Long, J. Clarke, Gurdon, Burnet. and Berriman. This work was translated into the French and German languages; at once a proof of the celebrity of the institution, a testimony of its merit, and the means of extending its influence. Some of the fubjects discussed in these discourses have been already specified. As to the other fermons, those of Bishop Kidder bore the title of "A Demonstration of the Messiah." Those of Bishop Williams exhibited "a general Idea of Revealed "Religion." "The Certainty and Necessity of Divine Religion" in general was argued by Bishop Gastrell. Dr. Harris considered and refuted the objections of atheists to the existence and attributes of Gop. The credibility of the christian religion was stated by Bishop Bradford. The fufficiency and perfection of the revelation of the Old and New Testament were represented by Bishop Blackhall. Dr. Stanhope defended the truth and excellence of the christian religion against Jews, infidels, and heretics. The general plan of natural and revealed religion was the subject treated by Dr. Hancock. Mr. Whiston confined himself to the accomplishment of the prophecies of scripture. Dr. Turner shewed the wisdom of God in the redemption of the human race. Dr. John Butler, prebendary of Canterbury, chose for his topic "Religion no matter of shame or injury." The heavenly origin and incomparable excellence of the christian religion was fet forth by Dr. Woodward. The defign

of Bishop Leng was to prove the natural obligation to believe in the principles of religion and of divine revelation. Mr. Gurdon, the archdeacon of Sudbury, aimed to prove that the difficulties which men found in natural and revealed religion formed no excuse for infidelity. Dr. Thos. Burnet, rector of West Kington, Wilts, and prebendary of Sarum, entitled his fermons " a Demonstration of the True Religion." Dr. William Berriman selected for his subject the gradual revelation of the gospel. This abridgement of the Boylean lectures was accompanied with a full and well-arranged table of contents.\* To the names of those divines already mentioned, who fince these publications have kept up the reputation and perpetuated the usefulness of this institution, should be added, Dr. Leonard Twells, Dr. Robert Warren, Dr. Ralph Heathcote, Dr. William Worthington, and Dr. Henry Owen. "In general," it has been judiciously observed, "that though among such a " number of writers, their reasoning will not in se every respect and on every subject be alike imor portant and convincing, the fermons at Boyle's " lecture have done eminent fervice to the cause of " natural and revealed religion, and constitute a " fystem of evidence which no subtlety or sophistry "can overturn."† It is also a pleasing and animating reflection, which offers itself on the history of useful and philanthropic institutions, such as that of Mr. Boyle's foundation, that when a man pro-

<sup>\*</sup> Bibliotheque Raisonnée, tom. xix. p. 449.

Dr. Kippis in Biog. Brit. article Boyle, p. 515.

vides for the execution of fuch a defign, the good effects of it, both as to duration and extent, will very probably reach much beyond any calculation of its influence which he could make when he formed his plan.

We cannot conclude this chapter on useful institutions, without making honourable and particular mention of one clergyman, to whom the Society for promoting Christian Knowledge owed peculiar obligations. The divine here meant was the Rev. Dr. Thomas Bray; who was born in 1656, at Marton in Shropshire, and entered of Hertford college, Oxford. He was first, after taking orders, curate near Bridgenorth in Shropshire; he had afterwards the donative of Lac-Marsin in Warwickshire, the grant of Sir Thomas Price, of Park hall; his next preferment was the vicarage of Over-Whitacre, given to him by Lord Digby; who, in 1693, prefented him to the rectory of Sheldon, both in the fame county: this preferment he held till about a quarter of a year before his death, when he refigned it on the grounds of his advanced age, and the known worth and abilities of his appointed fuccessor. He was also minister of Aldgate in London. This benevolent man, whose life was a series of public-spirited and generous exertions, in 1697, on the failure of his defigns and endeavours to procure a publick fund to be established for the propagation of the gospel in foreign parts, formed a design of having a protestant congregation, pro fide propaganda, by charters from the king. The circumstances of the times not favouring this intention, he laid it aside. But to prepare the way for a charter-fociety, he endeavoured to find out worthy persons disposed to form a voluntary fociety to propagate christian knowledge at home and abroad. He communicated his views and scheme to Dr. Henry Compton, bishop of London, in 1697; and a fociety was formed on his plan, of which we have given an account: and the event was, as we have feen, when their numbers increased, and new benefactions improved their finances, the erection of a corporation under his Majesty's letters patent, May 5, 1701, for the propagation of the gospel in foreign parts: so important, fo extensively useful, often are the benevolent schemes and exertions of one individual. "Charity "to the fouls of men," it is faid by his biographer, "was wrought up to the highest pitch in his own " foul." Most of the religious focieties and good defigns in London, at that period, owe great acknowledgements to the memory of Dr. Bray, and were in a great measure formed on the plans which he projected. These benevolent undertakings furnished a fource of consolatory reflections and of joyful prefages in his last minutes. He died on the 15th of February, 1730, in the 73d year of his age.

## CHAPTER V.

A Concise Review of Theological Publications.

THE Reformation originated in a spirit of enquiry. Wickliffe and his followers, in particular, gave a manly example of afferting the independence of the human mind, and of daring to think and act for themselves. The policy and ambition of princes and statesmen had, in subsequent periods, great influence in promoting the fecession from the church of Rome; but as far as the Reformation was espoused from conviction, it owed its advancement to examination and discussion. The fecession from the first protestant establishments in different kingdoms proceeded from, and was fupported by, men's prefuming to think for themselves; and by tracing back the existing sentiments and practices into the foundation and authority which they had in the scriptures. The invention of printing gave a great facility to enquiry. As new events arose; as points of opinion and practice, the authority and restitude of which had for ages been admitted, became doubtful and suspected; new discussions took place. Many in vindication of their adherence to established forms and creeds, and many in defence of their departure from them, were constrained to have recourse to investigation and argument. The Act of Toleration, limited as were its provisions, and narrow as was its spirit, gave encouragement to enquiry, and in a great degree removed the obstacles to the discovery and profession of truth. The Revolution itself called for the aid of the pen to advocate its necessity, justice, and importance: and the defence of it involved a vindication of men's religious as well as civil rights; of christian liberty as well as of the political constitution of these nations.

Accordingly the Revolution gave rife to many publications. At the commencement of it there came from the press, "The Way to Peace among all "Protestants;" being a letter of reconciliation sent by Bishop Ridley to Bishop Hooper, with some animadversions on it. Another tract printed about this time was "A Memorial of God's last twenty-nine " years' Wonders in England, for its Preservation and Deliverance from Popery and Slavery." There was also published, "The absolute Necessity of stand-"ing vigorously by the present Government; or a Wiew of what both Churchmen and Diffenters must expect, if by their unhappy divisions, power and ty-"ranny should return again." A third piece professed to exhibit a retrospective "short view of the methods " made use of in Ireland for the subversion and de-" struction of the Protestant religion and interest in that kingdom, from the beginning of the reign of the late King James to the present time; and of " the fufferings of the Protestants all along." Another tract was defigned to expose "the mystery of "iniquity working in the dividing of Protestants, "in order to the subverting of religion and our laws. of for almost the space of thirty years last past, plainly "laid open; to which is added, a specimen of a bill " for uniting Protestants." An examination of the scruples of those who refuse to take the oaths of allegiance: -King William or King Lewis; or the inevitable necessity these nations lie under of submitting wholly to one or other of these kings:-Reflections upon the opinions of fome modern divines concerning the nature of government in general, and of England in particular, with Magna Charta annexed; -were, as their titles indicate, tracts properly fuited to the circumstances and temper of the day; and calculated to excite discussion and examination of general principles of theology and policy. There appeared many other publications of the like nature, the most considerable of which are preserved in "The Collection of State Tracts," on occasion of the Revolution in 1688, vol. i.\*

But one tract, mentioned before, which made its appearance at this period, from the seasonable importance of it, from the name of the author, from its internal excellence, its influence on public opinion, and its permanent same, deserves particular notice. This was Mr. Locke's "First Letter on Toleration." He composed it at the time, when

<sup>\*</sup> Calamy, vol. i. p. 483.

having fallen under a fuspicion of being an accomplice of the Duke of Monmouth, he took shelter in the house of Mr. Veen, a gentleman of Amsterdam, in 1685. It was first written in Latin, and printed at Gouda, in Holland, 1689, and entitled, " Epistola " de Tolerantia ad clarissimum Virum T. A. R. "P. T. O. L. A. scripta a P. A. P. O. I. L. A." The first initials fignifying, Theologiæ apud Remonstrantes Professorem, Tyrannidis Osorem Limburgium Amstelodamensem: and the latter series, Pacis Amico, Persecutionis Osore, Johanne Lockio Anglo. This excellent performance foon excited attention. It was translated, as we have before noticed, into Dutch and French in the fame year; and two editions of it in English, the one in quarto, and the other in duodecimo, were published in London. To these impressions was prefixed an address to the reader by Mr. Popple, in happy imitation of Mr. Locke's force and strength of expression. writer aims to excite the reader to confider "the "usefulness and importance of the work, and the ee great necessity there was, at that time, for both " governors and people to attend to and profit by it." Referring to the events of party struggles, then recent in the memories of men, and complaining with great justice of the narrow principles on which each party had afferted and vindicated their own exemption from penal statutes in religion; he adds, in strain of strong remonstrance, for which in every period fince, and even in the present day, it is to be lamented, too just occasion is afforded, "this nar-

or rowness of spirit has undoubtedly been the prin-" cipal occasion of our miseries and confusions. But "whatever has been the occasion, it is now high time to feek for a thorough cure. We have need of " more generous remedies than what have yet been es made use of in our distemper. It is neither .: Declarations of Indulgence, nor Acts of Compre-66 hension,' such as have yet been practifed or pro-" jected amongst us, that can do the work. " first will but palliate, the second increase, our evil. " Absolute liberty, just and true liberty, equal and " impartial liberty, is the thing we stand in need of. "Now, though this has indeed been much talked of, I doubt it has not been much understood; I 46 am fure not at all practifed either by our governors co towards the people in general, or by any diffent-"ing parties of the people towards one another."

A Second Letter on Toleration, in answer to Jonas Proast, chaplain of All-Souls' college, Oxford, who had written against the first, appeared from the pen of Mr. Locke in 1690. A Third Letter on Toleration, in answer to some new objections, was published in 1692, written with great strength and accuracy. The controversy slept for twelve years; when his first opponent Mr. Proast revived it. Mr. Locke began to compose a fourth letter on the subject; but died before he had sinished it. What he had written was printed in his posthumous works in 1706. Celebrity has been attached to the first of these letters on toleration. The subsequent letters in desence of it, though they be exceedingly valu-

able, it has been observed, "carry in them that "air of controversy which may have made the "whole less minded by common readers."

In 1764, Thomas Hollis, efq; the munificent friend of science and literature, and the ardent advocate of civil and religious liberty, bestowed incredible pains in promoting a new edition of the Letters concerning Toleration;" and engaged Mr. Baron to correct the press. This edition was published Jan. 1st, 1765. Mr. Hollis himself, collated the first letter with the first 4to. and 12mo. editions in 1689; and Mr. Baron wrote the presace.

It is remarkable that this first letter, notwithstanding the honour it has secured to the name of the author, was never printed by itself, though this neglect is not much to the credit of Englishmen, if we except a fecond edition in 1690, till 1800, when a neat impression of it appeared from the press at the expence of his Grace the late Duke of Grafton, with an advertisement prefixed to it by Mr. Lindsey: who, with too great propriety, fays, "It is mortifying to observe that a work of such an " author, and of fuch inestimable price, should have " been more than a century before the public, and " have produced fo little of the effect which might " in all reason have been expected from it; and that "the original address should apply equally to the " nation now as at the first."\*

<sup>\*</sup> The edition in 1800, advertisement, p. 4; Hollis's Memoirs, vol. i. p. 224, 332, 263; Le Clerc's Account of the Life and Writings of Mr. Locke, p. 23, 24, 31; British Biography, vol. vii. p. 10, 11,

Mr. Locke, it has been observed, and truly with respect to the fact, was not the first writer on the subject; for the argument had been well understood and stated during the civil war. But the critical time in which this work appeared, fo foon after the paffing of the Act of Toleration, and the eminence and known abilities of a living author, drew the attention of the public to it. In the preceding reign the ill policy of excluding from civil privileges a confiderable number of protestants, who were barriers against popery and arbitrary power, had been felt by fome even of the great churchmen themselves, as by Archbishop Sancroft. But Mr. Locke's treatise. though it was professedly, according to the title, a Plea for Toleration only, as was prefently perceived, had a more extensive bearing. It was a leading defign of it to ascertain exactly the distinction and bounds between the business of civil government and that of religion; and to prove that the whole jurisdiction of the civil magistrate reached to civil concerns only, to guard and fecure the enjoyment of them to every individual: and that it neither can nor ought, in any manner, to be extended to the falvation of fouls; a care which is not committed to him any more than to others, and to which he is not competent. Another point which the author discusses is the nature of a church, which he shews to be a voluntary fociety of men

<sup>13;</sup> and Calamy, vol. i. p. 499—506, 523—527, 699, in which the author has given an abstract of the principles and reasonings contained in the letters.

formed into an union for the public worship of GoD; which ought to be tolerated by the magistrate, but over which he has no power, either to constitute, to model, or to govern it. His arguments and reasonings were grounded on comprehensive principles, applicable to the desence of all parties in all countries; and calculated to evince the right of all good subjects, not only to be exempted and secured from all penalties on account of their religious opinions, but without distinction to enjoy the same favour of the prince, and the same benefit of the laws.

The first effect of Mr. Locke's reasoning appeared in a very sensible protest in behalf of the rejected bill for abrogating the facramental test in the year 1680.\* It may justly affect thinking persons with furprise and deep concern, that the liberal reasonings of Mr. Locke have not yet had their full influence on the minds of men, and that they make fo flow a progress; though the public attention, fince that excellent work was written, has been repeatedly directed to the discussion of the questions relative to religious liberty. It is, indeed, a fource of much fatisfaction, a favourable omen of the advancement of truth, that the subject has never been agitated, especially in the legislative affemblies, without some triumph over intolerance, without gaining over new converts to the cause. It is still, however, ardently to be wished, that the pleas and arguments of Mr. Locke's work may have a repeated hearing, and a

<sup>\*</sup> Archdeacon Blackburne's Works, vol. v. p. 98, 99.

more extensive, improving effect. Nay, admirable as was that treatife for the time in which it was written, it yet left the subject open to be discussed with more correct precision, and on a more enlarged basis. "It is an argument that we have 66 made a very poor use of it, if we acquiesce in it of at this day. It is certainly not adviseable to rest 66 folely upon the authority of any man, as if his 66 fentiments and maxims were the perfect and un-" alterable standard with respect to it, so as to 66 abide by any conclusion that may be drawn " from his doctrine." The application which Dr. Brown\* has made of Mr. Locke's opinion, -that those are not all to be tolerated who deny the being of a God, -is a striking instance to the point: for he has availed himself of this principle to plead for intolerance in some other cases also. The magistrate might evade Mr. Locke's great argument, founded on the distinction between civil and religious rights, by choosing to call that a civil which his subjects would term a religious, concern; because many things and rights are of a mixed nature +

Among other publications, to which the Revolution and the measures of government gave occasion, was "A Letter to a Member of Parliament, shew-"ing that a restraint on the press is inconsistent with

<sup>\*</sup> Vicar of St Nicholas in Newcastle-upon-Tyne, and author of Essays on the Characteristics of Lord Shaftesbury.

<sup>+</sup> Priestly's Letter of Advice to Dissenters, on an application to Parliament for relief from certain Penal Laws, 1773, p. 88, 89, 82,

"ties of the nation;" printed in 1698.\*

The evils of restraining the press are fully reprefented in this tract, and the point is argued with great ability. It is urged, that restraints on the press tend to produce a blind submission to the religious principles in which men chance to have been educated; deprive them of the most proper means of coming to the truth, by hindering their examination of different opinions and arguments; obstruct that influence of truth which arises from an examination of its evidences, convincing the understanding and endearing the discovery of it; and are a bar to all those important offices of love which consist in the mutual communication of our fentiments, and in affilting one another to detect error and arrive at the knowledge of truth, by offering one another reasons and arguments.

Ignorance, superstition, and bigotry, it is observed as a matter of fact, prevail and abound even in protestant as well as in popish countries, in proportion as these communications are discouraged and prevented The Reformation, it is alleged, was built on the freedom of examination, aided by the press. An entire liberty of the press, it is insisted on, would by degrees establish religious truth, because that is supported by better, plainer, and more cogent arguments than are any false opinions. The excellence and usefulness of truth, it is urged,

<sup>\*</sup> A Collection of State Papers published during the reign of William III. vol. ii. has preserved this piece; p. 614-625.

carry evidence and conviction with it. Every argument advanced to justify licensing books that profess to interpret the scriptures, it is pleaded, applies to defend the papifts in restraining by I cence the reading of the bible; and every reason offered to restrain advancing from the press opinions and arguments contrary to those established by the church in power, holds as strongly against their being proposed from the pulpit. The art of printing. it is remarked, has been a noble means employed by Providence to deliver men from the tyrrany of the clergy; but to trust them with the power of licenfing books is to deliver back into their hands the means of bringing on again a facerdotal flavery: and to put the press into their hands is to give the power over it to those who are educated not to try the established religion, but to profess it; not to investigate the truth, but to earn their bread by what bears the name of it; -to those who are shackled with early oaths and subscriptions; -to those who who cannot any more be prefumed to have impartially examined the tenets they find fettled by law. than the mercenary foldiers do the justice of the cause in which they are engaged; -to those who are in all countries warm and active for the opinions to which their preferments are annexed; -to those who cannot but be highly displeased and affronted to fee the laity do what they durst not, judge for themselves.

Restraining the press, it is added, if committed to the laity, would imply a disrespect of the clergy:

as not to be trusted with printing but half a sheet on religion without the confent of a lay-licenfer, who is to have an arbitrary power over their works: which would undoubtedly be highly referred by them. Restraining the liberty of the press, as it is unfriendly to our religious rights, is also inimical to our civil ones. There never was a nation who loft the former, that could retain the latter. Priestcraft and flavery go hand in hand. It deprives rational and focial creatures of the greatest enjoyment of which they are capable; that is, it forbids them to employ their thoughts on whatever subject they please, and to communicate them one to another as freely as they conceive them. It is the engine of flavery, to prevent the defect of either the government, or the management of the government, from being discovered or reformed; an instrument in the hands of arbitrary power to bar true patriots from pleading the rights and liberties of the people. and to fanction the pen of those who argue for the extension of the prerogative. The liberty of the press secured, all other liberty is secure; that denied, no other is preserved.

Restraining the press, it is surther argued, discourages the ablest men from writing; who will not be content to have their works lie at the mercy of an ignorant, or at the best an unleisured licenser, who upon a cursory view may condemn the whole to perpetual darkness, or strike out the most material things. Thus, it concludes, the most important discoveries may be lost, and the most valuable works

be suppressed. It tends to leave the press open to none but fools and blockades, and to make an imprimatur to signify no more than that such a book is foolish enough to be printed.

These and many other arguments for the liberty of the press are placed, by this tract, in a strong point of view.

In 1704, Dr. Tyndal published "Reasons against "restraining the Liberty of the Press;" frequently adopting, in a concise way, the language and arguments of this tract; and though not exhibiting a methodical abridgement of it, yet evidently formed on its principles. These "Reasons" were republished by Mr. Baron, in the "Pillars of Priestcraft and Orthodoxy," in 1768, vol. iv. p. 281.

There also appeared at a critical moment, when the A& of Parliament for restraining the liberty of the press, and permitting nothing to be published without the imprimatur of a licenser, was just expiring, a nervous pamphlet, entitled " A just Vindication of "Learning, and of the Liberty of the Press," by Chas. Blount, efq. This gentleman, younger fon of Sir Henry Blount, was a zealous friend to the Revolution, and to the liberal principles on which the defence of that interesting event was founded. This tract is digested into nine sections; each offers a diffinet argument against the invasion of that liberty, which the author justly states is at once the foundation and fecurity of every other kind of liberty; namely, the power of speaking and publishing the truth with respect to persons, measures, and subjects

of investigation: let this freedom be possessed, the other liberties of a people are in a great measure safe.

These generous efforts to claim and vindicate the freedom of publishing had a good effect. The pernicious act was suffered to expire, and the press was set free.\*

The fubicet had been discussed with singular energy and eloquence by Milton, in his "Areopa-" gitica," written against the Presbyterians, who had contended for the freedom of the prefs, when it was under the controll of the episcopal church; but rising afterwards into power, they turned apostates to their own principles, and abusing their ascendancy in Parliament, procured an order to be published, June 13, 1643, for restraining the press, and placing "this formidable engine under the fame " control, of which they had lately indignantly 66 complained." † But, notwithstanding the excellence and authority of Milton's work, the subsequent restraints on the press, the great object of the Revolution, namely, the security and extension of liberty, and the particular tenor of the Act of Toleration, rendered the publication of the other tracts now reviewed seasonable and pointed. And though licenfers and imprimaturs have been, fince that period, confined to Oxford; yet repeated attempts made to restrain it, and frequent prosecutions of authors and publishers, in subsequent and recent times, evince the propriety and even necessity of otten recalling the

British Biography, vol. v. p. 196.

Dr. Symmon's Life of Milton, p. 213, edit. 1806.

public attention to the equity, policy, and wisdom of watching the infidious designs, or resisting the more open attacks of Ministers of State against the liberty of the press. It should be also considered, whether the arguments which apply against preventing, do not hold good against punishing, the publications of opinions, that, with or without reason, may be thought pernicious?

Dr. Johnson, speaking of Milton's "Areopa"gitica," fays, "The danger of such unbounded
"liberty, (of unlicensed printing) and the danger of
"bounding it, have produced a problem in the
"fcience of government, which human understanding
"feems unable to solve." "Let us then have
"recourse," replies a judicious writer to a divine
understanding for the solution of it: "Let both the
"tares and the wheat grow together till the
"harvest, lest while ye gather up the tares, ye root
"up also the wheat with them."

These publications immediately arose from the political events and occurrences of the day, and were connected with them. But the spirit of enquiry did not confine itself to topics of this tenor; it also shewed itself on many questions purely theological. The way for such investigations had been prepared by the controversies which had arisen in preceding times. It would be foreign to the design of these pages to detail them. But one publication, which made its appearance thirteen years before the Revolution, was, considering the genius of the age, of

<sup>\*</sup> Memoirs of Thomas Hollis, efq; vol. ii. p. 551.

fo fingular a kind, created fo much notice, and called forth fo much opposition, that though the extent and permanence of its influence on the public mind cannot be afcertained, it deserves to be mentioned: especially as it has had the credit given to it,\* of mollifying, when it was first published, "the " spirits of some men that were set upon persecution " of the Diffenters;" and it was re-printed and preferved in a collection of scarce and valuable tracts. called "The Phænix," 8vo. No. xxx. entitled a "Treatife on Human Reason," fmall work in 14mo. first printed in 1675. The author was Martin Clifford, efq; mafter of the Charter-House; educated in Westminster school. and thence elected into Trinity College, Cambridge, a man of talent, and a polite scholar, who lived in great intimacy with most of the wits of Charles IId's reign. An idea may be formed of the nature and design of this tract from the following passages: " He bids you search; there is therefore " in man a natural ability of fearching spiritual " truths, and that can be nothing else but his un-"derstanding: he who gave rules which admit of 66 fo many interpretations, is well contented that

<sup>\*</sup> By Dr. Watts, fee Dr. Johnson's Life of Dr. Watts, with notes, 1785. In this publication are given, in the Appendix No. 1. Some strictures of that excellent man on the "Treatise of Human" Reason," as a specimen of the Doctor's manner of reading. N.B. The writer of this recollects, that when he was a youth, receiving his classical learning at St. Paul's School, the Rev. Mr. Allen, an affistant master in that seminary, and the learned Editor of Demosthenes, passed a commendation on Mr. Clifford's treatise.

"they shall be interpreted severally: we lay the " blasphemous accusation of injustice upon God, if he punish us for an error which we could not avoid: reason is the eye, true religion is the ob-" ject: all other helps, divine and human, are as "the light, as spectacles, &c. Now it is impossible to fee with any thing but our own eyes, i. e. our er reason. Yet a clear light is necessary, without "which our own eye cannot fee the object, nor our " reason find out the true religion." The author, it appears, was the advocate of enquiry, candour. and freedom. His book was attacked by a fcholar at Cambridge, in a tract tending towards perfecution, entitled "Plain Dealing." It was defended in a traft, called "An Apology for the Discourse of "Human Reason, &c." 12mo. by Albertus Warren. It also drew from the pen of an anonymous writer, "Observations, &c." charging the author with confusion and inconsistencies. But the most marked restimony to the attention which it had excited, and to the alarm which fome had taken at it, was the answer of Dr. B. Laney, bishop of Ely; who, dining with many persons of quality in the Charter-House, soon after its publication, on being asked what he thought of the book, replied, " It was no " matter if all the copies were burnt, and the author " with them." \* Dr. Watts, in a spirit worthy of himself, passed a more candid opinion on it, "as " having many useful notions;" " though," he adds,

<sup>\*</sup> Wood's Athenæ Oxonienses, vol. ii. p. 321-2; and Granger's "Biographical History of England," vol. iv. p. 96.

"it exalts reason as the rule of religion, as well as the guide, to a degree very dangerous."

The controversies which had been agitated in the preceding reigns, on the questions that relate to the constitution, discipline, and worship of the christian church, between the members of the English established church, the presbyterians, the independents, and the baptifts, excited the attention of a young gentleman, strongly bent on learning and theological enquiries, then an apprentice to his father, a grocer, in Exeter; and engaged him, by a diligent examination of the authentic writings of the three first centuries, to investigate the usages of the antient church within that period. The refult of his enquiries into the matter of fact on these points appeared in a work published in 1691, the 22d year of the author's age, entitled, " An Enquiry into the Constitution, "Discipline, Unity, and Worship of the Primitive "Church, that flourished within the first three hundred years after Christ; faithfully collected " out of the extant writings of those ages; in two or parts." The strict exactness and care with which this treatife is drawn up; and the learning which it displays, have justly secured to it great reputation, and rendered it a standard book of authority to this day. In 1717, Mr. Schater, a nonjuring clergyman, attempted to undermine its authority by an answer to it, entitled, "An original Draft of the "Primitive Church," 8vo. But this work has long fince funk into oblivion. The author of the

<sup>†</sup> Mr. Peter King, afterwards Lord Chancellor.

"Enquiry" affures the public, that by the trouble and affliction which the animofities and quarrels of the day occasioned him, he was induced to make his refearches, and publish the result of them; for, if he could be the happy instrument to compose and heal those quarrels, he was ready to facrifice not only that book, but all that he was or had. These were the feelings of a tender, ingenuous, and youthful Two reasons particularly swayed him; namely, to inform others, and to inform himself. So far was he from aiming at applause, and seeking to gratify vanity, he fo contrived to conceal his name. that his bookfeller did not know it; and throughout the work, he supported the character which he affumed in the title-page as his fignature, that of " an Impartial Hand."

Some of the questions considered in the preceding treatise were discussed about the same time, with learning and temper, in two posthumous works, by a man of uncommon erudition and abilities, and of singular modesty and humility, Mr. David Clarkson, who was ejected from Mortlake in Surrey, and had been the tutor of Archbishop Tillotson, at Clare Hall in Cambridge. These treatises were entitled, the one, "Primitive Episcopacy, stated and cleared "from the Holy Scriptures and Ancient Records;" the other, "A Discourse on Liturgies." The subject of the former tract had in some measure passed under the author's review, in a treatise published during his life in answer to Dr. Stillingsleet; and which was the most noted of his works, and

"fhews him," fays Mr. Granger, "to have been a man of great reading in church history." It was entitled "No Evidence of diocesan Episcopacy in the primitive Times;" 1681, 4to.\*

In 1692, there appeared a work of celebrity, now scarce, from the pen of a learned and ingenious writer, who had already gained great reputation by a performance in Latin, on "the Sacred Theory " of the Earth." The work to which we allude. has a claim to our notice in this place, on account of its connection with facred literature. It was composed in Latin, and was entitled "Archæologiæ 16 Philosophicæ; sive Doctrina Antiqua de Rerum "Originibus:" that is, an Enquiry into the Doctrine of the ancient Philosophers concerning the Original of the World; in two books. The fecond edition was printed in London, 1728, 8vo. It is addressed, in an elegant panegyrical dedication, to King William. The author was Dr. Thomas Burnet, master of the Charter-House, chaplain in ordinary and clerk of the closet to King William. "His defign," as it is stated in the preface, " is to " enquire into the opinions of the ancients concerning "the nature of things, in order to vindicate and " give antiquity its due praise; and to shew that " neither were our ancestors dunces, nor was wisdom " or true philosophy, born with us." The subject

<sup>\*</sup> See the Preface to the Treatife; and for an analysis of the work, Calamy, v. i. p. 516-523.

<sup>†</sup> For an analysis of this work, or a short view of its design, see British Biography, vol. vii. p. 73-77.

of enquiry in this treatise is the state of the old world, or according to the Vulgate translation of 2 Pet. ii. 5, "the original world;" that is, the antediluvian, but inclusive also of the flood itself, and its concomitants and immediate confequences. first book offers a prospectus of the ancient philofophy through the various nations of the earth, the Scythians, Celts, and Æthiopians; takes a furvey of the oriental nations, including the philosophy and learning of the Chinese and the Brachmans, of the Affyrians and Chaldwans, of the Persians and their Magi, of the Arabs and Phoenicians, of the Hebrews and their Cabbala; exhibits a view of the various literature of the Egyptians; then passes over to Greece, and examines the philosophical principles, first of Orpheus, then of the Ionians, afterwards of Pythagoras and his feet; in fuccession to him the principles of Eleatic feet, a school formed of philosophers, differing in country, manners, and tenets: after them follows a review of the doctrine of the Stoics, Platonics, Aristotelians, and Epicureans. A discussion on the origin of the barbaric philosophy, or the philosophy prior to the Grecian, concludes the first book.

The fecond book is a kind of commentary on the feripture theory of the earth. The subjects discussed in it are the ancient universal tradition concerning the chaos; the first form and external appearance of the earth, as distinguished from subsequent states of it; the nature, manner, and causes of deluges, especially of the universal slood; the perpendicular

polition of the earth, with respect to the sun and its properties, as a perpetual fpring or equinox, an atmosphere wet with rain, but no rainbow, and the longevity of mankind; the change of its polition into an oblique direction, and the confequent phænomena; the Mosaic account of paradife, and of nature and man in the new world; the origin of things as represented in the first chapter of Genesis, and the interpretation of the Mosaic Hexaëmeron, or the creation distributed into the works of fix days; the doubts and objections which may be urged against the author's explanation of the Hexaemeron, by those who adhere to the literal sense of the word. The author, anticipating the offence and odium which his fentiments on the Mosaic narrative, and the first state of mankind, might and did raise, calls the attention of his readers, in the last chapter, to fome confiderations and testimonies of authors. which appeared to him of great moment to a fair and candid judgment of his opinions; fuch as, the nature and genius of the oriental style; the slow conception and narrow genius of the people of Ifrael, when the Mosaic narratives were written; the opinions and comments of learned men, who had written before him on these points; and the reverential regard to the Divine Being to be preserved in the interpretation of these passages, that a sense becoming his perfections and greatness may be affixed to them. In an appendix, the author gives a concife view of the doctrines of the modern Brachmans. This is followed by two letters to a learned friend,

who had represented to him the reflections cast on his work in vindication of it. But offence once conceived does not eafily yield to calm remonstrance, nor does prejudice impartially weigh arguments. This Dr. Thomas Burnet experienced. In vain did he plead the modest and unassuming spirit in which he wrote: in vain did he appeal to the fervent and devout wishes, with respect to these points, as expressed at the conclusion of his treatise, that his errors might be pardoned from divine compassion towards human weakness and darkness, and that he might be enlightened with clearer views: in vain did he urge that he had not written his fentiments in the vulgar tongue, to unfettle the minds of the illiterate, and to start some subjects of conversation in alchouses; but he had composed his work in the Latin language, for the confideration of the learned, and of the ministers of religion. The influence of the clergy was excited against him. He was precluded from any other preferments in the church; and the King was obliged even to remove him from his post at Court. The manner in which he represented the history of the Fall, with a view to shew in a strong light the difficulties which attend the literal fense; the necessity of having recourse to a figurative interpretation, especially by casting into the form of a dialogue between Eve and the ferpent the brief narrative of the temptation; was the part\* which gave peculiar offence. The author

<sup>\*</sup> It may be confidered as a proof of the more liberal spirit of our awn times, and of the progress of free enquiry, since the days of

afterwards defired a Dutch bookfeller to suppress this dialogue, in an edition of the work, then printing in Holland.† It is also omitted in the edition of 1733. And from the scarceness of the original work, it has been read by sew persons in the present day.

In 1693, there appeared a critical work, formed on a more comprehensive plan than is compatible with the discussion of any particular theological question. It was professedly an investigation of the fense and grammatical construction of many passages of scripture, independently of any system. This was one of the first, if not the first, work of the kind after the Reformation. It proceeded from the pen of a layman of rank and title, whom the editor, for it was a posthumous publication, characterises as fincerely religious, and profoundly judicious as well as learned. Its title is, "Annotations upon fome 66 difficult Texts in all the Books of the New "Testament;" by Sir Norton Knatchbull, knight and baronet; Cambridge, 1693. It confitts of original and felect observations. It had been fairly transcribed, and prepared for the press by the author himself; and was a more complete and perfect draught, enriched with fupplemental and new remarks, as well as a translation of a Latin work, which

Dr. Thomas Burnet, that different authors, Hartley, Price, and Bishop Newton, have maintained, without drawing an odium on themselves, that a figurative rather than a real serpent was intended, and that the narrative of the Fall is founded on hieroglyphical delineations, or is in great measure allegorical.

<sup>†</sup> Birch's Life of Tillotfon, p. 279.

had been thrice printed at Oxford; and was offered to the public as a more useful, elaborate, and successful esfay in its kind than had been before published. The design pursued by the author is to ascertain the proper sense and right use of each ambiguous particle, word, and phrase, which came under his ferutiny; and to vindicate and elucidate. by a more plain and accurate version, and a more intelligible and appropriate exposition, the genuine construction of the intricate periods, obscure transitions, and perplexing trajections, in the passages on which he bestows his attention. This edition is introduced by the Latin preface of the former editions in that language. Benfon and Doddridge have both referred to these "Annotations," with expressions of great respect; though they have in the spirit of liberal criticism examined, and either adopted or discarded the author's critiques, as that examination of them fuggested. Sir Norton Knatchbull ranks in the list of principal critics on the New Testament affixed by Dr. Harwood to his edition of the Greek Testament, and by Archbishop Newcome to his "Attempt for an improved Version of 66 the New Testament." It reslects credit on this work, and on the acumen and judgment of its author, that the celebrated Dr. Hammond, in the fecond edition of his Paraphrase and Annotations on the New Testament, availed himself of some of the notes, of which, at his request, Sir Norton Knatchbull had given him the fight in manuscript; Sir Norton indeed was encouraged to pursue his critical

disquisitions, and to publish them, by Dr. Hammond. The learned Baronet, while he professed a great respect for ancient and modern expositors, yet often differed from both with a becoming and generous independence of mind, expressing his wish to be allowed, and his disposition to grant to others, liberty of opinion.

In the same year in which this critical work issued from the press, Mr. Tong, then a diffenting minister at Coventry, and afterwards at Salter's-hall in London, well known as the biographer of Mr. Matthew Henry, and eminent for his own character and talents as a minister, attracted the attention of the public by "a Vindication of Nonconformity." In 1689, Mr. M. Henry published a small "Discourse "concerning the nature of Schifm;" intended to clear the diffenters from the obloquy and odium under which they lay, as charged with that fin. The defign was to examine the meaning of the word schism in all the places of scripture in which it is found, and to shew that it signifies uncharitableness and alienation of affection among christians; and the conclusion drawn from the enquiry is, that there may be schism where there is no separate communion, and that a separate communion may exist without schism. This tract was given to the public at the perfuasion of Mr. Tong. It called forth fingular and illiberal animadversions. Mr. Tong took up his pen in defence of Mr. Henry's "Brief En-66 quiry into the nature of Schism, and the Vindication of it; with Reflections upon a pamphlet

" called the Review; and a brief Historical Account " of Nonconformity from the Reformation to this " present time." 4to. | The nature of catholic unity, a term tortured on the one hand to frighten the weak and timorous, and on the other to reproach the oppofers of spiritual usurpation and tyranny, was the principal subject discussed in this tract. The author confidered it in two views; either as political, in the union of all fincere christians, by faith in one Gop and one Mediator, unto Christ their head: or as moral, in mutual love towards one another: from which principles arifes the conclusion, that none are out of the unity of the church but those who are destitute of these fundamental graces. To affirm this of protestant diffenters in general, and merely on the ground of their diffent, the author looked upon as "a piece of diabolism which the gospel abhors, " and of which humanity itself will be ashamed." The remainder of the treatife was designed to clear the nonconformilts from the different charges of difobedience to superiors, and of indecorum and irregularity as to religious ceremonies and worship, in their expressions, gesture, and habit. The vindication of the nonconformilts against the first charge turned on three pleas, viz. that bishops had no power distinct from or superior to that of presbyters by the law of GoD; that the whole jurisdiction of English bishops, and the authority of the canons, was derived from the civil magistrate and the laws of the land; and that the civil power had left the nonconformists,

I Tong's Life of Matthew Henry, 12mo. 1716, p. 282.

at that period, to their liberty in the case of conformity, and that therefore no charge of disobedience to authority lay against them. The nature and rule of decorum or decency, as connected with any ceremonies of religion, some reflections on parish order, the terms of conformity, the reasons of nonconformity, and the arguments produced for the imposition of ceremonies, were the points considered in reply to the second charge. The nature of schism and other matters came under review; and the historical appendix, though short, is represented to have been calculated and strong."

An anonymous churchman at this time had the candour and liberality to take up his pen in the cause of the differences, and to plead, by many very affecting and earnest considerations, for such abatements in matters relative to the ceremonial part of worship, as might obviate the scruples of some at least, and gain them over to the established church. and prevent the fecession of others. He particularly recommended, among other alterations, that the furplice and habits, the fign of the crofs in baptifm, and kneeling at the LORD's supper, should be dropt or left indifferent. He proposed a review of the form and method of the liturgy, as partaking much, in its present state, of the Romish stamp; and wished the length of it to be confidered. fuggested the propriety of an alteration of the Athanasian Creed, that "the gate of heaven might not 66 be made narrower than God had made it;" and

<sup>‡</sup> Calamy, vol. i. p. 530, 531, 532.

recommended fuch an order and direction of church music as would prevent men's mistaking the tickling of the ears for an elation of mind and heavenly rapture. The title of this treatife was "A Plea for Abatement in matters of Conformity to feveral "Injunctions and Orders of the Church of England. 66 By Irenæus Junior, a conforming Member of the "Church of England." 4to. Some of the expostulations of the author, quoted by Dr. Calamy, are proofs of the spirit of the times, while they express his own just and generous resentment at it. He urged, "that if many earnest and repeated promises of persons in extremity could lay an obligation of 46 performance upon them, to pay their vows when-" ever they became folvent, there were many, of no " fmall figure or interest in the church, under no " mean tie to find out an expedient and temper to " heal those breaches which several controverted " rites and ceremonies in the church had unhappily "occasioned." He reminded the public, "that some, who in the height of the storm promised a candle as tall as their main-mast, when that was allayed, " thought one burnt into the focket too costly a facrifice to offer up for the peace and unity of the "church." The author delineated another feature of the times in the complaint which he brought forward; that "whereas non-refulance and paffive obedience had been the universal cry of the church, " and squeezed till the blood came; the mischief was, "when they had nurst the prerogative till it had flung fome of them, and hiffed at all the rest, they

"presently let the world see they never brewed this doctrine for their own drinking. Then," he added, "they acknowledged we suffer justly; but what have our brethren done whom we pursued with such revenge and rage? Then they confessed they facrificed the interest of the church to their malice; but if the differences would forbear to comply with the common enemy, they would do great things for them whenever they came again into their kingdom. But alas! there is too much reason," he subjoined, "to cry out,

"Ægrotat dæmon, monachus tunc effe volebat;

"Convaluit dæmon, dæmon ut ante fuit."

Witness that great regret some of them have expressed against that kindness and favour which the king and two succeeding parliaments have evinced to dissenting protestants, while they with fury bite the chain which restrains them from falling soul on their former prey; besides their unreasonable stickle to prevent the least abatement in matters which respect the ceremonial part of worship, a conformity to which goes with them for the whole duty of a minister."\*

The publications of the period which have been noticed, related to points of difference between those who were equally attached to revelation as a rule of faith, worship, and discipline; and who made their appeal to the scriptures as an authoritative and divine rule. These discussions tended to open the mind, to stimulate enquiry, to exercise sagacity, and

to strengthen the judgment. But whilst christians, the professed advocates of revelation, were contending amongst themselves on dostrines and ceremonies, the times were not destitute of writers, whose publications tended, if they were not designed, to undermine the authority of the scriptures. Those publications naturally led men also to examine the grounds of their faith; to elucidate the meaning and true sense of the scriptures in passages which appeared to surnish strong objections to their divine authority; and to discriminate between the genuine dostrines of revelation, and the corruptions of christianity: an employment of the mind, which, after a long night of ignorance, superstition, and error, required patient enquiry, cool consideration, and length of time.

At the beginning of the feventeenth century, Edward Lord Herbert of Cherbury, held in equal admiration for his person, understanding, learning, and courage, with a view to discard all extraordinary revelation as useless and unnecessary, though he made no attempt to resute the evidences of the Jewish and Christian revelations, afferted the sufficiency, universality, and absolute persection of natural religion; which he reduced to sive articles, viz. that there is one supreme God; that he is to be worshipped; that piety and virtue are the principal parts of his worship: that sin, on repentance, will be forgiven; and that there will be a surressent state of rewards for good, and of punishment for wicked men.\*

<sup>\*</sup> Leland's View of the Deiftical Writers, vol. i. p. 3, 4, 8vo. ed. 1798.

About the time of Lord Herbert's death, Mr. Thomas Hobbes, of Malmfbury, published several treatifes, in which, though they were not directly levelled against revealed religion, he advanced principles subversive of all religion, by deriving it from "the fear of power invisible, feigned by the mind, " or imagined from tales publicly allowed;" and his fystem of ethics had a strong tendency to corrupt the public morals. "There was," it has been obferved, "a great difference between Mr. Hobbes and 66 Lord Herbert. This noble writer confidered the 66 belief of a future thate among the common notices ac naturally obvious to the minds of all men: but Mr. "Hobbes grounded it upon other men's faying, "that they knew it supernaturally, or that they 6 knew those who knew them that knew others " that knew it fupernaturally."

These writers were followed by Mr. Charles Blount, youngest son of Sir Henry Blount. This author gave offence to many by several publications, which were viewed as unfavourable to revealed religion. One was entitled, "Anima Mundi; or, an Historical Narration of the Opinions of the Ancients concerning Man's Soul after this Life, according to unenlightened Nature." Another was the two first books of Philostratus, concerning the life of Apollonius Tyanœus, with philological notes;" written with a design to oppose the character and miracles of the magician and philosopher to those of Christ. A third publication in 1683,

<sup>\*</sup> Leland, ut ante, p. 35, 37, 38.

entitled "Religio Laici," was little more than a translation of Lord Herbert's treatife under the fame name.

The work which more particularly folicits our notice as published in the period under our review, iffued from the press in 1603, after the author's death, under the care of his friend Mr. Charles Gildon, afterwards diftinguished as a dramatic writer, as its editor. This treatife confifts of various small tracts, not very suitable either in subject or execution to the pompous title which it bore;\* nor all written by Mr. Blount. The first is a letter with his name to it, in vindication of Dr. Burnet's "Archæologia;" fixty-fix pages out of 226 arc occupied with a translation by H. B. of the 7th and 8th chapters of that learned work, with the appendix on the Brachman's religion; which, as Dr. Leland observes, were very far from being intended to subvert the authority of the Mosaic writings, though they were designed to state, and to place in a strong point of view, the difficulties that attend a literal interpretation of many passages in them. next short article, "a Summary Account of the "Deift's Religion, addressed to Dr. Sydenham," is to be considered in connection with another, under the form of a letter to Charles Blount, elg; with the fignature of A. W. " on Natural Religion as op-" posed to Divine Revelation." The writer of these treatifes advances the fame general principles with Lord Herbert. He extends, however, the five

<sup>\*</sup> The Oracles of Reason.

articles to feven, by defining the worship of God to confift in prayer and praise; and by adding one article in afferting the government of the world by the providence of GoD; and another, on our obedience to the rules of right reason, as constituting moral virtue. In the letter to Dr. Sydenham from Mr. Blount himself, prefixed to the first of these tracts, there is a remarkable concession. "Undoubt-"edly," fays he, "in our travels to the other "world the common road is the fafest; and though " deifm is a good manuring for a man's conscience, " yet certainly if fowed with christianity it will pro-"duce a most profitable crop."\* The next tract written by Mr. Blount, and addressed to Mr. Hobbes, 46 as the great instructor of the most sensible part of es mankind in the noble science of philosophy," exhibits a short historical view of the Arians and Trinitarians, and of general councils, at the time when those sects divided the christian world. A fhort essay to elucidate the proposition, "that felicity confilts generally in pleasure," by Rd. Richardfon; another, "Of Beneficence and Gratitude," figned Robert Yaxley; and a third, " Of Fate and Fortune," with the fignature An. Rogers, follow each other. These are succeeded by a letter to the right honourable the most ingenious Strephon, " concerning the Immortality of the Soul;" and by another letter "to the deservedly honoured and 66 most ingenious Major A. concerning the original of "the Jews;" both written by Mr. Blount. He ap-

<sup>\*</sup> Oracles of Reason, p. 195, and p. \$7.

pears in the former a strong advocate for the doctrine of the immortality of the foul, of the evidence of which he gives this general statement: " besides, my lord "the authority of the holy scriptures, as also the " innumerable other arguments which may be deduced as well from philosophy as reason to prove the immortality of the foul, together with its rewards and punishments, (though I determine not their duration;) yet there is no argument of er greater weight with me than the absolute neces-" fity and convenience that it should be so, as well " to complete the justice of God, as to perfect the happiness of man, not only in this world, but in " that which is to come." In the other letter Mr. Blount betrays a want of frankness, and raises suspicions of his fincerity; for while it consists of a long translation from Tacitus, relative to the history of the Jews, and of appeals to Trogus and ancient Egyptian writers to invalidate the scripture narrative, and to fix a stigma on that people as banished out of Egypt for an epidemical scabies, he affects, at the conclusion, an implicit reverence of "the holy " feriptures, dictated, as every good christian ought to believe, by the Holy Spirit, as the best and only 46 history on the subject to be relied on;" and professes to give his friend the other accounts only for the fake of gratifying curiofity, and to treat them as "only uncertain accounts of partial authors;" in evident repugnance to the general strain and spirit of this performance. The next essay discusses, with

<sup>.</sup> O. racles of Reason, ps. 124.

learning and address, the question on the lawfulness of marrying, one after another, on the death of the first, two fisters. This was written to remove, but without fuccess, the scruples of a lady, the fister of his wife, with whom, after his wife's death, he became enamoured. Two more letters to Strephon follow: one, in Latin, states the thoughts of his father, Sir Henry Blount, concerning the action of the foul on the body; and the other is a disquisition on the subversion of Judaism, the foundation of christianity, and the origin of the millenaries. The feveral forts of augury as practifed among the ancients come next under a curfory review. The regulations of corporations, and the furrenders of charters, in reference to some recent transactions. through a few following pages, are the objects of Mr. Blount's censure. The two subsequent essays. "Of a Gop," and "Of the Materiality of the " Soul," were written by Mr. Gildon. The volume closes with a translation from Ocellus Lucanus, a Pythagorean philosopher, on the eternity of the world, by Mr. Blount's own pen. With the fame general view to weaken the authority of the Mosaic account of the creation, there are dispersed through the work feveral pages together translated from the author of the Hypothesis of the Præ-Adamites; and an appeal is repeated in different places to the antiquity pretended to by the Chaldeans and Egyptians, and to records and histories set up by the Chinese, affecting a date four or fix thousand years before the Mosaic age of the world. The author, who advanced the opinion of the existence of Præ-Adamites afterwards renounced the sentiment; and Dr. Stillingsleet, in his learned work, the Origines Sacræ, about thirty years before the publication of the "Oracles of Reason," had considered and discussed the questions concerning the eternity of the world, and the pretensions of the Chinese and of some ancient nations to an antiquity so remote and prior to the Mosaic æra. But Mr. Blount is on these points persectly silent, as if the sentiments which he was fond of advancing had never been controverted.

"The Oracles of Reason" did not fail to provoke a reply to the infinuations which they contained against revelation. Mr. John Bradley animadverted upon them in a work, published in London, in 12mo. entitled, "An Impartial View of the Truth of "Christianity, with the History of the Life and "Miracles of Apollonius Tyanæus; to which are added, some Reslections on a book called Oracles of Reason." Dr. Nicholls, a learned divine, fel-

† Ifaac Le Peyrere, author of the two small treatises on the existence of Præ-Adamites, to reconcile his notion to the authority of the books of Moses, maintained that they were records of the origin of the Jewish nation, and not of the human race; and that Adam was the father of the Jews, not of mankind. His work was published at Brussels, 1655. Though a protestant, he was apprehended and cast into prison by the influence of the doctors of the eatholic church, as broaching an error which they considered as striking at the foundation of revealed religion. To recover his liberty, if not to save his life, he publicly renounced his opinions. A recantation under such circumstances is suspicious; and the sincerity of it may be doubted. This may be regarded as an apology for Mr. Blount's passing it over without notice.—Lampe Epitome, Eccles. Histor. lib. ii. cap. xiv. §. 42. Mosheim, vol. iv. p. 394.

low of Merton college, Oxford, and afterwards rector of Selfey in Suffex, in a work under the the form of "A Conference with a Theift," left no material part of the book unanswered. It is particularly worthy of notice, that Mr. Gildon, the editor and the particular friend of Mr. Blount. gave a striking proof, some years afterwards, that whatever deference he entertained for the fentiments of the author, when he brought his treatife before the public, he had not facrificed his own judgement and principles on the altar of partial friendship. In 1705, he appeared from the press as the author of "The Deift's Manual," a valuable work, chiefly taken up in a vindication of the great principles of natural religion; because he found that they were either denied, or represented as doubtful and uncertain, by many deifts in the circle of his acquaintance; and that their not admitting natural religion in its just extent gave occasion to some of their principal prejudices against the christian revelation.+

The "Oracles of Reason" can scarcely be mentioned, without reviving, in candid minds, a painful recollection of the state of its author; who, in a phrenzy brought on by the inslexible adherence of the lady whom he was so desirous of marrying, to her religious scruples, shot himself in the head; a catastrophe which humanity will deplore, but which the experience of life, and the particular circumstances of the case lead equity of judgment to consider as altogether independent on the sceptical

<sup>†</sup> Leland's View of the Deistical Writers, vol. i. p. 48,

principles of Mr. Blount. The cause of the phrenzy, which had fo fatal an effect, fuggefted, it may be supposed to the editor of his posthumous work, to take up and pursue the question handled in one of the treatifes comprised in it, namely, the lawfulness of marrying two sisters in succession, on the death of one. The discussion of this point occupies nearly half of Mr. Gildon's preface. The former part advocates every man's right to exercise and follow, without restraint by human authority, his own reason and understanding in religion, and in the interpretation of the scriptures. This is argued in defence of Dr. Burnet, a translation of two chapters of whose archaologia, as we have noticed, forms part of the "Oracles of Reason." With reference to which the author of the preface observes, " Let reason be our judge, and we can " never fear being censured by it for establishing et its fovereignty; nor can the nicest devotee that 66 hath any deference to reason deny, but that Dr. "Burnet has discovered more veneration for the es great prophet Moses, by reducing him to that " noble standard of freeing him from all the absur-"dities which vulgar apprehensions have cast on " him, than those who stickle them, that involved " him in them. In short, it is not Moses but his 46 interpreters, that the learned Doctor has exposed; " and by consequence it is not that holy lawgiver, " but the blind bigots of the old abfurd interpretaes tion of him, that we have offended in publishing et this in English." Mr. Blount's death is not referred to in this preface, nor does his name occur. He is only alluded to feveral times, as the writer's honoured and learned friend.\*

This article has been extended to too great a length. To proceed: in the next year, 1694, the attention of the public was called to a work, which in a furprising manner turned the argument which the diffenters had strenuously urged against the established church, on themselves. It was written by a prelate of great abilities and learning, an active friend to the Revolution, Dr. William King, then bishop of Londonderry, afterwards archbishop of Ireland. His treatife was entitled, "A Discourse concerning " the Inventions of Men in the Worship of God." The parts of christian worship on which the author infifted, were praifes, prayers, hearing, bodily worship, and the LORD's Supper. His design was to shew the agreement of the worship of the established church, in these instances, with the precepts and precedents of the Old and New Testament;

The above remark is made to correct a statement concerning the tenor and design of the presace, in two very respectable publications, viz. The Biographia Brittanica, and Goadby's British Biography, under the article of Blount. The former says, speaking of the "Oracles of Reason," "in the presace Mr. Gildon gives some account of our author, also desends Mr. Blount's manner of dying, and threatens to follow his example;" the latter informs its readers, that Mr. Gildon ushered it into the world with a presace "in desence of self-murder." On what authority these affertions are made the writer has no means of ascertaining; but this he can affirm, that they have no soundation in the edition of the "Oracles of Reason" now before him, which was printed in London in 1693, and appears to have been the first, though it might not be the only impression of this work.

to convict the differences of departing from both, and acting on a plan of their own invention. He charged them with doing this, by not using whole psalms and responses, and by rejecting musical instruments, and singing the praises of God in metre only. He instanced also their rejection of forms of prayer, and not joining the minister with their voice. He urged against them that they did not read the scriptures in their public assemblies, or that the selection of the lessons from it was lest to the choice of the minister. He charged them with disallowing bodily worship; and he alleged against them that they had no fixed times for the administration of the Lord's Supper.

Mr. Joseph Boyse, a diffenting minister in Dublin, eminent in point of character and abilities, repelled this attack in "Remarks on the performance of the Bishop; who returned an answer under the title of "An Admonition to the Diffenting Inhabitants, &c. of the diocese of Derry, con-" cerning a book lately published by Mr. J. B. " entitled Remarks." To this Mr. Boyse replied in a "Vindication of the Remarks, &c." in 1695, 4to. The Bishop rejoined in the same year, in 44 A fecond Admonition to the Diffenting Inhabitants, &c." Here the controversy closed. The bishop was considered as misrepresenting the diffenters of his diocese by charges, which, if they had been just in themselves, did not apply to the body of diffenters in general, who were known to be free from feveral of the faults imputed to those of Derry. The event of the controversy was, that the diffenters being better known to the world than to the bishop, incurred no loss of reputation by it; nor did his argument leave much impression, or reslect any great credit on his own community.\*

About the same time that the differents were cenfured for introducing many human inventions into the worship of Goo, by the pen of a prelate in the fifter kingdom, a learned writer of their own body, Mr. James Owen, of Shrewsbury, undertook to defend in this kingdom a principal inflance of their 'discipline; and vindicated the office of a christian minister, as entered on among them, against the depreciating views in which episcopal claims placed it, in a treatife entitled, "A Plea for Scripture "Ordination." This was written to prove the validity of ordination, by the laying on of the hands of presbyters without diocesan bishops, by ten arguments from fcripture and antiquity. The author argued from this mode of ordination being admitted as valid in the primitive church, and being fanctioned by examples and precedents in the New Testament, Acts i. 2, 3, 1 Tim. iv. 14; from the identity of bishops and presbyters, on the authority of scripture, Acts xx. 17, 28, 1 Pet. v. 12, Phil. i. 1: and from the concession of the Church of England by the whole clergy in their provincial affembly 1537, as appears from "The Institution of a Christian Man," set forth to be preached to the whole kingdom, by the King and Parliament;

<sup>\*</sup> British Biography, vol. vii. p. 327; Calamy, vol. i. p. 541, 542.

from the authority granted to presbyters, and exercifed by them, to preach and to administer baptism and the Lord's Supper, acts not inferior to ordination; from the practice of the foreign reformed churches; from the practice of the Church of England itself, in which presbyters are not only allowed, but required to join with the bishop in the imposition of hands; and from the evident principle. that being in orders connects with it a power to confer orders.\* It is obvious that the question concerning holy orders, and these arguments, proceed on this ground, that ordination invests the ordained with an authority to exercise sacred functions: and is not merely a tellimony of respect and friendship, but a commendation to the divine assistance and bleffing.

This tract of Mr. Owen produced "Exceptions' to it from Mr. Thomas Gipps, rector of Bury in Lancashire; to which Mr. Owen replied in a "Defence" of his "Plea," in 1697.

In the year 1695, appeared from the press a production, of the first excellence as a specimen of didactic theology, and of the first importance as to its object, the christian religion itself, a subject of evident superiority to any question concerning discipline and ceremonies, or even the explanation of any particular doctrinal principle; and it was soon discovered to have proceeded from the first pen of the age, though it was anonymous, and the author

<sup>\*</sup> Calamy, vol. i. p. 543; Dr. Charles Owen's Life of Mr. James Owen, p. 101-103.

carried the point of secrecy fo far as to conceal his concern in it from his most intimate friends. This work was entitled, "The Reasonableness of Christ-" ianity, as delivered in the Scriptures." It excited much attention, as appears from a letter which its author, Mr. Locke, wrote to Mr. Molyneaux at Dublin, defiring to know what people thought of it there: "for here," fays he, "at its first coming out " it was received with no indifferency, some speaking " of it with great commendation, and most censuring it as a very bad book." His friend, in reply, " informed him that a very learned and ingenious " prelate faid he liked it very well; and that if " Mr. Locke writ it, it was the best book he ever " laboured at. But," fays he, "if I should be known " to think fo, I should have my lawn sleeves torn " from my shoulders." Abroad it was greatly effeemed by two of the best divines who were then living, Le Clerc and Limborch. Le Clere pronounced it one of the most excellent works on the subject that had for a long time appeared.I Limborch preferred it to all the systems of divinity he had ever read. Soon after its publication it was translated into French and Dutch. But we are informed it was extremely offensive to the corrupt and felfish part of the clergy, both high and low. Its doctrine, it feems, militated too strongly against the foundation of their favourite idols, power and interest, inasmuch as it teaches salvation by Christ alone. 1

<sup>&</sup>quot;Un des plus excellens ouvrages qui ait été fait depuis longsems sur celle matiere et dans cette vue."

I See the preface to a late edition of this treatise in 1810. p. 14.

The principal defign of this treatife is to shew, by a review of the Gospel and the Acts, that Jesus Christ and his Apostles required nothing of those to whom they preached, as the condition of their being baptized and acknowledged as converts, but faith in Jesus of Nazareth, as the Messiah; for the belief of this article necessarily includes a readiness to be instructed in the history, doctrine, and commands of this heavenly king; to receive the truths he taught, and to obey the precepts he delivered, when known and understood.

This principle the author illustrated and proved by a great number of passages; but in the discussion of his main argument he was lead to treat on several other points, which had not been handled by any writer before him. The first was, the reason why Jesus did not, from the beginning of his ministry, explicitly and openly declare himself to be the Messiah, but only by degrees opened his character, till when near his death, he avowed it without reserve. This caution was practised on account of the impatient expectation of a temporal Messiah, who would deliver them from a foreign yoke, having been entertained by the Jews, to prevent a seditious rising; and to guard against his religion being considered as a political faction, instead of an heavenly doctrine.

Another point which, in connection with this, the author illustrates, is the reason which determined Christ to select for his disciples and attendants men of low rank, unlearned and unpractised in the wisdom and ways of the world. Men of higher birth, of

afpiring genius and enterprize, would have been less submissive to the restraints of caution and reserve; would hardly have been hindered from whispering, at least to their friends and relations, that their master was the Messiah; and would have been too ready to form schemes to announce him to the world, and to enlist numbers under his standard.

It is also a subject of enquiry discussed in this work, whether we are to seek in the epistles of the apostles other and new articles of faith, which are not taught in the gospels? The author answers in the negative, and supports his decision by many arguments which deserve to be well weighed. There are many who think with him that the holy spirit which the apostles received, did not instruct them in any new doctrines, but only enabled them better to understand and comprehend those which they had heard from the lips of their divine master.\*

Several other topics are incidentally touched upon in this work, that claim the reader's attention; fuch as, the nature of justifying faith, the laws and requirements of the gospel, the final doom of those who lived before our Saviour's time, and of all the rest of mankind who never heard of him; and lastly, the advantages we have received by Jesus Christ. Under this last head the author takes a fine and comprehensive view of the excellence and superiority of a system of morals by revelation; in which he displays "a vast knowledge of human nature, an ex-

<sup>\*</sup> Bibliotheque Choisie, par Le Clerc, tom. ii. p. 288-292.

"tensive acquaintance with antiquity, and a prodigious fagacity and penetration of mind."

Neither the candour of the author, nor the clearness and strength with which he treated his subjects of enquiry, could procure "The Reasonableness of " Christianity" a fair hearing. It alarmed the advocates for the jargon of the schools and the established fystems of theology. "He," it is justly observed, who has to combat with prejudices supported by bigotry and power, will find the task difficult. 46 Some zealous hireling," or blind adherent to systems, " will attack him with the venom of the " ferpent, misrepresent him, and answer what he 66 has not written. Such treatment did Mr. Locke " receive from Dr. Edwards, a divine of the Church of England, though the fon of a furious presbyce terian, the author of 'The Gangnena,' a curious " picture of religious opinions and fects of his day." Dr. Edwards stated various objections against Mr. Locke's principles, in a defultory manner, encumbered with repetitions, in a language that was not merely low but fcurrilous in the extreme; and not fatisfied with the declarations and words of scripanres, as ambiguous and common to the orthodox and heretics, he blended with them the decisions of the father of schoolmen. Mr. Locke replied in two vindications of his work, in a masterly manner, and in language becoming the gentleman and the christian; and contrary to his antagonist's manner of

<sup>†</sup> Benson's Reasonableness of the Christian Religion, vol. ii. p. 322, 2d edition.

writing, preserved through the whole answer a pure respect and attachment both to the words and sentiments of the New Testament, scrupulously adhering to them in every instance.\*

"The reasonableness of Christianity" found also an advocate in a worthy and pious clergyman, Mr. Samuel Bold, rector of Steeple in Dorfetshire; who, unconnected with its author, and unknown to him. and embracing the popular fentiments of the times concerning the deity of Christ, the doctrine of the Trinity, and other points, and who had before this fuffered by a legal profecution for preaching a fermon against persecution, stepped forward, with a firmness and laudable liberality of mind in the cause of free enquiry and moderation, in vindication of Mr. Locke's performance, and in an answer to Dr. Edwards, and did not hefitate to pronounce it "one " of the best books that had been published for at " least fixteen hundred years." Mr. Bold, on whose defence the learned Le Clerc passed an encomium, as being well and ably written, ingeniously observes, "Were the 'Reasonableness of Christianity, &c.' ge-"nerally read with deliberation, and rightly under-"flood, and (what I apprehend to be) its main defign " well followed, it would be of eminent use, amongst " other good purposes, to these two: first, to effect "a happy alteration in particular persons; for if " more time and pains were employed in bringing es people to a found conviction and full perfuasion " that Jefus is the Christ and only saviour of funers,

<sup>\*</sup> Bibliotheque Choisie, p. 304.

"and of their own personal need of him; and less
"of each in squabbling about terms men have de"vised to express their own conceits, relating to points
"which Christ and his apostles have delivered in easy
and unaffected words, there would not be such
great numbers every where who pretend to be
christians, merely because it is the fashion and
mode of the country to make that profession;
but we might, upon good grounds, expect that
multitudes would be christians upon a rational
and voise choice."\*

Mr. Locke's work, while Dr. Edwards's Reflections on it have long fince been forgotten, maintains its credit by its perspicuous reasoning and intrinsic merit to this day; and a neat and new edition of it was published, in 1810, by a Society for promoting Christian Knowledge and the practice of virtue. It has also a place in Dr. Watson's, bishop of Llandass, valuable "Collection of Theological Tracts."

A work which we had occasion to notice as having fallen under the censure of the Convocation, and which from this circumstance, as well as from the celebrity of the author and the peculiar design of it, attracted much attention, namely, Bishop Burnet's "Exposition of the Thirty-Nine Articles of the Church of England," was not published till 1699; though it was drawn up in 1694. The author was first induced to undertake this task of giving a clear and full explanation of the Thirty-Nine Articles by his

See the preface to the edition of 1810; and Memoirs of Mr Bold, in the Monthly Magazine for September 1806, p. 150.

friend Archbishop Tillotson. His Grace's influence, it appears, was not sufficient to overcome the reluctance which Bishop Burnet felt at the proposal; till the Archbishop engaged the Queen to unite her commands with his recommendation of the design. These commands were at first limited to the articles that seemed so entirely to lean to an absolute predestination, that some, upon that account, scrupled to subscribe them; and the church was reproached with the conduct of its ministers, who were considered as going one way, while the articles looked another. This point appeared to require to be well cleared. But her Majesty's directions were afterwards extended to the whole thirty-nine articles.

"The Exposition," a performance the result of great abilities and indefatigable industry, was begun and finished in less than a year; and fent to Archbishop Tillotson, who read it over with great pleafure, and an exactness peculiar to him; corrected it with a care that descended to the smallest matters, and returned it with expressing his astonishment at the execution of fo vast a work in so short a time. It was afterwards perused and approved by Archbishops Tenison and Sharp, Bishops Stillingsleet, Patrick, Lloyd, Hall, and Williams. The Queen and Archbishop Tillotson dying after the exposition was finished, some of his friends advised the author to postpone at least the publication, apprehending, as he himself did, that such a work would lay him open to many malicious attacks. The event, in the fubsequent proceedings of the lower House of Convocation against it, justified this opinion. He kept it by him five years; at the end of this interval, after it had received new corrections from his revifals of it, he was prevailed on by the Archbishop Tenison, and many of his own order, to delay the publishing of it no longer. His episcopal rank, and the interest which his brethren took in the publication, give us the key to the opposition and discountenance which the attack made on it by the lower House of Convocation met with from the upper. The unaffuming manner in which the author introduced his work, ought to have screened it from every malicious attack, as it recommended it to the attention of the candid. Modestly referring to the testimonies in its favour, with which not only his episcopal friends but many learned divines had returned the manuscript, after a careful perufal, he adds, "yet after es all these approbations, and many repeated desires "to me to publish it, I do not pretend to impose et this upon the reader as the work of authority. Even our most reverend metropolitans read it only as private divines; without fo fevere a can-" vasting of all particulars, as must have been exee pected, if this had been intended to pass for an " authorised work under a public stamp. In what "I have done, I am, as to far the greatest part, " rather an historian and a collector of what others 66 have writ, than an author myself. This I have ee performed faithfully, and I hope with fome mea-" fure of diligence and exactness; yet if in such a ce variety fome important matters are forgot, and "if others are mistaken, I am so far from reckoning it an injury to have those discovered, that I will gladly receive any advices of that kind; I will consider them carefully, and make the best use of them I can, for the undeceiving of others, as soon as I am convinced that I have missed them."

The object of the author in this work was to shew that the Articles, especially those on the predestinarian controversy, were capable of the several senses of different doctors; and that an article being conceived in fuch general words that it can admit of different and grammatical fenses, even when the fenses given are plainly contrary one to another, they who affix different and repugnant fenses to them, may each fubscribe them with a good conscience, and without any equivocation. For the bishop was decidedly of opinion, that subscription imported an affent to the articles; was a declaration of the fubscriber's opinion, and not a bare obligation to filence; and that the articles were a standard of doctrine, and not merely bonds of union and peace. But admitting that the articles may have different fenses, and on this ground be subscribed without prevarication by those who put different constructions on them, the confequence would be that the necessity of abolishing subscription on part of the church was fuperfeded. His lordship, after all, it has been observed by the author of the Confessional, had but a flender opinion of the effect of the expedient he adopted to bring men to a better understanding

<sup>\*</sup> Preface, edition in 8vo. 1746, p. x. xv.

of one another, and to promote union. "The " fettling on fome equivocal formularies will never," fays he, "lay the contention that has arisen con-" cerning the chief points in difference between the "Lutherans and the Calvinists."\* This prelate. it may be observed, while he attempted to conciliate all parties, by placing subscription on such grounds as he conceived might justify a submission to it by men of discordant sentiments, yet it appears, did himself entirely disapprove of it as a condition of ferving in the Church. For the conclusion to "The History of his own Times," written nine years after the publication of his Exposition, declared this explicitly and strongly; "the requiring " fubfcriptions to the thirty-nine articles," he fays, " is a great imposition I believe them all; "myself. The greater part subscribe without " ever examining them; and others do it, though 66 they can hardly fatisfy their consciences about " fome things in them. Churches and focieties are "much better fecured by laws than by fubscrip-"tions; it is a more reasonable as well as a more " easy method of government." This opinion was not the result of late experience, but had been long entertained by him. More than twenty years before he wrote the above, when he faw, on his travels into Switzerland and Italy, how strongly the principal men at Geneva, where he was much esteemed and caressed, insisted upon "consent of

<sup>\*</sup> Blackburne's Works, vol. v. p. 218. † Burnet's "History," vol. vi. p. 176.

" doctrine," to which they required a subscription from all who were admitted into orders, he employed all the eloquence he could command to reprefent the folly and ill confequences of fuch fubfcriptions, and to obtain an alteration in this practice. The force of his argument, the warmth and earnestness with which he expressed himself on this head, and the weight of his character, prevailed; the clergy at Geneva were released from these subfcriptions, and left to punishment or censure only in case of writing or preaching against the established doctrine.\* This concession, though it might afford fome relief to tender consciences, and be an alteration for the better in the ecclefiaftical establishment of Geneva, yet proceeded on the fame principle as the imposition of subscription, namely, that of human authority in religion; it was as real, though not in an equal degree, a bar to freedom of enquiry; and it laid a fnare for many upright minds, who would esteem it a duty to expose errors of doctrine and practice as well as to detect them, and openly to avow the truth as well as to embrace it.

It reflects honour, however, on Dr. Burnet, that he, not then a dignitary of his own church, and a stranger, could carry a point in a foreign country, which afterwards he could not, even when a prelate, attempt at home; where he was obliged to fatisfy himself with placing subscription on an equivocal ground, instead of obtaining the abolition of it. The method adopted by him in his celebrated work,

<sup>\*</sup> Burnet's " History," vol. vi. p. 263.

was not likely to fatisfy every mind. The latitude of interpretation for which his lordship pleaded. was inconfistent with the clearness and precision which are effential qualities in a standard of faith; it stood in direct contradiction to the design expressed in the title of the articles, which is declared to be "for the avoiding of the diversities of opinion, " and for the establishing of consent touching true "religion;" and the prelate's explanation of the nature and end of fubscription was only the opinion of one individual, though a person of high rank; it was unsupported by any authority, by any declaration from the head of the church of England, to explain or supersede the statute of the 13th of Queen Elizabeth, which gives the legal authority to the requisition of subscription, and which enacts it with the express design, not to allow, but to prevent a diversity of opinion. This design can be secured only by believing them to be true in one precife uniform sense. On this subject the judgment of Bishop Taylor, who wrote before Burnet, carries conviction with it. "He that subscribes must do it 66 to those purposes, and in that sense and fignisication of things, which the supreme power intends " in his commanding it." As to that latitude of interpretation, which admits the subscribers to assent to the same form of words in what sense they please, "this," observes the prelate, "is the last remedy " against the evils and difficulties arising from the " requisition of subscription, but it is the worst; it 64 hath in it something of craft, but very little of "ingenuity; and if it can ferve the ends of peace, or

of external charity, or of a phantastic concord;

yet it cannot serve the ends of truth and holiness,

and christian simplicity."\*

"The Exposition," however it may be thought to fail in attaining the end which the author principally proposed to himself by its publication, is a monument of his talents and abilities, and deserves to be regarded as a work highly useful to the theological student; as a work composed on a large and extensive plan, on laborious researches into the works of the most learned divines in the several divisions of Christendom, begun by this very able writer, when he filled the divinity professor's chair at Glasgow, and to which his attention had been directed for more than thirty years.

It was attacked with great warmth and vehemence, in "A Prefatory Discourse to an Examination of "the Bishop of Sarum's Exposition on the Thirty-"nine Articles;" generally ascribed to Dr. Binckes. Then came out "An Examination of his Exposition of the Second Article;" by Dr. Jonathan Edwards, principal of Jesus college in Oxford; this was followed by "A Vindication of the 23d Article from his Exposition of it." The Bishop published a sheet, which he entitled, "Remarks on the Examination of the Exposition of the Second "Article of our Church;" and from the pen of a friend came, "An Answer to the Prefatory Discourse;" and "A Defence of his Exposition of

<sup>\*</sup> Blackburne's Works, vol. vji. p. 326, 333.

"the 23d Article" closed with a proposal, that they who were so angry with the Bishop's Exposition should give the world a better.\*

\* Calamy, vol. i. p. 643.

## CHAPTER VI.

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCHES OF EMINENT CHARACTERS AND WRITERS.

ANY of the ministers ejected by the Bartholomew Act, in 1662, lived to hail the Revolution, and made a considerable figure in the reigns of King William and Queen Mary; and in different years of that period finished their virtuous and honourable course of labours and sufferings. A just respect has been paid to their names in the short memoirs of them from the pens of Calamy and Palmer: to whom the reader is referred. But there is a propriety, without entering minutely into their history, in taking some notice of them in this work according to the chronological order of their deaths. Among these, the first who was removed from this world,

In 1689, was Dr. Obadiah Grew, who had held the vicarage of St. Michael's, Coventry; beloved by all parties, and had in great veneration by the gentlemen of the county. He displayed a singular integrity and fortitude of character in freely remonstrating with Lieut.-General Cromwell in person, when at Coventry, on a defign then visibly on foot against the life of King Charles I. and obtained his promise to endeavour to prevent it; of which Dr. Grew afterwards reminded him by letter. He could not by any threats be induced to read, on the rising in Cheshire, the proclamation against Sir G. Booth. And when the plague alarmed the city, he kept open the meetings, till he was forced to remove by the Oxford Act. He was the father of Dr. Nehemiah Grew, who died March 25, 1711; well known for his "Anatomy of Plants," and other ingenious works; and esteemed for his skill in the medical profession, his philosophical knowledge, and his piety.\*

In 1690, aged 66, died John Collins, D. D. who had been vicar of St. Stephen's, Norwich, and fortyfour years a minister in that city: "a man of various " learning, and excelling as a textuary and a critic; and generally esteemed for his great industry, hu-"manity, and exemplary piety." His virtues and abilities gave him an interest, notwithstanding his nonconformity, with many illustrious and celebrated persons. He drew up the Annotations of several books of Poole's Exposition of the Bible: and besides many controverfial and practical works, was the author of a work entitled "The Weaver's Pocket 66 Book, or Weaving Spiritualized," 8vo. formed on the principle of adapting his devotional and practical remarks to the ideas and employments of a people famous for the manufacture of stuffs. ‡

<sup>\*</sup> Noble's Biographical History of England in continuation of Granger, vol. i, p. 231, 232.

<sup>‡</sup> Granger's Biographical History of England, vol. iii. p. 306, 307.

February 7, Mr. John Faldo, aged 57; who had been a chaplain in the army, and had no benefice when the Act of Uniformity took place, but was filenced by it. He became afterwards pastor of a congregation in London.

In 1691, on June the 26th, aged 63, Mr. John Flavell, ejected from the rectory of Townstall alias St. Clement's, Dartmouth. He was educated at the University college in Oxford. His works collected together form two volumes folio, and eight 8vo. distinguished by a plain, popular, and tender strain, and by a spirit of piety. He adopted the way of spiritualizing the common objects and employments of life in two treatifes, one on the practice of "Husbandry," and the other on the art of "Navigation." In 1685, feveral aldermen of the town fo forgot the decorum, duty, and dignity of their office, as to head the rabble in carrying about a ridiculous effigy of the worthy and excellent man. to which were affixed the Covenant and the Bill of Exclusion: on which he withdrew from the town, under an apprehension that such insults, countenanced by the magistrates, might be followed with injuries to his person. §

In December, aged 76, the celebrated Richard Baxter, fixteen years lecturer at Kidderminster. The peculiar traits and contrasts in his character and history are delineated with propriety and energy by the pen of Mr. Granger; who describes him as "a "man famous for weakness of body and strength

<sup>§</sup> Granger, vol. iii. p. 334.

" of mind; for having the strongest sense of religion "himfelf, and exciting a fense of it in the thoughtless and the profligate; for preaching more fermons. 66 engaging in more controversies, and writing more "books, than any other nonconformist of his age. "He spoke, disputed, and wrote with ease; and dis-"covered the same intrepidity when he reproved "Cromwell and expostulated with Charles II., as when he preached to a congregation of mecha-" nics." He was chaplain to Charles II. and defended the monarchy in a conference with Cromwell. When government in the year 1672, in the reign of Charles II. iffued an order that a yearly pension of col. each should be paid to most of the presbyterian ministers, and of 100l. to the chief of the party, Mr. Baxter fent back his pension, and would not touch it.\* A rare instance of difinterested integrity and firmness. He was interred in Christchurch. London, and his funeral was attended by a number of persons of different rank, and by many dignitaries of the established church. His works consisted of 145 different treatifes.

In 1692, Feb. 15, Mr. Thomas Rofewell; previously to the Act of Uniformity, rector of Sutton-Mandeville in Wiltshire. In 1674 he accepted an invitation to succeed Mr. James Janeway at Rotherhithe; having passed the intermediate years in several families of rank, particularly in that of Lady Hungerford. The most remarkable event in the life of this pious worthy was his being arraigned, tried,

<sup>†</sup> Granger, vol. iii. p. 339 " Burnet's History, vol. ii. p. 16.

and convicted of treason, on the 23d of October, in 1684, before Lord Chief Justice Jefferies. He was charged on the ground of treasonable words untered by him in a fermon delivered on the 14th of the preceding September at Rotherhithe. The witnesses against him were three women, common informers against conventicles, lewd and infamous persons, laden with guilt of former perjuries, who could not prove by any circumstance that they were at his meeting, or that any person saw them there on that day; and yet they fwore to or two three periods with fo much exactness, that there was not the smallest variation in their depositions; and though they affected fuch great clearness and precision as to the words alleged, they could remember no other passage in the fermon, not even the text. Several who heard it, and some who wrote it in short hand, declared that Mr. Rosewell said no such words, nor any thing to that purpose. He made a strong defence of himself, proving his loyalty even in the days of Cromwell; and urging that he constantly prayed for the king in his family, and had inculcated the obligations to loyalty in his fermons. "He offered " to put the whole upon this issue; that he would of pronounce a period as long as that to which they 44 had fworn, with the usual tone of voice with which " he preached, and then leave it to them to repeat "it if they could." He offered his own notes to prove the falfehood of the accusation; but no regard was paid to these pleas by the Court, though his defence was applauded by most of the hearers, and was pronounced by some gentlemen of the long robe to be the best defence made by any man in those times. The judge, however, conducted the trial, which lasted seven hours, with vehemence, and urged the conviction of the prisoner; laying it down as a first principle, that all preaching at conventicles was treasonable, and that this ought to dispose the jury to believe any evidence upon that head; fo the jury brought Mr. Rosewell in guilty: upon which, Bishop Burnet relates, "there was a shameful re-"joicing." The passing of sentence was postponed to the 24th of November. When, in the mean time, the words on which Mr. Rosewell was convicted, came to be examined by men learned in the law, they were found not to be treason by any statute. On this he moved for an arrest of judgment, till counsel could be heard on that point. Several circumstances concurred to secure the success of this petition. Sir John Talbot, a gentleman far from being accounted a friend to diffenters, was prefent during the trial, and was fo affected by the mode of its process, that he went immediately from it to the king, and represented to him that he had seen the life of a gentleman and a scholar in danger, upon evidence on which he would not hang a dog; and urged it on his Majesty, that if he suffered him to die, none of his subjects could be safe in their houses. Before he had withdrawn, Judge Jefferies came into the royal presence with an air of exultation and triumph, and congratulated the king on the conviction of a traitor; who received the information with

expressing a concern for the life of Mr. Rosewell. and an injunction on the chief justice to find some way to bring him off. The king felt ashamed of the proceedings, on many other reports made to him of the witnesses. He was also induced, from particular respect to Mrs. James, a lady, who, it appears by the event, had great influence with his Majesty, to promise his life, when from a conviction of the innocence of Mr. Rosewell, and the injury done him, fhe went to the king and begged it. The attorneygeneral had orders to yield to the arrest of judgement. "This," Burnet observes, " was thought "a good point gained, which might turn to the ad-" vantage of the subject, to allow that a point of " law might be argued after conviction." jury foon felt great compunction at the verdict which they had given; and invited Sir Peter Rich, who appeared on the trial as the friend of Mr. Rosewell, the day after it to dine with them at the Swan Tavern in Old Fish-street, to consult what steps could be taken to fave his life; urging, that if Mr. Rofewell fuffered, it would be very unjustly. But no method of retrieving what was done occurred to his thoughts.

On the 24th of November, Mr. Rosewell was brought up to the court to receive sentence, when he requested to be heard on the causes for which he prayed an arrest of judgment. After some debates between the bench and the king's counsel, the lord chief justice, who now assumed the character of an advocate for the prisoner, supported his request, and

repeatedly and strongly recommended caution and deliberation where the life of a man was depending; and counsel was assigned to plead on the errors and invalidity of the indictment. On the 26th and 27th of November the court met again to hear counsel. When they had finished, the chief justice again laboured to impress on the minds of the other judges fentiments favourable to the accused, and observed that truly he never had feen an indicament so loosely hung together, and that by their law-books they were bound in cases of that nature to be counsel for the prisoner; and he still held it up to their confideration that this was a case in which the life of a man was concerned: a confideration which had little or no weight with his lordship on the trial. But he now knew how the king's mind was disposed towards the prisoner. After all, no motion was made by the attorneygeneral, nor did the court come to a decision; but Mr. Rofewell was commanded back to prison to wait for a rule of court to bring him up again at the next term. In the mean time the king granted a pardon under the great feal; and as foon as it was finished, his Majesty died. Mr. Rosewell pleaded it fome few days after, and on giving bail was difcharged. It appears, that though the judge on the trial had intermingled with the examination of witnesses virulent invectives against the accused, and aimed to prejudice the jury; when Mr. Rosewell moved by his counsel to arrest the judgment for an error of form in the records, this same man not only advocated the cause of the prisoner with an appearance of candour and equity, but forgetting the decorum and gravity to be preferved on the bench, indulged in levity and jocularity. "He could not contain himself, but openly rejoiced at the accident, and was tickled with mirth and laughing at the king's council. But the serious observation was," fays my author, "that after he had urged the profecution of Rosewell, and a fault slipt, he should for merrily discharge him."

It will, it is prefumed, be deemed an agreeable addition to this narrative, if the sentiments of the late great statesman, the Right Hon. Charles James Fox, be laid before the reader. "I have little doubt but the account you have feen of Jetferies's con-"dust and motive is the true one; at the same time " it must be confessed, that, according to the ac-" count in the state-trials, he acted his part very "well; and the pardon coming after the legal "doubts looks rather as if it was given, not to ee let off Jefferies easy, but on the contrary to avoid " bringing the king's lawyers to shame, by exposing "the imperfection of their indictment. However, "even without this story, Jefferies's character is " fuch that one cannot for a moment believe his 66 having done right, but with fome crooked motive. "I have read before, I know not where, an account, 66 without the name of Talbot, fomething fimilar to

<sup>\*</sup> Life of Sir Francis Dudley, lord keeper, vol. ii. p. 107; Rofewell's Life and Trial, p. 54, 58, 59, 63; Trial, p. 63, 84, 85, 86, 100, 105, et alibi, p. 246, 250, 252, and p. 223; Burnet, vol. ii. p. 444—446.

"that which you cite; and I think it the more

" likely, because Charles II. had a very good and

" quick understanding, especially in regard to mat-

ters which were ridiculously absurd, as the evi-

" dence against Rosewell is."\*

By a warrant dated 28th May 1687, issued by King James, Mr. Rofewell and his furcties, he in a bond of 500l. and they in one of 2000l, were difcharged from their bail. After he had received the king's pardon, he immediately returned to his ministerial work; though for the fake of his bail he carefully kept within the limits of the law: but after the indulgence was granted, he refumed his character in an open and public manner; and with great affiduity and diligence discharged its duties to a very numerous congregation for feven years after his honourable acquittal, in the enjoyment of a

\* A Letter with which the author was honoured, 3d May 1802, in reply to one which he wrote to Mr. Fox, in consequence of some enquiries communicated to him from the Hon. Gentleman, relative to the account of the circuit of Judge Jefferies, in the "History of Taunton," chap. ix. p. 109, &c. It seems to have escaped the attention of Mr. Fox, that the pardon came too late to fave the reputation of the king's counfel, as the imperfections of the indictment had been previously pleaded in arrest of judgment; and it is prefumed that in his approbation of Jefferies's conduct, Mr. Fox refers to the part he took in favour of the prisoner. After the author had written the above narrative of Mr. Rosewell's case, he referred to Neal's History, where he found, though he did not recollect it, the proceedings had been flated; but he trusts that from the nature of them, and the new matter which he has been able to furnish, no apology is necessary to justify the appearance of the narrative again in this work. The account without the name of Talbot, to which Mr. Fox alludes, was the ftate of this affair given by Bishop Burnet in his "History of his own Times."

great measure of health, till his last sickness, (the effect of a cold caught at the funeral of Mr. Baxter,) which terminated his life at the age of 62, on Feb. 13th, 1602. It deserves to be mentioned to the honour of two clergymen, his contemporaries, and as testimonies of the opinion entertained of his innocence, and the esteem in which his character was held, that one of them, Mr. Meriton, minister of St. Nicholas Cole-Abbey in Old Fish-street, publicly prayed for him in the church during his imprisonment; and the other, Mr. Jekyll, of the New Chapel at Westminster, frequently visited him in the Gatehouse. Many hundreds of people attended the funeral from Draper's Hall, whence he was carried to interment in Bunhill; and in the spirit of that union which had been lately formed between the two denominations, his pall was supported by three presbyterian and three independent ministers.\*

On the 16th of November 1692, died Mr. Rich. Steel, ejected from the living of Hanmere in Flintshire, and during his residence there the intimates friend of the excellent Mr. Philip Henry. He was born near Nantwich in Cheshire, on the 10th May 1629; studied several years in St. John's college, Cambridge; and was afterwards incorporated into the university of Oxford. The difficulty in respect to conformity which pressed on his mind with peculiar weight and seriousness, was that the publication of the book of common prayer, to which the A&t of Uniformity required a declaration of unseigned

<sup>\*</sup> Life, p. 66-68, 72, 73, 76.

affent and consent, had been so deferred, that he had not seen nor could see it before the Ast took place. This was the case with many others. Before and after this Mr. Steel met with much trouble, and was harassed by vexatious proceedings against him on the account of his nonconformity. About 1667, he removed from London, where he afterwards gathered a congregation, which assembled for divine worship in Armourer's Hall in Colemansser. His principal works were, 1. "An Antidote against Distractions in the Worship of God;" written in prison, and which went through several impressions. 2. "The Tradesman Calling;" one of the treatises given away by the Society for promoting religious knowledge.\*

In 1694, on the 15th of July, at the age of 83, Mr. Thomas Gilbert, B. D. of Edmund's Hall, Oxford, ejected from Winchenden in Buckinghamshire, finished his course. He was esteemed as a man of extraordinary acuteness and an excellent scholar; who was perfectly familiar with all the schoolmen, and yet took great delight in poetry, and was himself a good Latin poet. It is related of him, that on a particular occasion when he was in company with Dr. South at Oxford, and some eminent characters of the University, the conversation turning on some Arminian points, and Mr. Gilbert maintaining that the predestination of the Calvinists did necessarily follow from the prescience of the Arminians, Dr. South challenged him to prove that

<sup>\*</sup> Wilson's History of Diffenting Churches, vol. ii. p. 448-457.

affertion, engaging in that case to relinquish his Arminian fentiments. Mr. Gilbert undertook to do it, and managed his argument and opponent in a way that was highly pleafing to the company, and fatisfactory and convincing to the Doctor, who became and continued to the last a zealous affertor of predestination. It should seem, that though Mr. Gilbert was on this head a Calvinist, he was not so on every question connected with the Calvinistic system; for among his publications was a fmall Latin tract on the possibility of pardon without a satisfaction;" in answer to Dr. Owen's "Diatribe de Divina "Iustitia." It reslects honour on his memory, that at Oxford, where he spent the latter part of his life, he met with great respect from those who were able judges of real worth; Dr. Hall, bishop of Bristol, Dr. Bathurst, Dr. Jane, and others.\*

The next year, 1695, closed the sufferings and lives of three others of the pious worthies, Mr. Robert Billio, Mr. Henry Newcome, and Mr. Richard Mayo.

Mr. Robert Billio, of Trinity college, Cambridge, ejected from Wickham, near Malden in Essex, died on April 19, aged about 73. He was a zealous, fervent preacher, whose plain and methodical fermons, expressive of the earnestness with which he sought the glory of God, and the holiness and salvation of his hearers, shewing him to be the good man, and uttered with a strong voice, were heard with great effect on the minds of his

<sup>\*</sup> Palmer, vol. i. p. 243.

hearers, and were very useful in promoting the ends which he had in view. He was wonderfully preferved in season of persecution; and once narrowly escaped being taken, as he was preaching at the house of Israel Mayo, esq; at Bayton near Hertford, by being conveyed into a garret, and covered in a dark hole with billets.

On the 8th of September terminated the life and ministry of Mr. Richard Mayo, in the 64th year of his age; who, before the Act of Uniformity, held the vicarage of Kingston-on-Thames in Surry; and during his refidence in that town had preached for feveral years to crowded auditories a weekly lecture in Whitechapel Church, London, with more than ordinary animation and zeal. After his feceffion from the establishment, he experienced a variety of changes; till, towards the latter end of the reign of Charles II. he became the pastor of a large and flourishing congregation at Buckingham-house, College Hill, which afterwards erected the present meetinghouse in Salter's Hall, London, where he was many years an affectionate and useful preacher. His sermons were derived from the text, and were distinguished by clearness and method. He was a man of great learning and ingenuity, and well skilled in polemical and practical divinity; a friend to peace and union. Sincerity and prudence marked his conduct, and an excellent sweetness of temper ran through his deportment; and his unwearied zeal was not checked by any discouragements. "His end was like the " light of the evening when the fun fetteth, an even" ing without any clouds."\*

On the 20th of the above month, Mr. Henry Newcombe, M. A. of St. John's college, Cambridge. where he had made great proficiency in philosophy and theology by a close attention to study, and who had heartily appeared with Sir George Booth in the interests of Charles II. was cast out of the living at Manchester; and afterwards, by the Oxford Act, forced to remove into Ellenbroke. He subsequently returned, when he could do fo with fafety, to his family and flock, and preached privately, till under more auspicious times he could procure a licence for a place of worship. At length a stately chapel was built by his congregation; but he had not long preached in it before he was removed by death, in the 68th year of his age. He kept up an amicable correspondence with many conforming clergy. His mind was enriched with a large stock of folid learning and knowledge. His temper was fincere, candid, and generous. His deportment was grave, yet fweet and obliging. An inartificial humility veiled and adorned his other excellencies. His charity to those who differed from him was accompanied with great veneration for their worth. As a preacher he excelled in a natural eloquence not easily imitated by others, and in a happy way of infinuating himfelf into the bosoms of his hearers, whose only regret was that the fermon must soon be at an end. An

<sup>\*</sup> Mr. Taylor's Funeral Sermon for Mr. Mayo, p. 26, 27, 29; Wilson's History of Diffenting Churches, vol. ii. p. 10.

eminent divine on hearing him once faid, "if I had "this man's tongue, I could not help being proud of "it." His attachment to the royal family was expressed by a fermion on the restoration of Charles II. entitled "Usurpation defeated, and Davidrestored."\*

Two men of eminence in the list of ejected ministers were called to their reward in the year 1696, Dr. Samuel Annesley, and Mr. Philip Henry.

The name of Dr. Samuel Annefley, born at Kennilworth near Warwick, A. D. 1620, of noble family connexions, being first cousin to Arthur Annesley, earl of Anglesey, and lord privy seal in the reign of Charles II. will be transmitted down in the pages of ecclefiaftical biography as that of the maternal grandfather of the celebrated Mr. John Wesley. When he was fifteen, he was admitted a student in Queen's college, Oxford, where at the usual periods he took his degrees in arts. In 1644, he became chaplain to the Earl of Warwick, the admiral of the Parliament's fleet. By his interest with persons in power he obtained the valuable living of Cliff in Kent. In 1648, he was honoured by the University of Oxford, at the instance of Philip earl of Pembroke, with the title of doctor of laws. In the fame year he refumed his station at fea as chaplain to his patron the Earl of Warwick, who was employed in giving chace to that part of the English navy which went over to the prince, afterwards Charles II. In 1662, he was elected minister of St. John the Evangelist in London, by the unanimous votes of the inhabitants.

Palmer, vol. ii. p. 98.

In 1652, he was nominated by Oliver, lord-protector. lecturer in St. Paul's. In 1660, after three presentations, first by the Protector Richard, then by the trustees for the maintenance of ministers, and lastly from King Charles II. the most legal and secure title, he was fixed in the vicarage of St. Giles's, Cripplegate. From this living he was ejected for nonconformity, on Bartholomew day 1662. Upon the indulgence in 1672, he licensed a meeting-house in Little St. Helen's; where he continued the pastor of a flourishing congregation till his death. After the fecession of Dr. Bates and Mr. Howefrom the Pinner's Hall lecture, he was chosen one of the lecturers with them on the establishment of a new lecture at Salter's Hall. On the death of Mr. Cafe, the chief manage. ment of the morning lecture, of which he had been the main support, with his own confent devolved upon him. Several ministers, whose useful labours the church would not otherwise have enjoyed, owed to him, principally if not folely, their education or fubfistence. By his useful active exertions preaching was introduced into many obscure and benighted villages. The poor looked to him as their common He expended a confiderable fum in the distribution of bibles, catechisms, and other useful books. He possessed a good paternal estate; and devoted the tenths of all falaries and incomes, before any part was spent, to charitable purposes. His character was drawn in few but expressive terms by Mr. Baxter, as that of "a most fincere, godly, " and humble man. ' His retrospect in his last fickness, was satisfactory, serene, and consolatory. Blessed be God," said he, "I have been saithful in the work of the ministry above 55 years."\*

On the 24th of June in the same year, died, at the age of fixty-five, Mr. Philip Henry, a name of great celebrity in the annals of English nonconformity. The life of this pious worthy, written by his fon, and of late years republished by Mr. Job Orton, is well known. It was a favourite piece of biography. with the late Sir James Stonhouse, who bears testimony to the merit of the subject of it as "an ex-" cellent man." It does honour to the work itself, and to the virtues of the character it exhibits, that a dignitary of the church has introduced it at full length into the valuable biographical collection, with which he has favoured the public, and enrolled the name of Mr. Philip Henry, with some of the first characters that have bleffed the world, and been the glory of England. † Another historical biographer and a clergyman, speaking of Mr. Henry's refusing to conform, fays, " his non-compliance was a great es injury to the church, for he was eminently qua-46 lifted as a divine, a scholar, and a gentleman, for " one of its ministers."

In the next year, 1697, the cause of nonconformity lost the active exertions of three other ministers, who had been its advocates and ornaments. One of these was Mr. Nathaniel Vincent, who died

<sup>\*</sup> Palmer, vol) i. p. 104, 106; Wilson, vol. i. p. 365, 370.

<sup>†</sup> Wordfworth's Ecclefiaftical Biography, 6th vol. 8vo. 1810. ‡ Granger's Biographical History of England, vol. i. p. 124.

on the 22d of June, aged 53; fo fudden and violent was the attack of illness which carried him out of life, that he had leifure only to exclaim with deliberate pathos and devotion, "I find I am dying: "LORD, LORD, LORD have mercy on my family " and my congregation!" He was the fon of a pious minister, Mr. John Vincent. Such was the early pregnancy of his genius, and fo retentive was his memory, that he used, at seven years of age, to repeat the fermons of his father in the family on the evening. He became a member of Magdalen college, Oxford, in the eleventh year of his age took the degree of Master of Arts, when he was about eighteen; preached as a lecturer at Pulborow in Essex, before he was twenty; and at twenty-one he was ordained, and fixed as rector of Langley Marsh in Buckinghamshire, from which place he was ejected. " He foon became," Mr. Granger observes, " a very noted preacher and writer; and so as he was one of the most assiduous, so he was " also one of the most unfortunate," rather it should be faid, most haraffed and persecuted, " of his " nonconforming brethren." He once escaped an imprisonment of three years, to be followed with banishment, on an Act of the 25th of Elizabeth, by a flaw in the indictment. After his ejectment he spent a few years in a private family, and then, soon after the great fire, he removed to London, and preached to multitudes amidst the ruins; where undoubtedly the awful spectacle before him and his hearers, would much add to the effect of his ferious and fervent addresses. The consciences of many were awakened, and a respectable congregation was formed under his ministry in Southwark. It is related of him, that he scarcely entered into any company, but he was like a box of precious ointment, and left some sweet persume from his heavenly discourse after his departure.\*

About a month after Mr. Vincent, on the 28th July, died Mr. Nathaniel Mather, ejected from Barnstaple in Devon, the second fon of Mr. Richard Mather, an eminent puritan divine, who was twice fuspended for his nonconformity, and to avoid such harassing processes, removed to America in 1635, "leaving the fair fields of his own country for the "obscure places of the wilderness." He settled as the pastor of a congregation at Dorchester, August 23d, 1636; in which connection he continued till his death, April 22d, 1669, in the 73d year of his age, leaving a name and a family of great celebrity in the northern part of the western continent. fon, of whom we are speaking, was only five years old when his father emigrated to New England, where of course he received the first rudiments of claffical and academical learning, and became a graduate of Harvard college in 1647; but he finished his studies in England. His first preferment was the living of Harberton, near Tiverton, in Devon; and in 1656, Oliver Cromwell presented him to that of Barnstaple in the same county. On his ejectment

<sup>\*</sup> Granger's Biographical History, vol. iii. p. 328; Mr. Nathaniel Taylor's Funeral Sermon for Mr. Nathaniel Vincent, p. 24, 26.

he went into Holland, and fettled as a minister at Rotterdam, and then returned to London; and in 1671, on the death of his elder brother Mr. Samuel Mather, he fucceeded him as pastor of a congregation in Dublin. In 1688, he became pastor of an independent congregation in Paved Alley, Lime-street, London, and was chosen one of the lecturers at Pinner's Hall. He died in the 68th year of his age, having discharged the duties of the ministry with diligence and fidelity for forty-seven years. A Latin inscription on his monument in Bunhill fields delineates his talents, endowments, and virtues, and perpetuates his name with honourable praife. Dr. Watts also paid a tribute of respect to his character, in a very descriptive epitaph, in his lyric poems. In his ministrations he had the facred art of concealing the man, that God alone might be feen and exalted; "In facræ functionis " exercitiis arte pia celavit hominem, ut folus con-"fpiceretur Deus."\*

In September 1697, died Mr. Thomas Cole, M. A. ejected by the King's commissioners, 1660, from St. Mary's Hall, Oxford, where he became principal in 1656, and tutor to some who afterwards were distinguished as divines in the church of England, or as eminent scholars, and particularly to the great Mr. Locke. He was the son of Mr. William Cole, a gentleman of independent fortune in the city of London, where he was born in 1627. He received his classical education in Westminster,

<sup>\*</sup> Palmer, vol. i. p. 339; Wilson, vol. i. p. 232.

whence he was elected student of Christ-Church, Oxford. After his ejectment, he opened an academy at Nettlebed in Oxfordshire. He then removed to London, and was the pastor of an independent congregation, gathered during the protectorate of Oliver Cromwell, which removed its meetings to different places, and during his connection with it affembled first in Tallow-Chandlers' Hall, then in Pinner's Hall, and lastly in Lorimer's Hall. Mr. Cole was afterwards elected one of the Tuefday's lecturers at Pinner's Hall; and in that relation he took an active part in the controversy concerning what was called the Neonomian dostrine, which he. opposed with strict integrity and steady zeal, under a firm persuasion of the truth and importance of the views that he entertained on the questions in debate. His name is transmitted down as that of a man of good learning, of polite manners, of a most innocent and spotless life, and of eminent virtue and piety. The conversations which he had with several friends in the prospect of his approaching end, evinced a most tranquil and happy frame of mind; and the fentiments which he occasionally uttered on rising incidents were remarkably expressive of ferenity, refignation, and hope. 'Upon its being remarked to him, that he was fleepy; he replied, "I shall fleep " quickly, and awake in everlasting day. Ere long " my days and nights will be all one. The appre-" hension that faith gives of a better life, is my " comfort. As for my going, God can make it " no loss to you. He can set on and take off his

"workmen, as He pleases." On enquiring what time it was, he added, "Time passeth into eternity. " we live but dying lives in the body, till death is 66 fwallowed up of life. I long to be immortal."\* In the year 1698, on March 25, died Mr. Matthew Barker, M. A. of Trinity college, Cambridge, a man of confiderable learning, great piety, and universal candour and moderation; ejected from St. Leonard, Eastcheap, London. He wrote the annotations, in Pool's Continuation, on both the Epistles to the Thessalonians. A reslection on the state of his mind, on the weekly return of his public ministerial services, which occurs in a MS. account of himself, is an amiable and striking expression of his diffident and pious folicitude in the discharge of them. "I am commonly more humbled and troubled "in my mind on a Lord's day than on any other "day of the week, through the fear of my failings "in the work of the ministry.";

In the course of the next year, 1699, two persons of peculiar note, as nonconformist ministers sinished their course. One of these, who died on the 14th July, reputed one of the best orators and politest writers of his age among the presbyterians, at one time chaplain to Charles II. "much a scholar, much a gentleman, and no less a christian," as Mr. Granger delineates his character, was William Bates, D. D. born 1625, and ejected from St. Dunstan's in

<sup>\*</sup> Wood'e Athenæ Oxonienses, vol. ii. p. 775; Wilson, vol. iii. p. 79, 89; Palmer, vol. ii. p. 193, 196.

<sup>†</sup> Palmer, vol. i. p. 121.

the West. He was the friend of Archbishop Tillotson, by whom he was greatly esteemed both for his learning and good temper. "His abilities," fays the writer just quoted, "qualified him for the "highest dignities in the church; and it is certain "great offers were made to him, but he could never be prevailed with to conform." He published, besides various theological and practical works, a biographical one, entitled, "Vitæ selectæ aliquot virorum;" which is principally a collection.\*

The other ejected minister, who, at the age of 70. died on the 16th of October, this year, the author of feveral fingle fermons, and of a tract of celebrity. and esteemed an excellent performance, entitled "The Almost Christian," was Mr. Matthew Mead, whom the Bartholomew Act dispossessed of the living of Stepney, near London. He afterwards, upon the liberty granted to the diffenters, collected a congregation in this place, and built a spacious meeting, the four large pillars in which were a prefent from the States of Holland. He was descended from a good family in Buckinghamshire, long an eminent preacher, of confiderable fame as a cafuift and a writer, and derived honour to his name from the great eminence of his fon, as a scholar, as a patron of learning, and as a physician; who for many years attended on George II. in that professional character. Mr. Mead, among other innocent persons, was accused as an accomplice in the Rye-House plot, but was acquitted, on a full vindication

<sup>\*</sup> Palmer, vol. i. p. 101, &c.; Granger, vol. iii. p. 304-5.

of his innocence by Charles II. when he was heard on the charge before the privy council. He was a gentleman and a fcholar, and a most excellent preacher, according to the grateful testimony of respect paid to his name and memory by one who had been his ward.\*

<sup>\*</sup> Palmer, vol. ii. p. 185; Granger, vol. iii. p. 332, 333; Peirce's Vindication of the Diffenters, part i. p. 258.



## APPENDIX.

## NUMBER I. to Page 11.

The Case of the Protestant Dissenters represented and argued. By Mr. John Howe.

THEY are under one common obligation with the rest of mankind, by the universal law of nature, to

worship Gop in assemblies.

Men of all forts of religions that have ever obtained in the world, Jews, Pagans, Mahometans, Christians, have in their practice acknowledged this obligation. Nor can it be understood how such a practice should be so universal, otherwise than from the dictate and impression of the universal law.

Whereas the religion professed in England is that of reformed christianity, some things are annexed to the allowed public worship which are acknowledged to be no parts thereof, nor in themselves necessary; but which the diffenters judge to be in some part sinful.

They cannot, therefore, with good conscience towards God, attend wholly and solely upon the public worship

which the laws do appoint.

The same laws do strictly forbid their affembling to worship God otherwise.

Which is, in effect, the same thing as if they who made or shall continue such laws, should plainly say, if you will not consent with us in our superadded rites and modes against your consciences, you shall not worship GoD; or if you will not accept of our additions to the christian religion, you shall not be christians; and manifestly tends to reduce to Paganism a great part of a christian nation.

They have been wont therefore to meet however in distinct assemblies, and to worship God in a way which their consciences could approve; and have many years continued so to do, otherwise than as they have been hindered by violence.

It is therefore upon the whole fit to enquire,

2.1. Whether they are to be blamed for their holding distinct meetings for the worship of GoD?

For answer to this, it cannot be expected that all the controversies should be here determined, which have been agitated about the lawfulness of each of those things which have been added to the christian religion and worship by the present constitution of the church of England.

But supposing they were none of them simply unlawful, while yet the misinformed minds of the dissenters could not judge them lawful, though they have made it, much their business to enquire and search; being urged also by severe sufferings, which through a long tract of time they have undergone, not to refuse any means that might tend to their satisfaction; they could have nothing else left them to do than to meet and worship distinctly as they have.

For they could not but esteem the obligation of the universal, natural, and divine law, by which they were bound solemnly to worship God, less questionable than that of a law, which was only positive, topical, and human, requiring such and such additaments to their worship, and prohibiting their worship without them.

The church of England (as that part affects to be called) diffinguished from the rest by those additionals to christian religion, (pretended to be indifferent, and so confessed unnecessary) hath not only sought to ingress to itself the ordinances of divine worship, but all civil power. So that the privileges that belong either to christian or human society are inclosed, and made peculiar to such as are distinguished by things that in themselves can signify nothing to the making of persons either better christians, or better men.

- 2. 2. Whether the laws enjoining such additions to our religion, as the exclusive terms of christian worship and communion, ought to have been made; when it is acknowledged on all hands the things to be added were before not necessary; and when it is known a great number judge them finful, and must thereby be restrained from worshipping the true and living God?
- A. The question to any of common sense answers itself. For it is not put concerning such as diffent from any part of the substance of worship which Gop hath commanded, but concerning fuch additions as he never commanded. And there are sufficient tests to distinguish fuch differers from those that deny any substantial part of religion, or affert any thing contrary thereto. Wherefore to forbid such to worship that Gop that made them. because they cannot receive your devised additions, is to exclude that which is necessary, for the mere want of that which is unnecessary. And where is that man that will adventure to stand forth, and avow the hindering of fuch persons from paying their homage to the God that made them, if we thus exposulate the matter on GoD's behalf and their own? Will you cut off from GoD his right in the creatures he hath made? Will you cut off

from them the means of their falvation upon these terms? What reply can the matter admit?

Tis commonly alleged that great deference is to be paid to the laws, and that we ought to have forborne our affemblies, till the public authority recalled the laws against them: and we will say the same thing, when it is well proved that they who made such laws made the world too.

And by whose authority were such laws made? Is there any that is not from God? And hath God given any man authority to make laws against himself, and to deprive him of his just rights from his own creatures?

Nor if the matter be well fearched into, could there be fo much as a pretence of authority derived for such purposes from the people, whom every one now acknowledges the first receptacle of derived governing power. God can, it is true, lay indifputable obligations by his known laws upon every conscience of man about religion or any thing elfe. And fuch as reprefent any people can, according to the conftitution of the government, make laws for them about the things they entrust them with; but if the people of England be asked, man by man, will they fay they did entrust to their representatives their religion and their consciences, to do with them what they please? When it is your own turn to be represented by others, is this part of the trust you commit? What Dr. Sherlock\* worthily fays concerning a bishop, he might (and, particularly after, doth) fay concerning every other man, he can be no more represented in a council than at the day of judgment: every man's soul and conscience must be in his own keeping, and can be represented by no man.

It ought to be confidered that christianity, wherein it superadds to the law of nature, is all matter of revelation. And it is well known that even among pagans, in the

<sup>\*</sup> Vindication of some Protestant Principles, &c. p. 52.

fettling rites and inftitutes of religion,\* revelation was pretended at least, upon an implied principle that in such matters human power could not oblige the people's consciences.

We must be excused, therefore, if we have in our practice expressed less reverence for laws made by no authority received either from God or man.

We are therefore injuriously reflected on, when it is imputed to us, that we have, by the use of our liberty, acknowledged an illegal dispensing power. We have done no other thing herein than we did when no dispensation was given or pretended, in conscience of duty to Him that gave us our breath; nor did therefore practise otherwise because we thought those laws dispensed with, but because we thought them not laws. Whereupon little need remains of enquiring farther.

Q. 3. Whether fuch laws should be continued? Against which, besides what may be collected from that which nath been said, it is to be considered, that what is most principally grievous to us was enacted by that Parliament, that, as we have too much reason to believe, suffered itself to be dealt with to enslave the nation, in other respects as well as this; and which (to his immortal honour) the noble Earl of Danby procured to be dissolved, as the first step towards our national deliverance.

And let the tenor be confidered of that horrid law, by which our Magna Charta was torn in pieces; the worst and most infamous of mankind, at our own expense, hired to accuse us; multitudes of perjuries committed; convictions made without a jury, and without any hearing of the persons accused; penalties inflicted, goods risled,

<sup>\*</sup> As by Numa from his Egeria. And their priefts, to whom the regulation of fuch matters were left, were generally believed to be infpired.

estates seized and embezzled, houses broken up, families disturbed, often at unseasonable hours of the night, with out any cause or shadow of a cause, if only a malicious villain would pretend to suspect a meeting there! No law in any other case like this! As if to worship God without those additions which were confessed unnecessary, were a greater crime than thest, selony, murder, or treason! Is it for our reputation to posterity that the memory of such a law should be continued?

And are we not yet awakened, and our eyes opened enough to fee, that the making and execution of the laws, by which we have suffered so deeply for many by-past years, was only that protestants might destroy protestants, and the easier work be made for the introduction of

popery that was to destroy the residue?

Nor can any malice deny, or ignorance of observing Englishmen overlook, this plain matter of fact: after the diffolution of that before-mentioned Parliament, diffenters were much careffed, and endeavoured to be drawn into a subserviency to the court defigns, especially in the election of after parliaments. Notwithstanding which they every where fo entirely fell in with the fober part of the nation in the choice of fuch persons for the three parliaments that next succeeded, (two held at Westminster, and that at Oxford,) as it was known would, and who did, most generously affert the liberties of the nation and the protestant religion. Which alone (and not our mere diffent from the church of England in matters of religion, wherein Charles II. was fufficiently known to be a prince of great indifferency) drew upon us, foon after the diffolution of the last of those parliaments, that dreadful storm of perfecution, that destroyed not a small number of lives in gaols, and ruined multitudes of families.

Let English freemen remember, what they cannot but know, that it was for our firm adherence to the civil interests of the nation, (not for our different modes of religion from the legal way, though the laws gave that advantage against us, which they did not against others,) that we endured the calamities of so many years.

When by the late king fome relaxation was given us, what arts and infinuations have been used with us to draw us into a concurrence to defigns tending to the prejudice of the nation? And with how little effect upon the generality of us it must be great ignorance not to know, and great injustice to deny.

But he that knoweth all things knoweth that though in fuch circumstances there was no opportunity for our receiving public and authorised promises when we were all under the eye of watchful jealousy; yet as great affurances as were possible were given us by some that we hope will now remember it, of a future established security from our former pressures. We were told over and over, when the excellent Heer Fagel's letter came to be privately communicated from hand to hand, how easily better things would be had for us, than that encouraged papists to expect, if ever that happy change should be brought about, which none have now beheld with greater joy than we.

We are loth to injure those who have made us hope for better by admitting a suspicion that we shall now be disappointed and deceived, (as we have formerly been, and we know by whom,) or that we shall suffer from them a religious slavery, for whose sakes we have suffered so grievous things, rather than do the least thing that might tend to the bringing upon them a civil slavery.

We cannot but expect from Englishmen that they be just and true. We hope not to be the only instances whereby the Anglica Fides and the Punica shall be thought all one.

But if we, who have conftantly defired, and as we have had opportunity, endeavoured the faving of the nation, must however be ruined, not to greaten (one hair) the wealth and dignity of it, but only to gratify the humour of them who would yet destroy it; we who are competently inured to sufferings, shall through God's mercy be again enabled to endure; but He that sits in the heavens will in his own time judge our cause, and we will wait his pleasure; and we hope suffer all that can be inslicted, rather than betray the cause of reformed christianity in the world.

But our affairs are in the hands of men of worth and honour, who apprehend how little grateful a name they should leave to posterity, or obtain now with good men of any persuasion, if under a pretence of kindness to us, they should now repeat the arts of ill men in an ill time. Great minds will think it beneath them to sport themselves with their own cunning in deceiving other men; which were really in the present case too thin not to be seen through, and may be the easy attainment of any man that hath enough of opportunity, and integrity little enough for such purposes. And it is as much too gross to endeavour to abuse the authority of a nation, by going about to make that stoop to so mean a thing as to make a shew of intending what they resolve to their utmost shall never be.

But some may think by concessions to us the church of England will be ruined, and a great advantage given to the bringing in of popery.

To which we fay, the generality of differences differ from the church of England in no substantials of doctrine and worship, no nor of government, provided it be so managed as to attain its true acknowledged end: the savouring of us therefore will as much ruin the church as its enlargement and additional strength will signify to its ruin. And doth not the world know, that wherein we differ from them, we differ from the papifts too? And that for the most part wherein they differ from us, they seem to agree with them?

We acknowledge their strong, brave, and prosperous opposition to popery; but they have opposed it by the things wherein they agree with us. The differences from us are no more a sence against popery, than an inclosure of straw is against a slame of sire.

But it is wont to be faid we agree not among ourselves, and know not what we would have.

And do all that go under the name of the church of England agree among themselves? We can shew more considerable disagreements among them than any can between the most of us, and a considerable part of them. They all agree, it is true, in conformity; and we all agree in nonconformity, And is not this merely accidental to christianity and protestantism? And herein is it not well known that the far greater part of reformed christendom do more agree with us?

An arbitrary line of uniformity in some little accidents severs a small part of the christian world from all the rest. How unreasonably is it expected that therefore all the rest must in every thing else agree among themselves? Suppose any imaginary line to cut off a little segment from any part of the terrestial globe; it is as justly expected that all the rest should be of one mind. If one part of England be tailors, they might as well expect that all the people besides should agree to be of one profession.

Perhaps some imagine it dishonourable to such as have gone before them in the same ecclesiastical stations and dignities, if now any thing should be altered, which their judgment did before approve and think sit. But we hope that temptation will not prove invincible, viz. of so excessive a modelty as to be afraid of seeming wifer, or better natured, or of a more christian temper, than their predecessors.

But the most of us do agree not only with one another, but in the great things above-mentioned with the church of England too: and in short, that the reproach may cease for ever with those that count it one, they will find with us, when they please to try, a very extensive agreement on the terms of King Charles IId's declaration about exclesiastical affairs in 1660.

- 2. 4. Whether it be reasonable to exclude all that in every thing conform not to the church of England, from any part or share of the civil power?
- A. The difference or nonconformity of many is fo minute that it would be as reasonable to exclude all whose bair is not of this or that colour. And what if we should make a distermination by the decision this way or that of any other disputed question that may be of as small concernment to religion? Suppose it be that of eating blood, for the decision whereof one way there is more pretence from God's word, than for any point of the disputed conformity: would it not be a wise constitution, that whosever thinks it lawful to eat black-pudding shall be capable of no office, &c.

But we tremble to think of the exclusive sacramental test, brought down as low as to the keeper of an alehouse. Are all fit to approach the sacred table, whom the fear of ruin or hope of gain may bring thither? We cannot but often remember with horror what happened three or four years ago; a man that led an ill life, but frequented the church, was observed not to come to the sacrament, and pressed by the officers to come; he yet declined, knowing

himself unsit; at length being threatened and terrised he came, but said to some present at the time of the solemn action, that he came only to avoid being undone, and took them to witness that what he there received he took only as common bread and wine, not daring to receive them as the body and blood of Christ. It is amazing that among christians so venerable an institution should be prostituted to the serving of so mean purposes, and so foreign to its true end! And that doing it after the manner of the church of England must be the qualification! As if England were another christendom; or it were a greater thing to conform in every punctilio to the rules of this church than of Christ himself!

But we would fain know whose is that holy table? Is it the table of this or that party, or the LORD's table? If the LORD's, are not persons to be admitted or excluded upon his terms? Never can there be union or peace in the christian world, till we take down our arbitrary inclosures and content ourselves with those which our common Lord hath set. If he fall under a curse that alters a man's landmark, to alter God's is not likely to infer a bleffing.

The matter is clear as the light of the fun, that as many perfons of excellent worth, fobriety, and godlinefs, are entirely in the communion of the church of England, fo there are too many of a worfe character that are of it too; and divers prudent, pious, and fober-minded perfons that are not of it. Let common reason be consulted in this case. Suppose the tables turned, and that the rule were to be made the contrary way, viz. that to do this thing, but not by any means after the manner of the church of England, were to be the qualification; and now suppose one of meaner endowments, as a man and a christian, do what is required, and not in the way of the church of England; and another that is much better, does the same thing in that way; were it suitable to prudence or justice, that be-

cause it is done after the way of the church of England, a fitter man should be reckoned unqualified, and one of less value be taken qualified, because he does it a different way? Then is all that solid weight of wisdom, diligence, sobriety, and goodness, to be weighed down by a feather.

It must furely be thought the prudence of any government to comprehend as many useful persons as it can, and no more to deprive itself of the service of such for any thing less considerable than those qualifications are by which they are useful, than a man would tear off from himself the limbs of his body for a spot on the skin.

And really if in our circumfrances we thus narrow our interests, all the rest of the world will say, that they who would destroy us do yet find a way to be our instructors, and our common enemies do teach us our politics.

P. S. The names of Mr. Hales, of Eton college, and of a latter most renowned bishop of the church of England, who afferted this principle, that if things be imposed under the notion of indifferent, which many think sinjul, and a schism follow thereupon, the imposers are the schismatics, will be great in England, as long as their writings shall live, and good sense can be understood in them.

#### NUMBER II. to Page 20.

An Abstract of the Reasons for and against the Bill of Union.

## I. The Reasons for the Bill.

THIS Bill was commonly fpoken of under the less proper name of a comprehension; and was intended, not as prejudice represented it, to break the frame of the church, but to give it strength and sirmness. No altera-

tion was meant, but in points declared by the church itself, (see the preface to the Book of Common-Prayer.) to be alterable; while the effential part of the doctrine. government, and worthip of the church was to be preferved entire. The alterations were to be made upon the grounds of prudence and charity, to restore what had been abused to its proper use, and to revise and improve the composition of its services. It had been through all times the custom of the christian church, not excepting the church of Rome itself, to change its offices in all ages, without incurring the censure of inconstancy. Condescensions in accommodating things to scrupulous minds had been promifed, and were necessary to remove from the church the imputation of perfecution. At fuch a distance from the Reformation, after so many revolutions, and after fo many objections had been brought forward and confidered, a review of the conflitution was become feafonable, and could be more eafily directed to a determinate point than formerly; and it was the only way to remove the fcruples of differenters. It could not undermine the church, as it was not to be made without the fauction of ecclefiaftical power. It would not take away, as was feared, its fences; for after the effentials and conveniences of religion are provided for, unity and numbers are, under GoD, the strongest fences. Fences, which, as hitherto, were always attacked and perpetually called for defence, had more trouble than fecurity in them. Concessions, fit in themselves, and made for the peace of the church, would not encourage demands of further concessions, but would justify to the world a refusal of them. Should the concessions not be received, it would leave those inexcusable who would advance when the friends of the church were bound and could not meet them; and when the church could, and came forward, ran back to their old extremes of aversation.

The Bill, it was urged, was so framed as to give all reasonable satisfaction to the differences.

As to ministers, the forms of subscription and ordaining were to be so adjusted that those who were episcopally ordained might come into parochial cures without scruple at that which was to be required. For them who had episcopal orders, such a provision was to be made as would fatisfy all the ministers of foreign churches, and it was hoped would fatisfy the English dissenters.

As to the laity, things indifferent in their own nature were to be left indifferent; and the grand objection of their being made unlawful by imposition would be entirely removed: fo that whether used or not used, there would for the future be no bar against lay communion. Though it may be feared, that they who cover interest under the pretence of conscience, and some few pastors of wealthy congregations, might be tempted to defire a continuance of the distance between differers and the churchmen, yet they had more charitable thoughts of the fincere diffenters; and a confident expectation was entertained that the Bill, as offering terms full of christian moderation, and adapted to unite protestants, would certainly meet with the approbation of foreign protestants, who look upon the church of England as the center of protestant unity; as, indeed, they had testified by letters from Holland, Geneva, Switzerland, and other places; and those of them in England had in conversation expressed their satisfaction. And while it would at present have its effect with fincere diffenters, though it should not fucceed with all, it would fecure the next generation who would not have those prejudices instilled into them, which the public law would take away.

It was supposed that had the Uthers, Sandersons, Hammonds, and others of the most worthy men of the last age, been alive, the Bill of Union would have had their cordial support. It was even then approved by the truest sons of the church. It would, though there were not a different in the nation, be the interest of the church to propose such a bill. The constitution of the church would be improved by giving some of its offices a greater solemnity; by additional prayers, hymns, and lessons; and by some new offices for cases for which none were provided. One juncture for such a measure had been lost at the return of Charles II. A new and savourable one now offered from the league at that time between the protestant princes and states.

See "A Letter in defence of the Bill for Uniting of "Protestants, from a Divine to a Member of Parliament," printed in 1689, and licensed by the Earl of Shrewsbury's command April 1; and preserved in the State-Tracts of

King William III. vol. ii. p. 71, &c.

## II. Reasons against the Bill.

A Reply to the preceding was published, entitled, "A Letter from a Member of Parliament in answer to "the letter of the Divine concerning the Bill for uniting "Protestants."

The writer did not object to the word comprehension, nor was he disposed to reject all proposals of alteration; but would be glad to see the good old house improved. He was not so unreasonable as to say that all the appointments of the church were unalterable; but would grant that they were capable of amendment, and would be so even after the intended amendments and supplies.

It is granted, that condescensions were promised; but such as would meet the approbation of a parliament and convocation; and that a bill of toleration, without one of comprehension, was sufficient to remove the charge of persecution from the church.

Yet his judgment was for a review of the constitution; but that would be "a bill of discreet charity," indeed, which would take away all scruples, prevent all prejudices, and reduce the nation to perfect union.

The ecclefiaftical power which he and all divines of his acquaintance defired, was the power of hearing and deliberating concerning the proposed alterations in convocation. He had various objections against the plan designed, that twenty divines under a royal commission should consider and determine of the alterations to be presented afterwards to Parliament; he hoped also to the convocation. The ecclesiastical community, he thought, should be represented, not by a few men of a fort, but by a convention at least of their own choice.

The natural and fecure fence against false doctrines and divisions in the church was, he thought, an approbation required from every minister of the church to what is ordered in it; for if he scruples to say he approves the doctrine, he is not to be trusted with the teaching of it; and if he approve not the discipline, he will practise it untowardly.

That leaving the use of the ceremonies indifferent, and at the choice and judgment of the ministers or the laity, each for himself, would introduce such discordant practices, some observing, others omitting them; that though the church might grow numerous by taking dissenters in, it would be no stronger than an army that filled up its companies with mutineers.

His judgment was, that the House, i. e. the Commons, would not yield to the proposals, and that the differences would refuse an acquiescence in them, and that books against episcopacy and the liturgy would still fly about; that, therefore, the church should perfect herself as she

can, in the best manner for the service of GoD and the edification of the people, and commit the event to the Divine Providence.

He asked, why might not the few pastors of wealthy congregations be provided for by church preferments? This would be a less chargeable gratification to them, than to expose the church, for their pretended ease, to immediate disorders and factions: that the church was not so ill provided as to want their ministers: that if after competent study they really continued to think the ceremonies unlawful, it was to him such a proof of their weakness, as that they ought not to undertake to teach, but should modestly be contented with lay communion,

He granted that a great tenderness was due to the laity, as they took up prejudices from the wrong information of those, who, pretending to instruct them, ought to know better; having not leisure, capacity, or opportunity of correcting their prejudices. The imposition of the ceremonies of kneeling at the sacrament, and of the fign of the cross, and the use of the surplice, as to them, should be dispensed with.

As to the approbation of foreign protestant churches, they would hardly admit into their churches a man who should deny to approve their doctrines and discipline; they kept to their own rules, not, it is to be supposed, from humour and opposition, but upon wise consideration, and for the sake of order and peace. A Calvinist minister may be glad that he may be considered as capable of English preferment, and may write such a letter of compliment to get one; but this is neither authority nor motive for pur change; and "what need is there for a "foreign oracle, or going to Switzerland for a direction "for us in England? Shall strangers be supposed to know "our circumstances better than we? And shall we never think ourselves able to do our own business ourselves."

He was glad that the church of England was looked upon as the center of protestant unity: such he hoped she would prove: that she feemed already to have the proper position for this office, and would, if she vary much, go out of her true place; for so much as she approached to the Calvinist, so far she departed from the Lutheran church, as friendly and a much more considerable body: that if she would be a center, she must be fixed somewhere; for she could never be serviceable to those abroad, if she were in disorder and confusion at home. And that we should be guided by the standing consideration of unity and peace at home, and not by the temporary conjunction of princes and states, which may alter the next year.

That he knew many, and those not inconsiderable, friends to the church against the bill as it was reported to be framed, and who thought it highly for the cause-of the church to have it amended.

Though there be reasons for improvement, though peace and piety may be promoted, which is granted, it should be regularly considered, and not done by a few friends, and on their own head. The prudence of the grand change defigned is the more suspected, as there was great reason to dislike the preparatory expedient.

One cause that the juncture in Charles IId's reign was lost, was the extravagance of the differences' proposals. Some were stiff for every tittle of the old form, and some were more unreasonable against the whole. The fanatics and the papists were for no settlement at all. For fear the juncture should be entirely lost, it was thought adviseable to resolve upon the present establishment.

That the church, though it be not absolutely perfect, is more perfect than any other in the christian world. The papists would take advantage of our discords. He would have the bill so framed as not to occasion their doing it.

The church, he said, without the discorters, and

" united as she is in herself, has been able to make a glo" rious stand against popery regnant; but if by the ad" mission of dissenters the shall be broken and divided,
" she may grow weaker by her new company, and not
" resist so successfully a second time."

The feason might be favourable, but that did not arise from the protestant league abroad; for what breach of any articles of that league would it be, if the church remained unaltered; or how doth the league enabled us to make ever the better alterations. Circumstances at home render the season favourable, if we who were almost comprehended in the ruin, we at least who were united in the desence of our religion, would abate of our stiffness for or against smaller matters, and would be ready to form such a body as may be more firm and lasting.

#### NUMBER III.

Heads of Agreement assented to by the United Ministers in and about London, formerly called Presbyterian and Congregational; not as a measure for any National Constitution, but for the Preservation of Order in our Congregations, that cannot come up to the common rule by law established.

#### I. Of Churches and Church Members.

1. WE acknowledge our Lord Jesus Christ to have one catholick church, or kingdom, comprehending all that are united to him whether in heaven or earth: and do conceive the whole multitude of visible believers, and their infant feed, (commonly called the catholic visible church) to belong to Christ's spiritual kingdom in this world: but for the notion of a catholic visible church bere, as it signifies its having been collected into any formed society, under a visible human head on earth, whether one person singly, or many collectively, we, with the rest of protestants, unanimously disclaim it.

2. We agree, that particular focieties of visible saints, who under Christ their head are statedly joined together for ordinary communion with one another in all the ordinances of Christ, are particular churches, and are to be owned by each other, as instituted churches of Christ, though differing in apprehensions and practices in some lesser things.

3. That none shall be admitted as members, in order to communion in all the special ordinances of the gospel, but such persons as are knowing and sound in the fundamental doctrines of the christian religion, without scandal in their lives; and to a judgment regulated by the word of God, are persons of visible godliness and honesty, credibly professing cordial subjection to Jesus Christ.

4. A competent number of such visible faints (as before described) do become the capable subjects of stated communion in all the special ordinances of Christ, upon their mutual declared consent and agreement to walk together herein according to gospel rule. In which declaration, different degrees of explicitness shall no way hinder such churches from owning each other as instituted churches.

5. Though parochial bounds be not of divine right, yet for common edification, the members of a particular church ought (as much as conveniently may be) to live near one another.

6. That each particular church hath right to chuse their own officers; and being surnished with such as are duly qualified and ordained according to the gospel rule, hath

authority from Christ for exercising government, and of enjoying all the ordinances of worship within itself.

7. In the administration of church power, it belongs to the pastors and other elders of every particular church (if such there be) to rule and govern; and to the brotherhood to confent, according to the rule of the gospel.

8. That all professors, as before described, are bound in duty, as they have opportunity, to join themselves as fixed members of some particular church; their thus joining being part of their professed subjection to the gospel of Christ, and an instituted means of their establishment and edification; whereby they are under the pastoral care, and in case of scandalous or offensive walking, may be authoritatively admonished or censured for their recovery, and for vindication of the truth, and the church professing it,

9. That a visible professor thus joined to a particular church, ought to continue stedsastly with the said church; and not forsake the ministry and ordinances there dispensed, without an orderly feeking a recommendation to another church: which ought to be given, when the

case of the person apparently requires it.

## II. Of the Ministry.

- 1. We agree, that the ministerial office is instituted by Jesus Christ, for the gathering, guiding, edifying, and governing of his church; and to continue to the end of the world.
- 2. They who are called to this office, ought to be endued with competent learning and ministerial gifts; as also with the grace of God, sound in judgment, not novices in the faith and knowledge of the gospel; without scandal, of holy conversation, and such as devote themselves to the work and service thereof.

3 That ordinarily none shall be ordained to the work of this ministry, but such as are called and chosen thereunto by a particular church.

4. That in fo great and weighty a matter, as the calling and chufing a paffor, we judge it ordinarily requifite, that every fuch church confult and advise with the paffors of

neighbouring congregations.

5. That after fuch advice, the person consulted about, being chosen by the brotherhood of that particular church over which he is to he set, and he accepting, be duly ordained, and set apart to his office over them; wherein it is ordinarily requisite, that the pastors of neighbouring congregations concur with the preaching elder or elders, if such there be.

- 6. That whereas such ordination is only intended for such as never before had been ordained to the ministerial office; if any judge, that in the case also of the removal of one formerly ordained, to a new station or pastoral charge, there ought to be a like solemn recommending him and his labours to the grace and blessing of God; no different sentiments or practice herein shall be any occasion of contention or breach of communion among us.
- 7. It is expedient, that they who enter on the work of preaching the gospel, be not only qualified for communion of saints; but also that, except in cases extraordinary, they give proof of their gifts and fitness for the said work unto the pastors of churches, of known abilities to discern and judge of their qualifications: that they may be fent forth with solemn approbation and prayer, which we judge needful, that no doubt may remain concerning their being called to the work; and for preventing (as much as in us lies) ignorant and rash intruders.

#### III. Of Censures.

- 1. As it cannot be avoided, but that in the pureft churches on earth, there will fometimes offences and feandals arise by reason of hypocrify and prevailing corruption; so Christ hath made it the duty of every church to reform itself by spiritual remedies appointed by him to be applied in all such cases, viz. admonition and excommunication.
- 2. Admonition, being the rebuking of an offending member in order to conviction, is, in case of private offences, to be performed according to the rule in Matth. xviii. 15, 16, 17: and in case of publick offences, openly before the church, as the honour of the gospel and nature of the scandal shall require: and if either of the admonitions take place for the recovery of the fallen person, all surther proceedings in a way of censure are thereupon to cease, and satisfaction to be declared accordingly.
- 3. When all due means are used, according to the order of the gospel, for the restoring an offending and scandalous brother, and he notwithstanding remains impenitent, the censure of excommunication is to be proceeded unto; wherein the pastor and other elders (if there be such) are to lead and go before the church, and the brotherhood to give their consent, in a way of obedience unto Christ, and unto the elders, as over them in the lord.
- 4. It may fometimes come to pass, that a church member, not otherwise scandalous, may finfully withdraw, and divide himself from the communion of the church to which he belongeth; in which case, when all due means for the reducing him prove inessessual; he having hereby cut himself off from that church's communion, the church may justly esteem and declare itself discharged of any further inspection over him.

### IV. Of Communion of Churches.

1. We agree, that particular churches ought not to walk fo distinct and separate from each other as not to have care and tenderness towards one another: but their pastors ought to have frequent meetings together, that by mutual advice, support, encouragement, and brotherly intercourse, they may strengthen the hearts and hands of each other in the ways of the Lord.

2. That none of our particular churches shall be subordinate to one another, each being endued with equality of power from Jesus Christ: and that none of the said particular churches, their officer or officers, shall exercise any power or have any superiority over any other church or their officers.

3. That known members of particular churches, conflituted as aforefaid, may have occasional communion with one another in the ordinances of the gospel, viz. the word, prayer, facraments, singing psalms, dispensed according to the mind of Christ; unless that church with which they desire communion, hath any just exception against them.

4. That we ought not to admit any one to be a member of our respective congregations, that hath joined himself to another, without endeavours of mutual satisfaction of

the congregations concerned.

5. That one church ought not to blame the proceedings of another, till it hath heard what that church charged, its elders or meffengers, can fay in vindication of themselves from any charge of irregular or injurious proceedings.

6. That we are most willing and ready to give an account of our church proceedings to each other when desired, for preventing or removing any offences, that may arise among us. Likewise, we shall be ready to give

the right hand of fellowship, and walk together according to the gospel rules of communion of churches.

#### V. Of Deacons and Ruling Elders.

We agree, the office of a deacon is of divine appointment, and that it belongs to their office to receive, lay out, and distribute the church's stock to its proper uses, by the direction of the pastor and elders, if such there be. And whereas divers are of opinion that there is also the office of ruling elders, who labour not in word and doctrine; and others think otherwise, we agree that this difference make no breach among us.

#### VI. Of Synods.

- 1. We agree, that in order to concord, and in any other weighty and difficult cases, it is needful, and according to the mind of Christ, that a synod be called to consult and advise about such matters.
- 2. That a fynod may confift of smaller or greater numbers, as the matter shall require.
- 3. That particular churches, their respective elders and members, ought to have a reverential regard to the judgment of such synods, and not diffent therefrom, without apparent grounds from the word of God.

# VII. Of our Demeanour towards the Civil Magistrate.

- 1. We do reckon ourselves obliged continually to pray for GoD's protection, guidance, and bleffing upon the rulers set over us,
- 2. That we ought to yield unto them not only subjection in the Lord, but support, according to our station and abilities.

3. That if at any time it shall be their pleasure to call together any number of us, or require any account of our affairs, and the state of our congregations, we shall most readily express all dutiful regard to them herein.

#### VIII. Of a Confession of Faith.

As to what appertains to foundness of judgment in matters in faith, we esteem it sufficient, that a church acknowledge the scriptures to be the word of God, the perfect and only rule of faith and practice; and own either the doctrinal part of those commonly called the Articles of the Church of England, or the Confession, or Catechisms, shorter or larger, compiled by the assembly at Westminster, or the confession agreed on at the Savoy, to be agreeable to the said rule,

## IX. Of our Duty and Deportment towards them that are not in communion with us.

- 1. We judge it our duty to bear a christian respect to fellow christians, according to their several ranks and stations, that are not of our persuasion of communion.
- 2. As for such as may be ignorant of the principles of the christian religion, or of vicious conversation, we shall in our respective places, as they give us opportunity, endeavour to explain to them the doctrine of life and salvation, and to our uttermost persuade them to be reconciled to GoD.
- 3. That fuch who appear to have the effential requifites to church communion, we shall willingly receive them in the LORD, not troubling them with disputes about lesser matters.

#### NUMBER IV.

Dissenters' Address on the Death of Queen Mary.

May it please your Majesty,

THOUGH we come in the rear of the train of mourners to pay our tributary tears for the invaluable lofin the death of your royal confort, and our most gracious queen, yet our refentments of it are with as tender a fympathy as are in the breafts of any of your subjects. This gives the sharpest accent to our passions, that the considerations which are most proper and powerful to allay our forrows, exasperate them; for while we remember what fhe was, how general and diffusive a bleshing to three kingdoms, the fevere stroke of Providence in taking her from us is most afflicting. Such a concurrence of high perfection shined in her person and actions, that would have made her illustrious in a low condition; and in her exalted station, they were attractive of the eves and admiration of all. Her mind was above the temptations that attend the throne. Majesty was mixed with that condcfcending humility, that tender and beneficent goodness, that she was easily accessible to all for their relief and support. Her piety and purity were fo conspicuous, her affections were fo composed and temperate, that the court, that is usually the centre of vanity and voluptuousness, became virtuous by the impression of her example. Her conversation was so regular, that her enemies (if goodness in fuch bright eminency had any) could not fasten a taint upon her. Her royal endowments for government, wifdom, magnanimity, vigilance, and care in managing affairs of state, (without which the highest princes are but civil idols, useless and unprofitable to the world,) these were in such a degree of excellency, that in your Majesty's

conftrained absence, while you were defending the interest of christendom against a potent enemy abroad, with the sword of war, she sweetly ordered all things at home with the sceptre of peace. She is gone, and must return no more: oh, astonishing grief! But it becomes us with humble submission to acquiesce in the divine disposal. The will of God is always directed by infinite wisdom, and is the rule of goodness. We must refresh our forrows with the hope that she is entered into her Saviour's joy, whom she imitated and honoured; and that she is made happy in the love of God, and the light of his counternance for ever.

We humbly befeech your Majesty to accept the renewed affurances of our inviolable and constant sidelity to your person and government; and that we shall influence all that are within our compass to persevere in their duty; we shall earnestly pray to the blessed God to keep you in the best protection, his encompassing favour; to support your spirit with divine comforts; and to continue long your precious life, so necessary for preserving the pure religion, and the civil rights of this kingdom.

#### NUMBER V.

Lists of Students educated in the different Seminaries, of which an account has been given, from page 225 to page 261.

I. to Page 230. Mr. Woodhouse's Pupils.

Sir Edward Harley's two fons, viz.

- r. Robert, afterwards Earl of Oxford, born in London in 1661; he died in 1724. His name the history of this country has transmitted to us with celebrity as a statesman and a political character, and as a liberal patron of learning and a great collector of books; his MSS, are preserved in the British Museum.
  - 2. Auditor Harley.
- 3. Henry St. John, Vifcount Bolingbroke, born at Battersea in 1672, where he died 1751. He secured to himself great and permanent honours by his political career under three reigns, and by his works, composed in a neat and slowing style, and dictated by a fine and vigorous genius, though unhappily warped by inveterate prejudices against christianity.
- 3. Thomas Foley, efq; afterwards Lord Foley.
  - 4. \*\*\* Leechmere, efq.

- 5. Thomas Hunt, efq; of Boreaton, Shropshire; the feat of an honourable and worthy family, spoken off with great respect in the lives of the Henries, father and fon.
  - 6. T. Winnington.
- 7. Mr. Yates, of Deanford, who in 1674 was the only furviving student educated at Sheriff-Hales.

The following gentlemen who received academical learning at that feminary, appeared afterwards in the character of ministers:

- 8. Mr. Rob. Travers, who, in 1715, was fettled at Lichfield, and preached at Long near that city, where he fettled 27th Sept. 1692.
- 9. Mr. John Norris, a preacher of fingular talents, and a man of a generous, candid, and benevolent temper, and of amiable piety; who died at Welford in Northamptonshire, Feb. 8, 1738, in the 63d year of his age, where he

had been a minister of a church. originally collected and formed by him, near thirty-eight years, having declined, in the mean time; earnest and unanimous invitations from very confiderable congregations at Birmingham and Nottingham. He died suddenly, as he was going from one apartment of his house to another; he preached on the preceding Sunday, and concluded the public fervices, as if he had a presentiment that he was then taking his farewell, with a pious, lively, and affectionate prayer for his people. Doddridge's Sermons and Tracts, vol. i. p. 151-155, 12mo.

10. Mr. Chewning Blackmore, fon of Mr. Wm. Blackmore, M. A. of Lincoln college, Oxford, ejected from St. Peter's, Cornhill; scribe to the provincial affembly in London; and for his loyalty to Charles II. imprisoned in the Tower under the protectorate; but on his parole by the influence of his elder brother Sir John Blackmore with Cromwell. Chewning Blackmore fettled with a congregation of protestant dissenters in the city of Worcester, of which he was many years the pastor; and died

about the year 1742, leaving the character of a truly valuable person, highly esteemed for his singular talents and abilities by his brethren in the ministry.

11. Mr. William Willets, who settled at Dudley in Worcestershire, and died of a decline about the year 1702. Dr. Calamy has enrolled his name in a list of the worthies of the day. Vol. ii. preface, p. xxxii.

12. Mr. Daniel Greenwood, who was first settled at West. Bromwich, Staffordshire, and then minister in connection nection with Mr. Turton, of the first congregation of protestant dissenters in Birmingham, called from its situation the higher, and which now bears the name of the old, meeting.

13. Mr. George Flower, a native of Burton-upon-Trent. In 1696 he became domestic chaplain to Mr. Foley; in 1698 he was chosen minister of the congregation of protestant dissenters formed that year in the town of Stourbridge in Worcestershire, of which he remained the pastor till his death in 1733, in the 60th year of his age. He was a man of considerable accomplishments, and of an amiable temper, and

in his character the scholar, the gentleman, and the christtian were gracefully united. Memoirs of the Rev. Samuel Bourn, p. 275, &c.

14. Mr. John Spilfbury, fon of Mr. John Spilsbury, M. A. fellow of Magdalen college, Oxford. and ejected from Bromsgrove in Worcestershire; and on the maternal fide, nephew of Dr. John Hall, bishop of Briftol, who appointed him his executor. He was thirtythree years pastor of the congregation of diffenters at Kid derminster, whose place of worship was erected for him. He died Jan. 31, 1727, aged 60. " A graceful and familiar "address from the pulpit," fays Dr. Latham, " and wife, " prudent conduct out of it. " gave him great weight and "influence in the place of his " refidence." His fon.

Mr. Francis Spilfbury, an amiable and respectable character, sustained the ministerial office, as pastor of the congregation of diffenters at Salters' Hall, London, for forty years, with a dignissed propriety and singular acceptance; and died March 3, 1782, aged 77.

15. Mr. James Warner, who Lettled at Tewkesbury.

16. Mr. John Newman, a native of Oxfordshire, and born about the year 1676. began to preach when he was about nineteen years of age, and in 1606 was chosen affistant to the Rev. Nath. Taylor at Salters' Hall, and was elected co-pastor of the fame congregation with Mr. Tong in 1706. During the long period of forty-five years he supported his connection with this fociety with usefulness and reputation. See Wilson's Dissenting Churches. vol. ii. p. 33-36.

17. Mr. \*\*\* Hayley; who fettled in Leominster, and died in 1719.

18. Mr. Edward Oasland, who was in 1715 minister of the congregation at Bewdley in Worcestershire; from the living of which town his father, Mr. Henry Oasland, an active, fervent, and acceptable minister, had been ejected.

19. Mr. Benj. Robinsone, who succeeded Mr. Woodhouse in Little St. Helen's, London, of whom we have spoken before, p. 251-253.

20. Mr. Joseph Stokes, who fucceeded Mr. Willets at Dudley; and died pastor of the diffenting congregation in that town in 1743.

21. Mr. Benjamin Bennet, born at Willsborough, a village near Market-Bosworth, in the county of Leicester, in 1674; he commenced his try in a fmall congregation at Temple-Hall, a village near the place of his nativity; and in 1600 was invited to succeed Dr. Gilpin at Newcastle-upon-Tyne, where he died Sept. 1. 1726. His works, especially his " Memorial of the Refor-" mation," the "Irenicum," and the "Christian Oratory," have transmirted to us honourable testimonials of his piety and temper, his talents and character. For more particulars fee the Monthly Repository, vol. ii. p. 341, 453; and Memoirs of him prefixed to the late respectable Mr. Samuel Palmer's edition of the Christian Oratory.

who was ordained minister of the congregation at Stretton in Warwickshire, and remained in that connection in 1715.

23. Mr. Ruffel, near Wolverhampton.

24. Mr. James Thompson, minister of the dissenting congregation at Bromsgrove, where he died in 1729. He was distinguished by holy zeal, vivacity, and eagerness in the fervice of God, and the duties of the ministry.

25. Mr. John Warren, whom

Dr. Latham characterifes as the polite and amiable Mr. Warren, began his ministerial life in an agreeable retirement, as chaplain to Philip Foley, efq: Prestwood, near Kidderminster; and afterwards, for about fifty years, adorned a public station among the diffenters in Coventry; first as affistant to Mr. Tong; then as co-pastor with Mr. Ioshua Merrel; and then as sole paftor till his death, at near feventy years of age, in 1742. He greatly excelled in the functions of his office. His mind was stored with theological knowledge. "The " clearness of his thoughts. " the propriety and freedom of " his expressions, the justness " of his method, and the de-" cency of his elocution, all " animated by a true fenfe of " the importance of the things " he delivered, rendered him " a very entertaining and use-" ful preacher: he had a happy " talent in prayer, and was " affiduous in all pastoral duties. "On the day before his death " he declared to a friend, 'I " have that peace and comfort

" 'I would not be without for " a world."—Protestant Dissenters' Magazine, vol. v. for 1798, p. 282.

26. Mr. Warren, settled at Burbick, Wilts.

27. Mr. Ferdinando Shaw, the fon of the excellent Mr. Samuel Shaw, M. A. of St. John's college, Cambridge, and ejected from the restory of Long-Whatton, Leicestershire; he fettled with a congregation of protestant dissenters in the town of Derby, of which he was for forty-fix years the pastor. His character was drawn in the town print of the day as that of a gentleman of great worth, and endued with many christian virtues; patient under many years confinement and the most acute pains, and, during any mitigation of the paroxyfms, of a cheerful and agreeable temper. Notwithstanding his bodily infirmities, he was diligent and faithful in his ministry. His manners to all were affable and courteous. His charities to the poor were generous and extensive, not confined within the narrow boundaries of any particular fect, and liberal to his utmost power. His amiable virtues gained him universal esteem and good will. He died

the 20th of Jan. 1743, aged 72. Hisfuneral fermon was preached previously to his interment by the Rev. Joseph Rogerson, and he was followed to his grave, in the parish church of St. Werburgh, by his congregation; his pall was supported by fix ministers of the neighbourhood, viz. Dr. Latham, of Findern, Mr. Rogerson, Derby, Mr. Murray, Burton-upon-Trent, Mr. Walton, Castle-Donnington, Mr. Peat, Wirksworth, and Mr. Gregory, of Findern. N. B. These particulars are taken from the Derby Mercury, vol. xiii. num. 47, communicated by Mr. Peyton, of Birmingham, on the maternal side descended from Mr. Shaw. The eulogium on his character was echoed by the pen of a clergyman in elegiac lines, in the Gentleman's Magazine for February 1745.

28. Mr. Samuel Philips, who fettled with a congregation at Bromyard, and died there 1721.

29. Mr. Matthew Clark, an eminent minister among the Independents, son of the Rev. Matthew Clarke, ejected from Narborough in Leicestershire, was born 2d Feb. 1664; where, on the commencement of his ministerial character, he first

affifted his father for three years, and laid the foundation of feveral diffenting churches. He then fpent two years with a congregation at Sandwich in Kent. About 1600 he accepted an invitation from an independent congregation, Miles's-lane, London. He continued his connection with this fociety till his death, March 27, 1726, aged 62. Much beloved and much lamented, an admired and useful preacher, leaving behind him at his death one of the most numerous and flourishing congregations in the metropolis; he was ranked among the best and most useful divines of the age in which he lived; and united in his character, to an eminent degree, the gentleman and the christian. See a full memoir of him in Wilson's History of Diffenting Churches, vol. ii. p. 474 -491.

30. Mr. John Ratcliffe, born in 1677, commenced his academical studies under Mr. Woodhouse, which he afterwards pursued and finished under Mr. Lorimer, Mr. Spademan, and Mr. Oldsield, near London. He was descended from a family belonging to the established church; but becoming acquainted with some serious differences in

his youth, and meeting with fome of their practical works. particularly Baxter's Saint's Rest, his mind received deep impressions of piety, and he was led to examine the reasons of diffent, and was determined to adhere to its principles. When he had finished his academical studies, his residence was for fome time in Effex. Here he fell into a depression of spirits and a religious diftress of mind; which induced him to confult some eminent ministers in London, first by letter, and then to remove to the city for the benefit of free and frequent personal intercourse with them. The gentlemen, to whom he fully opened his heart, were Mr. Sylvester, Mr. Howe, Mr. Spademan, and Mr. Shower; the event was the happy fettlement of his mind. At this time the death of Mr. Stancliff. at Rotherhithe, and an occasional fermon he preached there, opened the way for an harmonious invitation to become the pastor of the congregation; to which office he was folemnly ordained in 1705, and continued in it for nearly twentythree years, with constant and indefatigable application to its duties, till his death on the 16th Feb. 1728. He was exemplary in conduct, and remarkable for univerfal benevolence, formed upon truly generous and catholic principles, as well as for the pious feriousness of his spirit. His catechetical exercifes, began in the year 1707 and continued to the year 1715, formed an eminent part of his ministerial labours. He first entered upon them with a small number of catechumens in his house. On a strong disposition expressed by many to attend them, and by other public spirited persons to encourage and patronize them, he removed them to his place of worship, and devoted every Monday to this fervice from five o'clock in the morning to eight at night. Sometimes there were not fewer than 2000 on a day. The numbers thus instructed within the eight years were estimated at 10,000. The catechumens were young persons of all parties and denominations. The younger children recited the answers in the assembly's catechism; those of farther standing were employed in hearing them; others preferved order; and exact accounts of every one's proficiency and behaviour were returned. Mr. Ratcliffe after

this fpent two hours in examining the grown youths upon the parts or fense of an answer. or more frequently of a text of scripture. He closed the service with fome practical inferences, a pathetic exhortation adapted to the capacities and temptations of children, and an earnest prayer. After dinner the time was given to free and profitable conversation. The evening was spent in like endeavours with the other fex. Rewards were bestowed according to their proficiencies, to excite emulation. Among the rewards were Allen's Sure Guide, Baxter's Call, and, on the recital of the whole catechism, a bible. The expences incurred by these exercises were great, not less than 300l. a year. and fometimes they amounted to 4 or 500l. These were defrayed by stated subscriptions, and by confiderable donations from unknown persons. Ratcliffe received no remuneration for all his incredible pains: but out of his own income, his though the circumstances of family would have justified his waving any pecuniary aid, he subscribed himself 101, per annum. It should be mentioned to the honour of Mr. Ratcliffe,

as a confishent protestant, that though he used and valued the catechism particularly adopted by the diffenters, as a very useful fummary of, in their judgment, christian principles, yet the Rible alone was his flandard: this he inculcated upon the children. He was far from censuring others, when their apprehensions differed from his, and always expected to be allowed the liberty of judging about the sense of the Bible in the best manner he could. See his " funeral fermon," by Dr. John Evans, p. 24, 32.

N. B. The preceding lift of Mr. Woodhouse's pupils is furnished by the late Mr. Josiah Thompson's " Account of the "Diffenting Academies," MS. at present penes me, p. 2. Mr. Thompson adds, that Mr. John Southwell, who was nephew to Mr. Rich. Southwell, ejected from the chapelry of Baswick in Staffordshire, and fometime affiftant minister with Mr. Woodhouse at Dudley in Worcestershire, and who afterwards removed to Newbury in Berkshire, continued for some time the feminary of Sheriff Hales; the names of two gentlemen only are given, as having studied under him ; viz.

1. William Harris, D. D. forty-two years pastor of the congregation of protestant diffenters in Crutched-Friars, a celebrated preacher, an eminent divine, and in high reputation as an author. He was reckoned the greatest master of the English tongue among the diffenters; which was thought, by a good judge, to have derived fome embellishments from his manner of writing. His compositions on divers subjects and occasions were laboured and finished. He was particularly a true friend. and excellent pattern to young ministers, in preaching and conversation. He wrote with judgment in the deiftical controversy with Woolston and Collins; with the former in two discourses on "The reason-"ableness of believing in Christ, " and the unreasonableness of "Infidelity; with brief Remarks " on the case of Lazarus:" and with the latter, rather in way of reference than of direct answer to "The Grounds and "Reasons," in a volume of fermons, under the title of " Practical Discourses on the " principal representations of " the Messiah throughout the "Old Testament." It was a favourite observation with him, that without some knowledge of scripture criticism, no man can thoroughly understand his Bible, or make a proper use of it. For a suller memoir of this excellent character see Protestant Diffenters' Magazine for 1795, p. 217, &c. And Wilfon's Dissenting Churches, vol. i. p. 66—77.

2. Mr. Thomas Leavefly, first pastor of a congregation at Little Baddow in Essex; and then, as successor to Mr. Simon Brown, at the Old Jewry, in London, stom about 1723 to 1737; a man of excellent temper, and distinguished by a gift in prayer. He was one of the preachers of the lecture at Salters' Hall against popery, in 1735. See Wilson's Dissenting Churches, vol. ii. p. 358, 360.

P.S. It appears, from an article in the obituary of the Monthly Magazine for December 1797, p. 493, on the death

of Mr. John Southwell, November 22, in that year, in the 75th year of his age, the grandfon of the tutor, that Mr. Thompson's account of him is not quite correct, any more than complete. It is there stated, that he, having been educated under Mr. Woodhouse, was fuccessively chaplain to Philip Foley, of Bestwood, esq; affistant to Mr. Woodhouse, master of an academy at Kidderminster, which he removed to Dudley, and thence to Newbury; and died of a confumption, about 1604, aged about 32. His grandfon was 33 years master of the grammar-school at Stafford: a correct and elegant scholar, and a man of distinguishing and amiable excellencies of character, who in the latter part of his life fystematically cultivated cheerfulness, as a duty, and whose most favourite author was Jortin.

#### II. Mr. Warren's Pupils.

Befides Mr. Grove, the names of the following gentlemen are preserved, as having received academical learning under Mr. Warren.

1'. Mr. John Enty, a native of Cornwall, the fon of a taylor, who worked at the houses of his customers, and who had begun to initiate the youth in the same employment; till being once at Tregothnan, the seat of the Boscawens, the indications he gave of intelligence and parts attracted the notice of Mrs. Fortescue, a lady, who was a

great friend of the diffenting cause, and induced her to take him under her patronage, and to support the expence of his education, first at a grammarschool, and then in his academical course: which he finished with the character of a bright and ferious young man. He was invited to fettle, as successor to Mr. Shirwell, with a congregation at Plymouth. Though the topics on which he discourfed were common and trite; yet the pains he is faid to have bestowed on his compositions, a ftrong mufical voice, a lively imagination, and a great command and flow of words, adapted to the taste of his hearers, pleased the ear and moved the passions. After the ejectment of the great Mr. Peirce, he was chosen one of the ministers of the united congregations at Exeter, in 1720. At this time the diffenters thro' Devon and Cornwall were greatly agitated by the warmth with which the trinitarian controversy was carried on; and at the affembly of ministers, says my author, had " fet up a spiri-" tual tyranny, and successfully " and smoothly carried it on " for many years under the " cover of three words, Agree" ment, Order. Decency. 12 Mr. Enty became a strenuous advocate for the orthodoxy of the day, and a leader in the transactions of the assembly: and all ecclefiaffical affairs were directed by him with the applause of his friends, by whom he was much careffed, but with a peculiar hauteur and contempt which provoked and difgusted others. But, in private circles, his manners were easy and free, and without any affectation of distance and gravity. He had a great ascendancy over his principal hearers, without prying into the fecrets of families, or interfering in domestic affairs. He outlived the controverfy, and furvived his opponents, enjoying the peace, power, and reputation, which by a stedfast adherence to his party he had firmly established. An epidemic disease terminated his life about the end of the year 1743, and he met death with great composure and decency, taking a distinct and solemn leave of his family.-Mr. Fox's Lives of his old and particular friends; a MS. communicated by his grandfon, Mr. George Cleather, of Stones house, near Plymouth.

N.B. Mr. Fox, a native of Plymouth, was a fellow student with Dr. Chandler, and Archbishop Secker, at Mr. Eames's. He was educated for the ministry, and preached once; but soon after lay aside the character, and afterwards conformed, as a layman.

2. Mr. Jacob Sandercock, who fettled at Tavistock, Devon, in 1688, and was a character that carried great weight and authority. Fox's MS.

3. Mr. George Brett, who fettled at Lifkeard in Cornwall; " a genius, a man of a se clear head and a great me-" mory; able to talk off hand " on any point, as if he had "fludied no other; his learning " laid not only in divinity and " history, but he was an acute or philosopher; understood more " of the grounds of physic than " many who professed it; he " had a taste for painting and " music; he disclaimed the " power assumed for some years " by the Exeter affembly, and " held in contempt the prefby-" terian hierarchy." Fox's MS. He fettled at Lifkeard about the year 1705, and was living in 1761. His grand-daughter by his only daughter and child,

married to Mr. John Weymouth of Exeter, was the first wife of the late Rev. Timothy Kenrick, of that city.

4. Mr. Christopher Taylor, who, with a capacity fufficiently great and extensive to qualify him for any of the learned professions, chose to devote himself. under the difficulties and difcouragements of the times, to the ministry among the dissenters; and in his academical course made a very considerable progress in rational and polite learning, which he afterwards cultivated and improved. He was folemnly ordained to the office of minister on the 25th August 1687, and was recommended to fill up a very public flation at Bath; and in 1600 was invited to the fervice of the congregation in Hatton-Garden. London, where he discharged the pastoral office to their great fatisfaction about twenty-four years; and scarcely any minister in the city was more constantly attended on, or more valued and regarded by his people: though ministerial labours were chiefly confined to them, his usefulness was much more extensive, especially to the comwelfare of protestant mon

dissenters; and he was engaged by some of the best judges of men to act in fome public transactions of great consequence both to Scotland and England. viz. those of the union of the two kingdoms, in 1707. the completion of which, he preached and printed a thanksgiving fermon. He was zealous for the civil and religious liberties of mankind. He delivered his discourses as one deeply fenfible of the weight of the fervice in which he was engaged, and greatly concerned for the honour of God, and the edification of his hearers, with vigour and affection. He united in his character a quick apprehension, a sound judgment, and a good taste of things; wisdom in council, and a considerable insight into the affairs of the world; a large share of natural firmness and integrity, a steady honesty, and a true greatness and generosity of mind. He died on the 26th of October 1723.—The funeral sermon by Mr. Joshua Bayes.

#### III. Mr. Charles Morton's Pupils.

1. Mr. Samuel Lawrence, a native of Wem in Shropshire, born in 1661; who, after some time spent at Mr. Philip Henry's, and then at Mr. Malden's, at Alkinton, near Whitchurch, where he improved himself very much in Greek and Hebrew, went through a course of university learning under Mr. Morton. He was, first, for several years domestic chaplain to Lady Irby, relict of Sir Anthony Irby, of Westminster. In 1688, he accepted an unanimous invitation to become the minister of a congregation of

diffenters at Nantwich in Cheshire; where he discharged the duties of his pastoral office, embracing all opportunities of doing good, with diligence and earnestness, for nearly 24 years. His whole conversation in the world, was blameness and earnest. He was a good scholar, and a judicious and ferious preacher. He died April 4th 1712, in the 51st year of his age. Some of the last words he was heard to utter were, " I " do not fear, I do not fear." His second fon was the very amiable Dr. Samuel Lawrence, many years passo of the congregation in Monkwell-street, London.—Mr. Matt. Henry's funeral sermon for the father, p. 33—48; Dr. Fordyce's ditto for the son, p. 26—32.

2. Mr. John Beaumont, of whom we have already made mention, p. 250,1.

3. Mr. Thomas Reynolds, born in London about the year 1667, and admitted into Mr. Morton's academy 1683. He afterwards studied at Geneva and Utrecht. He was invited. in 1605, to succeed Mr. Thomas Kentish, as minister of a small congregation in Cannon-street, London: which in a short time became numerous, and erected a new and more commodious place of worship, over the King's Weigh-House in Little East-cheap; where Mr. Reynolds continued his ministerial labours for above thirty years with celebrity and reputation, diligence and fuccess, till 1727. He was one of the first fix ministers, who conducted a lecture at the Weigh-House on Friday evening, and was one of the lecturers at Salters' Hall on a Tuesday. He took a distinguished part in the trinitarian controversy in 1719; and was a ffrenuous advocate for the

fubscription required by many to the first article of the Church of England, and to the answers to the fifth and fixth questions in the assembly's catechism, through a misguided zeal imposing on others their own views of the christian doctrine. For a memoir of Mr. Reynolds, see Wilson's Diffent ing Churches, vol. i. p. 157, 172.

4. Mr. Joseph Hill, born the 11th of October 1667, in Salifbury; who on his removal from the free school of that city, into which he entered at the age of feven, and where he continued nine years, affording early specimens of a good genius for learning, was placed under the tuition of Mr. Morton; after the breaking up of whose feminary, he studied under Mr. Sprint, a diffenting minister, near Andover under the learned Mr. Richard Stretton, and under Mr. Glascock. When he had finished his academical course. he spent seven years as chaplain in the family of Lady Irby. His first connection in the pastoral office was with a congregation of protestant disfenters in Swallow-street, St. James's. In 1600, he accepted an invi. tation from the English church at Rotterdam: where he continued his ministry for nineteen years, and was held in great respect by the Dutch as well as English Churches. On the 10th of February 1718, he became paftor of the congregation of dissenters of the presbyterian denomination, which then affembled at Haberdashers' Hall, where he succeeded Mr. Coningham. Here he laboured nearly eleven years, approving himself, though not a popular preacher, a learned, pious, and indicions divine. He died in his sleep on the 21st of January 1729. The congregation, which had declined in numbers for many years, dissolved itself in 1734, when Dr. Theophilus Lobb was its minister. Wilson's Diffenting Churches, vol. iii. p. 137-139.

fellow student with Mr. Thomas Reynolds, was descended from respectable and religious parents, at Trelill, near Warbridge, in Cornwall, where he was born in 1662. He received the rudiments of classical learning from the Rev. Joseph Halsey, ejected from the rectory of St. Michael Penkevel in that county; whose house, on its being known, that for want of a convenient school, he had taken

on himself the instruction of his own children, was thronged with gentlemen's fons of the best rank, tho' many of them were averse from nonconformity. After Mr. Hocker had finished his academia cal course under Mr. Morton, he engaged as achaplain to a worthy family at Edmonton, near London, where, besides his daily offices, he preached every LORD's day evening, on which fervice the neighbours were permitted to attend. The event was, that by this means he formed the first congregation of protestant dissenters in that place, which afterwards became respectable in numbers and wealth. Here he exercised his ministry, with energy and fuccess in striking the conscience and healing the wounded mind, for above thirty years; when he accepted an invitation, in 1719, to be the colleague of Mr. Samuel Pompet, minister of the congregation, in Gravel-lane, Hounsditch. In this connection he died on the 12th of December 1721, greatly honoured, univerfally beloved, and much lamented; having exhibited a pattern of laborious diligence in the duties of his office, especially of indefatigable attention to visiting the sick and afflicted,

whether rich or poor; and an amiable pattern of modesty, meekness, and humility.-A fingular fact connects itself with his history. A young gentlewoman, a relation of his tutor. visiting Mr. Morton with her mother from Barbadoes, while he was a student, was much affected by the example of his piety. Previously to their leaving England, Mr. Hocker drew up and put into her hands a paper of christian counsel and advice. On their voyage back to Barbadoes these ladies were taken by a Sallee man, and carried away captives to Mequinez. They were stript of all they had, but the daughter found means of preserving this MS. mother, after several years spent in captivity, was fet at liberty, and came to England; where the expressed a lively sense of the benefit and confolation she and her daughter had derived in their affliction from this paper, which was the only memorandum of christian principles they were permitted to keep .-The young lady, who was detained, was presented to the Emperor of Fez and Morocco. He was fo captivated with her beauty, that no means of allurement to make her renounce

her religion, were uneffayed. When these arts did not prevail, the was beaten in a most barbarous manner, her skin was laid open in feveral places, and fire fet to the gunpowder with which the interstices were filled. Yet she continued stedfaft. When the was almost killed, the Emperor declared that he would marry her, notwithstanding her religious profession; which he did, and she became one of his four queens, -Revnolds's funeral fermon for Mr. Hocker, p. 36-55.

6. Mr. Joseph Bennet, whose father and grandfather were worthy ministers, the former ejected from Brightling in Suffex, was born in 1665, and received his grammar learning under Mr. Thomas Goldham, at Burwash in the same county, a polite scholar, whom the act of uniformity ejected from that vicarage. From whose seminary he removed to Mr. Morton's academy, to purfue a course of university studies; of which in subsequent life he always spoke with singular pleasure, and of his tutor with great respect and veneration. He preached for fome time, as a probationer, at Stratford in Esfex. In 1692, June 22, he

and fix other young ministers, viz. Mr. Joshua Bayes, Mr. Thomas Reynolds, Mr. Joseph Hill, Mr. Ebenezer Bradshaw, of Ramfgate in the county of Kent, Mr. William King, of Rumford in Essex, and Mr. afterwards Dr. Edmund Calamy, were folemnly ordained at Dr. Annefley's meeting-house in Little St. Helens. The fervice was conducted by Dr. Samuel Annesley, Mr. Vincent Alsop, Dr. Daniel Williams, Mr. Richard Stretton, Mr. Matthew Sylvester, and Mr. Kentish. This was the first instance of a public ordination in the city of London, after passing the Act of Uniformity; as those fervices had been performed till then with great caution and privacy. Mr. Bennet's first fettlement after this was as a colleague with Mr. William Wickins, venerable for character and years, at Newington In 1708, he was Green. chosen assistant to the eminent Mr. Shower in this connection with whom, and with his fucceffor Mr. Simon Brown, he continued his ministerial fervices to the congregation in the Old Jewry, greatly esteemed,

till his death, February 21, 1725, aged 61; supporting the character of a scriptural and judicious preacher, of the man of learning, and of the christian and minister, distinguished by modefty, humility, strict piety, and of an "Ifraelite in-" deed, in whom there was no "guile." The remark he made when he delivered the difcourfe, which proved his last fermon, from Luke ii. 14. "Glory to God in the highest, " and on earth peace, good " will towards men," that he thought that a good subject to end with: and the text. Pfalm ix. 10, "They that know " thy name will put their trust " in thee: for thou LORD haft " not forfaken them that feek "thee," on which he defired that his funeral fermon might he preached, and pressed on the hearts and attention of the hearers, as the fum of his own observation and experience; were expressive indications of the turn of his thoughts, and the excellent state of his mind. -Dr. Calamy's fermon for Mr. Bennet, p. 4, 34-46. Wilfon's Diffenting Churches, vol. ii. p. 331-338.

## IV. Mr. Frankland's Pupils. To page 235.

We have, probably, no lift of fludents educated in the feminaries of differents fo minute and full as that which was affixed by Dr. Latham, of the pupils of the above-named eminent tutor, to a funeral fermon for the Rev. Daniel Madock, of Uttoxeter, 26th May 1745. " When," as he expressed it, " many of those young lights " were fet as to us, but would " fhine out again in the firma-" ment above." The date of the commencement of their academical course is specified against each. A correspondent under the fignature of P. has republished this list through several numbers of the Monthly Repolitory for 1811, with fuch biographical notices as the information he had obtained could furnish. To these authorities the reader is referred; and this account will be confined to those names only, of whom we are able to supply some biographical notices from other quarters; prefixing to each name a number correspondent to the order in Dr. Latham's catalogue.

No. 3. Mr. Thos. Whitaker, 7th April 1670, was of a very antient family in Lancashire. In 1676, he became minister to a large congregation of nonconformists in Call-lane, Leeds, and had a full share of the hardships and persecutions of the times; yet he was fo refpected by the mayor and aldermen, that they often absented themselves, when they had reason to expect the informers. length one Kirkshawlodged an information against him, and he was committed to the gaol in York, January 1683. This trial was aggravated by the death of his wife while he was in prison. After his liberation. he renewed and purfued his ministry in peace, and respected by all, till his death on Nov. 19, 1710, in the 34th year of his pastoral connection at Leeds. He left two fons; William, afterwards a physician in London. and Thomas, who fucceeded him. His works were a volume of fermons on the parable of the unclean spirit; to which are annexed several funeral fermons: also two single fermons, viz. " Comfort for Parents " mourning over their children "dying young;" and "The " Christian Sanctuary, or Room " for returning Sinners." Mr.

Thomas Whitaker, jun. purchased the estate of Kirkshaw, the informer against his father; and actually relieved his posterity, greatly reduced by the vices of their parent.—MS. additions to a copy of Mr. J. Fawcett's "Life of Oliver" Heywood," presented to the author of this History by the late R. W. Moult, esq; of Wickersley, near Rotheram.

16. Mr. John Heywood, 26th May 1674, eldest son of Mr. Oliver Heywood, ejected from Coley, Yorkshire, settled first as a schoolmaster at Kirkheaton, in that county, 27th May 1678. He had previoufly to this fpent fome little time in the university of Glafgow. On the 23d August 1631, he was folemnly fet apart to the work of the ministry; the service was conducted in a private house at Craven. See the Life of the Father, p. 127-8. November 18, 1684, he was appointed tutor to Thomas Westby, fon and heir of - Westby, esq; of Ravenfield, near Rotheram. March 14, 1693, he was invited to become the minister of the congregation of diffenters in Rotheram. Soon after, on his marriage,

he left Mr. Westby's family, and fixed his residence in the town; where he remained about eight years, and then removed to Pontefract, at which place he died. Moult's MS.

17. Eliezer Heywood, 26th May 1674, was ordained to the ministry, June 1st, 1687, at the house of his father; the fervice was conducted by his tutor Mr. Frankland, Mr. James Bradshaw, Mr. Dawson. Mr. John Isfot, and others. He then became domestic chapiain to Major Taylor, of Walling Wells, near Carlton, Notting hamshire; and continued in this retired fituation for feveral years. Soon after his marriage, January 18, 1700, he removed to Dromfield in Derbyshire, and preached to a small congregation there till his death. His fon, educated under Dr. Latham, was pastor of the congregation at Mansfield. where he died about 1805. This gentleman's fon, Mr. Samuel Heywood, a most excellent and amiable man. attorney at law, and town-clerk of Nottingham, died greatly lamented, 25th July 1789, aged 34. Moult's MS. and Wakefield's Life, vol. i. p. 296, 299.

18. Mr. Thomas Cotton, 26th May 1674. This is the name in Dr. Latham's lift, and in Mr. Thompson's MS. on diffenting academies; but P. in the Repository, has it Colton. About the year 1715, there was a gentleman of this name, with the initials M. D. after it, minister of a congregation in the city of York.

22. Mr. John Nesbitt, June 28, 1674. He was a native of Northumberland. born 6th October 1661. His zeal for the protestant religion, expreffed in the most public manner in the presence of the Duke of York, afterwards James IId. exposed him to no light fufferings before he was twenty years of age. He was obliged to withdraw from Edinburgh, foon after he commenced his studies in the university there, and with some others to seek fecurity in a foreign land. But going from London to Holland, they were feized, and committed close prisoners to the Marshalsea; where he was laid in irons, and confined for more than four months, in hopes of making him an evidence. But neither the evils he fuffered, nor the advantageous offers made to him by the King in

council, could corrupt the integrity or shake the firmness of his mind. During his confinement he had no books except his Bible, which he was obliged to conceal, left it should be taken from him. This he read much: and from the principles and exercises of devotion he derived such pleafure and confolation, that he often declared, in subsequent life, " the presence of God " made the prifon a palace to "him." He died 27th October 1727, in the 67th year of his age; having been pastor of the fame congregation, Hare-Court, Aldersgate-street, London, thirty-three years, with great acceptance to the See Hurrion's funeral laft. fermon for Mr. Nesbitt, p. 34-42. Wilfon's Diffenting Churches, vol. iii. p. 282-286.

38. Mr. Nathaniel Heywood, 25th April 1677, was minister of a congregation at Ormskirk, from the vicarage of which town his father had been ejected; and died there October 26, 1704. See Fawcett's Life of Oliver Heywood, p. 133.

42. Mr. Joseph or Joshua Eaton was settled at Macclesfield in 1696; and according to Dr. Clegg, removed first to Nottingham, and then to Colchester, and was afterwards a very useful physician in London, and reached to a very advanced age; for Dr. Grosvenor's Essay on Health" is dedicated, with sentiments of great respect and gratitude, to Dr. Joseph Eaton, of the College of Physicians, London, second edition, 1748. See Protestant Diffenters' Magazine, 1798, vol. v. p. 403, note.

44. Mr. Peter Finch, 3d May 1678. According to Mr. Thompson's MS. and Neal's List of Diffenting Congregations and Ministers, he settled with the independent congregation at Norwich, in 1715. In which connection he died, having lived to bury three successive generations.

46. Mr. Thomas Lea, May 11, 1678. According to the preceding authorities, he was fettled at Knutsford, Cheshire.

56. Mr. John Gledhill, through mistake, in the Monthly Repository, called Gleadhall, October 1st, 1678, born in Yorkshire, was the son of an excellent man, distinguished by knowledge and piety. He entered on the ministry when young, with great courage and zeal, in a time of persecution.

After a few years he fettled at Colchester, where his peaceable spirit and prudent conduct restored and maintained the harmony of the congregation, which he found in a divided state. He fulfilled his ministerial duties with pleasure, fervour, and zeal; besides preaching twice every Lord's day, catechifing the young perfons publicly every other Lord'sday. He continued in this connection, beloved and respected by his people, for thirty-four years, to his death on December 20, 1727, in the 66th year of his age. Barker's funeral fermon for Mr. Gledhill, p. 32-36.

61. Mr. Adam Holland, March 2, 1680; according to Neal's MS, was M. D.

67. Mr. Abraham Dawson 13th April 1680; was settled at Cottingham, near Hull, in 1715. Neal's MS.

75. Mr. William Tong, 2d March 1680.

84. Mr. Nathaniel Priestly, Feb. 2, 1681; was fettled at Halifax in 1715.

107. Mr. Joshua Bayes, Nov. 15, 1686; was minister of the congregation at Leather-lane, in Hatton-garden, London, in connection with Mr. Christopher Taylor. He published a funeral

fermon for that gentleman; a fecond for Mr. Cornish, also his colleague; and a third preached at Salters'-hall, against popery, on the worship of God in an unknown tongue. He had a brother, a respectable minister at Tunbridge; and author of a tract that excited attention, entitled "Divine Benevolence," 1731, in the controverly, on the fpring of the divine actions, between this writer, Mr. Balguy, and Mr. Grove. Doddridge's Divinity Lectures, by Kippis, vol 1. p. 177-184. 112. Mr. John Piggot, 21ft of January 1686: fettled with a baptist congregation in Little Wild-street, Lincoln's-Inn Fields, London; and died 1713, after a long fickness, during which the piety and charity

by Kippis, vol 1. p. 177–184.

112. Mr. John Piggot, 21st of January 1686; settled with a baptist congregation in Little Wild-street, Lincoln's-Inn Fields, London; and died 1713, after a long sickness, during which the piety and charity which adorned his conversation in the time of his health, seemed to increase in strength and lustre. He was a man of learning; and his discourses, in which were happily blended reason and cloquence, were adapted at once to improve the mind and touch the heart. His publications were collected after his death, and reprinted in one volume 8vo. Crosby's History of the Baptists, vol. iv. p. 315–319. A letter to his con-

gregation, 6th August 1708, is preserved in the Protestant Diffenters' Magazine, vol. vi. 1799, p. 221—223.

129. Mr. Samuel Baxter, 6th Feb. 1687, the fon of Mr. Nathaniel Baxter, ejected from St. Michael's, Manchester, was pastor of the presbyterian congregation in Ipswich 39 years, and died July 19, 1740, aged 70. Monthly Repository, vol. iv. 1809, p. 6, note; and Palmer's Noncon. Mem. vol. ii. p. 101.

134. Mr. John Ash, May 7, 1688, was born at Tidefwell in Derbyshire, 11th Feb. 1672; the scenes of his miniftry, to which he was ordained in the meeting-house at Malcass, 1606, were feveral congregrations among the mountains called the Peak; amongst whom he laboured with diligence and earnestness till his death, Oct. 1, 1734, in the 64th year of his age. It marked good judgment and fcrupulous reverence for the scriptures, that he never quoted texts from a found of the words, but only fuch as in their real fense suited his design: for he esteemed it the greatest abuse of the sacred writings to press them into any service for which they were not intended.

His quotations from them were, therefore, though very frequent, always pertinent, and generally accompanied with a brief illustration; and he had a peculiarly happy talent in explaining an obscure text by a short clear paraphrase. Protestant Dissenters' Mag. vol. v. p. 404; where there is an interesting memoir of this execulent man.

143. Ratcliff Scolfield, 18th July 1688, was fettled first at Whitworth in Lancashire; and in 1727 removed to Ringhay chapel in Cheshire.

100. James Wood, more correctly Woods, 22d April 1691, was the fon of Mr. Jas. Woods, ejected from Ashton in Mackerfield. He settled with a congregation of diffenters at the old chapel in Chowbent, Lancashire, which he served with affection and fidelity in the fpirit of meekness and piety above 60 years, dying February 1750. He is still remembered as a firm friend to the liberties of his country, and a facetious companion, as well as a faithful fervant of the Lord Jesus. At the time of the rebellion in 1715, he headed a body composed of all the hale and courageous men of his congregation, armed with the instruments of husbandry, and marched them to Preston, and secured the posfession of Walton bridge, at the order of Gen. Wills. George I. acknowledged this brave and loval conduct with an honourable memorial of his favour. Mr. Woods was, after this. called General Woods. When his fociety, through refentment of their steadiness to the cause of liberty, at the general election in 1722, were deprived of their place of worship, Mr. Woods, by his active exertions, procured affiftance to erect the present large and commodious chapel. My fon, H. Toulmin's Life of Mr. John Mort, p. 7, 8. 9.

200. Mr. Samuel Wood, 3d May 1692, successively minister at Wivenhoe, Essex; Lavenham, Sussex; Bishop-Stortford, Herts; and Woodbridge, Sussell, where he died in September 1748. Thompson's MS.

201, 202. Samuel Dawson, Eli Dawson, May 13, 1692, sons of Mr. Joseph Dawson, ejected from Thornton chapel, Yorkshire. A brother of these gentlemen stands No. 67 on the list of Mr. Frankland's pupils. See Theol. Rev. ut ante, p. 326. Mr. Eli Daw-

fon had seven sons: fix of whom were educated diffenting ministers, but four of them afterwards conformed. Dr. Thos. Dawson, who united the profession of physic with the character of a divine, was for fome years minister of the congregation at the Gravel Pit. Hacknev: but before his death confined himfelf to the practice of physic, in which he was eminent. Dr. Benjamin Dawfon began his public life as affiftant to Mr. Read, at St. Thomas's, Southwark, After conforming he became rector of Burgh in Suffolk; and, it is apprehended, is still living. He is well known by feveral learned publications, especially in defence of religious liberty, and as author of a volume of fermons at Lady Mover's lecture. Another brother, Mr. Abraham Dawson, rector of Ringsfield, Suffolk, published, in 1762, 2 new translation of the three first chapters of Genefis, and with notes critical and explanatory; and in 1772, a translation of the fourth and fifth chapters, on the fame plan. The fourth brother, Eli Dawfon, published a fermon from Pfalms xviii. 46, on taking Quebec, in 1763, as

chaplain of his Majesty's ship Stirling-Castle.

219. Thomas Letherland, July 7, 1693, fettled as a minister at Stratford. Thompfon's MS.

256, 257. John Fletcher, and James Clegg, Feb. 26, 1696, were ministers of a congregation at Chapel le Frith, Derbyshire. The same.

230. Mr. Christopher Basnett, 1st April 1696, was settled in Liverpool. The same.

231. Mr. Robert Murrey, 27th May 1696, appears to have fettled first at Burton in Staffordshire, where he ordained 2d August 1705. He removed afterwards to Cheffer about the year 1720; to the congregation of which Mr. Matthew Henry had been the His works paftor. " Christ every Christian's Pat-"tern," 12mo. "The Exam-" ple of St. Paul represented to " Ministers and private Christ-"ians out of the Acts of the " Apostles, and his own divine "letters," 12mo.; and "Clo-"fet Devotion," 12mo.

346. Mr. Richard Lessingham, 3d of April 1697, was minister, in 1715, at Newnam, Norfolk, where was formerly a meeting. Thompfon's MS.

347. Mr. Richard Chorley, was a native of the North, preached for fome time at Framlingham in Suffolk, and afterwards conformed in a lay capacity. Id.

351. Mr. afterwards Dr. John Evans, 26th May 1698, was fon of Mr. John Evans, Baliol college, Oxford, ejected from Oswestry, Shropshire, afterwards pastor of the congregational church at Wrexham in Denbighshire; where this fon was born, and afterwards fettled as the pastor of another congregation. After fome time he removed to London, first as affifiant to, and then as co-paffor of, the congregation at Handalley in Bishopsgate-street. He died 23d May 1730, in the sift year of his age; leaving behind him a name honoured for virtues, abilities, and influence; and of celebrity for many publications, particularly in a controverfy with a learned divine, Dr. Cumming, on " the importance of Scripture " Confequences;" and for two volumes of fermons on "the Christian Temper." See Harris's Funeral Discourses,

p. 285-296. Wilson's History, vol. ii. p. 212-221.

253. Mr. Thomas Wainman, 5th July 1697, fettled at Bingley in Yorkshire, where he was minister about 1715.

535. Mr. Daniel Madock, 11th August 1697, was the son of a learned physician, Dr. Joshua Madock, at Whitchurch in Shropshire, the friend of Sir Isaac Newton. His first years were fpent with Mr. Philip Henry's family; where he was early instructed in literature, and formed to piety. His family could be traced back through collateral branches and alliances to princes of the name of Madock, amongst the ancient Britons; to one of whom their historians, fo early as the 12th century, ascribe the discovery of America. He entered on his ministry in the neighbouthood of Chester; from whence he removed and fettled at Utoxeter, Staffordshire, in 1700; where he died May 1745. His preaching was plain and eafy, and adapted to the meanest capacity of his auditory; his manner of life was still, quiet, and inoffensive; though he constantly performed the public fervices of the Lond's Day, his tender conflitution confined him almost entirely at home. Dr. Latham's Funeral Sermon for Mr. Madock, p. 27—31. N.B. Mr. Madock sinished his academical studies under Dr. Benion. Dr. Latham has preserved a Latin letter of Sir Isaac Newton to Dr. Madock, on optics, dated Feb. 7th, 1679.

339. Mr. William Cook, Jan. 5, 1698.

342. Mr. John King, 22d March, 1698.

352. Mr. David Some, July 4, 1698. Dr. Latham fays of Mr. Cook and Mr. Some, that they left a fragrant odour of their names.

Mr. John King, who was of the family of Lord Chancellor King, was a person of fine genius and the most polite parts; but too much resembled Mr. Madockin excess of modesty and the affectation of retirement. As they were almost uniform in their lives, they were not long divided in their deaths; and passed thro' the world like subterraneous streams, unheard and unknown. Latham's Sermon, p. 27, 28.

Mr. David Some fettled at Market-Harborough, and afterwards took upon him the paftoral care of a small society at

Kibworth, in conjunction with his own; in which he was for feveral years affifted by Mr. afterwards Dr. Philip Doddridge, to whom, next to Dr. Clarke, he was the best friend he ever experienced. Mr. Some died on the 29th of May 1737. He was a person of uncommon piety, zeal, prudence, and fa-He never printed more than two fermons: one in the year 1729, concerning the proper methods to be taken by ministers for the revival of religion; and another in 1736. at the funeral of the Rev. Thos. Saunders, of Kettering: a fatal modesty configned to the flames with his dying breath those writings, "which," fays Dr. Doddridge, "would have pro-"bably been the means of " fpreading among thousands "that spirit of wisdom, piety, " and love, into which the "whole foul of the author "feemed to be transformed." The doctor has preferved a remark of Mr. Some, as a specimen of his judgment and acuteness, on the finished hypocrify of Judas Iscariot, viz. that this man is never found faying a word of Christ's temporal kingdom, though it is to be supposed that he followed

him from the hope of preferment and gain. Some years after Mr. Some's death, Dr. Doddridge published a judicious tract written by him, which was of considerable utility in removing the scruples of some worthy minds with respect to inoculation. Mr. Some, in conjunction with Mr. Norris, of Welford, and Mr. John Jen-

nings, of Kibworth, overruled an attempt, about the year 1723, to introduce subscription to articles of faith in words of human device, as a test of orthodoxy, at the time when the questions on that subject were agitated in London. Doddridge's Family Expositor, vol. ii. sect. 174, note d; Kippis's Life of Doddridge, p. 26, 27,

## V. List of Mr. Doolittle's Pupils. To page 237.

1. Mr. Bozier. See before p. 242.

z. Mr. Matthew Henry. See his life by Mr. Tong.

3. Mr. Samuel Bury, who was first minister of a congregation at Edmund's-Bury in Suffolk; and in 1720 removed to that of Lewin's-Mead in Briftol, where he died. He published the life of his wife, a lady of eminent piety and distinguished virtues. He was contemporary at Mr. Doolittle's feminary with Mr. Matthew Henry, of whom he faid, delineating his character with high encomiums, "he was to " me a most desirable friend. " and I love Heaven better "fince he went there,"

Mr. Doolittle's academy was then kept at Islington, but the iniquity of the times, that is, the malignant spirit of intolerance, obliged him first to remove to Battersea, and soon after to disperse his pupils into private families at Clapham. Henry's Life, p. 27, 12mo.

4. Mr. Henry Chandler, father of the learned and celebrated Dr. Samuel Chandler. was first settled at Malmsbury, and afterwards at Hungerford. in Wilts; but the greatest part of his ministry was exercised at Bath, where he died in 1719, and was succeeded by Mr. afterwards Dr. Benjamin Stevenson. Mr. Chandler published, in 1705, a practical Treatife, entitled " Man's " Highest Happiness." 1713, a charge delivered at the ordination of Mr. Joseph

Denham, at Gloucester, who afterwards became the paftor of Mr. Pompet's congregation in Alie-street, Goodman's-fields, Among other good advices addressed to Mr. Denham, he is exhorted to preach intelligibly; for if the preacher be in the clouds, it would be a strange thing if those that sit under his ministry be not in darkness. Another rule he lays down is, " to preach good fense, and to back it with Brong scripture argument." In 1717, he introduced to the public from the press a fermon and charge delivered at the ordination of Mr. Thomas Morgan, who afterwards became a physician, and well known as the author of "The Moral Philosopher," by a preface, in which, to the credit of his good fense and liberal way of thinking, he argued, from the nature of the thing, and from the form of ordaining priefts in the church of England, that ordination did not and could not give authority to the persons ordained. This was at a time episcopalians and presbyterians strenuously advanced and defended claims of communicating authority to preach and administer the or-

dinances of the gospel. Mr. Chandler had a fon, Mr. John Chandler, an eminent apothecary in the city of London. and the author of a piece on Colds and Catarrhs, well received by the public, who lived to a great age: and a daughter, who discovered a peculiar taste for literature. and was much celebrated as the author of feveral poems. particularly one on Bath, in which city she resided. See my notes to the life of Dr. Samuel Chandler, in Protestant Diffenters Magazine for June 1794, p. 217, &c.

5. Mr. Ebenezer Chandler. who was the fecond pastor in fuccession, at the beginning of the last century, to the church formed by Mr. John Bunyan. the well-known author of " The Pilgrim's Progress." He was a worthy character; and under his ministry which was remarkably fuccefsful, the congregation increased so much as to require the erection of a larger meeting-house. Samuel Palmer's fermon, for Rev. Samuel Sanderson, p. 21, 22, note.

6. Mr. Thomas Emlyn, eminent for great piety and learning, born at Stamford, in Lincolnshire, 27th May 1663, and died July 30, 1741. His character, marked by an excellent spirit, particularly displayed under fufferings, the persecution which he fustained for his religious fentiments, and the temper, candour, and ability with which he afferted and vindicated the cause of what appeared to him important truth, have given a celebrity to his name, and perpetuity to his memory, which superfede any enlargement here. Biographia Britannica, under the name of Emlyn.

7. Mr. afterwards Dr. Thomas Ridgley, a native of London, born about the year 1667, who fucceeded Mr. Thomas Gouge, as pastor to the congregation, near the Three Cranes, Thames-street, Lon-. don, and died on the 27th March 1734, became an eminent tutor, in conjunction with the learned and modest Mr. John Eames, of a seminary for academical education, founded and supported by the independent fund in London. Under this character his name will be entitled to respectful mention in a subsequent part of this hiftory. See Wilfon's History, vol. ii. p. 72-81.

8. Mr. Samuel Doolittle, the fon of the tutor, was born about August 1662. received his education his father; and after he had laid in a good foundation of human literature and facred knowledge, he spent about eighteen years of his ministry, as affiftant to the Rev. John Turner, (who, after his ejectment from Sunbury in Middlefex, had a private congregation in Fetter-lane,) and to his father. In 1700 he became the pastor of a congregation at Reading. where he died on the 10th of April 1717. In this connection he was affiduous in preaching, and in the instruction of youth, by catechiftical exercises; displaying great ministerial abilities, and skill in the controversies of religion; for fome years beloved and admired; but afterwards, because without ground suspected of inclining to fome Arminian fentiments, condemned at the fynod of Dort : he fuffered greatly in his spirits from the unjust prejudices entertained against him by some, and by unhappy diffentions and fewda in the fociety, which hastened his death. This gave occasion for his friend, who had been

acquainted with him thirtyfeven years, and knew that his fentiments on the extent of Christ's death were in union with the learned divines who were strenuous oppofers of the Remonstrants, to remark in the fermon on his death, that, " if we be prejudiced against " one another about doubtful " points of religion, in which " learned and good men en-" tertain different fentiments. es or use a different manner of " expression, there must be a "weak head, or 'a corrupt " heart, which makes men " judge of truth by a party, " and offer a facrifice of peace "thereto." Waters's funeral fermon for Mr. Doolittle, p. 30, 31. Wilfon's History, vol. iii. p. 200, 201,

9. Mr. John Mottershead, born in 1665, was willingly and cheerfully, in a time of persecution, during the reign of Charles IId. educated for the christian ministry; and in the duties of it, under those temptations to ease and indulgence which the opulence of his fortune furnished, he persevered to the end of life. He was a contemporary at Issington with Mr. and afterwards Dr. Edmund Calamy; reading logic,

while the latter applied only to grammar. He imbibed under the ministry of his tutor an early fense of religion, and under his academical lectures made confiderable progress both in human and divine literature: generally respected by his fellow students, as in the subsequent periods of his life he was by persons of the best reputation and worth. his appearance in public, he fpent some time in Holland; where he formed an acquaintance with Monfieur Bayle. from whose conversation and lectures he derived great additions to his knowledge, efpecially in belles though, as he used to reflect with great thankfulness to GoD, he was preserved from the pyrrhonism into which that great man fell. On commencing his ministry, he was first a confiderable time affiftant to Mr. Goffe, paftor of a congregation at Kingston-upon-Thames, to whom he rendered his fervices gratis. He alfo affifted his tutor in the pulpit in Monkwell-street. approving himself both to him and to the congregation, by his pious life and useful preaching. In 1697, he removed to Ratcliffe, as

fuccessor to Mr. George Day, who had been ejected from Wivelscombe in Somersetshire. Here he would have also given his fervices for nothing, if he had not been advised to the contrary by his father-in-law; who on this principle, that it would be a prejudice to those who should succeed him, diffuaded him from it. He was reserved in his natural temper, and fond of privacy and retirement; but was an example of beneficence and charity; and in his public ministry, he was governed by an earnest aim to honour God, and to edify his hearers; and spreading the knowledge of Christ among his people was his fingular pleasure. He is reckoned to have translated into Latin fome works of the learned Dr. Lightfoot. He died 13th October 1728. Wilson's History, vol. iii. p, 200.

celebrated for the respectability of his character, the weight of his influence, his controverfy with Bishop Hoadly on the principles of nonconformity, and numerous publications, particularly the abridgement of Mr. Baxter's Life, and a continuation. This last work will perpetuate his name in Ecclesiastical History, and the execution of it will be an honourable memorial of his abilities and principles. See Biographia Britannica, by Kippis. Dr. Calamy was born on the 5th April 1671, and died 3d June 1732.

M. D. and Mr. Thomas Rowe, who afterwards were themselves eminent tutors; the former, first at Highgate, and then in St. John's-square, Clerkenwell; the latter in London; and whose names and characters will claim a tribute of respect in a subsequent period of the history of Dissenting Academies.

13. Mr. Walters Bedford.

N.B. The preceding lift is formed on the authority of Mr. Thompson's MS.

## 6. Mr. John Shuttlewood's Pupils. To p. 239.

1. Julius Saunders, who, at an early period of life, was entered at Oxford, with a view of taking orders in the establishment; but after close and serious examination, he was

induced to take his lot among the diffenters. During the reign of Charles II. he fuffered three years' imprisonment in the gaol of Warwick for his nonconformity. He formed an independent congregation at Bedworth, a populous village near Coventry; and was also the means of supporting, if not raising, another church of the fame denomination in the city. He was a gentleman of great piety, but of the sternest cast; and for many years supported a character of great weight and influence in the neighbourhood; and his name is transmitted down to us as one who was " a " burning and shining light." Evangelical Mag. p. 578, supplement to 1806; and Protestant Dissenters' Mag. 1797, p. 242.

2. Mr. Thomas Emlyn, in 1678, commenced his academical studies at this seminary, and spent four years in it; though, it is observed by his biographer, the obscure privacy of it did not suit his inquisitive mind, eagerly thirsting after knowledge; for he was kept unacquainted with the learned world, and could see but very sew books, and those chiefly of one fort. Memoirs of his Life, p. 6; and before the

list of Mr. Doolittle's pupils.

3 Mr. Ebenezer Wilfon, fon of the Rev. John Wilson, many years paltor of the baptist congregation at Hitchin, Herts, and father of the Rev. Samuel Wilson, a popular minister of a church of the fame denomination in Goodman's fields, London. Mr. Ebenezer Wilson received his academical education partly, also, under Mr. Jollie, at Attercliffe. He was for some years settled with a congregation at Briftol, as affiftant to Mr. Thomas Vaux, pastor In 1704 he at Broadmead. accepted an invitation to the pastoral office in a baptist congregation at Turner's hall, London; which, though fmall, confifted of fome wealthy persons, by whom he was greatly respected, and who contributed liberally to his support. was a worthy man and a scholar, but not popular as a preacher. Crosby, vol. iv. p. 326-328; & Wilson's Hist. vol. i.p. 144.

4. Mr. John Sheffield, fon of the Rev. William Sheffield, ejected from Ibstock in the county of Leicester, by the Act of Uniformity; under the pressure of which Act he and his son continued to groan all their days. He was intended

for trade, but the firing bent of his mind for learning determined his father to place him under the tuition of Mr. Shuttlewood, then a resident in the neighbourhood; " a worthy " and learned man," fays Dr. Calamy, " who deferved much st better treatment than he met with from an illnatured " world:" and whom Mr. Sheffield followed in his feveral removals, pursuing his studies with great diligence and ap-He entered into plication. public life with a mind well ftored with useful knowledge, and with a warm heart, preaching as one who did himself believe what he delivered to others. He had studied his bible diligently, making that his only standard, and was reckoned by the great Mr. Locke, whom he often met with at a friend's house in Essex, to understand it well, and to excel in explaining difficult texts. He began his ministry, for a continuance, at Temple-hall in Leicestershire, where he officiated as chaplain to a lady, whose name was Palmer, and opened a meetinghouse for stated religious worfaip; preaching also at Atherstone, and in the course of the week making frequent excur-

fions into the country to preach lectures. In 1607 he was invited, on the death of Mr. Nathaniel Vincent, to fucceed him as paftor of the congregation of differters at St. Thomas's, Southwark. In this connection he remained to his death on Jan. 24, 1726, aged 73; affifted at one time by Mr. Joshua Bayes, and then Mr. Henry Read, as his colleagues. He was a man of great intregrity and plain-heartedness, an enemy to diffimulation. His charity was not confined to a party, but embraced all who adhered to the common Head: an advocate for catholic christianity, he disapproved of the national establishment, and was contented to decline the emoluments of it; for " he thought " it fet up fuch a fort of uni-" for mity as hindered unity, " and turned the national se church into a mere party." Where he thought the honour of Gop and the religious edification of men were concerned, his courage was undaunted, and his mind not to be intimidated from its purposes. His affairs were managed with discretion, and without much noise; his favourite motto being, " Qui " bene latuit, bene vixit." He

maintained in all respects very mild deportment towards his colleagues and brethren in the ministry, by whom he was greatly respected. In the latter years of his life his piety and refignation were greatly exercifed by very painful diforders; and on opening his body after his death two stones were extracted, one from the bladder. fmooth, not so large as is often feen, and fo lodged as probably not to come often at the neck of the bladder: the other. rough, craggy, and very large, filling up the whole pelvis of the kidney, with feveral protuberances, and a rough spike of confiderable length, which ran into the ureter. He bore the exquisite pain occasioned by these concretions with remarkable patience, and even compofure; and in moments of great uneafiness and acute sensation. often answered the enquiries of his friends with a fmile that expressed the serenity of his mind. His greatest depression of spirits arose from being laid aside from his work; but when complaining that he was quite useles, he would recollect himself and express the resignation of his Blessed Master, who in the severest trials said, "Father," not as I will, but as Thou wilt." He was an excellent man, greatly honoured, living and dying. Calamy's Funeral Sermon for Mr. Shessed, p. 33—40. He lest a son, Mr. Wm. Shessed, in the ministry.

5. Mr. Matthew Clarke;

6. Dr. Joshua Oldfield;

N.B. These gentlemen are named by the late Mr. Samuel Palmer (Noncon. Memor. v. ii. p. 126, 1st ed.) as students under Mr. Shuttlewood: but it is apprehended the author was misinformed. The former, we have feen before, received his academical learning under Mr. Woodhouse: the latter, Dr. Harris informs us, studied philofophy under Mr. Rayner, and then refided fome time in Christ's college, Cambridge, in the latter years of those learned and excellent perfons, Dr. Henry Moore and Dr. Cudworth, Fun. Dif. p. 380.

## VII. Mr. Cradock's Pupils. To page 239.

1. Mr. Robert Billio, who was Mr. Matthew Henry's immediate successor as minister of the congregation in Mare-fireet, Hackney.

- 2. Mr. Porter, who fettled with a congregation at Nayland, Suffolk.
- 3. Sir Francis Brickley, of Attleborough, Norfolk.
  - 4. Mr. Paget, gent.
- 5. \*\* Warner, of Bunsfield, Suffolk, efq.
- 6. Roger Rant, of Swaffam, Cambridgeshire, esq.
- 7. Dr. Edmund Calamy. The following-gentlemen were his fellow students:
- 8. Charles Lord Fitzwilliam, Moulsham-hall, Essex.
  - 9. Mr. Henry Martin.
- 10. Mr. Corbet, of Shropfhire; who afterwards applied himself to the study of the law in London.
- 11. Henry Ashurst, esq; fon of Sir Wm. Ashurst, afterwards town-clerk of London.
  - 12. Mr. after Capt. Roll.
- 13. Mr. George Mayo, only fon of Ifrael Mayo, of Beyford, Herts, efq.
  - 14. Mr. John Godfrey.
- 15. Wm. Ellis, efq; eldest fon of Sir William Ellis, of Norton, Lincolnshire, who afterwards died in Holland.
  - 16. Mr. Timothy Goodwin,

a good Grecian, who was defigned for physic; but afterwards turned his attention to divinity. He took orders in the church; travelled as chaplain with Lord Shrewsbury, when he went over lord lieutenant to Ireland; and was first bishop of Kilmore and Ardagh, and then was advanced to the archiepiscopal see of Cashel. He died 1729.

There were feveral other young gentlemen of good families. Amongst those wholly fixed for divinity were

- 16. Mr. Joseph Kentish, son of Mr. Thomas Kentish.
- 17. Mr. Thomas Bantoft, fon of the Rev. Samuel Bantoft, ejected from the vicarage of Stebbing in Effex. He afterwards died infane.
- 18. Mr. John Keeling, probably the fon of the Rev. Francis Keeling, ejected from Cogshot in Shropshire. He settled with a congregation at New Sarum, and then removed to Cirencester where he died in 1726.

Dr. Calamy's History of his own Life and Times, MS. p. 109, 110, 111.



University of California
SOUTHERN REGIONAL LIBRARY FACILITY
405 Hilgard Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90024-1388
Return this material to the library
from which it was borrowed.





